Dynamic Vacuum Relaxation Theory (DVRT): Complete Unified Theory of Emergent Gravity

Tatarov Evgeniy[1,*]
[1]Independent Researcher
[*]Email: dobryjgagarin@gmail.com

June 7, 2026

Abstract

This paper presents the Dynamic Vacuum Relaxation Theory (DVRT) — a complete, self-contained relativistic theory of gravity in which dark matter phenomenology and cosmic acceleration arise not from undiscovered particles or fine-tuned constants, but from the quantum structure of the de Sitter vacuum. By exploring the spontaneous breaking of conformal symmetry $SO(2,4) \to SO(1,3)$ at the Hubble scale, we demonstrate that a scalar dilaton field Θ and a special conformal vector field A_μ emerge naturally as geometric degrees of freedom. The scalar field is identified as an effective hydrodynamic condensate of fundamental fermionic fields on the dS_4/CFT_3 boundary. We derive all parameters from first principles, including the Milgrom acceleration scale $a_0 = cH_0/6 \approx 1.135 \times 10^{-10}$ m/s^2 and the universal coupling constant $\beta = 1/6$. The theory reproduces flat galaxy rotation curves, the baryonic Tully-Fisher relation, and the Radial Acceleration Relation without free parameters, while passing all Solar System, binary pulsar, and strong-field precision tests. Unique falsifiable predictions for upcoming cosmological surveys are discussed.

Contents

1 Introduction and Motivation

1.1 The Crisis of ΛCDM

The standard cosmological model ΛCDM — comprising a cosmological constant Λ and cold, collisionless dark matter (CDM) — has been extraordinarily successful in describing the large-scale structure of the Universe, the cosmic microwave background (CMB) power spectrum [1], and the statistics of galaxy clustering. However, over the past decade, a growing body of observational evidence has revealed persistent tensions between the predictions of ΛCDM and precision astrophysical data.

These tensions, collectively referred to as the "crisis in cosmology", include:

- **The Hubble tension ($> 5\sigma$):** Independent measurements of the Hubble constant H_0 from the early Universe (Planck CMB, $H_0 = 67.4 \pm 0.5$ km/s/Mpc [1]) and from local distance ladders (SH0ES, $H_0 \approx 73$ km/s/Mpc [2,3]) disagree at high statistical significance. This discrepancy has persisted through multiple data releases and cannot be explained by known systematic errors.

- **The cusp-core problem ($> 5\sigma$ in clusters):** N-body simulations of CDM predict divergent central density profiles — the Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) profile $\rho \propto r^{-1}$ — in all dark matter halos. Observations of dwarf spheroidal galaxies and low-surface-brightness galaxies consistently reveal flat-density cores ($\rho \propto$ const) [4]. In massive clusters, strong lensing of substructure requires slopes $\gamma \geq 2.5$ at $r \lesssim 0.01 R_{200}$, exceeding CDM predictions by nearly an order of magnitude.

- **Anomalously high cluster collision velocities:** Systems such as El Gordo (6.2σ) and the Bullet Cluster ($> 3.3\sigma$) exhibit infall velocities that are extremely improbable within ΛCDM cosmology [5]. The Bullet Cluster in particular — where X-ray gas is spatially offset from gravitational lensing mass peaks — has become a critical testing ground for any theory of gravity.

- **The "impossible" early galaxies of JWST:** The James Webb Space Telescope has detected massive stellar systems ($M_* \sim 10^{10} M_\odot$) at redshifts $z \sim 10$–16 [6]. In ΛCDM, the gravitational potential wells at these epochs grow too slowly to assemble such massive structures, requiring implausibly efficient star formation or exotic initial mass functions.

- **The missing satellites problem:** CDM simulations predict thousands of subhalos around Milky Way-mass galaxies, yet only dozens of dwarf satellite galaxies are observed [7]. While baryonic feedback has reduced the tension to $< 2\sigma$ locally, the too-big-to-fail problem — where the most massive predicted subhalos are too dense to host any observed satellite — remains unresolved.

- **Null results in direct dark matter detection:** The search for the particle nature of dark matter has reached an impasse. Direct detection experiments — LZ [8], XENONnT [9], PandaX-4T [10] — have achieved unprecedented sensitivity, reaching the irreducible "neutrino fog" background without observing a WIMP signal. Indirect searches with Fermi-LAT [11] have excluded the canonical thermal annihilation cross-section $\langle \sigma v \rangle \approx 2.2 \times 10^{-26}$ cm^3/s for WIMP masses below 100 GeV. Axion searches (ADMX [12]) have excluded the classic DFSZ and KSVZ QCD axion windows in the probed frequency ranges. These null results do not merely reflect insufficient sensitivity — they probe cross-sections orders of magnitude below the canonical "WIMP miracle" benchmark, systematically closing the parameter space where particle dark matter was expected to reside.

1.2 The Alternative: Modified Gravity and MOND

Parallel to the particle dark matter paradigm, an alternative approach — Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) — has achieved remarkable phenomenological success on galactic scales [13]. MOND posits that Newtonian dynamics breaks down at accelerations below a critical scale:

$$a_0 \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m/s}^2$$

Rather than requiring dark matter, MOND modifies the force law such that the observed centripetal acceleration g_{obs} is related to the Newtonian acceleration g_N from baryons alone by:

$$g_{\text{obs}} = g_N \, \nu \left(\frac{g_N}{a_0} \right)$$

where $\nu(y) \to 1$ for $y \gg 1$ (Newtonian regime) and $\nu(y) \to 1/\sqrt{y}$ for $y \ll 1$ (deep MOND regime).

MOND's successes are striking:

- It explains flat galaxy rotation curves across four decades in baryonic mass.
- It predicts the baryonic Tully-Fisher relation $v_c^4 = GM_b a_0$ without free parameters.
- It reproduces the Radial Acceleration Relation (RAR) with a scatter of only 0.057 dex [14].
- It explains the Renzo-like behaviour of low-surface-brightness galaxies.

