

Primitive Idempotents and Central Splitting from $Cl(1,3)$ to $Cl(1,4)$

Ginanjar Utama (ginanjar.utama@gmail.com)

Abstract

This paper proves, by explicit Clifford-algebra computation, that the γ_0 -diagonal complete orthogonal primitive decomposition of real $Cl(1,3)$ has two sectors, while the extension to $Cl(1,4)$ supplies a second independent commuting basis-blade involution, γ_{1234} . Because $I_5 = \gamma_0\gamma_{1234}$, the generated involution group is $Z_2 \times Z_2$, not $(Z_2)^3$. The resulting decomposition contains four primitive idempotents, each generating a real 8-dimensional minimal left ideal. The count four is itself fixed by Wedderburn theory, since each simple summand has rank two; the explicit content of the result is the basis-blade realization of the family and the demonstration of why a naive three-involution count would predict eight. The central idempotents $(1 \pm I_5)/2$ realize the real semisimple splitting $Cl(1,4) \cong M_2(H) \oplus M_2(H)$, where H denotes the quaternions. They do not, by themselves, supply a complex imaginary unit; a complex spinor interpretation still requires choosing an internal quaternionic complex structure within each ideal. Physical identifications with chirality, charge, helicity, or $U(1)$ phase are therefore treated as conjectural.

Supporting results include: (i) a Pancharatnam-phase computation from projector triple products in $Cl(3,0)$, confirming the half-solid-angle rule $2|\varphi| = \Omega$ for geodesic spherical triangles; (ii) a bivector-square classification of geometric- i candidates, with the observation that the chiral split in real $Cl(1,3)$ is a complex-structure operation, not a real idempotent split; and (iii) a short positioning of the result relative to real $Cl(0,6)$ and complex Cl_6 Standard Model programs. The paper is intended as a lower-dimensional real-idempotent audit, not as a derivation of a Standard Model generation.

Keywords: Clifford algebra; geometric algebra; primitive idempotents; central splitting; minimal left ideals; $Cl(1,4)$; Pancharatnam phase.

1. Introduction

Geometric or Clifford algebra encodes metric structure, spinorial representations, and multivector geometry in a single algebraic language. In real geometric-algebra formulations of relativistic quantum theory, a recurring question is how complex structure enters: standard Dirac theory is usually written over C , while real Clifford algebras carry real, complex, or quaternionic matrix representations depending on signature.

This paper addresses a narrower question. Relative to the chosen γ_0 -diagonal primitive decomposition, how many independent commuting basis-blade involutions can refine the projector family in $Cl(1,3)$ versus $Cl(1,4)$? The answer is two sectors in $Cl(1,3)$ and four sectors in $Cl(1,4)$. The four-sector result is constrained by the identity $I_5 = \gamma_0\gamma_{1234}$, which makes the involution group a Klein four-group rather than a three-generator elementary abelian group.

The adjunction of a spacelike generator γ_4 to $Cl(1,3)$ is also the first step of a familiar conformal ladder (Dirac, 1935, 1936). The present paper uses that ladder only as orientation; the conformal extension itself is left for future work.

| Algebra | Dimension | Spin group | Physical role |
|---------|-----------|------------|---------------|
|---------|-----------|------------|---------------|

| | | | |
|---------|----|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Cl(1,3) | 16 | Spin(1,3) \cong SL(2,C) | Lorentz spacetime algebra |
| Cl(1,4) | 32 | Spin(1,4) | de Sitter extension; present paper |
| Cl(2,4) | 64 | Spin(2,4) \cong SU(2,2) | conformal algebra; twistors |

The paper is deliberately more modest than Standard Model Clifford-algebra programs based on Cl(0,6), complex Cl₆, octonions, or Spin(10). Its purpose is to isolate a lower-dimensional real splitting mechanism and to make clear which claims are algebraic and which require further representation-theoretic input. The sector counts themselves are not in doubt: the Wedderburn structure Cl(1,3) \cong M₂(H) and Cl(1,4) \cong M₂(H) \oplus M₂(H) already fixes the size of any complete orthogonal primitive family at two and four respectively. What the explicit computation contributes is the concrete basis-blade realization of those families, the identification of the dependence I₅ = $\gamma_0\gamma_{1234}$ as the reason a naive three-involution count collapses from eight to four, and the alignment of the two surviving binary labels with the central splitting.

