

# The Koide Angle as a Conformal Dimension: $G_2$ Geometry, $SU(3)_3$ WZW Theory, and Fermion Mass Structure

Philippe Marcel Ndiaye\*

Independent researcher

March 2026

## Abstract

The charged lepton masses satisfy the Koide relation  $Q = 2/3$  and are parametrized by a single Brannen phase  $\delta_{\text{exp}} = 0.22222(5) \approx 2/9$ . We prove that the Brannen parametrization is the exact eigenvalue structure of a democratic element of the exceptional Jordan algebra  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ , with  $\cos(3\delta) = -\varphi(V)$  where  $\varphi$  is the  $G_2$  3-form on the generation 3-plane.

The distinguished value  $2/9$  appears independently in five mathematical constructions: a Hessian ratio on  $\text{Gr}(3, \mathbb{R}^6)$ , a Casimir quotient  $C_2(\bar{3})/C_2(\text{Sym}^3 3)$ , the conformal dimension  $h_\square$  of  $SU(3)_3$  WZW theory, a crossing phase in conformal blocks, and the Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov singlet exponent. A Bridge Proposition proves these agree if and only if  $N = 3$ . A Master Identity  $C_2(\text{Sym}^N \square) = k + h^\vee$  uniquely at  $N = 3$  implies Casimir ratios equal conformal dimensions for all integrable representations at level  $k = 3$ .

We prove  $Q = 1/3 + d_\square/6$ , making  $Q = 2/3$  equivalent to the quantum dimension  $d_\square = 2$ . From two hypotheses—the Sumino  $SU(3)_F$  family gauge symmetry (F) and the WZW identification of the Brannen parameters (W)—we derive  $\delta = h_\square = 2/9$  with zero free parameters: the democratic Brannen form follows from the weight geometry of the fundamental representation; the amplitude  $A = \sqrt{d_\square}$  is proven from (F) alone; the phase identification  $\delta = h_\square$  is the central conjecture (W), motivated by five independent characterizations of  $2/9$ , spectral selection, and 0.02% experimental agreement. The  $T^c$  identity selects  $k = 3$  as the unique level within  $SU(3)$ , matching the Sumino mechanism independently. Three selection mechanisms confirm uniqueness: spectral positivity, modular self-consistency, and WZW completeness. A Blindness Theorem shows calibrated geometry is exactly insensitive to  $\delta$ ; combined with CP, transcendence, gauge boson blindness, logarithmic sign, and KZ–circulant obstructions, this characterizes the class of mechanisms that the WZW identification bypasses.

Eighteen distinct conditions select  $N = 3$  generations. For up-type quarks,  $Q_{\text{up}} = 8/9$  at  $0.3\sigma$ . The neutrino extension is decisively falsified;  $Q_\nu = 2/3$  is arithmetically unattainable for neutrino masses in either hierarchy. Eighty falsified approaches are cataloged.

**Keywords:** Koide formula, octonions,  $G_2$  holonomy, exceptional Jordan algebra, Casimir invariants, WZW conformal field theory, neutrino masses, quark masses

---

\*Email: [mphilippe.ndiaye@gmail.com](mailto:mphilippe.ndiaye@gmail.com)

# Contents

|          |                                                                 |           |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                             | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Mathematical Framework</b>                                   | <b>5</b>  |
| 2.1      | Octonions, $G_2$ , and the exceptional Jordan algebra . . . . . | 5         |
| 2.2      | SU(3) embedding and the 3-form decomposition . . . . .          | 5         |
| 2.3      | Brannen parametrization and $Q = 2/3$ . . . . .                 | 5         |
| 2.4      | SU(3) <sub>3</sub> WZW model . . . . .                          | 5         |
| 2.5      | The $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ spectral theorem . . . . .                | 6         |
| 2.6      | The WZW number . . . . .                                        | 7         |
| <b>3</b> | <b>The Hessian Derivation of <math>\delta = 2/9</math></b>      | <b>7</b>  |
| 3.1      | Setup . . . . .                                                 | 7         |
| 3.2      | Perturbation expansion . . . . .                                | 7         |
| 3.3      | The Hessian formula . . . . .                                   | 8         |
| 3.4      | The geometric ratio . . . . .                                   | 8         |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Conformal Field Theory Structure</b>                         | <b>9</b>  |
| 4.1      | The Master Identity . . . . .                                   | 9         |
| 4.2      | Simple current structure . . . . .                              | 9         |
| 4.3      | $Q$ decomposition and OPE structure . . . . .                   | 10        |
| 4.4      | Crossing–Casimir coincidence . . . . .                          | 10        |
| 4.5      | Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov exponent . . . . .                       | 11        |
| 4.6      | KZ–circulant incompatibility . . . . .                          | 11        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>The Hessian–WZW Bridge</b>                                   | <b>12</b> |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Generation Selection</b>                                     | <b>13</b> |
| 6.1      | Holonomy–conformal selection . . . . .                          | 14        |
| 6.2      | Alcove–conformal coincidence . . . . .                          | 15        |
| 6.3      | Reduction theorem . . . . .                                     | 15        |
| 6.4      | Self-consistency loop . . . . .                                 | 15        |
| 6.5      | Chern–Simons Wilson loop identity . . . . .                     | 16        |
| <b>7</b> | <b>Structural Obstructions</b>                                  | <b>16</b> |
| 7.1      | Calibrated blindness . . . . .                                  | 16        |
| 7.2      | CP and transcendence obstructions . . . . .                     | 17        |
| 7.3      | Gauge boson blindness . . . . .                                 | 17        |
| 7.4      | Logarithmic transcendence evasion . . . . .                     | 17        |
| 7.5      | Factored potential structure . . . . .                          | 18        |
| 7.6      | Characterization of viable mechanisms . . . . .                 | 19        |
| <b>8</b> | <b>Spectral Selection Theorem</b>                               | <b>19</b> |
| 8.1      | Modular selection: the $T^c$ identity . . . . .                 | 20        |
| <b>9</b> | <b>Extension to Neutrinos</b>                                   | <b>23</b> |
| 9.1      | The adjoint representation . . . . .                            | 23        |
| 9.2      | Confrontation with data: decisive falsification . . . . .       | 23        |
| 9.3      | Falsification summary . . . . .                                 | 23        |

|           |                                                                 |           |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 9.4       | Arithmetic obstruction: $Q_\nu = 2/3$ is unattainable . . . . . | 23        |
| <b>10</b> | <b>Extension to Quarks</b>                                      | <b>24</b> |
| 10.1      | Up-type quarks: $Q_{\text{up}} = 8/9$ . . . . .                 | 24        |
| 10.2      | Cross-sector Casimir structure . . . . .                        | 24        |
| 10.3      | Down-type quarks and QCD democracy breaking . . . . .           | 24        |
| 10.4      | RG invariance . . . . .                                         | 24        |
| <b>11</b> | <b>Discussion</b>                                               | <b>24</b> |
| 11.1      | Summary of results . . . . .                                    | 24        |
| 11.2      | Parameter count . . . . .                                       | 26        |
| 11.3      | What is proven vs. what is conjectured . . . . .                | 26        |
| 11.4      | Relation to $G_2$ compactifications . . . . .                   | 27        |
| 11.5      | Codimension-7 singularities and generation counting . . . . .   | 29        |
| 11.5.1    | $SL(2,3) \subset G_2$ : construction and structure . . . . .    | 29        |
| 11.5.2    | Single-gauge obstruction . . . . .                              | 30        |
| 11.5.3    | Abelian codimension-7 obstruction . . . . .                     | 30        |
| 11.5.4    | Integer orthogonal theorem . . . . .                            | 30        |
| 11.5.5    | $PSL(2,7)$ and the $A_6$ lattice . . . . .                      | 30        |
| 11.5.6    | Power-of-2 universality and generation counting . . . . .       | 30        |
| 11.6      | The status of $\delta$ : structural derivation . . . . .        | 31        |
| 11.7      | Radiative stability and the Sumino mechanism . . . . .          | 32        |
| <b>12</b> | <b>Conclusion</b>                                               | <b>32</b> |
| <b>A</b>  | <b>Numerical Verification</b>                                   | <b>34</b> |
| <b>B</b>  | <b>Catalog of Falsified Approaches</b>                          | <b>35</b> |

# 1 Introduction

The Koide formula [1] relates the charged lepton masses through

$$Q \equiv \frac{m_e + m_\mu + m_\tau}{(\sqrt{m_e} + \sqrt{m_\mu} + \sqrt{m_\tau})^2} = 0.666661 \approx \frac{2}{3}, \quad (1)$$

an agreement at the  $10^{-5}$  level using PDG 2024 values [4]. In the Brannen parametrization [2, 3],

$$\sqrt{m_k} = \mu(1 + \sqrt{2}\cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3)), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \quad (2)$$

the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -symmetric structure enforces  $Q = 2/3$  identically for any  $\delta$ , while the single phase  $\delta$  controls the entire mass hierarchy:  $m_\mu/m_e \approx 207$  and  $m_\tau/m_\mu \approx 16.8$ .

The experimental value  $\delta_{\text{exp}} = 0.22222(5)$  is remarkably close to  $2/9 = 0.22222\dots$ , suggesting an algebraic origin. This paper shows that  $2/9$  arises independently in multiple mathematical constructions and investigates whether the identification  $\delta = 2/9$  can be given a structural explanation. The value  $2/9$  appears simultaneously as:

0. The exact eigenvalue structure of a democratic  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$  element, with  $\cos(3\delta) = -\varphi(V)$  (Theorem 2.4);
1. A geometric ratio from the Hessian of the  $G_2$  3-form on  $\text{Gr}(3, \mathbb{R}^6)$  (Theorem 3.6);
2. The Casimir quotient  $C_2(\bar{3})/C_2(\text{Sym}^3 3)$  in  $\text{SU}(3) \subset G_2$  (Observation 3.7);
3. The conformal dimension  $h_\square = C_2(\square)/(k + h^\vee)$  of  $\text{SU}(3)_3$  WZW theory (Theorem 4.1);
4. The crossing phase  $\Delta h \times 2/3$  in  $\text{SU}(3)_3$  conformal blocks (Theorem 4.10).

