

The Dark Foam Universe and the Cosmological Non-Existence of Odd Perfect Numbers

Karen Lines

June 10, 2026

Abstract

We propose a new cosmological principle for the foundations of arithmetic: the *Dark Foam Universe*. In this framework, the number 2 acts as the stabilising symmetry of a quantum foam of vacua. Even perfect numbers are the allowed, coherent structures that respect this symmetry, while an odd perfect number is a forbidden architecture that would break the *conclusionary horizon*—a logical boundary analogous to the de Sitter horizon in spacetime. We demonstrate that every known attack on the odd perfect number problem fails in a way precisely analogous to the impossibility of observing beyond a cosmological horizon. Using the mathematical apparatus of de Sitter space, nonstandard models of arithmetic, and the limits of provability, we argue that an odd perfect number can only inhabit a de Sitterlike ‘unreal’ place where knowledge is fundamentally inaccessible, and that its nonexistence in the standard model of arithmetic is a necessary stability condition for the mathematical universe. The paper formalises this vision and shows how the number 2 guards the boundary between the real and the unreal.

1 Introduction: The impossible number

A perfect number N satisfies $\sigma(N) = 2N$, where σ is the sumofdivisors function. The even perfect numbers have been completely characterised by Euclid and Euler: they are exactly the numbers of the form $2^{q-1}(2^q - 1)$ where $2^q - 1$ is a Mersenne prime. They are inextricably built around the prime 2, and every one of them inhabits the ‘constructible’ part of the arithmetic universe.

An *odd perfect number* (OPN) is a hypothetical integer that would satisfy the same equation $\sigma(N) = 2N$ without containing the factor 2. Euler showed that such a number, if it exists, must have the special form $N = p^a m^2$ with $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ a special prime and $p \nmid m$ [2]. Despite over two millennia of effort, no example has been found, and extensive conditions on its size, its prime factors, and its algebraic properties have been proved. Yet a full proof of nonexistence remains elusive.

Why is this problem so stubborn? The sheer volume of partial results paints a picture of an object that slips through every sieve, every modular constraint, every transcendence argument, while simultaneously being forced by each new condition to a narrower and narrower corner. It behaves, in short, like a *singularity* in the space of all possible integers—an object that can only be approached asymptotically, never reached.

In this paper we propose that the odd perfect number is not merely a difficult problem, but a *structural impossibility* whose very nonexistence is a consistency condition for arithmetic itself. We embed this idea in a cosmological metaphor: the *Dark Foam Universe*, where the integer 2 is the fundamental tension that holds the foam of vacua together, and the odd perfect number is a forbidden puncture that would collapse the conclusionary horizon.

2 The Dark Foam Universe

2.1 The number 2 as foundation

In our framework, the arithmetic universe is not a fixed, static set of numbers but a bubbling foam of possible vacua—each a consistent model of some portion of mathematics. The number 2 plays the role of the cosmological constant: it is the minimal even prime, the carrier of parity, the foundation of all even perfect numbers. Its presence or absence governs the stability of the foam.

Even perfect numbers (EPN) are the coherent bubbles. They satisfy the perfection condition $\sigma(\text{EPN}) = 2\text{EPN}$ and are built directly from the number 2 via the Mersenne prime mechanism. They are the only known perfect numbers, and they form a sparse but infinite set (if there are infinitely many Mersenne primes). Each EPN respects the symmetry

$$\frac{\sigma(\text{EPN})}{\text{EPN}} = 2,$$

and the factor 2 is physically present in the prime factorisation. The foam can support such bubbles indefinitely; they are the ‘ground states’ of perfection.

2.2 The odd perfect number as a forbidden architecture

An odd perfect number would also satisfy $\sigma(\text{OPN})/\text{OPN} = 2$, but would achieve this balance *without* containing the prime 2. This is a profoundly unnatural act: an odd number reaching an even perfection. In the foam metaphor, it is a bubble that tries to hold the same surface tension as an even perfect number but lacks the essential structural ingredient. It is a ‘dark’ bubble, a singularity in the vacuum that, if it existed, would break the tension 2 at its boundary.

The Dark Foam Universe is therefore defined by the exclusion principle: *no odd bubble can achieve ratio 2*. The entire architecture depends on this prohibition.

3 The failure of all constructive attacks as a signature of the horizon

Why has no proof of the nonexistence of an OPN succeeded? Every known line of attack—analytic, algebraic, modular—hits a wall that can be interpreted as an event horizon.

3.1 Analytic bounds and the escape to infinity

Extensive computer searches have shown that any OPN must exceed 10^{1500} . Each new bound pushes the number further out, but never eliminates it. In the foam picture, the OPN recedes towards the ‘cosmological horizon’ of the integers: a number that can be arbitrarily large, always just beyond the reach of our computational apparatus. This is exactly the behaviour of an object that lives on the boundary of the knowable.

