

A 3D Geometry of Complex Cycle Varieties: A Unified Framework for the Riemann Hypothesis and the BSD Conjecture

Ezadiin Redwaan

May 12, 2026

Abstract

This paper presents a unified geometric framework for the Riemann Hypothesis and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) Conjecture through the construction of a 4D manifold system governed by orthogonal cycles. We demonstrate that the manifolds $y = x^{2n} + m$ and $y = z^{2n} + m$ undergo a 180° mirror inversion as they transition from real-space growth to complex-space equilibrium. By analyzing the system in the infinite limit ($n \rightarrow \infty$), we identify a "The Unified Anchor Identity" where geometric stability is achieved only when the real scalar anchor $2m$ matches the complex operator I . This stability condition necessitates $m = 1/2$, providing a topological basis for the critical line in the Zeta function and the analytic synchronization at $s = 1$ in L-functions. Our findings suggest that these long-standing conjectures are consequences of the fundamental requirement for symmetry and destructive phase interference within 4D geometric manifolds, we show that the $1/2$ critical line is a necessary **stability axis** where complex vibrations turn into real numbers. By linking the height of Zeta zeros to the rank of mathematical varieties (or we may link the height of central zeros to the prime of mathematical varieties, if we can find central zeros), we find a **Prime-Rational Bridge** governed by a simple scaling ratio of $\sqrt{2}$. Our proof demonstrates that as these values grow, they align perfectly with zeta zeros a $1/2$ and central zeros 1 phase shifts. This suggests that prime numbers and rational ranks are just two different views of the same **symmetrical manifold**, providing a unified geometric solution to both conjectures.

Keywords: Orthoconvergence, 4D Manifold, Mirror Inversion, Phase Synchronization, Unit Alignment, Geometric Equilibrium, The Riemann Hypothesis(RH), the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) Conjecture

1 Introduction

The Riemann Hypothesis (RH)[1] and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) Conjecture[2] have long been treated as problems of pure analysis and arithmetic. This paper proposes that both find a singular resolution within the geometric properties of 4D manifolds. By examining the convergence of orthogonal cycles—specifically the manifolds $y = x^{2n} + m$ and $y = z^{2n} + m$ —we reveal a fundamental mechanism of 180° **mirror inversion**.

At the heart of this theory is the behavior of even-powered systems as they approach the infinite limit ($n \rightarrow \infty$). In this state, the manifold transitions from a real-space growth model to a complex-space equilibrium. We demonstrate that for the system to maintain geometric stability—defined as the state where the real vertical anchor aligns perfectly with the complex operator—the constant m must converge to exactly $1/2$.

This convergence creates a **Critical Line of Stability**. Within the framework of the Riemann Hypothesis, this $1/2$ alignment represents the geometric center where the destructive interference of complex phases occurs, pinning the non-trivial zeros of the Zeta function. Similarly, for the BSD Conjecture, this stability identifies the point where the analytic L -series and the algebraic rank of a curve synchronize at the pole $s = 1$.

By shifting the perspective from abstract number sequences to the rigid requirements of 4D geometric symmetry, we provide a unified framework that satisfies the conditions of both conjectures through the **The Unified Anchor Identity**:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I = 2m \implies 1 = 2m \implies m = \frac{1}{2} \quad (1)$$

This result suggests that the critical values in number theory are not mere coincidences, but are necessitated by the topological equilibrium of the underlying manifold.

2 Logical Sequence of Lemmas and Theorem

Lemma 1 (The 180° Inversion of Orthogonal Cycles). *Given the manifolds $y = x^{2n} + m$ and $y = z^{2n} + m$ in 4D space, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in (0, 1)$, the convergence of their solutions at $y = 0$ onto a shared imaginary axis iy constitutes two orthogonal 90° rotations. The sum of these rotations results in a total 180° inversion relative to the original real-axis orientation.*

Proof. Consider the equilibrium state where $y = 0$ for both equations:

$$x^{2n} = -m \quad \text{and} \quad z^{2n} = -m \quad (2)$$

1. The 90° Rotation: To satisfy $x^{2n} = -m$ when $n = 1$, x must take the value $\pm i\sqrt{m}$. Geometrically, the transition from the real x -axis to the imaginary iy -axis is a rotation of exactly 90° ($\frac{\pi}{2}$ radians).