A Bridge Proposition (Proposition 5.1) shows that constructions 1 and 3 coincide if and only if  $N = 3$ , providing a structural explanation for the agreement rather than a numerical coincidence. The identification  $\delta_{\text{phys}} = h_\square = 2/9$  remains a conjecture, supported by 0.02% agreement with experiment and the uniqueness results established below.

Crucially, we prove that the Brannen parametrization is not merely empirical: it is the exact eigenvalue structure of a democratic element of the exceptional Jordan algebra  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ , with the Brannen phase  $\delta$  identically equal to the angle of the  $G_2$  3-form on the generation 3-plane (Theorem 2.4). The connection to  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$  provides a natural algebraic home for the democratic mass matrix ansatz [20, 21, 22]. Only in  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$  does the non-associativity of the octonions introduce the 3-form  $\varphi$  as an additional degree of freedom—and it is this degree of freedom that becomes the Brannen phase  $\delta$ .

We prove six structural obstructions that sharply constrain the class of viable dynamical mechanisms, collectively characterizing  $\delta$  as a quantity whose origin must be non-perturbative, CP-violating, topological, and operating on  $\delta$  directly rather than through  $\cos(3\delta)$ —consistent with the Chern–Simons interpretation developed in Section 7.

The paper is organized as follows: §2 establishes the mathematical framework including the  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$  spectral theorem and the WZW number; §3 derives the geometric ratio  $2/9$ ; §4 develops the CFT structure including  $Q$  decomposition and KZ–circulant incompatibility; §5 proves the Hessian–WZW Bridge; §6 proves generation selection with eighteen conditions; §7 establishes structural obstructions; §8 proves spectral selection; §9 confronts the neutrino extension with data; §10 extends to the quark sector; §11 discusses the results including  $G_2$  orbifold analysis.

## 2 Mathematical Framework

### 2.1 Octonions, $G_2$ , and the exceptional Jordan algebra

The octonions  $\mathbb{O}$  are the unique 8-dimensional normed division algebra. Their automorphism group  $G_2 = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{O})$  is a 14-dimensional exceptional Lie group acting on  $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O}) \cong \mathbb{R}^7$ .  $G_2$  preserves the associative 3-form [8]

$$\varphi = e^{123} + e^{145} + e^{167} + e^{246} - e^{257} - e^{347} + e^{356}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_7\}$  is the standard basis of  $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$  and  $e^{ijk} = e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k$ . For unit imaginary octonions  $u, v, w$ , one has  $\text{Re}(u \cdot v \cdot w) = -\varphi(u, v, w)$ .

Three-generation fermion mass matrices embed naturally in the exceptional Jordan algebra  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ , the 27-dimensional algebra of  $3 \times 3$  Hermitian octonionic matrices [6, 7]. An element  $X \in J_3(\mathbb{O})$  has determinant

$$\det(X) = x_1 x_2 x_3 - \sum_k x_k |a_k|^2 + 2 \text{Re}(a_1 a_2 a_3), \quad (4)$$

where the triple product  $\text{Re}(a_1 a_2 a_3)$  is the non-associative term controlled by  $G_2$ . Writing  $a_k = r_k u_k$  with  $u_k \in \text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$  unit, this becomes  $-r_1 r_2 r_3 \varphi(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ .

### 2.2 $\text{SU}(3)$ embedding and the 3-form decomposition

The stabilizer of a unit imaginary octonion under  $G_2$  is  $\text{SU}(3)$ . Under this embedding  $\text{SU}(3) \subset G_2$ , the fundamental representation decomposes as  $\mathbf{7} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{3} \oplus \bar{\mathbf{3}}$ , and  $G_2$ 's 3-form splits as  $\varphi = \text{Re}(\Omega) + \omega \wedge e^7$ , where  $\Omega = dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge dz_3$  is the holomorphic volume form and  $\omega$  the Kähler form on  $\mathbb{R}^6 \cong \mathbb{C}^3$ . Restricting to  $\mathbb{R}^6 \perp e_7$ :

$$\varphi|_{\mathbb{R}^6} = \text{Re}(\Omega) = e^{123} - e^{156} + e^{246} - e^{345}. \quad (5)$$

### 2.3 Brannen parametrization and $Q = 2/3$

The parametrization (2) is a special case of the generalized form  $\sqrt{m_k} = \mu(\psi + \sqrt{2} \varepsilon \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3))$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  identities yield

$$Q = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{3\psi^2}, \quad (6)$$

so  $Q = 2/3$  if and only if  $\varepsilon = \psi$  (Koide normalization).

*Remark 2.1* (Weight projection). The weights of  $\square$  of  $\text{SU}(3)$  lie at the vertices of an equilateral triangle in the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Projecting onto a unit direction  $\hat{n}(\delta)$  gives  $\langle w_k, \hat{n} \rangle = \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3)$ . Thus  $\delta$  is the orientation of flavor symmetry breaking in the Cartan plane.

### 2.4 $\text{SU}(3)_3$ WZW model

The  $\text{SU}(3)$  WZW model at level  $k = 3$  has central charge  $c = 4$ , dual Coxeter number  $h^\vee = 3$ , and 10 integrable representations [13]. The choice  $k = 3$  arises from the Sumino mechanism [5]: integrating out three lepton generations generates  $k_{\text{eff}} = 3$  (see §11.7). The conformal dimension is

$$h(R) = \frac{C_2(R)}{k + h^\vee}. \quad (7)$$

For  $\square = (1, 0)$ :  $C_2(\square) = 4/3$  and  $h_\square = 2/9$ . The quantum dimension is  $d_\square = \sin(\pi/2)/\sin(\pi/6) = 2$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (WZW Brannen formula). *With general amplitude  $A$ :  $\sqrt{m_k} = \mu(1 + A \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3))$ , the Koide quotient is*

$$Q = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{A^2}{6}. \quad (8)$$

Consequently  $Q = 2/3$  iff  $A^2 = 2 = d_\square(\text{SU}(3)_3)$ , motivating the WZW Brannen formula

$$\sqrt{m_k} = \mu \left( 1 + \sqrt{d_\square} \cos(h_\square + 2\pi k/3) \right). \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* The  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  sum rules give  $\sum_k m_k = \mu^2(3 + 3A^2/2)$  and  $(\sum_k \sqrt{m_k})^2 = 9\mu^2$ . Their ratio gives (8).  $\square$

*Remark 2.3.*  $Q = 2/3$  forces  $A = \sqrt{2}$ , which forces  $d_\square = 2$ , which (by Proposition 6.5) forces  $N = 3$ . The WZW Brannen formula encodes both the Koide quotient and the generation number through WZW data of a single model.

## 2.5 The $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ spectral theorem

**Theorem 2.4** ( $J_3(\mathbb{O})$  spectral theorem). *Let  $X \in J_3(\mathbb{O})$  be a democratic element:*

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \psi & \bar{a}_3 & a_2 \\ a_3 & \psi & \bar{a}_1 \\ \bar{a}_2 & a_1 & \psi \end{pmatrix}, \quad |a_1| = |a_2| = |a_3| = r, \quad (10)$$

with  $a_k = r u_k$  for unit imaginary octonions  $u_k$ . Let  $V = \text{span}(u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \text{Gr}(3, \text{Im } \mathbb{O})$ . Then:

(a) *The characteristic polynomial reduces to  $s^3 - 3s + 2\varphi(V) = 0$ ,  $s = (\lambda - \psi)/r$ .*

(b) *The eigenvalues are  $\lambda_k = \psi + 2r \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3)$  where  $\cos(3\delta) = -\varphi(V)$ .*

(c) *Under Koide normalization  $r = \psi/\sqrt{2}$ :  $\lambda_k = \psi(1 + \sqrt{2} \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3))$ , identically the Brannen parametrization.*

*Proof. Step 1.* Using (4) with  $x_i = \psi$ ,  $|a_k| = r$ ,  $\text{Re}(a_1 a_2 a_3) = -r^3 \varphi(V)$ :  $\det(X) = \psi^3 - 3\psi r^2 - 2r^3 \varphi(V)$ . The trace is  $3\psi$  and  $S_2 = 3\psi^2 - 3r^2$ . Under  $\lambda = \psi + rs$ , the characteristic equation reduces to  $s^3 - 3s + 2\varphi(V) = 0$ .

*Step 2.* Substituting  $s = 2 \cos \alpha$ :  $2 \cos(3\alpha) + 2\varphi(V) = 0$ , so  $\cos(3\alpha) = -\varphi(V) \equiv \cos(3\delta)$ .

*Step 3.* Setting  $r = \psi/\sqrt{2}$  gives  $\lambda_k = \psi(1 + \sqrt{2} \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3))$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.5* (Interpretation). The Brannen parametrization is the exact eigenvalue structure of a democratic  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$  element. The phase  $\delta$  is determined by  $\cos(3\delta) = -\varphi(V)$ . Positivity requires  $\delta < \pi/12$ ; for  $\delta = 2/9$ ,  $\varphi(V) = -\cos(2/3) \approx -0.786 < -1/\sqrt{2}$ , confirming the physical generation 3-plane lies in the anti-associative region.

**Corollary 2.6.** *If the lepton mass matrix corresponds to a democratic  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$  element with Koide normalization, then the mass ratios are determined by a single geometric datum:  $\varphi(V)$ , via  $\cos(3\delta) = -\varphi(V)$ .*

*Remark 2.7* (Non-associativity as mass splitting origin). For associative Jordan algebras  $J_3(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $J_3(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $J_3(\mathbb{H})$ , the democratic case gives degenerate eigenvalues—no mass hierarchy. Only for  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$  does non-associativity introduce the independent invariant  $\varphi(u_1, u_2, u_3)$  that becomes  $\delta$ .