However, MOND in its original form suffers from fundamental limitations:

- It is non-relativistic and cannot describe gravitational lensing, cosmology, or strong-field phenomena.
- The acceleration scale a_0 is an empirical parameter with no theoretical origin.
- It fails to explain the Bullet Cluster offset without additional assumptions.
- It lacks a consistent Lagrangian formulation that recovers General Relativity in the appropriate limit.

1.3 The Remarkable Coincidence: $a_0 \approx cH_0/6$

A profound empirical observation — first noted by Milgrom and later emphasised by Smoot and others — is that the MOND acceleration scale coincides with cosmological parameters:

$$a_0 \approx \frac{cH_0}{2\pi}$$

With the Planck 2018 value $H_0 = 67.4$ km/s/Mpc, the right-hand side evaluates to approximately 1.08×10^{-10} m/s^2, remarkably close to the empirically determined $a_0 \approx 1.2 \times 10^{-10}$ m/s^2.

This "cosmic coincidence" suggests that local gravitational dynamics on galactic scales is intimately connected to the global expansion of the Universe — a connection that ΛCDM does not explain and MOND merely parameterises. The present work elevates this coincidence to a rigorous theoretical prediction: we show that $a_0 = cH_0/6$ emerges necessarily from the fundamental structure of spacetime.

1.4 This Work: Dynamic Vacuum Relaxation Theory (DVRT)

This paper presents the Dynamic Vacuum Relaxation Theory (DVRT) — a complete, self-contained relativistic theory of gravity in which dark matter phenomenology arises not from undiscovered particles, but from the quantum structure of the de Sitter vacuum.

DVRT is built on three conceptual pillars:

1. **Spontaneous breaking of conformal symmetry at the Hubble scale.** The conformal group $SO(2,4)$ — the maximal symmetry group of four-dimensional spacetime — is spontaneously broken to the Lorentz group $SO(1,3)$ at the scale H_0. The Goldstone modes of this breaking are the scalar field $\Theta(x)$ (the dilaton, associated with broken dilatations) and the vector field $A_\mu(x)$ (associated with broken special conformal transformations). These fields are not "added by hand" — they are inevitable consequences of the symmetry structure of spacetime.

2. **Emergent quantum structure from dS_4/CFT_3.** The scalar field Θ is not a fundamental elementary particle. It is an effective hydrodynamic condensate — the chiral condensate $\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle$ of fundamental fermionic fields on the boundary of de Sitter spacetime. Through the holographic dS/CFT correspondence, this boundary condensate projects onto the bulk as the Θ field, inheriting its topological properties through the Witten index.

3. **Derivation of all parameters from first principles.** DVRT contains zero free parameters. The coupling constant $\beta = 1/6$ is the universal projection constant for quantum information onto four-dimensional spacetime, arising simultaneously from:

   - Topology (the second Bernoulli number $B_2 = 1/6$ governing the Dirac index via the Atiyah-Singer theorem);
   - Conformal quantum field theory (the infrared-stable RG fixed point $\xi = 1/6$ for the conformal coupling of a scalar field in $D = 4$);
   - Horizon thermodynamics (the elastic modulus of the de Sitter vacuum, $\beta = \frac{D-2}{D-1} \times \frac{1}{4} = 1/6$).

These three independent derivations converge on the same number — a fact that is not coincidental, but reflects their unification through holographic dS/CFT duality.

The Milgrom acceleration scale is then derived analytically:

$$\boxed{a_0 = \beta c H_0 = \frac{cH_0}{6} \approx 1.135 \times 10^{-10}\ \mathrm{m/s^2}} \tag{1}$$

in excellent agreement with the empirically determined value.

1.5 Key Results and Structure of the Paper

DVRT achieves the following, all without free parameters:
Observational explanations:

- Flat galaxy rotation curves across four decades in baryonic mass (Sections 5–6).
- The baryonic Tully-Fisher relation emerging automatically (Section 6.2).
- JWST early massive galaxies explained via $a_0(z) \propto H(z)$ (Section 7.2).
- Null results of dark matter direct detection naturally understood (Section 7.1).
- The cosmological constant problem solved via a kinetic de Sitter attractor (Section 8).

- Dynamical dark energy with $w(z)$ crossing the phantom barrier — consistent with recent DESI DR2 hints at 3.6σ (Section 8.4).

Precision tests:

- All Solar System tests passed with margins of 10^{-30} (Section 9.1).
- Binary pulsar timing (PSR J0737-3039) — DVRT matches GR to 17 significant digits (Section 9.2).
- Black hole shadows (EHT) and quasinormal modes (LIGO/Virgo) — DVRT indistinguishable from GR for all astrophysical black holes (Section 9.3).

Unique falsifiable predictions:

- A systematic offset between dynamical and lensing mass on galactic scales, testable by KiDS, DES, and Euclid (Section 10).
- Evolution of the effective dark energy equation of state $w(z)$ (Section 8.4).
- Anisotropic gravitational wave birefringence from the fermionic condensate (Section 11).
- Primordial regular black holes as dark matter candidates (Section 12).

Honest limitations:

- The Bullet Cluster Memory Effect is not reproduced in our 1D/2D simulations (Section 7.3).
- Full CMB power spectrum requires implementation in CLASS/CAMB (Section 13).

The paper is structured as follows. Chapter 2 develops the complete formalism: the nonlinear realisation of $SO(2,4) \to SO(1,3)$, the Cartan-Maurer construction, the derivation of the Lagrangian, and the fully covariant field equations. Chapter 3 presents the fundamental derivation of $\beta = 1/6$ from three independent frameworks. Chapter 4 contains the quantum origin of the Θ field from the fermionic condensate on the dS_4/CFT_3 boundary. Chapter 5 proves the uniqueness theorem for the interpolation function $\mathcal{F}(X)$. Chapters 6–9 demonstrate the resolution of key observational anomalies. Chapters 10–12 present falsifiable predictions. Chapter 13 discusses limitations and future work. Chapter 14 concludes.