All computational checks use the Python package `kingdon` (Roelfs, 2025). The verification script appears in Appendix B.

2. Preliminaries

Let Cl(p,q) be the real Clifford algebra with $\gamma_\mu^2 = +1$ for $\mu < p$ and $\gamma_\mu^2 = -1$ for $\mu \geq p$, with $\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu + \gamma_\nu\gamma_\mu = 0$ for $\mu \neq \nu$. Let $n = p + q$. The pseudoscalar

$$I_n = \gamma_0\gamma_1\dots\gamma_{n-1}$$

has square

$$I_n^2 = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2}(-1)^q.$$

The real Clifford isomorphism class is governed by $p - q$ modulo 8 together with the total dimension (Chevalley, 1954; Lounesto, 2001; Porteous, 1995). In particular, under the signature convention used here,

$$\text{Cl}(1,3) \cong M_2(\mathbb{H}), \quad \text{Cl}(1,4) \cong M_2(\mathbb{H}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{H}),$$

where \mathbb{H} denotes the quaternions and \oplus denotes a direct sum of simple algebra components.

In $M_n(D)$, for $D = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C},$ or \mathbb{H} , primitive idempotents are rank-one D -projectors. Hence, by the Wedderburn–Artin structure of the algebra (Lounesto, 2001; Porteous, 1995), any complete orthogonal primitive family $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$, with $P_i P_j = \delta_{ij} P_i$ and $\sum_i P_i = 1$, has exactly n members. Combinatorially, k independent commuting involutions $I_j^2 = +1$, $[I_i, I_j] = 0$, generating $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^k$, produce a 2^k -member family

$$P(s_1, \dots, s_k) = 2^{-k} \prod_j (1 + s_j I_j).$$

If the involutions satisfy algebraic relations, some sign choices become inconsistent and vanish, so the number of distinct nonzero projectors is reduced. This is the mechanism behind the four-sector result in Cl(1,4).

3. Cl(1,3): two-sector decomposition

In Cl(1,3), with $\gamma_0^2 = +1$ and $\gamma_i^2 = -1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, the pseudoscalar $I_4 = \gamma_0\gamma_{123}$ satisfies $I_4^2 = -1$. To refine $(1 + \gamma_0)/2$ by the same left-idempotent method, one needs a second element X satisfying $X^2 = +1$ and $[X, \gamma_0] = 0$.

Among basis blades, the timelike bivectors $\gamma_0 i$ square to $+1$ but anticommute with γ_0 . The spatial bivectors γ_{ij} commute with γ_0 but square to -1 . The pseudoscalar I_4 both anticommutes with γ_0 and squares to -1 . Thus no nontrivial basis blade simultaneously supplies the required square and

commutation properties. This statement concerns the γ_0 -diagonal basis-blade refinement; it is not a claim that $\text{Cl}(1,3)$ contains no other involutions in other decompositions.

The resulting complete family is

$$P_+ = (1 + \gamma_0)/2, \quad P_- = (1 - \gamma_0)/2.$$

These idempotents are orthogonal, sum to unity, and generate minimal left ideals of real dimension 8, consistent with $\text{Cl}(1,3) \cong M_2(\mathbb{H})$. The naive candidate $(1 + \gamma_{12})/2$ fails because $\gamma_{12}^2 = -1$, so it is not idempotent.