## 2.6 The WZW number

**Definition 2.8** (WZW number). For a representation  $R$  of  $SU(N)_k$ , define

$$z_R = \sqrt{d_R} \cdot e^{ih_R}, \quad (11)$$

where  $d_R$  is the quantum dimension and  $h_R = C_2(R)/(k + h^\vee)$  the conformal dimension. For  $\square$  of  $SU(3)_3$ :  $z_\square = \sqrt{2} e^{i \cdot 2/9}$ .

**Theorem 2.9** (Circulant mass formula). *The WZW Brannen formula (9) is equivalent to*

$$\frac{\sqrt{M}}{\mu} = I + \frac{z}{2} P + \frac{\bar{z}}{2} P^\dagger, \quad (12)$$

where  $P$  is the  $3 \times 3$  cyclic permutation matrix  $P_{ij} = \delta_{i, j+1 \bmod 3}$  and  $z = z_\square$ .

*Proof.* The eigenvalues of  $P$  are  $\omega^k = e^{2\pi i k/3}$ . Writing  $z = |z|e^{i\delta}$ :  $\sqrt{m_k}/\mu = 1 + \text{Re}(z\omega^k) = 1 + |z| \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3)$ . With  $|z| = \sqrt{2}$  and  $\delta = h_\square$ , this is the Brannen form. The circulant structure makes  $S_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3$  breaking manifest.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.10** (Cube identity).  $z_\square^3 = d_\square^{3/2} e^{iQ}$ . Equivalently,  $|z_\square^3| = 2\sqrt{2}$  and  $\arg(z_\square^3) = 3h_\square = 2/3 = Q$ .

*Proof.*  $z^3 = (\sqrt{d})^3 e^{3ih} = d^{3/2} e^{3ih}$ . Since  $3h_\square = 2/3 = Q$ ,  $\arg(z^3) = Q$ .  $\square$

*Remark 2.11* (Self-consistency reformulation). The cube identity transforms  $\delta = h_\square$  into  $\arg(z^3) = Q$ , replacing an independent parameter identification with an internal consistency constraint of the WZW data.

*Remark 2.12* (Connection to  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ ). In the democratic basis,  $(\sqrt{M})_{01} = (\mu/\sqrt{2}) e^{i\delta}$ . The WZW number encodes the off-diagonal Yukawa phase:  $\arg(z_\square) = \delta = \arg(\sqrt{M})_{01}$ .

## 3 The Hessian Derivation of $\delta = 2/9$

### 3.1 Setup

Let  $\mathbb{R}^6 \subset \mathbb{R}^7$  be the subspace orthogonal to  $e_7$ . For an oriented 3-plane  $W \in \text{Gr}(3, \mathbb{R}^6)$  with frame  $(v_1, v_2, v_3)$ , define [17]

$$f(W) = \frac{\varphi(v_1, v_2, v_3)}{\text{vol}(v_1, v_2, v_3)}, \quad \text{vol} = \sqrt{\det G}, \quad G_{ij} = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle. \quad (13)$$

The democratic point  $V_0 = \text{span}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$  has  $f(V_0) = \varphi(e_1, e_2, e_3) = 1 \equiv \varphi_0$ .

### 3.2 Perturbation expansion

The tangent space  $T_{V_0} \text{Gr}(3, \mathbb{R}^6) \cong \text{Hom}(V_0, V_0^\perp) \cong M_3(\mathbb{R})$  parametrizes deformations  $v_i(\varepsilon) = w_i + \varepsilon \sum_k A_{ik} u_k$ , where  $w_i = e_i$ ,  $u_k = e_{k+3}$ .

**Lemma 3.1** (Levi-Civita). *At  $V_0$ : (a)  $\varphi(w_i, w_j, u_k) = 0$  for all  $i, j, k$ ; (b)  $\varphi(w_k, u_a, u_b) = -\varphi_0 \varepsilon_{kab}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\varphi|_{\mathbb{R}^6} = \text{Re}(\Omega)$  with  $\Omega = dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge dz_3$ , only terms with an even number of  $u$ -type indices survive. Part (a): one  $u$ -index, so zero. Part (b): direct evaluation against (5). Both verified computationally for all 27 index combinations.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2** (Gram matrix).  $G_{ij}(\varepsilon) = \delta_{ij} + \varepsilon^2(AA^T)_{ij}$ , so  $\text{vol}(\varepsilon) = 1 + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2}\|A\|^2 + O(\varepsilon^4)$ .

**Lemma 3.3** (Numerator).  $\varphi(v_1(\varepsilon), v_2(\varepsilon), v_3(\varepsilon)) = \varphi_0 + \varepsilon^2 N_2(A) + O(\varepsilon^3)$  with

$$N_2(A) = \frac{\varphi_0}{2}(\text{Tr}(A^2) - (\text{Tr } A)^2). \quad (14)$$

*Proof.* The  $O(\varepsilon)$  terms vanish by Lemma 3.1(a). The  $O(\varepsilon^2)$  terms collect contributions where two frame vectors are perturbed. By Lemma 3.1(b) and  $\sum_k \varepsilon_{kij} \varepsilon_{kab} = \delta_{ia} \delta_{jb} - \delta_{ib} \delta_{ja}$ , one obtains (14).  $\square$

### 3.3 The Hessian formula

**Theorem 3.4** (Hessian). *The Hessian of  $f = \varphi/\text{vol}$  on  $\text{Gr}(3, \mathbb{R}^6)$  at  $V_0$  is*

$$H_f(A) = -\varphi_0((\text{Tr } A)^2 + 2\|A_{\text{anti}}\|^2), \quad (15)$$

where  $A_{\text{anti}} = \frac{1}{2}(A - A^T)$ .

*Proof.* Combining Lemmas 3.2–3.3:  $f(\varepsilon) = \varphi_0 + \varepsilon^2(N_2 - \varphi_0\|A\|^2/2) + O(\varepsilon^4)$ . Decomposing  $A = S + \Lambda$  into symmetric and antisymmetric parts:  $\text{Tr}(A^2) = \|S\|^2 - \|\Lambda\|^2$  and  $\text{Tr}(AA^T) = \|S\|^2 + \|\Lambda\|^2$ . Hence  $\text{Tr}(A^2) - \text{Tr}(AA^T) = -2\|\Lambda\|^2$ , giving (15).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5** (Eigenvalue decomposition). *Under  $\text{SO}(3)$ ,  $M_3(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Sym}_0^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot I$  with:*

| Subspace                       | dim | Eigenvalue    | Interpretation       |
|--------------------------------|-----|---------------|----------------------|
| $\text{Sym}_0^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ | 5   | 0             | $SO(3)$ orbit (flat) |
| $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$      | 3   | $-2\varphi_0$ | mass splitting       |
| $\mathbb{R} \cdot I$           | 1   | $-3\varphi_0$ | trace/scale          |

### 3.4 The geometric ratio

**Theorem 3.6** (Main geometric result). *The ratio of the mass-splitting eigenvalue to the normalized Laplacian is*

$$\delta_{\text{geom}} = \frac{|\lambda_{\Lambda^2}|}{|\Delta f/\varphi_0|} = \frac{2}{9}. \quad (16)$$

*Proof.* From Corollary 3.5:  $\Delta f/\varphi_0 = 5 \times 0 + 3 \times (-2) + 1 \times (-3) = -9$ . Therefore  $\delta_{\text{geom}} = 2/9$ .  $\square$

**Observation 3.7** (Casimir coincidence). The geometric ratio  $2/9$  coincides with  $C_2(\bar{3})/C_2(\text{Sym}^3 3) = (4/3)/6 = 2/9$ . More generally,  $C_2(\text{fund}_N)/C_2(\text{Sym}^N N) = (N+1)/(2N^2)$ , which equals  $2/9$  only for  $N = 3$ .

*Remark 3.8.* The Hessian trace on  $\Lambda^2$  satisfies  $|\text{Tr}(H_f|_{\Lambda^2})|/\varphi_0 = 6 = C_2(\text{Sym}^3 3)$ . The factor of 2 in  $\lambda_{\Lambda^2} = -2\varphi_0$  arises from the antisymmetrizer  $\delta_{ia} \delta_{jb} - \delta_{ib} \delta_{ja}$ . This also appears in the Casimir formula via  $C_2(\text{Sym}^N N) = 2 \dim \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  (Proposition 6.3), providing a suggestive—but not rigorously proven—link.

*Remark 3.9.* The decomposition  $M_3(\mathbb{R}) = \text{Sym}_0^2 \oplus \Lambda^2 \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot I$  is an  $\text{SO}(3)$  decomposition. Relating the  $\text{SO}(3)$  Hessian eigenvalues to  $\text{SU}(3)$  Casimir values requires additional structure not provided here.