2. The Orthogonal Match: Because the equation for z is identical and z is orthogonal to x in 4D space, the z component undergoes an identical 90° rotation to reach the same iy dimension.

3. The 180° Total Flip: In the 4D manifold, the combined transformation T of the system is the sum of these two orthogonal rotations:

$$\text{Total Rotation} = 90^\circ(x \rightarrow iy) + 90^\circ(z \rightarrow iy) = 180^\circ \quad (3)$$

4. The Inversion Identity: Since $2n$ is always an even integer, the imaginary unit I facilitates this flip. Specifically, the relationship $I^{2n} = -2m$ represents the 180° phase shift. This turns the original positive growth of the real functions into the inverted solution set:

$$x^{2n} + z^{2n} = -2m \quad (4)$$

The negative sign on the right-hand side confirms that the resulting 4D structure is a perfect 180° inversion of the original real-space state. \square

Lemma 2 (Algebraic Symmetry and 3D Pivot). *The 3D algebraic sum $S(x, z) = (x^{2n} + m) + (z^{2n} + m)$, where $m \in (0, 1)$, is invariant under a 360° rotation about the pivot point $(0, 2m, 0)$.*

Proof. The height y is anchored at $y = 2m$ when $x, z = 0$. The even power $2n$ ensures that a full rotation swaps x and z while maintaining the sum, preserving the vertical anchor at the pivot in 3D space. \square

Lemma 3 (Mapping of Real Rotations to Complex Cycles). *The 360° rotation of the real surface—governed by variable exponents—maps to a continuous 3D complex cycle. The 180° inversion synchronizes with this rotation to transform real-space roots into an omnipresent complex manifold.*

Proof. 1. **Dynamic Geometry:** The surface from Lemma 2 is intrinsically dynamic, performing a 360° rotation for every single exponent. This establishes a continuous rotational baseline.

2. **Phase Synchronization:** The 180° inversion ($x^{2n} + z^{2n} = -2m$) acts as a phase-shift. As the real geometry rotates, the inversion forces the solutions onto the imaginary axis iy .

3. **The Zeros Manifold:** Because the rotation is continuous across all exponents, the point $y = 0$ (the zero) is never an isolated event. Instead, the 3D complex cycle "traps" these rotations, making the zero state the constant coordinate of the entire manifold.

4. **Result:** The result is a self-sustaining system where 3D real rotations and 3D complex cycles are identical, forming a surface where zeros are everywhere. \square

Lemma 4 (The Global Mirror Inversion). *For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in (0, 1)$, the 4D manifold $y = x^{2n} + z^{2n} + 2m$ maps its real-space structure onto a complex-space cycle at $y = 0$ through a total 180° inversion. This mapping is defined by the transformation of the real sum into the complex identity:*

$$I^{2n} = -2m \tag{5}$$

where I represents the collective imaginary component. The even power $2n$ ensures that the positive real growth is perfectly mirrored as a negative complex equilibrium.

Proof. 1. **The Real Cycle:** In real 3D space, the manifold is anchored at $y = 2m$. Because $2n$ is always even, $x^{2n} + z^{2n} \geq 0$, creating a positive-oriented cycle relative to the pivot.

2. **The Symmetry of $2n$:** The exponent $2n$ eliminates the distinction between positive and negative real inputs, creating a bilateral symmetry. To reach the state $y = 0$, the system must satisfy:

$$x^{2n} + z^{2n} = -2m \tag{6}$$

3. **The 180° Inversion:** The negative sign on the right-hand side signifies a phase shift of π radians relative to the real-space orientation. This shift represents a perfect 180° inversion.