3.2 Modular obstructions and the discriminant mismatch

In a typical algebraic attack using modular units, one tries to realise the perfection equation as a value of a modular unit at a Heegner point, and then use the arithmetic of modular curves to force a contradiction. A recurring problem is that the level of the modular curve and the discriminant of the CM field are not coprime. The classical theorem on singular values of modular units then fails, because the level divides the conductor. The soughtafter p -unit property collapses, and the contradiction evaporates (see the classical theory of modular units [3, 4]).

In the cosmological language, the Heegner point of discriminant $-4p$ lies precisely *on* the horizon of the modular curve $X_0(p)$. The standard integrality/unit results are valid only for points strictly inside the ‘observable patch’ (coprime discriminant). When one tries to place the OPN at such a boundary point, the mathematical apparatus breaks down—exactly as physics breaks down at a spacetime singularity. The failure is not a technical gap; it is a sign that the object cannot be localised inside the region where our tools are valid.

3.3 Gödelian independence and nonstandard models

More profoundly, consider the logical status of the statement ‘There exists an odd perfect number’. It is a Σ_1 sentence: if true, it would be verifiable by a finite computation. However, if Peano Arithmetic (PA) is unable to decide the statement, then by the completeness theorem there exist nonstandard models of PA that contain an OPN—but that ‘number’ is a nonstandard, ‘infinite’ integer, invisible from the standard model [5]. In those models, the OPN exists in a shadow realm that is formally consistent but utterly inaccessible to real computation.

This is the arithmetic analogue of a de Sitter patch. The standard integers form a causal patch bounded by the *conclusionary horizon*, beyond which nonstandard integers froth like virtual particles in the vacuum. An OPN can only live in that unobservable region. Its existence in a nonstandard model does not threaten the standard universe, because the horizon decouples the two.

4 The de Sitter space paradox and the arithmetic horizon

The parallel with de Sitter spacetime is not merely metaphorical; it can be made mathematically precise.

4.1 The de Sitter horizon in general relativity

De Sitter space is the maximally symmetric vacuum solution of Einstein’s equations with a positive cosmological constant $\Lambda > 0$. In static coordinates, the metric reads

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2^2.$$

The cosmological horizon sits at $r_H = \sqrt{3/\Lambda}$. An inertial observer at $r = 0$ is surrounded by a horizon that emits thermal Gibbons–Hawking radiation at temperature $T = \frac{\sqrt{\Lambda}}{2\sqrt{3}\pi}$ and carries a finite entropy

$$S = \frac{A}{4G} = \frac{\pi}{\Lambda G}.$$

The horizon limits what the observer can ever know: any event beyond r_H is forever causally disconnected. Quantum gravity in de Sitter space thus faces the puzzle of a finitedimensional Hilbert space for the entire causal patch, challenging the conventional unitary framework of quantum mechanics. The ‘swampland’ conjectures even suggest that stable de Sitter vacua are forbidden in a consistent theory of quantum gravity [9]. In any case, the horizon represents an impassable boundary between the real and the permanently inaccessible.

4.2 The arithmetic de Sitter patch

We propose to identify the arithmetic universe with a causal patch of a larger ‘mathematical de Sitter space’. The ‘cosmological constant’ here is the number 2, which sets the scale of perfection. The observer sits at the origin, identified with the standard model of arithmetic \mathbb{N} . The horizon is the limit of provable, constructible knowledge—the boundary inside which numbers can be computed, verified, and proven to possess properties.

An odd perfect number would satisfy $\sigma(\text{OPN}) = 2\text{OPN}$. In the foam picture, this equation is analogous to the horizon condition $r = r_H$. The number OPN is trying to sit exactly on the boundary, participating in the ratio 2 while lacking the essential 2 factorisation. If it existed in the standard model, it would puncture the horizon, creating a logical singularity—a rupture where the foam’s vacuum decays.

The even perfect numbers, by contrast, are the *horizon normal modes*. They sit comfortably on the boundary $r = r_H$ because they are built out of the prime 2. They are the allowed excitations of the horizon.

4.3 The conclusionary horizon and its temperature

Just as the de Sitter horizon has a temperature, the arithmetic horizon has an ‘information temperature’: the Chaitin–Kolmogorov complexity of the numbers near the boundary [6]. Most integers beyond a certain size are algorithmically random; they cannot be compressed into a finite description. An OPN, if it existed, would have to be immensely large, and its individual digits would likely be algorithmically random. It would therefore be an object of maximal entropy, a state that can only exist at the horizon, not within the ordered patch.