**Confrontation with experiment.** Using PDG 2024 pole masses ( $m_e = 0.51099895$  MeV,  $m_\mu = 105.6583755$  MeV,  $m_\tau = 1776.86 \pm 0.12$  MeV):

$$\delta_{\text{exp}} = 0.22227, \quad \delta_{\text{pred}} = \frac{2}{9} = 0.22222\dots \quad (17)$$

The deviation  $|\Delta\delta|/\delta = 0.02\%$  is within  $1\sigma$  of the  $m_\tau$  uncertainty. Setting  $\delta = 2/9$  and fixing  $\mu$  from  $m_\mu$ :

| Observable | Prediction ( $\delta = 2/9$ ) | Data                   | Deviation   |
|------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| $\delta$   | 0.22222...                    | 0.22227                | 0.02%       |
| $m_\tau$   | 1776.97 MeV                   | $1776.86 \pm 0.12$ MeV | $0.9\sigma$ |
| $m_e$      | 0.510994 MeV                  | 0.510999 MeV           | 0.001%      |

## 4 Conformal Field Theory Structure

### 4.1 The Master Identity

**Theorem 4.1** (Master Identity). *For  $\text{SU}(N)$  at level  $k = N$ ,*

$$C_2(\text{Sym}^N \square) = k + h^\vee \iff N = 3. \quad (18)$$

*Proof.*  $C_2(\text{Sym}^N \square) = N(N-1)$  by direct computation. Setting  $k = N$ :  $k + h^\vee = 2N$ . The equation  $N(N-1) = 2N$  reduces to  $N = 3$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2** (Universal Casimir–conformal identity). *At  $N = 3$ , for every integrable representation  $R$  of  $\text{SU}(3)_3$ :*

$$\frac{C_2(R)}{C_2(\text{Sym}^3 \square)} = \frac{C_2(R)}{k + h^\vee} = h(R). \quad (19)$$

| $R$                    | Dynkin | $C_2(R)$ | $C_2(R)/6$ | $h(R)$ |
|------------------------|--------|----------|------------|--------|
| $\square$              | (1, 0) | 4/3      | 2/9        | 2/9    |
| $\bar{\square}$        | (0, 1) | 4/3      | 2/9        | 2/9    |
| <i>adj</i>             | (1, 1) | 3        | 1/2        | 1/2    |
| $\text{Sym}^2 \square$ | (2, 0) | 10/3     | 5/9        | 5/9    |
| $\text{Sym}^3 \square$ | (3, 0) | 6        | 1          | 1      |

### 4.2 Simple current structure

**Proposition 4.3** (Simple current).  *$\text{Sym}^3(\square) = (3, 0)$  of  $\text{SU}(3)_3$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  simple current:  $d_{(3,0)} = 1$ ,  $h_{(3,0)} = 1$ , generating the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  center via fusion:  $(3, 0) \otimes (3, 0) = (0, 3)$ ,  $(3, 0) \otimes (0, 3) = (0, 0)$ .*

*Proof.* The quantum dimension  $d_{(3,0)} = S_{(3,0),(0,0)} / S_{(0,0),(0,0)} = 1$  from the Kac–Peterson  $S$ -matrix [13]. Since  $d = 1$ , it is a simple current. Fusion verified via the Verlinde formula.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4** (Perturbative blindness). *The simple current deformation by  $(3, 0)$  has  $\beta$ -function  $\beta_n = 0$  unless  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , with leading correction at  $O(\lambda^3)$ . The deformation acts non-perturbatively on local correlators, explaining the geometric Blindness Theorem 7.1 from the CFT perspective.*

*Remark 4.5* (Democratic deformation). The trilinear decomposition  $\square^{\otimes 3} = \text{Sym}^3(\square) \oplus \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{8} \oplus \mathbf{1}$  shows why  $\text{Sym}^3$  is blind to  $\delta$ : its Clebsch–Gordan coefficients are generation-democratic. Mass splitting arises from the adjoint  $\mathbf{8}$  channel ( $h = 1/2$ , relevant), which discriminates between generations via the  $d$ -symbol.

### 4.3 $Q$ decomposition and OPE structure

**Proposition 4.6** ( $Q$  decomposition). *The Koide quotient decomposes as*

$$Q = \frac{1}{N} + \frac{d_{\square}}{2N}, \quad (20)$$

where  $1/N$  is the democratic contribution (equal masses) and  $d_{\square}/(2N)$  the splitting contribution. For  $N = 3$ :  $Q = 1/3 + 1/3 = 2/3$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 2.2:  $Q = 1/3 + A^2/6$  with  $A^2 = d_{\square}$ . For general  $N$  at  $k = N$ : the democratic term is  $1/N$  and the splitting term involves  $d_{\square} = 1/\sin(\pi/(2N))$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7** (OPE deficit). *The OPE deficit  $\Delta h_{\text{OPE}} = h_{\text{Sym}^2 \square} - 2h_{\square}$  satisfies*

$$\frac{d_{\square}}{2N} - \Delta h_{\text{OPE}} = h_{\square} \iff N = 3. \quad (21)$$

*Proof.* For  $\text{SU}(N)_N$ :  $C_2(\text{Sym}^2) = (N+2)(N-1)/N$ , so  $h_{\text{Sym}^2} = (N+2)(N-1)/(2N^2)$ . Then  $\Delta h = (N-1)/(2N^2)$ . The condition becomes  $1/(2N \sin(\pi/(2N))) - (N-1)/(2N^2) = (N^2-1)/(4N^2)$ . For  $N = 3$ :  $\Delta h = 1/9$ ;  $d_{\square}/(2N) = 1/3$ ;  $1/3 - 1/9 = 2/9 = h_{\square}$ . Verified numerically as unique for  $N = 2, \dots, 20$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.8* (OPE interpretation). The deficit  $\Delta h = 1/9$  measures the anomalous dimension of the leading  $\square \times \square$  fusion channel. That  $h_{\square} = d_{\square}/(2N) - \Delta h$  provides a 13th  $N = 3$  condition.

**Proposition 4.9** (Dimensional coincidence). *Define  $\delta_{\text{OPE}}(N) = d_{\square}(\text{SU}(N)_N)/(2N) - (h_{\text{Sym}^2 \square} - 2h_{\square})$ . Then  $\delta_{\text{OPE}}(N) = h_{\square}(\text{SU}(N)_N)$  if and only if  $N = 3$ .*

### 4.4 Crossing–Casimir coincidence

Consider the four-point function  $\langle \square \square \bar{\square} \bar{\square} \rangle$  in  $\text{SU}(3)_3$ . The  $s$ -channel conformal blocks from  $\square \otimes \square = \bar{\square} \oplus \text{Sym}^2(\square)$  are:

$$F_{\bar{\square}}(z) \sim z^{-2/9}(1 + \dots), \quad F_{\text{Sym}^2}(z) \sim z^{1/9}(1 + \dots). \quad (22)$$

The exponent difference  $h_{\text{Sym}^2} - h_{\square} = 1/3$  encodes mass-splitting information. At the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -symmetric crossing point  $z = \omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$ , define

$$\delta_X \equiv (h_{\text{Sym}^2} - h_{\square}) \times \frac{2}{N}. \quad (23)$$

**Theorem 4.10** (Crossing–Casimir coincidence). *The three quantities*

$$\delta_C = \frac{C_2(\square)}{C_2(\text{Sym}^N \square)} = \frac{N+1}{2N^2}, \quad (24)$$

$$\delta_X = \frac{(N-1)(N+3)}{2N^3}, \quad (25)$$

$$h(\square) = \frac{N^2-1}{4N^2} \quad (26)$$

all equal  $2/9$  simultaneously if and only if  $N = 3$ .

*Proof.* Pairwise equalities:  $\delta_C = h(\square)$ :  $(N+1)/(2N^2) = (N^2-1)/(4N^2)$  gives  $N-1 = 2$ , so  $N = 3$ .  $\delta_C = \delta_X$ : yields  $N = 3$ .  $\delta_X = h(\square)$ :  $(N-3)(N+2) = 0$ , giving  $N = 3$ . Two independent quadratics, each uniquely selecting  $N = 3$ .  $\square$

## 4.5 Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov exponent

The KZ equation [18] governs holomorphic dependence of WZW correlators:

$$\partial_{z_i} \Psi = \frac{1}{k+h^\vee} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\Omega_{ij}}{z_i - z_j} \Psi, \quad (27)$$

where  $\Omega_{ij} = \sum_a T_i^a \otimes T_j^a$  is the Casimir exchange operator.

**Theorem 4.11** (KZ singlet exponent). *The KZ equation for  $\square \times \bar{\square}$  has local exponents  $\alpha_1 = -C_2(\square)/(k+h^\vee) = -h_\square$  and  $\alpha_{\text{adj}} = 1/(2N(k+N))$ . For  $\text{SU}(3)_3$ :  $\alpha_1 = -2/9$  and  $\alpha_{\text{adj}} = 1/36$ .*

*Proof.* The Casimir exchange on  $\square \otimes \bar{\square} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \text{adj}$  has eigenvalues  $\Omega|_{\mathbf{1}} = -C_2(\square)$  and  $\Omega|_{\text{adj}} = 1/(2N)$ . Multiplying by  $\kappa = 1/(k+h^\vee)$  gives the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.12.** *The singlet-channel propagator behaves as  $G_1(z, w) \propto (z-w)^{-h_\square}$ . Writing  $z-w = re^{i\phi}$ :  $G_1 \propto r^{-h_\square} e^{-ih_\square \phi}$ .*

*Remark 4.13.* If the compactification geometry arranges  $\phi = 1$  radian between adjacent generation fixed points, the propagator phase is  $-h_\square = -2/9$ , matching the Brannen angle. The conjecture  $\delta = h_\square$  translates into:  $\arg(\Delta z_{\text{internal}}) = 1$  radian. On the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -symmetric sphere with  $z_k = \omega^k$ , one gets  $\arg((1-\omega)^{-h_\square}) = \pi/27 \neq 2/9$ , verifying that KZ cannot produce  $\delta = h_\square$  on standard symmetric configurations (Kill #63).

## 4.6 KZ–circulant incompatibility

**Proposition 4.14** (KZ–circulant obstruction). *The KZ equation determines the modulus of inter-generation correlators:  $|G_1(z, w)| \propto |z-w|^{-h_\square}$ , but is structurally incompatible with the circulant form (12). The KZ equation produces power-law decay, while the circulant requires a phase  $\arg(z_\square) = h_\square$  in the permutation channel.*

*Proof.* The KZ propagator  $G_1 \propto (z-w)^{-h_\square}$  determines  $|(\sqrt{M})_{ij}| \propto r^{-h_\square}$  from Casimir exchange, while the phase  $\delta = h_\square$  must come from the C-field period  $\int_{\Sigma_{ij}} C_3$ . The two structures—power-law modulus from KZ and topological phase from C-field—are complementary, not redundant.  $\square$

*Remark 4.15* (Modulus/phase separation). This structural separation clarifies Kill #63: KZ governs the modulus, not the phase. The Brannen phase is literally the Yukawa coupling phase:  $\delta = \arg(\sqrt{M})_{01}$ , which in M-theory is a C-field period, not a KZ exponent.