4. $\text{Cl}(1,4)$: four-sector decomposition

4.1 Dependence relation

In $\text{Cl}(1,4)$, with $\gamma_0^2 = +1$ and $\gamma_i^2 = -1$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$, the pseudoscalar

$$I_5 = \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4$$

is central and satisfies $I_5^2 = +1$. The basis blade γ_{1234} also satisfies $\gamma_{1234}^2 = +1$ and commutes with γ_0 , since moving γ_0 past the four distinct anticommuting generators in γ_{1234} produces $(-1)^4 = +1$. However, the three natural involutions γ_0 , γ_{1234} , and I_5 are not independent:

$$I_5 = \gamma_0 \gamma_{1234}.$$

Therefore $\{1, \gamma_0, \gamma_{1234}, I_5\}$ forms a Klein four-group $Z_2 \times Z_2$. Any two of the three nontrivial elements determine the third.

Scope of the involution search. Among basis blades of $\text{Cl}(1,4)$, the only nontrivial elements squaring to +1 and commuting with both γ_0 and γ_{1234} are γ_0 , γ_{1234} , and I_5 . More general non-blade involutions exist inside the generated commutative subalgebra: for example, $X = 2P - 1$ for any projector P in the family squares to +1 and commutes with all generators. Such elements are sign functions of the existing decomposition and do not refine the four primitive sectors.

4.2 Main result

Theorem. Let $\text{Cl}(1,4)$ be generated by $\gamma_0^2 = +1$ and $\gamma_1^2 = \dots = \gamma_4^2 = -1$, with pairwise anticommutation. Let $I_5 = \gamma_0 \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4$. Then:

- $I_5 = \gamma_0 \gamma_{1234}$, so γ_0 and γ_{1234} generate $Z_2 \times Z_2$.
- The four elements $P(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = (1 + \sigma_1 \gamma_0 + \sigma_2 \gamma_{1234} + \sigma_1 \sigma_2 I_5)/4$, with $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \{+1, -1\}$, are idempotent, pairwise orthogonal, and sum to unity.
- Each idempotent generates a real 8-dimensional minimal left ideal and is therefore primitive.
- The result is consistent with $\text{Cl}(1,4) \cong M_2(\mathbb{H}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{H})$: each simple summand contributes two primitive sectors.

Proof sketch. The identity follows immediately from $I_5 = \gamma_0(\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4)$. Expanding the formal eight-candidate family

$$(1/8)(1 + s_1 \gamma_0)(1 + s_2 \gamma_{1234})(1 + s_3 I_5)$$

using $I_5 = \gamma_0 \gamma_{1234}$ gives

$$P(s_1, s_2, s_3) = (1/8)[(1 + s_1 s_2 s_3) + (s_1 + s_2 s_3) \gamma_0 + (s_2 + s_1 s_3) \gamma_{1234} + (s_1 s_2 + s_3) I_5].$$

All coefficients vanish when $s_3 = -s_1 s_2$. Setting $s_3 = s_1 s_2$ gives the four nonzero projectors listed above. Computational verification confirms idempotency, orthogonality, completeness, and ideal dimension. Since $\text{Cl}(1,4) \cong M_2(\mathbb{H}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{H})$, a minimal left ideal in each simple summand has real dimension 2

$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(H) = 8$. The verified 8-dimensional ideals are therefore minimal, so the corresponding idempotents are primitive.

Central decomposition. Each primitive sector lies in a single simple summand, and the partition is read off directly from the central idempotent. Multiplying $P(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ by $(1 + I_5)/2$ returns $P(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ when $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 = +1$ and 0 when $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 = -1$, because I_5 acts on the sector as the scalar $\sigma_1 \sigma_2$; the complementary idempotent $(1 - I_5)/2$ reverses the two cases. Sectors I and IV ($\sigma_1 \sigma_2 = +1$) therefore lie in the $I_5 = +1$ copy of $M_2(H)$, and Sectors II and III ($\sigma_1 \sigma_2 = -1$) in the $I_5 = -1$ copy. Each simple summand carries exactly two of the four primitive sectors, which is the four-sector decomposition read through the semisimple splitting.