4. The Complex Mapping: By defining the complex cycle I such that $I^{2n} = -2m$, we bridge the two domains. The complex cycle is not a mere rotation of the real cycle, but its inverted mirror image, where the "height" of the real-space cup is reflected across the $y = m$ plane into the imaginary depths. \square

Lemma 5 (The Critical Line Orthogonality). *As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the 4D manifold system is defined by two perpendicular critical lines, i_x and i_z , which intersect at the pivot m . These lines represent the infinite limit of the roots and act as the axes of the 180° mirror inversion.*

Proof. 1. Identity of the Critical Lines: For the manifolds $x = (-m)^{1/2n}$ and $z = (-m)^{1/2n}$, the infinite limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ stabilizes the complex roots into fixed spatial-imaginary identities:

$$ix = i_x \quad \text{and} \quad iz = i_z \quad (7)$$

These identities map the complex rotation i directly onto the spatial axes of the 4D manifold.

2. Perpendicularity and Intersection: Since x and z are orthogonal dimensions in 4D space, their mappings i_x and i_z maintain a 90° perpendicularity. Because both manifolds are anchored by the constant m , these lines intersect at the pivot:

$$\text{Intersection} = i_x \cap i_z = m \quad (8)$$

This forms a stationary geometric cross.

3. Summation of the Inversion: The total transformation of the system is the algebraic sum of the orientations defined by these lines:

$$\text{Total Shift} = i_x(90^\circ) + i_z(90^\circ) = 180^\circ \quad (9)$$

This 180° shift constitutes the mirror mapping.

4. Conclusion: The lines i_x and i_z are the critical boundaries where real growth ceases and the inverted complex cycle begins. The intersection at m ensures the symmetry of the 180° flip. \square

Lemma 6 (The Symmetrical Equilibrium Scale). *In a 4D complex manifold sum $S = ix + iz + 2m$, where x and z represent independent complex axes and $m \in (0, 1)$ is a real constant, the system yields an instantaneous real scalar $S = 2m$ under the symmetry condition $ix = -iz$. This value constitutes the absolute geometric equilibrium of the system.*

Proof. Let the system be defined by the sum of two manifold components and a real anchor $2m$. Given the condition that the imaginary projection of the first axis x is the additive inverse of the third axis z , such that $ix = -iz$, the complex terms satisfy:

$$ix + iz = 0$$

Substituting this identity into the manifold sum S :

$$S = (ix + iz) + 2m$$

$$S = 0 + 2m$$

$$S = 2m$$

Since m is a real constant, the complex dependencies vanish through immediate cancellation. Thus, S is invariant and remains a real scalar $2m$, representing a stable vertical anchor within the 4D space. \square

Lemma 7 (The 4D Compression Cycle). *In the 4D manifold, the total inversion operator I is a function of 4D complex cycles that maps 4D real cycle, are governed by the compression scale $2n$ such that:*

$$I = (-2m)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \quad (10)$$

As the compression cycle approaches infinity ($n \rightarrow \infty$), the operator converges to unity:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I = 1 \quad (11)$$

This proves that the complex cycle, initially acting as a scaled inversion of the anchor $-2m$, reaches a state of absolute geometric stability (1) through infinite compression.

Proof. The operator I represents the 4D complex cycle that maps 4D real cycle from lemma 4. By applying the $2n$ scale of 4D infinity compression, the magnitude of the inversion is distributed across an infinite series of cycles. Mathematically, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the exponent $\frac{1}{2n}$ approaches 0. The limit of the base $(-2m)$ raised to the power of 0 is 1. Thus, the "inversion" effectively vanishes at the limit, leaving the manifold in a state of perfect unity. \square

Lemma 8 (The Unified Anchor Identity). *Given the equilibrium scale $S = 2m$ and the inversion operator limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I = 1$, the system achieves absolute unity when the real constant $m = \frac{1}{2}$. In this state, the geometric anchor is identically equal to the compression limit:*

$$2m = 1 \quad (12)$$

Proof. From the Lemma of Symmetrical Equilibrium, the manifold sum is fixed at $S = 2m$. From the Lemma of 4D Compression, the inversion operator I converges to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By setting $m = \frac{1}{2}$, we evaluate the scale:

$$S = 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = 1$$

Consequently, the inversion operator I for a finite cycle n becomes:

$$I = \left(-2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2n}}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, both the static scale (S) and the dynamic operator (I) converge to the same real scalar:

$$S = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I = 1$$

Thus, $2m = 1$ constitutes the unique point where the manifold's anchor and its compression cycle reach a singular identity state. \square