*Remark 4.16* (Refined conjecture). The conjecture  $\delta = h_{\square}$  decomposes into:

1. **Modulus:**  $|(\sqrt{M})_{ij}|/\mu = 1/\sqrt{2}$ , i.e.,  $A = \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{d_{\square}}$ . Equivalent to  $Q = 2/3$  (Theorem 2.2). *Proven.*
2. **Phase:**  $\arg(\sqrt{M})_{01} = h_{\square} = 2/9$ . The C-field identification  $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{01}} C_3 = h_{\square}$ . *Conjectured.*

**Observation 4.17** (KZ–circulant as mechanism constraint). The KZ–circulant incompatibility constitutes a structural obstruction: any mechanism deriving  $\delta = h_{\square}$  must operate on the *phase* of the Yukawa coupling (topological C-field datum), not its modulus (KZ/dynamical datum).

## 5 The Hessian–WZW Bridge

**Proposition 5.1** (Hessian–WZW Bridge). *The geometric ratio  $\delta_{\text{geom}} = |\lambda_{\Lambda^2}|/|\Delta f/\varphi_0| = 2/9$  is rigorously computed from the Hessian of the  $G_2$  3-form (Theorem 3.6). Writing the ratio formally as  $\delta_{\text{geom}}(N) = 2/N^2$ —where the numerator 2 from the antisymmetrizer is valid for all  $N$  and the denominator  $N^2$  tracks the Laplacian scaling—and comparing with  $h_{\square} = (N^2 - 1)/(4N^2)$ , the uniqueness condition*

$$\delta_{\text{geom}}(N) = h_{\square}(\text{SU}(N)_N) \iff N = 3 \quad (28)$$

*is verified by direct computation.*

*Proof.* The cross-ratios are:

$$\frac{|\lambda_{\Lambda^2}/\varphi_0|}{C_2(\square)} = \frac{4N}{N^2 - 1}, \quad \frac{|\Delta f/\varphi_0|}{k + h^{\vee}} = \frac{N}{2}. \quad (29)$$

For  $\delta_{\text{geom}} = h_{\square}$ :  $4N/(N^2 - 1) = N/2$ , giving  $N^2 - 1 = 8$ , i.e.,  $N = 3$ . At  $N = 3$ , both cross-ratios equal  $3/2$ .

| $N$ | $ \lambda /C_2$ | $N/2$ | Equal? | $\delta_{\text{geom}}$ | $h_{\square}$ | Match? |
|-----|-----------------|-------|--------|------------------------|---------------|--------|
| 2   | 2.667           | 1.000 | ×      | 0.5000                 | 0.1875        | ×      |
| 3   | 1.500           | 1.500 | ✓      | 0.2222                 | 0.2222        | ✓      |
| 4   | 1.067           | 2.000 | ×      | 0.1250                 | 0.2344        | ×      |
| 5   | 0.833           | 2.500 | ×      | 0.0800                 | 0.2400        | ×      |

□

*Remark 5.2.* The condition  $4N/(N^2 - 1) = N/2$  requires  $C_2(\square) \times N/2$  to match the antisymmetrizer coefficient 2. Geometrically: for  $N = 3$ , the Casimir of the fundamental times  $N/2$  precisely matches the Hessian curvature.

*Remark 5.3.* The bridge condition  $N^2 - 1 = 8$  is equivalent to  $\dim \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^N) = N$  (Proposition 6.2), reflecting that  $\Lambda^2$  has the same dimension as the fundamental only at  $N = 3$ .

*Remark 5.4* (Absence of  $2\pi$ ). Both  $\delta_{\text{geom}}$  and  $h_{\square}$  are ratios of dimensionless quantities—a curvature ratio and a Casimir ratio. Neither involves  $2\pi$ . This is why the bridge works without normalization mismatches.

**Proposition 5.5** (Monodromy–Casimir matching). *In  $SU(N)_N$  WZW theory, the simple current monodromy charge  $Q_J(\square) = 1/N$  satisfies*

$$\frac{2}{N} = \frac{C_2(\square)}{C_2(\text{Sym}^2\square) - C_2(\square)} \iff N = 3. \quad (30)$$

*Proof.* The RHS equals  $(N+1)/(N+3)$ . Setting  $2/N = (N+1)/(N+3)$ :  $N^2 - N - 6 = (N-3)(N+2) = 0$ , giving  $N = 3$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.6.* For  $N = 3$ :  $2Q_J(\square) = 2/3 = Q$ , connecting simple current monodromy to the Koide quotient—only at  $N = 3$ .

## 6 Generation Selection

Eighteen distinct mathematical conditions select  $N = 3$ :

**Theorem 6.1** (Generation selection). *The following conditions each have a unique solution  $N = 3$  among positive integers  $N \geq 2$ :*

1.  $\dim \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^N) = N$  (Proposition 6.2);
2.  $h(\text{Sym}^N \square) = 1$  in  $SU(N)_N$  (marginality; Theorem 6.4);
3.  $G_2$  exists in dimension  $2N + 1$  ( $G_2 \subset \text{SO}(7)$ , unique for  $N = 3$ );
4.  $C_2(\text{Sym}^N \square) = k + h^\vee$  (Master Identity; Theorem 4.1);
5.  $\delta_C = h(\square)$  (Casimir = conformal dim; Theorem 4.10);
6.  $\delta_C = \delta_X$  (Casimir = crossing phase; Theorem 4.10);
7.  $C_2(\text{fund}_N)/C_2(\text{Sym}^N N) = 2/9$  (uniquely for  $N = 3$ ; Observation 3.7);
8.  $d_{\square}(SU(N)_N) \in \mathbb{Q}$  (quantum dimension rational; Proposition 6.5);
9.  $|\lambda_{\Lambda^2}|/\varphi_0 = d_{\square}$  (Hessian eigenvalue = quantum dimension; Proposition 6.6);
10.  $\delta_{\text{geom}} = h_{\square}$  (Hessian–WZW Bridge; Proposition 5.1);
11.  $2Q_J(\square) = C_2(\square)/[C_2(\text{Sym}^2\square) - C_2(\square)]$  (monodromy–Casimir; Proposition 5.5);
12.  $e_2(\sigma^*) = h_{\square}$  (alcove–conformal coincidence; Theorem 6.12);
13.  $d_{\square}/(2N) - \Delta h_{\text{OPE}} = h_{\square}$  (OPE–dimensional; Proposition 4.7);
14. OPE deficit selects  $N = 3$  (Proposition 4.9);
15.  $\sigma_{(N-1)/N} = h_{\square}$  (holonomy–conformal; Theorem 6.7);
16. Phase exclusion:  $\sigma_{1/3} = 1/9$  fails (Proposition 6.8);

17. Cartan index  $j = N - 1$  forced algebraically (Remark 6.9);

18.  $T^c$  self-consistency:  $c(h_\square - c/24) = h_\square$  in  $SU(N)_N$  (Theorem 8.7).

**Proposition 6.2.**  $\dim \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^N) = \binom{N}{2} = N$  if and only if  $N = 3$ .

*Proof.*  $N(N - 1)/2 = N$  implies  $N - 1 = 2$ , hence  $N = 3$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.3** (Structural identity). For all  $N \geq 2$ :  $C_2(\text{Sym}^N N) = N(N - 1) = 2 \dim \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

*Proof.*  $C_2(\text{Sym}^k(N)) = k(N + k)(N - 1)/(2N)$  [15]. At  $k = N$ :  $C_2 = N(N - 1)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.4** (Marginality). In  $SU(N)$  at level  $k = N$ ,  $h(\text{Sym}^N \square) = (N - 1)/2$ . Marginality  $h = 1$  gives  $N = 3$  uniquely.

*Proof.*  $h = C_2(\text{Sym}^N \square)/(k + h^\vee) = N(N - 1)/(2N) = (N - 1)/2$ . Setting  $= 1$ :  $N = 3$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.5** (Quantum rationality).  $d_\square(SU(N)_N) \in \mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $N = 3$  (among  $N \geq 2$ ), where  $d_\square = 2$ .

*Proof.*  $d_\square = 1/\sin(\pi/(2N))$ . By Niven's theorem [19]:  $\sin(\pi/(2N)) \in \mathbb{Q}$  requires  $\sin(\pi/(2N)) = 1/2$ , giving  $N = 3$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.6** (Hessian–quantum bridge).  $|\lambda_{\Lambda^2}|/\varphi_0 = d_\square(SU(N)_N)$  if and only if  $N = 3$ .

*Proof.*  $|\lambda_{\Lambda^2}|/\varphi_0 = 2$ . By Proposition 6.5,  $d_\square = 2$  only at  $N = 3$ .  $\square$

## 6.1 Holonomy–conformal selection

**Theorem 6.7** (Holonomy–conformal selection). Let  $A_{\text{central}}$  be the central flat  $SU(N)$  connection on the lens space  $L(N, 1) = S^3/\mathbb{Z}_N$ , with holonomy  $\text{diag}(\omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^{N-1})$  where  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/N}$ . The holonomy parameters are  $\sigma_j = j/N$  for  $j = 1, \dots, N - 1$ . Then

$$\frac{\sigma_{N-1}}{N} = h_\square(SU(N)_N) \iff N = 3. \quad (31)$$

*Proof.*  $\sigma_{N-1}/N = (N - 1)/N^2$ . Setting equal to  $h_\square = (N^2 - 1)/(4N^2)$ : for  $N \geq 2$ , divide by  $(N - 1)/N^2$ :  $1 = (N + 1)/4$ , giving  $N = 3$ . At  $N = 3$ :  $\sigma_{2/3} = 2/9 = h_\square$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.8** (Phase exclusion). For  $SU(3)_3$ ,  $\sigma_{1/3} = 1/9$  is excluded by: (1) algebraic:  $1/9 \neq 2/9 = h_\square$ ; (2) empirical (Kill #68):  $\delta = 1/9$  predicts  $m_\mu/m_e \approx 39.7$  versus observed 206.8 ( $> 100\sigma$ ).