4.3 Sector table and interpretation

| Algebraic sector | Projector | $(\gamma_0, \gamma_{1234}, I_5)$ eigenvalues | Simple summand |
|------------------|--|---|-----------------|
| Sector I | $(1 + \gamma_0 + \gamma_{1234} + I_5)/4$ | (+, +, +) | $I_5 = +1$ copy |
| Sector II | $(1 + \gamma_0 - \gamma_{1234} - I_5)/4$ | (+, -, -) | $I_5 = -1$ copy |
| Sector III | $(1 - \gamma_0 + \gamma_{1234} - I_5)/4$ | (-, +, -) | $I_5 = -1$ copy |
| Sector IV | $(1 - \gamma_0 - \gamma_{1234} + I_5)/4$ | (-, -, +) | $I_5 = +1$ copy |

A natural but unproven reading is to associate the γ_0 eigenvalue with a particle/antiparticle-like distinction and the γ_{1234} eigenvalue with a chirality-like distinction, by analogy with the standard γ_5 projector. However, γ_{1234} is not physically identical to γ_5 unless an additional representation map is specified. The spin degree of freedom sits inside each H^2 ideal as the choice of a complex basis within the quaternionic module.

The central idempotents $(1 \pm I_5)/2$ split $Cl(1,4)$ into two real simple components. A complex spinor interpretation still requires choosing an internal quaternionic complex structure within each minimal ideal. This real semisimple splitting is the sense in which the paper uses the phrase central-idempotent splitting: it is a geometric substitute for one role of external complexification, but it is not complexification itself.

5. Relation to $Cl(0,6)$ and complex Cl_6 Standard Model programs

The present result should be distinguished from higher-dimensional Clifford-algebra Standard Model programs. Lu constructs a real $Cl(0,6)$ framework by factorizing γ_0 into three generators, so that one algebraic spinor has 64 real components, matching one Standard Model generation including a right-handed neutrino (Lu, 2024). Stoica instead uses a complex Clifford algebra $Cl_6 = Cl(\chi^\dagger + \chi)$ with a Witt decomposition to organize leptons, quarks, electric charge, color, and electroweak structure (Stoica, 2018). Furey-type approaches similarly exploit complex Clifford or octonionic-Witt machinery to obtain charge/color ideals (Furey, 2016).

By contrast, this paper does not attempt to derive a Standard Model generation. It isolates the lower-dimensional real-idempotent mechanism available between $Cl(1,3)$ and $Cl(1,4)$. In this sense, the result is a diagnostic step: it says exactly what real primitive sectors are present before one moves to $Cl(0,6)$, complex Cl_6 , $Cl(2,4)$, or $Spin(10)$ -type constructions.

This also clarifies a recurring source of confusion in the real-Clifford Standard Model literature, where two algebraically distinct operations are both called chirality projection. A left-idempotent projector $(1 \pm I)/2$ splits the algebra into minimal left ideals and requires $I^2 = +1$ to be idempotent. A double-sided operation $(\psi \pm I\psi)/2$, of the kind used in Lu-style chirality projections, instead grades a spinor by the sign of conjugation by I , and stays well-defined even when $I^2 = -1$. The obstruction $I_4^2 = -1$ therefore rules out $(1 \pm I_4)/2$ as a real left-idempotent split in $Cl(1,3)$, but it does not rule out the double-sided chirality projection, nor any chirality operation built from a square- (-1) element acting by conjugation. In $Cl(1,4)$, γ_{1234} supplies a genuine square- $(+1)$ involution and so supports the left-idempotent form directly.

6. Rotor and bilinear current

As a consistency check, take the composite rotor

$$R = \exp[-(\theta/2)\gamma_{12}] \exp[(\varphi/2)\gamma_{03}], \quad \theta = \pi/6, \quad \varphi = 0.3,$$

which satisfies $R\tilde{R} = 1$. With $P_{\text{ref}} = P(\text{Sector I})$ and $\Psi = ARP_{\text{ref}}$, define

$$j^0 = \langle \Psi \gamma_0 \tilde{\Psi} \rangle_0.$$

Then

$$j^0 = A^2 \langle P_{\text{ref}} \rangle_0 = A^2/4.$$

For $A = 2$, the computation gives $j^0 = 1$. The factor $1/4$ is nothing more than the scalar (grade-0) part of $P(\text{Sector I})$, which every primitive idempotent here carries because the family sums to unity over four sectors; it is the specific projector's scalar coefficient, not a universal trace factor. In $Cl(1,3)$, using $P_+ = (1 + \gamma_0)/2$ gives the analogous scalar factor $1/2$, the scalar part of a two-sector projector.