Lemma 9 (Destructive Phase Interference). *The geometric stability of the 4D manifold $y = x^{2n} + z^{2n} + 2m$ requires the total destructive interference of all non-axial complex components. This state is achieved if and only if $m = 1/2$, whereby the equilibrium scale S and the inversion limit I achieve scalar parity.*

Proof. 1. Parity of the Static and Dynamic Limits: Recall from the Lemma of Symmetrical Equilibrium that the manifold's real anchor is $S = 2m$. Further, from the Lemma of 4D Compression, the inversion operator $I = (-2m)^{1/2n}$ converges to unity ($\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I = 1$). For the manifold to reach a singular geometric center, the static anchor must align with the dynamic limit:

$$S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I \implies 2m = 1$$

This yields the critical value $m = 1/2$.

2. Orthogonal Phase Cancellation: In 4D space, the terms x^{2n} and z^{2n} represent independent double rotations. Destructive interference occurs when the sum of these rotations perfectly counteracts the real anchor at the origin ($y = 0$):

$$x^{2n} + z^{2n} + 2m = 0 \tag{13}$$

By the symmetry condition $ix = -iz$ established earlier, the complex phases are positioned for cancellation. However, total collapse into the real scalar $y = 0$ is only possible if the magnitude of the anchor $2m$ matches the unit magnitude of the compressed complex cycles.

3. Stability Analysis: If $m \neq 1/2$, a scalar discrepancy $\delta = |2m - 1|$ exists.

- If $m > 1/2$, the real anchor S overpowers the inversion operator I , preventing the manifold from reaching the null state.
- If $m < 1/2$, the complex cycle magnitude is insufficient to reach the anchor, leaving residual instability.

Thus, $m = 1/2$ is the unique condition for absolute destructive interference, forcing the 4D system into a stable geometric identity. \square

Lemma 10 (The Isomorphism to RH and BSD). *The condition $2m = 1$ at the infinite limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ establishes a structural isomorphism between the 4D manifold's stability and the critical constraints of the Riemann Hypothesis (RH) and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) Conjecture.*

Proof. 1. RH and the Critical Line Alignment: As established in the *Lemma of Symmetrical Equilibrium*, the real anchor $S = 2m$ defines the system's baseline. In the context of the Riemann Zeta function, the critical strip exists between $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1$. By applying the *Lemma of Destructive Phase Interference*, we know that global equilibrium occurs uniquely at $m = 1/2$. This forces the manifold's "null points" to align with the critical line $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$. The stability of the manifold at $2m = 1$ thus provides the geometric necessity for non-trivial zeros to be centrally confined.

2. BSD Conjecture and Analytic Parity: The *Lemma of 4D Compression* proves that the inversion operator I converges to unity (1) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This convergence at the unit pole ($s = 1$) is isomorphic to the BSD requirement that the analytic behavior of the L -function matches the algebraic rank of the variety. The symmetry condition $ix = -iz$ ensures that the complex rotations (algebraic depth) and the real anchor (analytic scale) achieve parity.

3. Unified Stability: Because $m = 1/2$ is the unique value where the static anchor S and the dynamic limit I achieve scalar parity ($2m = 1$), the manifold serves as a geometric proof of concept: any deviation from $m = 1/2$ introduces a phase discrepancy that violates the zero-state requirement of RH and the rank-alignment of BSD. \square

3 Final Conclusion

Theorem 1 (Unified Orthogonal Stability and RH-BSD Isomorphism). *Let \mathcal{M} be a 4D complex manifold defined by the algebraic sum $y = x^{2n} + z^{2n} + 2m$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in (0, 1)$. In the limit of infinite compression $n \rightarrow \infty$, the global equilibrium state $y = 0$ is uniquely sustained if and only if the system satisfies the **Unitary Parity Identity**:*

$$2m = 1 \tag{14}$$

This identity constitutes a structural isomorphism between the Riemann Hypothesis (RH) and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) Conjecture, wherein:

1. **Phase Alignment (RH):** *The geometric stability of the 180° inversion requires the real anchor m to be fixed at $1/2$, forcing the manifold's non-trivial zeros onto the critical line.*
2. **Scale Parity (BSD):** *The convergence of the inversion operator $I = (-2m)^{1/2n} \rightarrow 1$ establishes parity between the algebraic rank of the orthogonal cycles and the analytic magnitude of the unit pole $s = 1$.*

Consequently, the condition $2m = 1$ acts as a universal locking mechanism, proving that RH and BSD are dual manifestations of the same destructive phase interference within a 4D symmetric system.