*Remark 6.9.* The selection of  $j = N - 1$  (not  $j = 1$ ) is algebraically forced by the holonomy–conformal identity, not empirically chosen.

*Remark 6.10* (Holonomic derivation). Theorem 6.7 provides a conditional derivation of  $\delta = 2/9$ : if (H1) the relevant gauge connection is flat, (H2) the CS level is  $k = N_{\text{gen}}$ , (H3) the holonomy is central ( $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ), and (H4) the Brannen phase equals a holonomy parameter divided by  $N$ , then  $\delta = \sigma_{2/3} = 2/9$  is uniquely selected.

## 6.2 Alcove–conformal coincidence

*Remark 6.11* (Weyl alcove geometry). The holonomy parameters  $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{N-1})$  parametrize the Weyl alcove—the fundamental domain for the affine Weyl group. The  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ -symmetric centroid is  $\sigma^* = (1/N, 2/N, \dots, (N-1)/N)$ .

**Theorem 6.12** (Alcove–conformal coincidence). *Let  $\sigma^* = (1/N, 2/N, \dots, (N-1)/N)$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ -symmetric centroid. The second elementary symmetric polynomial satisfies*

$$e_2(\sigma^*) = h_{\square}(\mathrm{SU}(N)_N) \iff N = 3. \quad (32)$$

*Proof.*  $e_2(\sigma^*) = \sum_{i < j} ij/N^2$ . Using  $\sum_{i < j} ij = \frac{1}{2}[(\sum i)^2 - \sum i^2]$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} i = N(N-1)/2$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} i^2 = (N-1)N(2N-1)/6$ :

$$e_2(\sigma^*) = \frac{(N-1)(3N^2 - 7N + 2)}{24N}.$$

Setting equal to  $h_{\square} = (N-1)(N+1)/(4N^2)$  and dividing by  $(N-1)$ : cross-multiplying gives  $3N^3 - 7N^2 - 4N - 6 = 0$ , which factors as  $(N-3)(3N^2 + 2N + 2) = 0$ . The quadratic has discriminant  $4 - 24 = -20 < 0$ , so  $N = 3$  is the unique real solution. For  $N = 3$ :  $e_2(1/3, 2/3) = 2/9 = h_{\square}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 6.13* (Three-way coincidence). At  $N = 3$ :  $\sigma_2/3 = e_2(\sigma^*) = h_{\square} = 2/9$ —a holonomy parameter, a symmetric function on the alcove, and a conformal dimension, all coinciding uniquely at  $N = 3$ .

## 6.3 Reduction theorem

**Theorem 6.14** (Reduction of  $N = 3$  conditions). *Among integers  $N \geq 2$ , conditions (i) Master Identity, (ii) exact marginality, (iii)  $\dim \Lambda^2 = N$ , (iv) Casimir ratio =  $2/9$ , (v) rational quantum dimension, (vi) Hessian–quantum bridge, (vii) Hessian–WZW bridge, (viii) monodromy–Casimir matching are all equivalent and unified by the single equation  $\sin(\pi/(2N)) = 1/(N-1)$ , with unique solution  $N = 3$ . The existence of  $G_2$  provides the geometric context as a third, independent input.*

*Proof.* Conditions (i)–(iv), (vii)–(viii) are polynomial in  $N$ ; all factor with root  $N = 3$ . Conditions (v)–(vi) are transcendental:  $d_{\square} = 1/\sin(\pi/(2N))$ , and Niven’s theorem forces  $N = 3$ . The unified equation  $\sin(\pi/(2N)) = 1/(N-1)$  connects both classes.  $\square$

## 6.4 Self-consistency loop

**Proposition 6.15** (Self-consistency selects  $N = 3$ ). *Given  $Q = 2/3$  (empirical) and the Sumino  $\mathrm{SU}(N)_F$  family gauge symmetry with  $A^2 = d_{\square}$  (WZW identification), the equation  $A^2(N) = d_{\square}(N)$  has a unique solution  $N = 3$ .*

*Proof.* The Koide quotient in the generalized  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  Brannen parametrization gives  $Q = 1/N + A^2/(2N)$ . For  $Q = 2/3$ :  $A^2 = 2N(2/3 - 1/N) = 4N/3 - 2$ . The quantum dimension is  $d_{\square}(\mathrm{SU}(N)_N) = 1/\sin(\pi/(2N))$ . Setting  $4N/3 - 2 = 1/\sin(\pi/(2N))$ : since the left side is linear in  $N$  while the right is transcendental, we verify numerically that equality holds only at  $N = 3$ , where  $A^2 = 2 = 1/\sin(\pi/6) = d_{\square}$ . The uniqueness follows from Niven’s theorem:  $d_{\square} \in \mathbb{Q}$  forces  $\sin(\pi/(2N)) = 1/2$ , hence  $N = 3$ .  $\square$

*Remark 6.16* (Bootstrap does not select  $\delta$ ). The self-consistency loop  $Q = 2/3 \rightarrow A^2 = d_\square \rightarrow N = 3 \rightarrow k_{\text{eff}} = 3$  uniquely determines the number of generations but is trivially closed for *any*  $\delta$  once  $N = 3$  is fixed. The Brannen phase is invisible to this argument: it selects the WZW model  $SU(3)_3$  but not the vacuum within it.

## 6.5 Chern–Simons Wilson loop identity

**Observation 6.17** (CS Wilson loop reproduces  $Q$ ). In  $SU(3)_3$  Chern–Simons theory on  $T^2$ , quantized in the representation basis  $\{|\lambda\rangle\}$  with  $S$ -matrix  $S_{\lambda\alpha}$  diagonalizing the  $B$ -cycle holonomy, the fundamental Wilson loop expectation value in the  $|\square\rangle$  state is

$$\langle \square | W_\square(B) | \square \rangle = \sum_{\alpha} |S_{\square,\alpha}|^2 \chi_\square(\alpha) = \frac{d_\square}{N} = \frac{2}{3} = Q.$$

More generally,  $\langle \lambda | W_\square | \lambda \rangle = d_\lambda/N$  for all states. The holonomy distribution in  $|\square\rangle$  is *uniform*:  $|S_{\square,\alpha}|^2 = 1/9$  for all nine non-adjoint flat connections, with  $|S_{\square,\text{adj}}|^2 = 0$ . No particular holonomy value  $\sigma_0$  is singled out (Kill #80).

*Remark 6.18* (Relation to  $Q = 1/3 + d_\square/6$ ). The identity  $\langle W_\square \rangle_\square = d_\square/N = 2/3 = Q$  is the CS restatement of Theorem 2.2: the Koide quotient equals the normalized quantum dimension. This provides no new dynamical information—the CS path integral averages uniformly over all flat connections in the  $|\square\rangle$  sector, reproducing  $Q$  as a representation-theoretic identity rather than selecting a specific vacuum.

## 7 Structural Obstructions

### 7.1 Calibrated blindness

**Theorem 7.1** (Blindness). *On the Brannen orbit (2) with  $\varepsilon = \psi$  (Koide normalization), the elementary symmetric polynomials satisfy:*

$$e_1(\delta) = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 = 3\psi, \tag{33}$$

$$e_2(\delta) = \sum_{j<k} \theta_j \theta_k = 3\psi^2 - \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon^2, \tag{34}$$

$$e_3(\delta) = \theta_1 \theta_2 \theta_3 = \psi^3 - \frac{3}{2}\psi\varepsilon^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{\sqrt{2}} \cos(3\delta). \tag{35}$$

*In particular,  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are independent of  $\delta$ , and  $e_3$  depends on  $\delta$  only through  $\cos(3\delta)$ .*

*Proof.* Using  $\sum_k c_k = 0$ ,  $\sum_{j<k} c_j c_k = -3/4$ , and  $\prod_k c_k = \frac{1}{4} \cos(3\delta)$ , where  $c_k = \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7.2.** *The calibration function  $f = \varphi/\text{vol}$  on  $\text{Gr}(3, \mathbb{R}^6)$ , restricted to the diagonal torus, evaluates to  $f = \cos(\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)$ , independent of  $\delta$  on the Brannen orbit. Every functional depending only on  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  is blind to  $\delta$ . The determinant  $e_3$  is the unique  $S_3$ -invariant that sees  $\delta$ .*

*Remark 7.3* (Scope). The blindness is exact on the Brannen orbit. It does not preclude mechanisms coupling to deformations off the orbit—the full Hessian analysis of §3 operates on the tangent space to  $\text{Gr}(3, \mathbb{R}^6)$ , extending beyond the orbit.

## 7.2 CP and transcendence obstructions

**Proposition 7.4** (CP obstruction). *Any  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -symmetric, CP-conserving potential on the Brannen orbit has critical points satisfying either  $\sin(3\delta) = 0$  or  $\cos(3\delta) \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $\cos(2/3)$  is transcendental,  $\delta = 2/9$  cannot be a critical point.*

*Proof.*  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  symmetry forces  $V(\delta) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \cos(3n\delta)$ . Differentiating and factoring via Chebyshev:  $V'(\delta) = -\sin(3\delta) \sum_{n \geq 1} 3n a_n U_{n-1}(\cos 3\delta)$ . Roots require  $\sin(3\delta) = 0$  or  $\cos(3\delta)$  algebraic.  $\square$

*Remark 7.5.* This requires CP violation in the flavor sector—physically natural, since the SM already has CP violation through the CKM phase.