The full Doran-Lasenby-Gull decomposition of a Dirac spinor includes a Yvon-Takabayasi angle β (Doran, Lasenby, & Gull, 1993). The simplified density-first ansatz $\Psi = \sqrt{\rho} RP$ implicitly fixes $\beta = 0$. Exposing β is necessary for a serious treatment of particle/antiparticle mixing, but lies beyond the present idempotent computation.

7. Bivector classification and the chiral-projector issue

For simple bivectors B whose square is scalar, the sign of B^2 classifies the exponential: $B^2 = -1$ gives elliptic rotations, $B^2 = 0$ gives parabolic/nilpotent transformations, and $B^2 = +1$ gives hyperbolic boosts. In dimensions four and higher, nonsimple bivectors may have nonscalar squares, so a full spectral or outer-exponential treatment is needed for the general case.

A geometric imaginary unit requires square -1 . In $Cl(1,3)$, spatial bivectors such as γ_{12} and the pseudoscalar I_4 have this property. The Hestenes form of the Dirac equation uses a spatial bivector as a geometric i (Hestenes, 1966), so no fifth dimension is required for that role. The $Cl(1,4)$ extension provides a different object: γ_{1234} is an involution with square $+1$, useful for real idempotent splitting but not itself an imaginary unit.

This resolves the chiral-projector issue, complementing the projector/conjugation distinction drawn in Section 5. In real $Cl(1,3)$, the Weyl decomposition can be represented as a complex-structure operation using right multiplication by an element squaring to -1 . It is not a real idempotent split of the form $(1 \pm I_4)/2$, because $I_4^2 = -1$. Standard chiral projectors require an element squaring to $+1$, conventionally $\gamma_5 = iI_4$ in complexified Dirac theory. In $Cl(1,4)$, γ_{1234} plays an algebraic role analogous to γ_5 : it squares to $+1$ and supports real idempotents. The physical identification with chirality remains representation-dependent.

8. Supporting result: Pancharatnam phase in $Cl(3,0)$

The central result of this paper is an instance of a broader theme: real idempotent arithmetic can carry structure that is often assumed to require an external complex unit, with the central splitting $(1 \pm I_5)/2$ substituting for one role of complexification. The Pancharatnam computation below is a second, independent instance of the same theme. Projector products in a purely real Clifford algebra already encode geometric phase information without introducing an external complex i or passing to a higher-dimensional algebra: a phase that appears to need an imaginary unit is recovered here as the ratio of pseudoscalar to scalar grades of a real triple product. In $Cl(3,0)$, rank-one projectors $\rho_u = (1+u)/2$ for unit vectors u are the multivector form of pure spin-1/2 density operators. The triple product $\rho_u \rho_v \rho_w$ has scalar and pseudoscalar parts

$$\langle \rho_u \rho_v \rho_w \rangle_0 = (1 + u \cdot v + u \cdot w + v \cdot w) / 8,$$

$$\langle \rho_u \rho_v \rho_w \rangle_3 = [u \cdot (v \times w)] I_3 / 8.$$

The signed Pancharatnam phase is $\varphi = \text{atan2}(\text{pseudoscalar coefficient}, \text{scalar part})$ (Pancharatnam, 1956). For geodesic spherical triangles it satisfies the half-solid-angle rule

$$2|\varphi| = \Omega,$$

where Ω is the spherical area. Orientation matters: reversing the vertex order flips the sign of the pseudoscalar coefficient and hence the sign of φ , while $|\varphi|$ and Ω are unchanged. For the triangle $(\hat{z}, \hat{x}, \hat{y})$, the computation gives scalar = pseudoscalar = 1/8, $|\varphi| = \pi/4$, and $2|\varphi| = \pi/2$, matching Girard area at machine precision.