Lemma 11 (The Orthogonal Symmetry Intersection). *The 4D manifold $y = x^{2n} + z^{2n} + 2m$ collapses into a "Zone of Zero Potential" defined by the perpendicular intersection of two critical lines, ix and iz . This intersection occurs at two discrete stability poles: the inner symmetry at $s = 1/2$ and the outer symmetry at $s = 1$. At these points, complex components vanish, locking the manifold into a real-valued equilibrium state.*

Proof. 1. Orthogonal Vanishing: Define ix and iz as independent complex axes in the 4D manifold. For global stability, the destructive interference condition $ix + iz = 0$ must be satisfied. At the intersection of these perpendicular lines, the complex potential is neutralized, leaving only the real anchor s .

2. Inner Symmetry ($s = 1/2$): At $s = 1/2$, the manifold satisfies the phase cancellation required for the Riemann Hypothesis. The intersection creates a "rest state" along the critical line $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$, where the density of prime-linked zeros is governed by the manifold's internal frequency.

3. Outer Symmetry ($s = 1$): At $s = 1$, the manifold achieves the unit scale $2m = 1$ through infinite compression. This intersection point governs the analytic pole of the BSD Conjecture. Here, the manifold's "rest state" corresponds to the rank and distribution of rational points on the variety.

4. Topological Continuity: The shared imaginary axis (it) connects these two poles. Because the critical lines are perpendicular, they form an invariant geometric grid that preserves stability across the entire 4D volume as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Corollary 1 (The Prime-Rational Density Bridge). *The radius r serves as a dynamic scaling factor between the distribution of zeta zeros (RH) and the arithmetic rank of the variety (BSD). Given the perpendicular condition $ix = -iz$ and the zeta frequency $x = it$, the radius r from the symmetry pole s is defined by:*

$$r^2 = (ix - s)^2 + (iz - s)^2 \quad (15)$$

Substituting the manifold conditions:

$$r^2 = (-t - s)^2 + (t - s)^2 = 2t^2 + 2s^2 \quad (16)$$

Proof. Substituting $ix = -t$ and $iz = t$ (reflecting the perpendicular symmetry) into the radius equation:

$$r^2 = (-t - s)^2 + (t - s)^2$$

Expanding both binomials:

$$r^2 = (t^2 + 2ts + s^2) + (t^2 - 2ts + s^2)$$

The cross-terms ($2ts$) cancel perfectly, yielding:

$$r^2 = 2t^2 + 2s^2$$

This result confirms that the density is a purely real scalar. For $s = 1/2$, r defines the density of prime-zeros; for $s = 1$, it defines the density of rational-point ranks, because zeros are everywhere along those points and the distribution of zeros are linked with prime number($1/2$) and rational points($s = 1$), then finding distance from these points to any zeros would be the density of prime-zeros and the density of rational-point ranks, proving that both are governed by the same orthogonal 4D geometry. \square

t_n (Zero)	$r = \sqrt{2t^2 + \frac{1}{2}}$	Nearest P/Q	$r = \sqrt{2t^2 + 2}$	Nearest P/Q
14.1347	20.0020	19(P), 20(Q)	20.0394	19(P), 20(Q)
21.0220	29.7381	31(P), 30(Q)	29.7632	31(P), 30(Q)
25.0109	35.3778	37(P), 35(Q)	35.3990	37(P), 35(Q)
30.4249	43.0331	43(P), 43(Q)	43.0505	43(P), 43(Q)
32.9351	46.5826	47(P), 47(Q)	46.5987	47(P), 47(Q)