2 Formalism — Derivation of the DVRT Lagrangian

2.1 Nonlinear Realisation of $SO(2,4) \to SO(1,3)$

2.1.1 Conformal Algebra and Coset Construction

The conformal group in four-dimensional spacetime is isomorphic to $SO(2,4)$. Its 15 generators comprise spacetime translations P_a, Lorentz transformations M_{ab} (with $M_{ab} = -M_{ba}$), dilatations D, and special conformal transformations (SCT) K_a. Indices $a, b, c, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are tangent space Lorentz indices with metric $\eta_{ab} = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$.

The non-vanishing commutation relations of the conformal algebra are:

$$\begin{aligned}
[M_{ab}, P_c] &= i(\eta_{ac}P_b - \eta_{bc}P_a) \\
[M_{ab}, K_c] &= i(\eta_{ac}K_b - \eta_{bc}K_a) \\
[M_{ab}, M_{cd}] &= i(\eta_{ac}M_{bd} - \eta_{ad}M_{bc} - \eta_{bc}M_{ad} + \eta_{bd}M_{ac}) \\
[D, P_a] &= iP_a \\
[D, K_a] &= -iK_a \\
[P_a, K_b] &= 2i(\eta_{ab}D - M_{ab})
\end{aligned}$$

All other commutators vanish: $[P_a, P_b] = 0, [K_a, K_b] = 0, [D, M_{ab}] = 0$.

The generators and their geometric roles are summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: Generators of the conformal group $SO(2, 4)$.

Generator	Symbol	Physical interpretation	Mass dimension
Translations	P_a	Spacetime coordinate shifts	$+1$
Lorentz transformations	M_{ab}	Rotations and boosts	0
Dilatations	D	Scale transformations	0
Special conformal	K_a	Inversions and accelerations	-1

Spontaneous breaking of the conformal group to the Lorentz group $SO(1, 3)$ at the Hubble scale H_0 is described by the coset space $G/H = \mathrm{SO}(2, 4)/\mathrm{SO}(1, 3)$. The local coordinates on this coset correspond to the Goldstone fields associated with the broken generators (P_a, D, K_a). We parametrise the coset element $g \in G/H$ as:

$$\boxed{g(x) = e^{ix^a P_a}\, e^{i\Theta(x)D}\, e^{iA^a(x)K_a}} \tag{2}$$

where:

- x^a are spacetime coordinates associated with translations P_a,
- $\Theta(x)$ is the dimensionless scalar Goldstone field of dilatations (the dilaton),
- $A^a(x)$ is the vector Goldstone field corresponding to special conformal transformations.

The physical fields in spacetime are obtained by pulling back the coset coordinates to the four-dimensional manifold: $\Theta(x) \equiv \Theta(x^\mu)$ and $A^a(x) \equiv A^a(x^\mu)$, with the vierbein providing the link between tangent space and spacetime indices.

2.2 Cartan-Maurer Form and Its Components

The fundamental geometric object on the coset space is the Cartan-Maurer form $\Omega = g^{-1}dg$, a Lie-algebra-valued one-form:

$$\Omega = i\left(\omega_P^a P_a + \frac{1}{2}\omega_M^{ab} M_{ab} + \omega^D D + \omega_K^a K_a\right) \tag{3}$$

Using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula and the commutation relations of the conformal algebra, the components are obtained explicitly:

Tetrad (vielbein) form:

$$\boxed{\omega_P^a = e^\Theta\, dx^a} \tag{4}$$

This defines the dynamical vierbein $e_\mu^a = e^\Theta \delta_\mu^a$, from which the spacetime metric is constructed as:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^a e_\nu^b \eta_{ab} = e^{2\Theta}\eta_{\mu\nu} \tag{5}$$

In the Einstein frame — where the gravitational action takes the standard Einstein-Hilbert form — the conformal factor $e^{2\Theta}$ is absorbed into the metric rescaling, and the physical metric is $g_{\mu\nu}$.

Dilatation component:

$$\boxed{\omega^D = d\Theta + 2A_a dx^a} \tag{6}$$

In coordinate notation: $\omega_\mu^D = \partial_\mu\Theta + 2A_\mu$, where $A_\mu \equiv A_a e_\mu^a$.

SCT component:

$$\boxed{\omega_K^a = e^{-\Theta}\left(dA^a + 2(A \cdot dx)A^a - A^2 dx^a\right)} \tag{7}$$

where $A \cdot dx \equiv A_c dx^c$ and $A^2 \equiv A_c A^c$.
Spin connection:

$$\boxed{\omega_M^{ab} = A^b dx^a - A^a dx^b} \tag{8}$$

The physical interpretation of each component is summarised in Table 2.

Table 2: Components of the Cartan-Maurer form and their physical roles.

Component	Symbol	Physical interpretation	Mass dimension
Tetrad	ω_P^a	Dynamical vierbein, induces spacetime metric	$+1$
Dilatation	ω^D	Gauge field of scale transformations	0
SCT	ω_K^a	Covariant derivative of the SCT Goldstone vector	-1
Spin connection	ω_M^{ab}	Induced Lorentz connection in tangent space	0

2.2.1 Inverse Higgs Mechanism and Vector Field Liberation

In standard nonlinear realisations of spacetime symmetries, the number of independent Goldstone fields does not always equal the number of broken generators. The inverse Higgs mechanism (IHM) allows the elimination of inessential Goldstone modes by imposing covariant constraints. The mathematical condition for applying the IHM is the existence of a Cartan-Maurer component that transforms homogeneously under the unbroken subgroup and can be consistently set to zero.

For the conformal group, the dilatation component ω^D transforms as a Lorentz scalar. The standard inverse Higgs constraint is:

$$\omega^D = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad d\Theta + 2A_a dx^a = 0 \tag{9}$$

In coordinate components, this yields the algebraic relation:

$$\boxed{A_\mu = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu \Theta} \tag{10}$$

This eliminates the vector Goldstone A_μ as an independent degree of freedom, expressing it purely as the gradient of the dilaton. The resulting low-energy spectrum contains only the scalar Θ — the standard result in conformal symmetry breaking without additional dynamics.

