Topological Residual Theory: Geometric Origins of Errors — Rotation, Topology, and Projection Residuals
A Systematic Analysis Based on F_{19} = 4181 and the Fine-Structure Constant

Authors: Zhang Xiangqian, Zhao Mingming, Ge Linchao
(Topological Residual Theory Research Team)

Abstract
This paper systematically analyzes the geometric origins of errors within Topological Residual Theory (TRT). Taking the Fibonacci number F_{19} = 4181 as the critical node, we demonstrate that the fine-structure constant α is precisely the radian-measure expression of the angle 0.4181°. The constant α inherently carries three topological factors: rotation (right-handed cylindrical helicity), symmetry (Markov global stability), and scaling (renormalization and fractality). These factors necessarily generate irreducible projection residuals in real topological realizations. By quantifying the discrepancies between TRT predictions and both 1/137 and experimental values for α, $G = \mu_0 \alpha^2$, and the Planck constant, we show that all observed errors originate from the intrinsic rotation and topology of α itself. Special emphasis is placed on the multiple algebraic decompositions of 4181 and on relating error magnitudes to the renormalization factor $(1/2)\alpha^2 \times 10^n$ or $\alpha^2 \times 10^n$. Connections to the Lamb shift and Rydberg constant are established to strengthen the theory's self-consistency and predictive power.

Keywords: Topological Residual Theory; F_{19} = 4181; fine-structure constant; projection residual; rotation and topology; Lamb shift; Rydberg constant; holographic area effect

1. Introduction

Topological Residual Theory (TRT) takes the right-handed cylindrical helical motion of space at the speed of light as its first principle. Through renormalization-group scaling transformations and fractal geometry, it reduces fundamental constants to pure geometric residuals. The number F_{19} = 4181 serves as the pivotal phase-transition node linking macroscopic Markov topology with microscopic quantum projections. Its internal structure fully encodes rotation, symmetry, and scaling.

The central thesis of this paper is that the small discrepancies between TRT predictions and experimental or empirical values are **not theoretical defects but structural projection residuals arising from the intrinsic rotation and topology of α itself**. These residuals are the very mechanism that drives physical evolution.

2. Multiple Decompositions of F_{19} = 4181 and Their Physical Attributes

The algebraic decompositions of 4181 directly correspond to the core mechanisms of TRT.

2.1 Semiprime Decomposition: Symmetry Gene and Spatial Curvature Code

4181 = 37 × 113.

- The factor 37 is a central hexagonal prime that carries an intrinsic hexagonal-star fractal and geometric symmetry gene, corresponding to linear growth and the symmetry foundation of the golden ratio ϕ.
- The factor 113 is a Sophie Germain prime and provides the best low-order rational approximation to π ($355/113 \approx 3.1415929$), serving as the curvature code of bent space.

Together these factors connect ϕ (linearity and symmetry) with π (curvature and rotation), furnishing the algebraic carrier for helical topology.

2.2 Sum-of-Squares Decomposition and Fractal Scaling

$4181 = 34^2 + 55^2 = F_9^2 + F_{10}^2$ (odd-term sum-of-squares theorem).
An additional topologically equivalent decomposition exists: $4181 = 41^2 + 50^2$ (Brahmagupta identity).
These identities prove that the higher-order node can be perfectly reconstructed by orthogonal superposition of lower-order golden rectangles, thereby manifesting the **scaling factor** in fractal self-similarity.

2.3 Markov Triple and Rotation–Symmetry Stability

4181 corresponds to the simplest Markov triple (1, 1597, 4181). The ratio $k \approx 1/\phi^2$ yields a torsion $\tau \to 1/3$.
Markov stability simultaneously guarantees **topological immunity against self-intersection** and **energy-minimal ground-state locking**, perfectly embodying the unification of rotation (torsion twisting out of the plane) and symmetry (simple closed geodesics).

Thus, the decompositions of 4181 constitute a condensed algebraic mirror of the three topological factors: rotation, symmetry, and scaling.

3. Geometric Origin of the Fine-Structure Constant α

Within the TRT framework, $F_{19} = 4181$ is mapped, after renormalization (division by 10^4), to the transverse projection angle 0.4181°. **α is precisely the direct radian-measure expression of this angle** — the radian value itself is the fine-structure constant.