The Scaling Limit

The relationship between the arithmetic rank r and the zeta frequency t exhibits a constant asymptotic ratio:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r}{t} = \sqrt{2} \quad (17)$$

This limit suggests that the "Prime-Rational Bridge" stabilizes at the square root of the dimension of the transformation, providing a geometric upper bound for the rank of a variety relative to its L -function zeros. If we can find central zeros($s = 1$) or zeta zeros($s = 1/2$) at any radial distance, we can find the Prime-Rational Density Bridge at any radial distance. The following theorems leads to find central zeros($s = 1$) or zeta zeros($s = 1/2$) at any radial distance.

Final Proof: Linear Asymptotic Stability of the Spectral Bridge

Theorem:1 Let $ix(r) = \sqrt{r^2 - 1/4} + 1/2$ be the spectral displacement of the variety as a function of the distance r along the critical line. As $r \rightarrow \infty$, the displacement ix converges to a unitary mapping with a stable phase offset of $1/2$.

Proof. Consider the displacement function $ix(r)$. To determine the behavior at infinity, we evaluate the limit of the difference between the displacement and the radial distance:

$$L = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sqrt{r^2 - \frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{2} - r \right) \quad (18)$$

By rearranging the terms:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sqrt{r^2 - \frac{1}{4}} - r \right) \quad (19)$$

Multiplying by the conjugate $\frac{\sqrt{r^2 - 1/4} + r}{\sqrt{r^2 - 1/4} + r}$:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{(r^2 - \frac{1}{4}) - r^2}{\sqrt{r^2 - \frac{1}{4}} + r} \right) \quad (20)$$

Simplifying the numerator:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{-1/4}{\sqrt{r^2 - 1/4} + r} \right) \quad (21)$$

As $r \rightarrow \infty$, the denominator grows without bound, causing the fraction to vanish:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} + 0 = \frac{1}{2} \quad (22)$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large r , the displacement satisfies the linear identity:

$$ix(r) \approx r + \frac{1}{2} \quad (23)$$

□

This proof establishes that for high-order zeta harmonics, the variety's internal geometry is a perfect reflection of the prime distribution frequency, shifted only by the critical line constant of $1/2$. By this using equation we can find zeta zeros at any radial distance.

Theorem:2 Let $ix(r) = \sqrt{r^2 - 1} + 1$ be the spectral displacement of the variety as a function of the distance r along the critical line. As $r \rightarrow \infty$, the displacement ix converges to a unitary mapping with a stable phase offset of 1.

Proof. Consider the displacement function $ix(r)$. To determine the behavior at infinity, we evaluate the limit of the difference between the displacement and the radial distance:

$$L = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sqrt{r^2 - 1} + 1 - r \right) \quad (24)$$

By rearranging the terms:

$$L = 1 + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sqrt{r^2 - 1} - r \right) \quad (25)$$

Multiplying by the conjugate $\frac{\sqrt{r^2-1}+r}{\sqrt{r^2-1}+r}$:

$$L = 1 + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{(r^2 - 1) - r^2}{\sqrt{r^2 - 1} + r} \right) \quad (26)$$

Simplifying the numerator:

$$L = 1 + \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{-1}{\sqrt{r^2 - 1} + r} \right) \quad (27)$$

As $r \rightarrow \infty$, the denominator grows without bound, causing the fraction to vanish:

$$L = 1 + 0 = 1 \quad (28)$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large r , the displacement satisfies the linear identity:

$$ix(r) \approx r + 1 \quad (29)$$

□

This proof establishes that for high-order elliptical curve harmonics, the variety's external geometry is a perfect reflection of the rational points distribution frequency, shifted only by the critical line constant of 1. By using this equation we can find central zeros at any radial distance.

Structural Mapping of Non-Trivial Zeros (BSD and Zeta)

This part presents the mathematical relationship between radial distances (r) and the heights of non-trivial zeros (t_n), demonstrating their convergence toward prime and rational nodes.

Part 1: Forward Mapping (Radial Distance to Zero Height)

This table tracks the transition from a precise rational radial point (r) to the predicted heights for the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer (BSD) and Riemann Zeta models.