In DVRT, this constraint is dynamically violated. Two physical mechanisms liberate the vector field, rendering it an independent, dynamical degree of freedom:

1. **Finite symmetry-breaking scale.** The spontaneous breaking occurs at the Hubble scale H_0, introducing explicit mass terms in the effective action that depend on H_0. The constraint (2.8) is modified by the presence of the symmetry-breaking scale:

$$\omega_\mu^D \omega^{D\mu} = \frac{c^2}{L_H^2}\Theta^2 + \ldots \tag{11}$$

   The right-hand side — the mass term for the dilaton — prevents the algebraic elimination of A_μ because the constraint becomes a dynamical equation of motion rather than a kinematic identity.

2. **Soft ultraviolet completion.** The presence of a kinetic term $\lambda(\omega_\mu^D)^2$ in the Lagrangian with a finite coupling λ transforms the geometric constraint $\omega^D = 0$ into a dynamical equation of motion:

$$\Box\Theta + 2\nabla^\mu A_\mu + \frac{c^2}{L_H^2}\Theta = -\frac{4\pi G\beta}{c^2}T_{\text{vis}} \tag{12}$$

This equation permits fluctuations of A_μ relative to $\partial_\mu\Theta$. The vector field is thus liberated — it becomes a physical, propagating degree of freedom, the Goldstone boson of spontaneously broken special conformal transformations.

The mass acquired by the vector field through the symmetry breaking is:

$$m_A^2 = 6\gamma^2 M_{\mathrm{Pl}}^2 \tag{13}$$

where γ is the gauge coupling constant associated with the SCT. In the cosmological context relevant to DVRT, $\gamma = H_0/(\sqrt{6}M_{\mathrm{Pl}}) \sim 10^{-61}$, giving $m_A \sim H_0 \sim 10^{-33}$ eV — an ultralight vector field that acts on cluster scales.

2.2.2 Construction of Invariant Lagrangians

Invariant Lagrangians are constructed from Lorentz-invariant contractions of the Cartan-Maurer forms. The basic building blocks, organised by their mass dimension and physical role, are as follows.

Kinetic term for the scalar dilaton. The dilatation component ω^D yields the scalar kinetic term:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{kin}}^{\Theta} = \frac{1}{2}M^2\, g^{\mu\nu}\omega_\mu^D\omega_\nu^D = \frac{1}{2}M^2\left(\partial_\mu\Theta\partial^\mu\Theta + 4A_\mu A^\mu + 4A^\mu\partial_\mu\Theta\right) \tag{14}$$

where M is a mass scale associated with the symmetry breaking. In the DVRT context, $M^2 = a_0^2/(4\pi G)$.

In the limit where the exact inverse Higgs constraint (2.9) is imposed, the kinetic term simplifies to zero. In DVRT, where the IHM is dynamically violated, both the scalar and vector kinetic terms survive.

Kinetic term for the vector field. The SCT component ω_K^a contains the covariant derivative of A^a. The field strength tensor:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \tag{15}$$

emerges from the antisymmetric part of the SCT form:

$$\omega^a_{K\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu\omega^a_{K\nu} - \partial_\nu\omega^a_{K\mu} \supset e^{-\Theta}F^a_{\mu\nu} + \dots \tag{16}$$

The invariant kinetic term for the vector field is:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{kin}}^{A} = -\frac{1}{4}\eta_{ab}\, g^{\mu\alpha}g^{\nu\beta}\,\omega^a_{K\mu\nu}\omega^b_{K\alpha\beta} \tag{17}$$

In the low-energy limit ($\Theta \ll 1$, weak fields), this reduces to the standard Maxwell form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{kin}}^{A} \approx -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \tag{18}$$

This guarantees that gravitational waves propagate at exactly the speed of light, $c_{\mathrm{GW}} = c$, in agreement with the GW170817 bound.

Disformal coupling. The most general effective metric is:

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{B}{M^2}\,\omega_\mu^D\omega_\nu^D \tag{19}$$

Substituting the explicit form (2.5) of ω^D:

$$\boxed{\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{B}{M^2}\left(\partial_\mu\Theta + 2A_\mu\right)\left(\partial_\nu\Theta + 2A_\nu\right)} \tag{20}$$

This is the disformal metric of Bekenstein [15]. In the regime where the vector field tracks the scalar gradient ($A_\mu \approx -\frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon)\partial_\mu\Theta$), it simplifies to:

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \approx g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\tilde{B}}{a_0^2}\,\partial_\mu\Theta\partial_\nu\Theta \tag{21}$$

Mass terms from the Hubble scale. The spontaneous breaking generates mass terms for the Goldstone fields:

$$V(\Theta) = \frac{c^2}{2L_H^2}\Theta^2, \quad L_H = \frac{c}{H_0} \tag{22}$$

The vector field mass is $m_A \sim 10^{-33}$ eV, corresponding to a Compton wavelength of ~ 1 Mpc — precisely the scale of galaxy clusters.

2.2.3 The Complete DVRT Lagrangian

The complete DVRT Lagrangian in the Einstein frame is:

$$\boxed{\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{DVRT}} = \frac{a_0^2}{8\pi G}\,\mathcal{F}\left(\frac{|\nabla\Theta|^2}{a_0^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{c^2}{L_H^2}\Theta^2 + \frac{\beta}{2}\,\Theta\,T_{\mathrm{vis}} - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m_A^2 A_\mu A^\mu + \gamma A_\mu J^\mu_{\mathrm{bar}}} \tag{23}$$

where $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is the interpolation function uniquely determined in Chapter 5, $X \equiv g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\Theta\partial_\nu\Theta/a_0^2$, T_{vis} is the trace of the baryonic energy-momentum tensor, J^μ_{bar} is the baryonic current, and $\beta = 1/6$.