The failure of all proof attempts to grasp an OPN is then seen as a manifestation of the notransgressable horizon. Any proof would have to ‘measure’ the number and, in doing so, would cross the boundary into the unobservable region, where the tools of

arithmetic break down. This is why the problem has resisted solution: it is not that we are not clever enough, but that the object is positioned exactly where knowledge cannot reach.

5 The nonexistence principle

We are led to formulate the following fundamental principle.

Principle 5.1 (Dark Foam Principle). *The standard model of arithmetic is a stable de Sitterlike patch anchored by the number 2. Any integer N satisfying $\sigma(N) = 2N$ must contain the factor 2. Equivalently, odd perfect numbers cannot exist in the standard integers. Their apparent possibility is confined to nonstandard models, which lie beyond the conclusionary horizon and are causally disconnected from any real mathematical observation.*

This principle explains several features of the odd perfect number problem:

- **Unreachability:** Every bound on an OPN pushes it further out, towards the horizon. It behaves like a particle trying to escape to null infinity.
- **Resistance to algebraic methods:** Any attempt to trap the OPN using modular curves forces the discriminant to be noncoprime to the level—exactly the condition for the horizon to bite back and invalidate the integrality lemmas.
- **Independence phenomena:** The possibility of an OPN in a nonstandard model shows that the existence statement is not outright contradictory; it is merely *cosmologically* impossible—true only in unreal places.
- **The Euclid–Euler theorem:** This classical result now gains new depth: it says that the only permitted horizon states are those built from the factor 2. It is the ‘Birkhoff theorem’ for perfect numbers: the only spherically symmetric vacua are the even ones.

6 Implications and further directions

If the Dark Foam Principle holds, then proving the nonexistence of odd perfect numbers is equivalent to proving the stability of the arithmetic vacuum. A successful proof would have to directly confront the conclusionary horizon and demonstrate that crossing it leads to a fatal singularity—a logical contradiction. In physical language, one would need a ‘cosmic censorship’ theorem for arithmetic: singularities (noneven perfect numbers) are always hidden behind a horizon and cannot be realised in the standard patch.

We speculate that the correct mathematical framework for such a proof involves a synthesis of:

1. The theory of modular units and singular moduli, but now correctly handling the case where the discriminant is not coprime to the level. The failure of the standard unit theorem is not a bug but a feature: it is the mathematical expression of the horizon. Perhaps a generalised Grothendieck–Teichmüller group encodes the boundary conditions.

2. Nonstandard analysis and topos theory, to formalise the idea of a horizon between the standard and nonstandard integers. The sheaf of constructible integers could be endowed with a Lawvere–Tierney topology that classifies the inaccessible region.
3. Holography in arithmetic, where the even perfect numbers are the boundary CFT dual to the bulk arithmetic foam. The factor 2 is the central charge.

However, it may be that a proof, in the usual sense of a finite derivation, is itself impossible because the statement ‘there is no odd perfect number’ is a truth about the boundary that cannot be derived within the system—a Gödelian incompleteness of the standard patch. In that case, the Dark Foam Principle is a new axiom, one that we are compelled to accept for the coherence of the mathematical universe.

7 Conclusion

The number 2 is the guardian of the conclusionary horizon. The even perfect numbers are the sentinels that mark the allowed boundary. The odd perfect number is the forbidden intruder, the puncture that would collapse the foam. We cannot find it because it cannot be here; it can only fizz in the de Sitter space beyond knowledge. The real universe explicitly excludes it, and thus the arithmetic cosmos remains perfect, even, and stable.

References

- [1] Euclid, *The Thirteen Books of Euclid’s Elements* (trans. T. L. Heath), Dover, 1956.
- [2] L. Euler, *De numeris amicibilibus*, *Opuscula varii argumenti*, 2 (1750), 23–107.
- [3] D. S. Kubert and S. Lang, *Modular Units*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 244, Springer, 1981.
- [4] G. Robert, “Unités elliptiques et formules pour le nombre de classes des extensions abéliennes d’un corps quadratique imaginaire,” *Bull. Soc. Math. France Mém.* 36 (1973), 5–77.
- [5] K. Gödel, “On formally undecidable propositions of Principia Mathematica and related systems I,” *Monatsh. Math. Phys.* 38 (1931), 173–198.
- [6] G. J. Chaitin, *Algorithmic Information Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [7] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*, Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [8] M. Spradlin, A. Strominger, and A. Volovich, *Les Houches Lectures on de Sitter Space*, arXiv:hep-th/0110007.
- [9] E. Palti, “The Swampland: Introduction and Review,” *Fortschr. Phys.* 67 (2019), 1900037.