For non-geodesic paths, the Berry phase requires integrating the connection 1-form (Berry, 1987). For mixed states $|u| < 1$, ρ_u is no longer a rank-one idempotent; the pure-state Pancharatnam interpretation does not transfer automatically, although the density-operator product remains well-defined.

9. Conclusion

In the γ_0 -diagonal complete orthogonal primitive decomposition of $Cl(1,3) \cong M_2(H)$, the primitive family has two members. In $Cl(1,4) \cong M_2(H) \oplus M_2(H)$, the identity $I_5 = \gamma_0 \gamma_{1234}$ constrains the apparent three-involution set to a Klein four-group $Z_2 \times Z_2$, yielding a four-member primitive decomposition with real ideal dimension 8. The supporting computations on rotor normalization, bilinear current, bivector classification, and Pancharatnam phase help position this result within a broader geometric-algebra context without altering its core algebraic claim.

The central idempotents $(1 \pm I_5)/2$ realize the real semisimple splitting of $Cl(1,4)$. They are not a complex imaginary unit, and physical identifications of the four sectors with chirality, charge, helicity, particle/antiparticle labels, or $U(1)$ phase require additional representation theory. The main contribution of the paper is therefore a precise real-Clifford idempotent theorem and a computational audit of its consequences.

References

- Berry, M. V. (1987). The adiabatic phase and Pancharatnam's phase for polarized light. *Journal of Modern Optics*, 34(11), 1401-1407.
- Chevalley, C. (1954). *The Algebraic Theory of Spinors*. Columbia University Press.
- Dirac, P. A. M. (1935). The electron wave equation in de-Sitter space. *Annals of Mathematics*, 36(3), 657-669.
- Dirac, P. A. M. (1936). Wave equations in conformal space. *Annals of Mathematics*, 37(2), 429-442.
- Doran, C., Lasenby, A., & Gull, S. (1993). States and operators in the spacetime algebra. *Foundations of Physics*, 23(9), 1239-1264.
- Furey, C. (2016). Standard model physics from an algebra? arXiv:1611.09182.
- Hestenes, D. (1966). *Space-Time Algebra*. Gordon and Breach.
- Lounesto, P. (2001). *Clifford Algebras and Spinors* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Lu, W. (2024). Clifford algebra $Cl(0,6)$ approach to beyond the standard model and naturalness problems. *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics*.
<https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219887824500890>
- Pancharatnam, S. (1956). Generalized theory of interference. *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences A*, 44(5), 247-262.
- Porteous, I. R. (1995). *Clifford Algebras and the Classical Groups*. Cambridge University Press.

Roelfs, M. (2025). The Willing Kingdom Clifford Algebra Library. arXiv:2503.10451 [cs.MS].

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.10451>

Stoica, O. C. (2018). The Standard Model algebra: Leptons, quarks, and gauge from the complex Clifford algebra Cl_6 . arXiv:1702.04336.

Appendix A. Verification log

All residuals are below $1e-10$ unless otherwise stated.

- $Cl(1,3)$: $P_+^2 - P_+ = 0$; $P_+ + P_- = 1$; $P_+P_- = 0$; ideal dimension = 8.
- $Cl(1,4)$: $I_5 - \gamma_0\gamma_{1234} = 0$.
- Basis-blade involution search returns $\{1, \gamma_0, \gamma_{1234}, I_5\}$.
- Non-blade involutions such as $X = 2P - 1$ exist but do not refine the primitive sector count.
- Formal eight-candidate family: four nonzero projectors with $s_3 = s_1s_2$; four zero candidates with $s_3 \neq s_1s_2$.
- Four genuine projectors: $\sum P_i = 1$; $P_i^2 = P_i$; $P_iP_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$; ideal dimension = 8.
- Rotor test: $R\tilde{R} = 1$; for $A = 2$, $j^0 = 1$ and $j^0/A^2 = 1/4$, not $1/\text{ideal dimension} = 1/8$.
- Pancharatnam test for $(\hat{z}, \hat{x}, \hat{y})$: scalar = pseudoscalar = $1/8$; $|\varphi| = \pi/4$; $2|\varphi| = \pi/2$.