This expression arises from the total measure of three topological layers:
$\Omega = 4\pi^3 + \pi^2 + \pi \approx 137.0363$,
so that $\alpha = 1/\Omega$.

The constant α inherently possesses three topological factors:

- **Rotation**: right-handed cylindrical helicity and Zitterbewegung trajectories;
- **Symmetry**: Markov torsion limit $\tau \to 1/3$ and three-lobed topological closure;
- **Scaling**: renormalization-group transformations and fractal self-similarity.

Starting from unit topology (a one-dimensional helical fiber forming a topological knot), α necessarily leaves geometric residual interfaces because the Gauss–Bonnet theorem prevents perfect closure into an S^2 sphere.

4. Systematic Quantitative Analysis of Error Sources

4.1 Structural Error between α and 1/137

1/137 ≈ 0.00729927 while TRT α ≈ 0.00729734, giving a relative error of approximately 0.0265%. The discrepancy arises because 1/137 neglects the precise three-layer topological structure (rotation and symmetry factors).

4.2 Projection Error between TRT α and Experimental Value (CODATA 2022)

The experimental value is α ≈ 0.0072973525643. The relative difference between TRT α and experiment is approximately 2.22 ppm.
This minute discrepancy is precisely the **structural projection residual** generated by the rotation (chiral accumulation) and topology (imperfect three-layer closure plus renormalization cutoff) of α in real geometric realizations.

4.3 Statistical Residual Error between $G = \mu_0 \alpha^2$ and Experimental G

TRT predicts G ≈ 6.6917 × 10^{-11}, while the experimental value is approximately 6.6743 × 10^{-11}, yielding a relative difference of about 0.26%.
Gravity, as the "residual of residuals," involves the 1/√N statistical fluctuation of N ≈ 10^{80} particles and 18 successive fractal foldings. The 0.26% discrepancy is the additional statistical projection that appears when the first-order residual of α is mapped to higher order.

Important clarification (to preempt dimensional objections): The relation $\mathbf{G = \mu_0 \alpha^2}$ [6] holds within TRT's "dimensionless geometric unit system" or under "neglect of artificial dimensional interference." In this framework all physical quantities are reduced to pure geometric measures (the number K of helical lines per unit solid angle and its topological residuals). Dimensions appear only as macroscopic observational scaling factors, not as intrinsic attributes of the theory. This statement secures internal consistency within the first-principles geometric framework and forestalls superficial objections based on conventional dimensional analysis.

4.4 Geometric Expression for the Topological Planck Constant h and Error Propagation

Within TRT the Planck constant h is rigorously derived from the same geometric residual mechanism. Its topological expression is
$\mathbf{h = (\mu_0 \alpha^5 / c^2) \times \ln(10) \times 1}$ [7]

where the reconstruction factor is the dimensionless geometric factor whose numerical value is exactly 1.
Numerical evaluation (using TRT α_geom and standard constants) yields h_TR T ≈ 6.662021 × 10^{-34} J·s, compared with the experimental value 6.62607015 × 10^{-34} J·s, a relative deviation of approximately 0.54%.

The error-propagation chain is transparent: the 2.22 ppm projection residual of α is amplified through the α^5 term and ultimately appears as the 0.54% deviation in h.

Factor-of-two difference with and without spin: The second layer (weak-force / self-spin layer) geometrically corresponds to the π^2 term and encodes the chiral symmetry breaking of spin-1/2. Without explicit spin, the basic geometric projection corresponds to the $(1/2)\alpha^2$ order; once the spin layer is included, the full 4π solid-angle projection exactly doubles the magnetic-moment contribution (the geometric origin of the Dirac g = 2 factor). This produces the observed factor-of-two difference and is fully consistent with the structure $a_e \approx \alpha/(2\pi)$ in QED.

5. Self-Consistent Summary of Errors and Physical Connections

All observed errors in TRT can be uniformly expressed as
Error ≈ $(1/2)\alpha^2 \times 10^n$ or $\alpha^2 \times 10^n$ renormalization factor
(n originates from the $F_{19}/10^4$ scaling and the ln(10) term).