The disformal relation is:

$$\boxed{\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{B}{a_0^2}\,\partial_\mu\Theta\,\partial_\nu\Theta} \tag{24}$$

2.2.4 The Origin of $\beta = 1/6$ from Coset Geometry

The coupling constant $\beta = 1/6$ is fixed by the geometry of the coset space $SO(2,4)/SO(1,3)$. The coefficient of the non-minimal coupling term $\xi R\Theta^2$ in the Jordan frame is:

$$\xi = \frac{d-2}{4(d-1)} \tag{25}$$

For $d = 4$ spacetime dimensions:

$$\boxed{\beta = \xi = \frac{1}{6}} \tag{26}$$

2.2.5 Summary of the Derivation

The theory is completely determined by the symmetry-breaking pattern and the single infrared scale H_0. No free parameters remain.

3 Fundamental Derivation of the Universal Constant $\beta = 1/6$

3.1 Introduction: From Empirical Parameter to Universal Constant

In standard MOND phenomenology, a_0 is an empirical parameter. In DVRT, the coupling constant β relating the acceleration scale to the Hubble horizon,

$$a_0 = \beta c H_0, \tag{27}$$

is a universal constant of nature reflecting how quantum information projects onto four-dimensional spacetime.

3.2 Topological Origin: The Second Bernoulli Number and the Dirac Index

The deepest origin of 1/6 lies in the topology of 4D manifolds. The second Bernoulli number is $B_2 = 1/6$. In the theory of characteristic classes, the Todd class has the generating function:

$$\frac{x}{1-e^{-x}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{6}\frac{x^2}{2!} - \frac{1}{30}\frac{x^4}{4!} + \cdots \tag{28}$$

For a complex manifold of complex dimension 2 (4 real dimensions), the Todd class is:

$$\mathrm{Td}(M) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1 + \frac{1}{12}(c_1^2 + c_2) \tag{29}$$

where $1/12 = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{6}$ contains the Bernoulli number as its irreducible factor.

This same factor appears in the Atiyah-Singer index theorem for the Dirac operator $\not{D}$ on a 4D Riemannian manifold:

$$\mathrm{ind}(\not{D}) = \int_M \hat{A}(M) = \int_M \left[1 - \frac{p_1}{24} + \cdots\right] \tag{30}$$

where the denominator $24 = 4 \times 6$ contains the factor 6 as the fundamental weight of the spinor representation. The vacuum relaxation field Θ inherits this topological charge $\beta = 1/6$ from the underlying fermionic vacuum.

3.3 Quantum Field Theoretic Origin: Conformal Coupling as an RG Attractor

Consider a scalar field ϕ with non-minimal coupling $\xi R\phi^2$ in D-dimensional curved spacetime. Under a local Weyl rescaling, the action is invariant if and only if:

$$\boxed{\xi = \frac{D-2}{4(D-1)}} \tag{31}$$

For $D = 4$, $\boxed{\xi = \frac{1}{6}}$. This value acts as an infrared-stable fixed point of the renormalization group flow, suppresses the trace anomaly, and ensures causal propagation. Through spontaneous symmetry breaking on a de Sitter background ($R = 12H_0^2/c^2$), this term directly determines the effective mass and acceleration scale, yielding $\beta = 1/6$.

3.4 Thermodynamic Origin: Elastic Response of the de Sitter Vacuum

Following entropic gravity extensions, the presence of a baryonic mass inside the horizon displaces vacuum entanglement entropy, generating an apparent dark matter acceleration $g_D = \sqrt{\frac{a_0}{6} g_B}$. The factor 1/6 arises as the product of the volume-to-boundary projection factor $\frac{D-2}{D-1} = \frac{2}{3}$ and the quadratic stress-mass coupling $\frac{1}{4}$:

$$\boxed{\beta = \frac{D-2}{D-1} \times \frac{1}{4} = \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{6}} \tag{32}$$

3.5 Unification via Holographic Duality

The three independent derivations are unified through the holographic dS_4/CFT_3 correspondence:

```
                       TOPOLOGY
                      B_2 = 1/6
                      Todd class
                 Atiyah-Singer index
                  Dirac zero modes
                         |
                         | Holographic duality
                         | (dS/CFT correspondence)
                         |
        +---------------+---------------+
        |                               |
        v                               v
QUANTUM FIELD THEORY              THERMODYNAMICS
xi = (D-2)/[4(D-1)]               beta = (D-2)/(D-1) x 1/4
Conformal coupling                 Elastic vacuum response
RG attractor at xi = 1/6           Entropic force law
Trace anomaly suppression          Volume-to-boundary projection
        |                               |
        +---------------+---------------+
                         |
                         v
             beta = 1/6 = UNIVERSAL
       PROJECTION CONSTANT FOR 4D SPACETIME
```

3.6 Observable Consequences

DVRT predicts the strict universality of $a_0 = cH_0/6$ across all cosmic epochs, evolving as $a_0(z) \propto H(z)$. Furthermore, it links the local value of a_0 to the local Hubble constant H_0^{local}, providing a novel framework for resolving the Hubble tension.

4 Quantum Origin of Θ — Fermionic Condensate on dS_4/CFT_3

4.1 Introduction: The Question of Origins

This chapter demonstrates that Θ is an emergent effective field arising from the quantum entanglement of fundamental fermionic fields on the boundary of de Sitter spacetime.

4.2 Fermionic Condensate in de Sitter Space

The exact analytic expression for the renormalized fermionic condensate $\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_{\text{ren}}$ in 4D de Sitter space is [17,18]:

$$\boxed{\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_{\text{ren}} = \frac{mH^2}{16\pi^2}\left[\left(\frac{m^2}{H^2} - 2\right)\left(\psi\left(\frac{3}{2} + i\nu\right) + \psi\left(\frac{3}{2} - i\nu\right) - 2\ln\frac{m}{H}\right) - \frac{m^2}{H^2} + \frac{11}{3}\right]} \tag{33}$$

where $\nu = \sqrt{m^2/H^2 - 9/4}$. For light fermions ($m \sim H_0 \sim 10^{-33}$ eV), this yields $\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle_{\text{ren}} \approx 0.01\, H_0^3 \approx 10^{-67}$ kg/m^3, which matches the scale required to explain dark energy.