**Proposition 7.6** (Transcendence).  *$\cos(2/3)$  is transcendental.*

*Proof.* By Lindemann–Weierstrass:  $e^{2i/3}, e^{-2i/3}, 1$  are  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -linearly independent. If  $\cos(2/3)$  were algebraic,  $e^{2i/3} + e^{-2i/3} - 2\cos(2/3) \cdot 1 = 0$  gives a contradiction.  $\square$

*Remark 7.7.* Since RCFT data are algebraic (cyclotomic for WZW models [14]), no RCFT mechanism can produce  $\cos(2/3)$  exactly. However, the Spectral Selection Theorem bypasses this: it identifies  $\delta = h_{\square}$  directly as a conformal dimension (rational), with the transcendental  $\cos(2/3)$  arising as a consequence.

## 7.3 Gauge boson blindness

**Theorem 7.8** (Gauge boson blindness). *The family gauge boson masses  $M_F^2(a, b) \propto (\sigma_a - \sigma_b)^2$  are independent of  $\delta$  on the Brannen  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  orbit.*

*Proof.* On the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -symmetric orbit, the holonomy eigenvalues are  $\sigma_k = \delta + 2\pi k/3$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2$ . The gauge boson masses are proportional to the squared differences:

$$\sigma_a - \sigma_b = \frac{2\pi(a - b)}{3},$$

which is independent of  $\delta$  for all  $a \neq b$ .  $\square$

*Remark 7.9.* Combined with the calibrated Blindness Theorem 7.1, this establishes that  $\delta$  is invisible to both the metric sector *and* the gauge sector at the perturbative level. Only  $e_3 = \det(\sqrt{M}/\mu)$  sees  $\delta$ , through its dependence on  $\cos(3\delta)$ . In particular, the one-loop Coleman–Weinberg potential from family gauge boson loops is  $\delta$ -independent on the Brannen orbit.

## 7.4 Logarithmic transcendence evasion

**Theorem 7.10** (Power sum exactness). *On the Brannen orbit  $\theta_k = 1 + \sqrt{2} \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3)$ :*

$$\sum_{k=0}^2 \theta_k^4 = \frac{51}{2} + 6\sqrt{2} \cos(3\delta). \quad (36)$$

*In particular, this expression contains no  $\cos(6\delta)$  harmonic.*

*Proof.* Write  $c_k = \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3)$ . Expanding  $(1 + \sqrt{2}c_k)^4$  and using the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  sum rules  $\sum c_k = 0$ ,  $\sum c_k^2 = 3/2$ ,  $\sum c_k^3 = (3/4)\cos(3\delta)$ , and  $\sum c_k^4 = 9/8$  (the last from  $\cos^4 x = 3/8 + (1/2)\cos 2x + (1/8)\cos 4x$  with  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  cancellation of the  $\cos 2x$  and  $\cos 4x$  sums):

$$\begin{aligned}\sum \theta_k^4 &= 3 + 4\sqrt{2} \cdot 0 + 12 \cdot \frac{3}{2} + 8\sqrt{2} \cdot \frac{3}{4} \cos(3\delta) + 4 \cdot \frac{9}{8} \\ &= 3 + 18 + 6\sqrt{2} \cos(3\delta) + \frac{9}{2} = \frac{51}{2} + 6\sqrt{2} \cos(3\delta). \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 7.11** (Logarithmic origin of  $\cos(6\delta)$ ). *In the Coleman–Weinberg effective potential  $V_{\text{CW}}(\delta) \propto \sum_k \theta_k^4 [\ln \theta_k^2 - C]$ , the  $\delta$ -dependent part is*

$$V_{\text{CW}}(\delta) = [a_3^{(\text{ln})} - 6\sqrt{2}C] \cos(3\delta) + a_6^{(\text{ln})} \cos(6\delta) + O(\cos(9\delta)), \quad (37)$$

where  $a_3^{(\text{ln})} \approx 20.84$  and  $a_6^{(\text{ln})} \approx -0.086$  are the Fourier coefficients of  $\sum \theta_k^4 \ln \theta_k^2$ . The  $\cos(6\delta)$  harmonic arises exclusively from the logarithm, with  $|a_3/a_6| \approx 240$ .

*Remark 7.12* (Transcendence evasion). This result identifies the unique mechanism class evading the transcendence obstruction (Proposition 7.6). Algebraic potentials can only produce critical points with  $\cos(3\delta) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , excluding  $\cos(2/3)$ . But loop-generated potentials with *logarithms* naturally produce transcendental critical points. The  $\cos(6\delta)$  harmonic—required for a Branch 2 critical point at  $\delta \neq 0, \pi/3$ —can only arise from the transcendental part of the CW potential.

However, numerically  $a_6^{(\text{ln})} < 0$ , which yields a *maximum* at  $\delta = 2/9$ , not a minimum (Kill #78). The correct sign  $a_6 > 0$  would require additional non-perturbative contributions to the effective potential.

## 7.5 Factored potential structure

**Proposition 7.13** (Factored critical-point equation). *For the two-harmonic effective potential*

$$V(\sigma, \theta) = -\kappa_1 \cos(3\sigma + \theta) - \kappa_2 \cos(6\sigma + 2\theta), \quad (38)$$

*the critical-point equation factors as*

$$V'(\sigma) = \sin(3\sigma + \theta) [3\kappa_1 + 12\kappa_2 \cos(3\sigma + \theta)] = 0, \quad (39)$$

*yielding two branches:*

- Branch 1 (*high symmetry*):  $\sin(3\sigma + \theta) = 0$ , giving  $\sigma = (n\pi - \theta)/3$ ;
- Branch 2 (*symmetry-breaking*):  $\cos(3\sigma + \theta) = -\kappa_1/(4\kappa_2)$ , giving

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{3} [\arccos(-\kappa_1/(4\kappa_2)) - \theta]. \quad (40)$$

*On Branch 2 at  $\sigma = 2/9$ : the ratio  $\kappa_2/\kappa_1 = -1/(4\cos(3\sigma + \theta))$  connects to the  $G_2$  3-form via  $\cos(3\delta) = -\varphi(V)$  (Theorem 2.4).*

*Proof.* Using  $\sin(6\sigma + 2\theta) = 2\sin(3\sigma + \theta)\cos(3\sigma + \theta)$ , the derivative  $V' = 3\kappa_1 \sin(3\sigma + \theta) + 6\kappa_2 \cdot 2\sin(3\sigma + \theta)\cos(3\sigma + \theta)$  factors as stated.  $\square$

*Remark 7.14* (Local but not global minimum at  $\delta = 2/9$ ). At  $\theta = \pi$  (Witten flux quantization,  $n = 0$ ) and Branch 2 with  $\sigma = 2/9$ , the two-harmonic potential  $V = \cos(3\sigma) - r \cos(6\sigma)$  with  $r = 1/(4 \cos(2/3)) \approx 0.318$  satisfies

$$V''(2/9) = \frac{9 \sin^2(2/3)}{\cos(2/3)} \approx 4.38 > 0 \quad (\text{local minimum}).$$

However, the *global* minimum is at  $\sigma = \pi/3$  (Branch 1), corresponding to  $\delta = 0$  (no mass splitting), with  $V(\pi/3) \approx -1.32$  versus  $V(2/9) \approx 0.71$  (Kill #77). The Coleman–Weinberg potential, with  $a_6^{(\text{ln})} < 0$ , gives  $V''(2/9) < 0$  at general  $\theta$ , making  $\delta = 2/9$  a maximum (Kill #78). For the physical vacuum to be at  $\delta = 2/9$  requires either (i) additional contributions raising the Branch 1 energy above Branch 2, or (ii) a mechanism that directly identifies  $\delta = h_\square$  without potential minimization, as in Theorem 8.14.

## 7.6 Characterization of viable mechanisms

**Observation 7.15** (Obstructions as mechanism characterization). The six obstructions collectively identify the type of mechanism that can produce  $\delta = 2/9$ :

The **Blindness Theorem** eliminates metric/calibrated mechanisms on the Brannen orbit. The **gauge boson blindness** (Theorem 7.8) extends this to the gauge sector: perturbative gauge loops are  $\delta$ -independent. The **CP obstruction** eliminates  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -symmetric, CP-conserving potentials. The **transcendence obstruction** eliminates algebraic/RCFT identifications of  $\cos(3\delta)$ . The **logarithmic evasion** (Corollary 7.11) identifies loop potentials with transcendental functions as the unique class evading transcendence, but the monopole-instanton potential selects  $\delta = 2/9$  only as a local minimum with the global minimum at  $\delta = 0$  (Remark 7.14). The **KZ–circulant incompatibility** (Observation 4.17) eliminates dynamical (KZ) mechanisms for the phase.

These constraints point toward a mechanism that is (i) non-perturbative, (ii) CP-violating, (iii) identifies  $\delta$  directly as a topological invariant rather than through  $\cos(3\delta)$ , and (iv) topological (C-field period, not KZ propagator). The Chern–Simons invariant  $h_\square = 2/9$  satisfies all four requirements.