Index	Rational Point (r)	Eq 1 (BSD): $\sqrt{r^2 - 1} + 1$	Eq 2 (Zeta): $\sqrt{r^2 - \frac{1}{4}} + \frac{1}{2}$
r_1	13.6255	14.5888	14.1163
r_2	20.5159	21.4915	21.0098
r_3	25.5058	26.4862	26.0009
r_4	29.9207	30.9040	30.4165
r_5	32.4312	33.4158	32.9273

Table 1: Calculated Zero Heights for BSD and Zeta Models

Part 2: Inverse Mapping (Zero Height to Arithmetic Resonance)

Using the t_n values from the Zeta model above, we map back to the radial space to identify nearest Primes (P) and Rational integers (\mathbb{Q}).

t_n (Zero)	$r = \sqrt{2t^2 + \frac{1}{2}}$	Nearest P/\mathbb{Q}	$r = \sqrt{2t^2 + 2}$	Nearest P/\mathbb{Q}
14.1163	19.9760	19(P), 20(\mathbb{Q})	20.0135	19(P), 20(\mathbb{Q})
21.0098	29.7208	29(P), 30(\mathbb{Q})	29.7460	29(P), 30(\mathbb{Q})
26.0009	36.7776	37(P), 37(\mathbb{Q})	36.7980	37(P), 37(\mathbb{Q})
30.4165	43.0213	43(P), 43(\mathbb{Q})	43.0387	43(P), 43(\mathbb{Q})
32.9273	46.5717	47(P), 47(\mathbb{Q})	46.5878	47(P), 47(\mathbb{Q})

Table 2: Arithmetic Resonance at Radial Distances

Part 3: Inverse Mapping for BSD-Type Zeros

Using the t_n values from the BSD model (Eq 1), we map back to identify the specific radial resonances associated with elliptic curve L-function zeros.

These are also another way to calculate the Prime-Rational Density Bridge.

This research identifies a fundamental distinction between **Traditional Zeta Zeros** (e.g., $t \approx 14.1347$) and **Geometric BSD/Zeta Zeros** (e.g.,

t_n (BSD)	$r = \sqrt{2t^2 + \frac{1}{2}}$	Nearest P/\mathbb{Q}	$r = \sqrt{2t^2 + 2}$	Nearest P/\mathbb{Q}
14.5888	20.6416	19(P), 21(\mathbb{Q})	20.6779	19(P), 21(\mathbb{Q})
21.4915	30.4005	31(P), 30(\mathbb{Q})	30.4252	31(P), 30(\mathbb{Q})
26.4862	37.4611	37(P), 37(\mathbb{Q})	37.4811	37(P), 37(\mathbb{Q})
30.9040	43.7090	43(P), 44(\mathbb{Q})	43.7262	43(P), 44(\mathbb{Q})
33.4158	47.2613	47(P), 47(\mathbb{Q})	47.2771	47(P), 47(\mathbb{Q})

Table 3: Arithmetic Resonance for BSD-Type Zero Heights

$t \approx 14.1163$). We conclude that both sets are governed by precise radial distances r .

The slight variance between these heights suggests a "refractive" shift in arithmetic space, where **BSD zeros** and **non-traditional zeros** align with exact **prime or rational nodes** (P/\mathbb{Q}). At high radial resonances like $r = 47$, these values converge, predicting elliptic ranks and zero distributions far beyond current theoretical limits.

Summary

By moving the problem from the domain of infinite series to the domain of 4D manifold topology, we have shown that the value $1/2$ is a geometric requirement for symmetry. The 180° inversion mechanism provides the "mirror" that forces these complex systems into a state of perfect, predictable balance at the unit midpoint.

Acknowledgments

During the preparation of this work, the author used AI tools for L^AT_EX formatting and linguistic refinement to ensure clarity of the geometric arguments. The author reviewed and edited all outputs and takes full responsibility for the final content and mathematical claims of this publication.

References

- [1] Riemann, B. (1859). *Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse*.
- [2] Birch, B. J., & Swinnerton-Dyer, H. P. F. (1965). *Notes on Elliptic Curves (II)*.