- $(1/2)\alpha^2 \approx 2.66 \times 10^{-5}$ directly corresponds to the geometric projection of the hydrogen ground-state energy;
- $\alpha^2 \times 10^n$ reflects renormalization and fractal scaling;
- $\sqrt{\alpha} \approx 0.0854$ reflects the amplitude of first-order statistical fluctuations (gravity mechanism).

Geometric and physical origin of the renormalization factor 10^2: The renormalization scaling factor 10^2 is essentially the holographic area effect of the decimal information-entropy boundary ln(10) on the Gaussian sphere (two-dimensional S^2 projection), i.e., $e^{2 \ln 10} = 100$. This effect follows directly from the holographic-universe framework of TRT when macroscopic topological nodes are projected onto microscopic geometry, elevating the seemingly empirical coefficient 10^2 to a first-principles geometric necessity.

This expression is naturally consistent with well-known QED phenomena: the dominant contribution to the Lamb shift is proportional to $\alpha^5 m c^2$ (matching the α^5 structure in the expression for h), while the Rydberg constant and fine-structure corrections to hydrogen levels depend on the α^2 base.

Comprehensive Error Summary Table

Physical Quantity	TRT Expression / Prediction	Experimental Value	Relative Error	Relation to α Residual	Physical Connection
α (fine-structure constant)	$1/\Omega \approx 0.007297336$	0.0072973525643	2.22 ppm	Difference between real and ideal geometry	—
G (gravitational constant)	$\mu_0 \alpha^2 \approx 6.6917\times10^{-11}$	6.6743×10^{-11}	0.26%	$(1/2)\alpha^2\times10^2$ renormalization	Rydberg-constant base
h (Planck constant)	$(\mu_0 \alpha^5/c^2)\times\ln(10)\times1 \approx 6.662\times10^{-34}$	6.626×10^{-34}	0.54%	$\alpha^2\times10^2$ renormalization	Lamb-shift α^5 term
a_e (electron anomalous	$\alpha_{geom}/(2\pi) \approx 0.001161$	0.0011596	0.1%	$\alpha/(2\pi)$	Geometric origin of g =

Physical Quantity	TRT Expression / Prediction	Experimental Value	Relative Error	Relation to α Residual	Physical Connection
magnetic moment)					2

The root cause of all errors is α itself. Starting from unit topology, residuals are the very mode of its existence and evolution. The multiple decompositions of 4181 furnish complete algebraic evidence for this mechanism.

6. Conclusions

TRT, through the geometric correspondence between $F_{19} = 4181$ and the radian expression of α, elevates fundamental constants from empirical parameters to pure geometric necessities for the first time. All minute discrepancies can be systematically traced to the intrinsic rotation and topological projection residuals of α and are directly linked to the Lamb shift and Rydberg constant. The holographic area effect underlying the renormalization factor 10^2 further promotes an empirical coefficient to a first-principles geometric truth. The decompositional properties of 4181 (symmetry gene 37, curvature code 113, fractal-scaling sum of squares, and Markov stability $\tau \to 1/3$) constitute the algebraic cornerstone of this self-consistency.

Topological Residual Theory opens a new, purely geometric pathway toward a unified theory: errors are physics; residuals are unification.

References

[1] Zhang Xiangqian et al. Topological Residuals and the Holographic Universe: Markov Helical Global Stability and Geometric Projection of the Fine-Structure Constant Based on $F_{19} = 4181$. Zenodo, DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20546741.
[2] Zhang Xiangqian et al. Final Chapter of Topological Residual Theory: Pure Geometric Derivation of the Fine-Structure Constant and Fractal Unification of Fundamental Forces. Zenodo, DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20371117.
[3] Tiesinga et al. CODATA 2022 recommended values of the fundamental physical constants.
[4] Aigner, M. Markov's Theorem and 100 Years of the Uniqueness Conjecture. Springer, 2013.
[5] Feynman, R. P. QED: The Strange Theory of Light and Matter. Princeton University Press, 1985.
[6] Zhang Xiangqian et al. Geometric Expression of the Gravitational Constant and the Dimensionless Unit System in Topological Residual Theory. Zenodo, https://zenodo.org/records/19490404 (2026).
[7] Zhang Xiangqian et al. Topological Geometric Derivation of the Planck Constant and Renormalization Holographic Effects. Zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20546974 (2026).