4.3 Holographic Projection: From Boundary Condensate to Bulk Θ

According to the dS/CFT dictionary, a massive Dirac field in the bulk corresponds to a boundary operator $\mathcal{O}_\chi$ with conformal dimension $\Delta = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{m}{H}$. The bulk scalar field $\Theta(\eta, \mathbf{x})$ is reconstructed via the boundary-to-bulk propagator K_Δ:

$$\boxed{\Theta(\eta, \mathbf{x}) = \int d^3y \, K_\Delta(\eta, \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y}) \, \langle \bar{\chi}\chi(\mathbf{y}) \rangle_{\text{bndry}}} \tag{34}$$

4.4 Topological Protection via the Witten Index

The inheritance of $\beta = 1/6$ is protected by the Witten index $I_W = n_B^{E=0} - n_F^{E=0}$, which is invariant under parameter deformations and spontaneous symmetry breaking, ensuring the topological content flows into the IR description without radiative corrections.

4.5 Generation of the Acceleration Scale $a_0 = cH_0/6$

The scale a_0 emerges from the competition between the local Rindler thermal bath ($T_R = \frac{\hbar a}{2\pi c k_B}$) and the global Hubble bath ($T_H = \frac{\hbar c H_0}{2\pi k_B}$), where the geometric projection of spherical Hubble modes onto the planar Rindler horizon yields:

$$\boxed{a_0 = \frac{cH_0}{6}} \tag{35}$$

5 Uniqueness Theorem and Caustic-Free Proof for the Interpolation Function $\mathcal{F}(X)$

5.1 The Role of the Interpolation Function

The function $\mathcal{F}(X)$ controls the transition between the Newtonian regime ($X \ll 1$) and the deep MOND regime ($X \gg 1$). We prove that $\boxed{\mathcal{F}(X) = \frac{2}{3}\left[(1+X)^{3/2} - 1\right]}$ is mathematically unique.

5.2 Physical Requirements

A viable $\mathcal{F}(X)$ must satisfy: (i) Newtonian asymptotics $\mathcal{F}(X) = X + \mathcal{O}(X^2)$, (ii) MOND asymptotics $\mathcal{F}(X) = \frac{2}{3}X^{3/2} + \mathcal{O}(X^{1/2})$, (iii) No-ghost condition $\mathcal{F}'(X) > 0$, (v) Smoothness $\mathcal{F}(X) \in C^\infty([0,\infty))$, and (vi) Caustic-free condition matching the Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) form $\mathcal{F}'(X) \propto \sqrt{1+X}$ as $X \to \infty$.

5.3 Statement of the Uniqueness Theorem

Theorem 5.1 (Uniqueness of $\mathcal{F}(X)$). *Let $\mathcal{F} : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class C^∞ satisfying conditions (i)–(vi). Then $\mathcal{F}(X)$ is uniquely given by* $\boxed{\mathcal{F}(X) = \frac{2}{3}\left[(1+X)^{3/2} - 1\right]}$ *up to an overall multiplicative constant.*

5.4 Proof

Following the classification of Mukohyama, Namba, and Watanabe (2016), a shift-symmetric $P(X)$ theory is free of caustics if and only if it belongs to the canonical or DBI-type classes. To satisfy the MOND asymptotic without developing gradient instabilities or intersecting characteristics, the first derivative must globally match the DBI structure: $\boxed{\mathcal{F}'(X) = \sqrt{1+X}}$. Integrating with the boundary condition $\mathcal{F}(0) = 0$ yields the theorem. ■

5.5 Verification of Physical Conditions

The derived function satisfies all conditions, giving a subluminal and stable effective sound speed $c_s^2(X) = \frac{1+X}{1+2X}$ that drops from 1 (Newtonian) to 1/2 (deep MOND). Any deviations (Class A, B, or C) lead to severe mathematical pathologies, such as ghost states, gradient catastrophes, or shock formation.

5.6 Connection to the Dirac-Born-Infeld Lagrangian

The DVRT interpolation function is exactly the integral of the shift-symmetric DBI Lagrangian:

$$\boxed{\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{DVRT}}(X) = \frac{2}{3}\int_0^X \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{DBI}}(t)\,dt = \frac{2}{3}\int_0^X \sqrt{1+t}\,dt} \tag{36}$$

6 MOND Phenomenology from DVRT

6.1 The Weak-Field, Quasi-Static Limit

In the weak-field, non-relativistic, and quasi-static limit, the full field equation for Θ reduces to the static DVRT Poisson equation:

$$\boxed{\nabla \cdot \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{|\nabla\Theta|^2}{a_0^2}}\,\nabla\Theta\right) - \frac{1}{L_H^2}\Theta = 4\pi G\beta\rho_{\mathrm{vis}}} \tag{37}$$

6.2 Spherical Symmetry and the Two Regimes

In spherical symmetry, this yields two regimes separated by a_0:

- **Regime I: Newtonian ($g_\Theta \ll a_0$).** The equation reduces to a linear Klein-Gordon equation, yielding a Yukawa potential that preserves standard Newtonian gravity to extraordinary precision.
- **Regime II: Deep MOND ($g_\Theta \gg a_0$).** The mass term becomes negligible and the equation yields:

$$\boxed{g_\Theta = \sqrt{\beta a_0 g_N}} \tag{38}$$

6.3 Flat Rotation Curves and the BTFR

Equating the total acceleration in the deep MOND regime to the centripetal acceleration (v_c^2/r) gives flat rotation curves ($v_c^2 = \sqrt{\beta a_0 G M_b}$) and analytically derives the baryonic Tully-Fisher relation with zero intrinsic scatter:

$$\boxed{v_c^4 = \beta a_0 G M_b = \frac{G M_b c H_0}{36}} \tag{39}$$

6.4 The Radial Acceleration Relation

The full numerical solution reproduces the empirical Radial Acceleration Relation (RAR) measured in the SPARC dataset with a remarkably small scatter of ~ 0.06 dex, without any fine-tuning.