Appendix B. Verification code

Requires: pip install kingdom

```
from kingdom import Algebra
import numpy as np
import math

TOL = 1e-10

alg = Algebra(1, 4, start_index=0)
g0 = alg.blades["e0"]
g1234 = alg.blades["e1234"]
I5 = alg.blades["e01234"]

# Dependence relation
assert abs((I5 - g0 * g1234).normsq().e) < TOL, "I5 != g0*g1234"

# Four primitive idempotents
Ps = []
for s1 in (+1, -1):
    for s2 in (+1, -1):
        s3 = s1 * s2
        P = (1 + s1*g0) * (1 + s2*g1234) * (1 + s3*I5) / 8
        assert abs((P*P - P).normsq().e) < TOL, f"P({s1},{s2}) not idempotent"
        Ps.append(P)

total = Ps[0]
for P in Ps[1:]:
    total = total + P
assert abs((total - 1).normsq().e) < TOL, "sum(Ps) != 1"

for i in range(4):
    for j in range(i + 1, 4):
        assert abs((Ps[i] * Ps[j]).normsq().e) < TOL, "projectors not orthogonal"

# Ideal dimension for the first sector
vecs = [np.zeros(32) for _ in range(32)]
for k, (name, blade) in enumerate(alg.blades.items()):
    bp = blade * Ps[0]
    for idx, coeff in bp.items():
        vecs[k][idx] = float(coeff)
assert np.linalg.matrix_rank(np.array(vecs), tol=1e-8) == 8
```

```

# Basis-blade involution search backing Appendix A
def mag2(x): return sum(float(c)**2 for c in x.values())
hits = [nm for nm, b in alg.blades.items() if nm != "e"
        and abs((b*b).e - 1) < TOL
        and mag2(b*g0 - g0*b) < TOL and mag2(b*g1234 - g1234*b) < TOL]
assert set(hits) == {"e0", "e1234", "e01234"}, hits

# Central-summand membership (Section 4.2)
for (s1, s2) in [(1, 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1), (-1, -1)]:
    P = (1 + s1*g0) * (1 + s2*g1234) * (1 + s1*s2*I5) / 8
    Pc = (1 + (s1*s2)*I5) / 2
    assert abs((P*Pc - P).normsq().e) < TOL

# Non-blade involution check
X = 2 * Ps[0] - 1
assert abs((X*X - 1).normsq().e) < TOL, "X^2 != 1"
assert abs((X*g0 - g0*X).normsq().e) < TOL, "[X,g0] != 0"
assert abs((X*g1234 - g1234*X).normsq().e) < TOL, "[X,g1234] != 0"

# Rotor and current bilinear
g12 = alg.blades["e12"]
g03 = alg.blades["e03"]
theta = math.pi / 6
phi = 0.3
A = 2
R = (math.cos(theta/2) - math.sin(theta/2)*g12) * \
    (math.cosh(phi/2) + math.sinh(phi/2)*g03)
assert abs((R * ~R - 1).normsq().e) < TOL
Psi = A * R * Ps[0]
j0 = (Psi * g0 * ~Psi).grade(0).e
assert abs(j0 - 1.0) < 1e-6, f"j0 = {j0}"

# Pancharatnam phase in Cl(3,0)
pa = Algebra(3, 0)
e1 = pa.blades["e1"]
e2 = pa.blades["e2"]
e3 = pa.blades["e3"]
rho = lambda u: 0.5 * (1 + u[0]*e1 + u[1]*e2 + u[2]*e3)
T = rho([0, 0, 1]) * rho([1, 0, 0]) * rho([0, 1, 0])
sc = T.e
# I3 = e123 has I3**2 = -1; right-multiplying T by e1*e2*e3 (= I3)
# sends the grade-3 part to a scalar, and the leading minus undoes
# I3**2 = -1, so ps is the pseudoscalar coefficient of T
ps = -(T * e1 * e2 * e3).e
phase = math.atan2(ps, sc)
assert abs(2 * abs(phase) - math.pi/2) < TOL

print("All assertions passed.")

```