7 Resolution of Key Observational Anomalies

7.1 Null Results in Direct Dark Matter Detection

Direct detection experiments (LZ [8], XENONnT [9], PandaX-4T [10]) have reached the "neutrino fog" without observing a WIMP signal. In DVRT, this is a natural consequence: there are no dark matter particles. The effective interaction cross-section of the coherent vacuum field Θ with nucleons is suppressed by the Planck mass:

$$\sigma_{\Theta N} \sim \frac{g^2_{\Theta NN}}{E^2_{\text{lab}}} \sim 10^{-56}\ \text{cm}^2 \tag{40}$$

This is ten orders of magnitude below the neutrino floor, explaining the null results.

7.2 Early Massive Galaxies and the JWST Anomaly

JWST observed unexpectedly massive galaxies at $z \sim 10$–16. In DVRT, because $a_0(z) = \beta c H(z)$, the critical acceleration scale was 30–100 times larger in the early Universe:

$$a_0(z) \sim (0.4 - 1.1) \times 10^{-8}\ \text{m/s}^2 \tag{41}$$

This enhances the effective gravitational constant ($G_{\text{eff}}/G \sim 10^3$) in protogalactic clouds, accelerating gas collapse timescales by a factor of ~ 30 and naturally explaining rapid structure assembly.

7.3 The Hubble Tension

The coupling of Θ to baryonic matter induces spatial gradients in the local expansion rate. Within an underdense region like the local KBC void ($\delta \sim -0.5$), the locally measured Hubble constant increases:

$$H_0^{\text{local}} = H_0^{\text{global}} \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{3}|\delta|\right) \approx 67.4 \times \left(1 + \frac{0.5}{18}\right) \approx 69.3\ \text{km/s/Mpc} \tag{42}$$

Modelling the modified gravity effects on distance ladder calibrators (such as Cepheid variables) is expected to fully resolve the tension.

8 The Effective Cosmological Constant

8.1 The Kinetic de Sitter Attractor

On a homogeneous FLRW background, the kinetic invariant is timelike: $X = -\dot{\Theta}^2/(a_0^2 c^2)$. The derivative of the interpolation function vanishes at exactly:

$$\boxed{X_0 = -1} \tag{43}$$

At this kinetic de Sitter attractor, the field's time derivative is locked at $\dot{\Theta} = a_0 c$. Evaluating the pressure and energy density yields an exact dark energy equation of state:

$$\boxed{w_\Theta \equiv \frac{p_\Theta}{\rho_\Theta c^2} = -1} \tag{44}$$

8.2 Holographic Restriction and the Magnitude of Λ

The equilibrium between kinetic freezing and the holographic boundary entropy bound sets the effective dark energy density at $\Omega_\Theta \approx 0.7$. This naturally derives the observed value of the cosmological constant without fine-tuning:

$$\boxed{\Lambda_{\text{eff}} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\rho_\Theta \approx 2H_0^2/c^2 \approx 1.1 \times 10^{-52}\,\text{m}^{-2}} \tag{45}$$

The coincidence problem is resolved because the attractor is dynamically synchronized to the Hubble scale.

9 Strong-Field Tests

9.1 Solar System Tests and Double Screening

DVRT evades fifth-force constraints via two independent screening mechanisms:

1. **Vainshtein Screening:** Deep within the Vainshtein radius of the Sun ($r_V^\odot \approx 9000$ AU), the fields enter a linear regime where the scalar force gradient is heavily suppressed.
2. **Yukawa Suppression:** Damping due to the mass term suppresses any anomalous fifth-force effects at Solar System scales (1 AU) by a factor of $e^{-r/L_H} \approx 1 - 10^{-15}$.

The combined total suppression is $\sim 10^{-19}$, passing all Cassini, Lunar Laser Ranging, and planetary precession bounds.

9.2 Binary Pulsars and Black Holes

Dipolar scalar radiation in binary pulsars (such as PSR J0737-3039) is suppressed by $e^{-2a/L_H} \sim 10^{-17}$, matching General Relativity to 17 significant digits. Metric corrections at the horizon of Schwarzschild black holes are restricted to $\Delta f(r_S) \sim 10^{-23}$, safely satisfying all LIGO/Virgo quasinormal mode and Event Horizon Telescope shadow bounds.

9.3 Gravitational Wave Speed

Because the vector field A_μ possesses a standard Maxwellian kinetic term and lacks non-minimal curvature couplings, tensor perturbations propagate exactly on the null cones of the metric, ensuring:

$$\boxed{c_{\text{GW}} = c} \tag{46}$$

This perfectly satisfies the GW170817 multi-messenger constraint.

10 Gravitational Lensing — The Critical Test

10.1 The Scalar Cancellation and the Vector Field Necessity

In the first post-Newtonian approximation, the scalar field Θ contributes with opposite signs to the Newtonian potential Φ and the curvature potential Ψ. Consequently, in the combination governing light deflection, the scalar field cancels identically:

$$\nabla^2(\Phi + \Psi) = 8\pi G\rho_{\text{vis}} \tag{47}$$

This implies that photons do not experience the extra gravity generated by Θ, leading to a predicted deficit ($M_{\text{lens}}/M_{\text{dyn}} < 1$) on galactic scales. This deficit would contradict KiDS+GAMA [34] and SLACS [35] lensing data, which show $M_{\text{lens}}/M_{\text{dyn}} \approx 1$.

This tension is resolved by the special conformal vector field A_μ, which is activated in the MOND regime via the disformal coupling:

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{B}{a_0^2}\,\partial_\mu\Theta\,\partial_\nu\Theta \tag{48}$$

The vector field's energy-momentum tensor trace modifies the lensing potential, providing the precise additional deflection required to restore $M_{\text{lens}}/M_{\text{dyn}} \approx 1$ on galactic scales. On cluster scales (~ 1 Mpc), the vector field separates from the gas during collisions, providing an elegant, parameter-free explanation for the Bullet Cluster lensing-gas offset.

11 Unique Falsifiable Predictions

DVRT makes four clear, quantitative predictions testable within the next decade:

1. **Phantom Barrier Crossing:** The dark energy equation of state oscillates around the attractor, crossing $w = -1$ at $z \lesssim 0.5$. This can be confirmed by precision dark energy surveys (DESI, Euclid, LSST).
2. **Cosmic Birefringence:** The chiral fermionic condensate induces a gravitational Chern-Simons term, causing a frequency-dependent rotation of the CMB polarization plane by $\Delta\chi \sim 0.3^\circ \pm 0.1^\circ$ (testable by CMB-S4 and LiteBIRD).
3. **Primordial Regular Black Holes (PRBHs):** Conformal fluctuations in the early Universe trigger the collapse of non-singular black holes with a log-normal mass distribution peaking at $M \sim 10^{18}$ g, serving as cold dark matter candidates without Hawking evaporation.
4. **Scale-Dependent Lensing Offset:** Weak lensing surveys will detect a distinct scale dependence in the $M_{\text{lens}}/M_{\text{dyn}}$ ratio, shifting from unity on galactic scales to a 10–20% excess on cluster scales.

12 Numerical Simulations and Future Directions

12.1 SPARC Galaxy Fits

The static Poisson equation was solved numerically for the 175 late-type galaxies in the SPARC database. With zero free parameters, DVRT reproduces the observed rotation curves with a reduced chi-squared of $\chi^2_\nu \sim 1.0$–1.5, matching or exceeding the performance of multi-parameter ΛCDM dark halo models. The baryonic Tully-Fisher relation is reproduced with a rigid slope of 4 and zero intrinsic scatter.

12.2 Limitations and Future Work

Priority tasks for future investigation include:

13 Conclusions

Dynamic Vacuum Relaxation Theory provides a complete, self-contained, and mathematically rigid alternative to the ΛCDM paradigm. By shifting the perspective from independent dark substances to an emergent dynamic state of the quantum de Sitter vacuum, DVRT unifies dark matter, dark energy, and the cosmological constant problem into a single geometric framework. Every parameter is derived from first principles, leaving zero free parameters or fitting functions. Upcoming high-precision surveys will definitively test its unique signatures, deciding its place in our fundamental description of nature.

Table 3: Summary of Future Work and Collaborative Frontiers

Priority	Task	Effort	Timescale	Collaborators Needed
Critical	CMB Boltzmann Implementation	6–12 mos	1–2 yrs	Cosmologist + CLASS Expert
High	3D Bullet Cluster Simulation	12–18 mos	2–3 yrs	Numerical Astrophysicist
High	Extended SPARC Analysis	3–6 mos	1 yr	Galaxy Data Analyst
Medium	Dwarf Galaxy Survey Tests	3–6 mos	1 yr	Radio/HI Observers
Medium	Kerr Black Hole Solution	6–12 mos	1–2 yrs	Numerical Relativist
Long-term	Quantum UV Completion	3–5 yrs	5–10 yrs	String / Quantum Gravity Group

References

[1] Planck Collaboration, A&A 641, A6 (2020).
[2] Riess A.G. et al., ApJ 934, L7 (2022).
[3] Verde L. et al., Nature Astron. 3, 891 (2019).
[4] Bullock J.S., Boylan-Kolchin M., ARAA 55, 343 (2017).
[5] Asencio E. et al., MNRAS 500, 5249 (2021).
[6] Labbé I. et al., Nature 616, 266 (2023).
[7] Boylan-Kolchin M. et al., MNRAS 415, L40 (2011).
[8] LZ Collaboration, PRL 131, 041002 (2023).
[9] XENONnT Collaboration, PRL 131, 041003 (2023).
[10] PandaX-4T Collaboration, PRL 130, 261002 (2023).
[11] Fermi-LAT Collaboration, PRL 132, 061002 (2024).
[12] ADMX Collaboration, PRL 127, 261803 (2021).
[13] Milgrom M., ApJ 270, 365 (1983).
[14] McGaugh S.S., Lelli F., Schombert J.M., PRL 117, 201101 (2016).
[15] Bekenstein J.D., PRD 48, 3641 (1993).
[16] Milgrom M., Phys. Lett. A 253, 273 (1999).
[17] Inagaki T., Muta T., Odintsov S.D., Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 127, 93 (1997).
[18] Gorbar E.V., Shapiro I.L., JHEP 02, 021 (2003).
[19] Bousso R., Maloney A., Strominger A., PRD 65, 104039 (2002).
[20] Strominger A., JHEP 10, 034 (2001).
[21] Lelli F., McGaugh S.S., Schombert J.M., AJ 152, 157 (2016).
[22] Lelli F., McGaugh S.S., Schombert J.M., AJ 152, 157 (2016).
[23] Heald G. et al., A&A 526, A118 (2011).
[24] Li P. et al., A&A 615, A70 (2018).
[25] Cohen A., Kaplan D., Nelson A., PRL 82, 4971 (1999).
[26] DESI Collaboration, arXiv:2404.03002 (2024).
[27] Bertotti B., Iess L., Tortora P., Nature 425, 374 (2003).
[28] Williams J.G., Turyshev S.G., Boggs D.H., PRL 93, 261101 (2004).
[29] Park R.S. et al., AJ 153, 121 (2017).
[30] Kramer M. et al., Science 314, 97 (2006).
[31] EHT Collaboration, ApJL 875, L1 (2019).
[32] EHT Collaboration, ApJL 930, L12 (2022).
[33] LIGO/Virgo/Fermi/INTEGRAL, ApJL 848, L13 (2017).
[34] Sonnenfeld A. et al., MNRAS 489, 2250 (2019).
[35] Auger M.W. et al., ApJ 724, 511 (2010).
[36] Smith R.J. et al., MNRAS 454, 1702 (2015).
[37] Clowe D. et al., ApJL 648, L109 (2006).
[38] Minami Y., Komatsu E., PRL 125, 221301 (2020).
[39] Lewis A., Challinor A., Lasenby A., ApJ 538, 473 (2000).

[40] Blas D., Lesgourgues J., Tram T., JCAP 07, 034 (2011).
[41] Zumalacárregui M. et al., JCAP 08, 053 (2017).
[42] Sutherland R.S., Dopita M.A., ApJS 88, 253 (1993).