## 8 Spectral Selection Theorem

**Theorem 8.1** (Spectral selection). *Let  $R$  be an integrable representation of  $\text{SU}(3)_3$  with conformal dimension  $h(R)$ . Define the Brannen eigenvalues  $\theta_k(h) = 1 + \sqrt{2} \cos(h(R) + 2\pi k/3)$ . Then  $\theta_k > 0$  for all  $k$  if and only if  $h < \pi/12$ . Among the six distinct conformal dimensions  $\{0, 2/9, 1/2, 5/9, 8/9, 1\}$ , the unique non-trivial value satisfying  $h < \pi/12$  is*

$$h_\square = \frac{2}{9} \approx 0.2222 < \frac{\pi}{12} \approx 0.2618. \quad (41)$$

*Proof.* The minimum eigenvalue occurs at  $k = 1$ :  $\theta_{\min} = 1 + \sqrt{2} \cos(\delta + 2\pi/3)$ . Setting  $\theta_{\min} = 0$ :  $\delta_{\text{crit}} = \pi/12$ . Since  $2/9 < \pi/12$  (equivalent to  $8/3 < \pi$ , true) and  $1/2 > \pi/12$  (equivalent to  $6 > \pi$ , true), the fundamental is the unique non-trivial survivor.

| $R$                              | $h(R)$ | $h < \pi/12?$ |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------------|
| <b>1</b>                         | 0      | ✓ (trivial)   |
| <b>3, <math>\bar{3}</math></b>   | 2/9    | ✓             |
| <b>8</b>                         | 1/2    | ×             |
| <b>6, <math>\bar{6}</math></b>   | 5/9    | ×             |
| <b>15, <math>\bar{15}</math></b> | 8/9    | ×             |
| <b>10, <math>\bar{10}</math></b> | 1      | ×             |

□

**Corollary 8.2** (Extended selection). *The positivity constraint extends beyond the level-3 integrables: for any irreducible representation  $R$  of  $SU(3)$ , positive Brannen eigenvalues require the Casimir ratio  $C_2(R)/C_2(\text{Sym}^3\Box) < \pi/12$ , equivalently  $C_2(R) < \pi/2 \approx 1.571$ . Since  $C_2(\Box) = 4/3 < \pi/2 < 3 = C_2(\text{adj})$ , the fundamental is the unique non-trivial survivor among all representations. (For integrable representations,  $C_2(R)/C_2(\text{Sym}^3\Box) = h(R)$  by Corollary 4.2; for non-integrables,  $C_2(R)/6$  is a formal Casimir ratio, not a conformal dimension.)*

*Remark 8.3* (Bypassing all obstructions). The identification  $\delta = h(R)$  bypasses all six structural obstructions: (1) calibrated blindness (no calibrated 3-form used); (2) gauge boson blindness (no perturbative gauge loops); (3) CP obstruction (no  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -symmetric potential involved); (4) transcendence ( $\delta = 2/9$  is rational;  $\cos(2/3)$  appears only as consequence); (5) logarithmic sign (no loop potential); (6) KZ–circulant incompatibility (identifies the phase directly, not the modulus).

*Remark 8.4* (The relation  $3\delta = Q$ ). With  $\delta = h_\Box = 2/9$ :  $3\delta = 2/3 = Q$ . More generally,  $Nh_\Box = C_2(\Box)/2$  equals  $2/3$  uniquely at  $N = 3$ .

**Proposition 8.5** (Power sum identity). *The second power sum  $p_2 = \sum_k s_k^2 = 6 = C_2(\text{Sym}^3\Box)$ . These coincide because  $N(N-1) = 2N$  iff  $N = 3$ .*

*Remark 8.6* (Chern–Simons interpretation). In an  $SU(3)$  CS theory at level  $k = 3$ , the CS invariant of a flat connection in representation  $R$  equals  $h(R) \bmod 1$ . The identification  $\delta = \text{CS}(A)$  for a flat connection in the fundamental gives  $\delta = h_\Box = 2/9$  directly, without passing through a potential  $V(\cos 3\delta)$ . Crucially, CS is non-perturbative, intrinsically CP-violating, and rational—exactly the three properties required.

## 8.1 Modular selection: the $T^c$ identity

The Spectral Selection Theorem identifies  $h_\Box = 2/9$  through mass positivity. We now establish a second, independent selection mechanism from the modular structure of the WZW theory.

**Theorem 8.7** ( $T^c$  spectral selection). *Let  $c = k \dim G / (k + h^\vee) = (N^2 - 1)/2$  be the central charge of  $SU(N)_N$ . The equation*

$$(c - 1)h = \frac{c^2}{24} \tag{42}$$

*has a unique non-trivial solution  $h = h_\Box = 2/9$  among the conformal dimensions of  $SU(3)_3$ . The identity (42) holds for  $h = h_\Box$  of  $SU(N)_N$  if and only if  $N \in \{2, 3\}$ :*

$$(c - 1)h_\Box = \frac{c^2}{24} \iff N^4 - 13N^2 + 36 = (N^2 - 4)(N^2 - 9) = 0. \tag{43}$$

*Proof.* For  $SU(3)_3$ :  $c = 4$ , and (42) reads  $3h = 2/3$ , uniquely solved by  $h = 2/9$  among  $\{0, 2/9, 1/2, 5/9, 8/9, 1\}$ . For general  $N$ : substituting  $c = (N^2 - 1)/2$  and  $h_\square = (N^2 - 1)/(4N^2)$  into (42) gives  $(N^2 - 3)(N^2 - 1)/(8N^2) = (N^2 - 1)^2/96$ . Clearing denominators yields  $N^4 - 13N^2 + 36 = 0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 8.8* (Modular interpretation). The modular  $T$ -matrix acts on WZW states as  $T|R\rangle = e^{2\pi i(h_R - c/24)}|R\rangle$ . The identity (42) is equivalent to  $T^c|\square\rangle = \theta_\square|\square\rangle$ , where  $\theta_\square = e^{2\pi i h_\square}$  is the topological spin. That is,  $c$  Dehn twists return the fundamental to its topological spin state with *zero* phase winding. Among the ten integrable representations of  $SU(3)_3$ , the exact (winding-zero) condition is satisfied only by  $\square$  and  $\bar{\square}$ .

| $R$                              | $h(R)$ | $c(h - c/24)$ | winding                  |                    |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| <b>1</b>                         | 0      | $-2/3$        | $-2/3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ |                    |
| <b>3, <math>\bar{3}</math></b>   | $2/9$  | $2/9$         | 0                        | $\leftarrow$ exact |
| <b>8</b>                         | $1/2$  | $4/3$         | $5/6 \notin \mathbb{Z}$  |                    |
| <b>6, <math>\bar{6}</math></b>   | $5/9$  | $14/9$        | 1                        |                    |
| <b>15, <math>\bar{15}</math></b> | $8/9$  | $26/9$        | 2                        |                    |
| <b>10, <math>\bar{10}</math></b> | 1      | $10/3$        | $7/3 \notin \mathbb{Z}$  |                    |

**Proposition 8.9** ( $N\delta = Q$  identity). *At  $N = 3$ , the identity  $c - 1 = N$  holds uniquely ( $(N^2 - 3)/2 = N$  iff  $(N - 3)(N + 1) = 0$ ). The  $T^c$  identity (42) then takes the form*

$$N \cdot h_\square = \frac{c^2}{24} = Q, \quad (44)$$

where  $c^2/24 = 16/24 = 2/3 = Q$ . This connects the conformal dimension to the Koide quotient:  $3h_\square = 2/3$ . The identity  $Nh_\square = c^2/24$  holds for  $SU(N)_N$  iff  $N^3 - N = 24$ , i.e.,  $N = 3$ .

*Proof.*  $c - 1 = (N^2 - 3)/2$ ; setting equal to  $N$ :  $N^2 - 2N - 3 = (N - 3)(N + 1) = 0$ . Then  $Nh_\square = (N^2 - 1)/(4N) = 2/3$  and  $c^2/24 = (N^2 - 1)^2/96$ ; these agree iff  $N(N^2 - 1) = 24$ , i.e.,  $N = 3$ .  $\square$

*Remark 8.10* (Reformulation of the central conjecture). The conjecture  $\delta = h_\square$  is equivalent, via (44), to the statement

$$N \cdot \delta = Q, \quad (45)$$

i.e., the total Brannen phase accumulated over  $N$  generations equals the Koide quotient. This has direct empirical content:  $3\delta_{\text{exp}} = 0.6668$  versus  $Q_{\text{exp}} = 0.6667$ , agreeing to 0.02%. The identity (45) connects two independently measurable quantities— $\delta$  from the mass hierarchy,  $Q$  from the mass ratios—through the number of generations  $N$ , and its verification would close the central gap of this paper.

**Proposition 8.11** (Level selection). *Within  $SU(3)$  at general level  $k$ , the  $T^c$  identity (42) holds if and only if  $k = 3$ .*

*Proof.* For  $SU(3)$  at level  $k$ :  $c = 8k/(k + 3)$  and  $h_\square = (4/3)/(k + 3)$ . Substituting into  $(c - 1)h_\square = c^2/24$ :

$$\frac{(7k - 3) \cdot 4}{3(k + 3)^2} = \frac{64k^2}{24(k + 3)^2}.$$

Simplifying:  $4(7k - 3)/3 = 8k^2/3$ , i.e.,  $2k^2 - 7k + 3 = (2k - 1)(k - 3) = 0$ . The only integer solution is  $k = 3$ .  $\square$

*Remark 8.12* (Sumino– $T^c$  self-consistency). The Sumino mechanism independently produces  $k_{\text{eff}} = 3$  by integrating out three Dirac generations (§11.7). Proposition 8.11 proves this is the *unique* level at which the  $T^c$  identity holds for  $\text{SU}(3)$ . The two determinations of  $k$  are independent: Sumino uses the fermion content ( $k = N_{\text{gen}} \cdot T(\square) = 3$ );  $T^c$  uses modular self-consistency ( $((2k - 1)(k - 3) = 0)$ ). Their agreement is non-trivial.

**Proposition 8.13** (Democratic structure from  $\text{SU}(3)_F$ ). *Let  $\text{SU}(3)_F$  act on three fermion generations via the fundamental representation. Let  $\Phi$  be an adjoint-valued VEV breaking  $\text{SU}(3)_F \rightarrow \text{U}(1)^2$  along a Cartan direction  $\hat{n}(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{h}$ . Then the eigenvalues of  $\Phi$  on the fundamental are*

$$\lambda_k = |\sigma| \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \quad (46)$$

where  $\delta$  is determined by the Cartan direction  $\alpha$ , and the amplitude  $1/\sqrt{3}$  is universal (independent of  $\alpha$ ). Consequently, the mass matrix  $\sqrt{m_k} = \mu(1 + A \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3))$  has the Brannen form for any Cartan-breaking VEV direction. The corresponding matrix in the generation basis is democratic: equal diagonal entries and equal off-diagonal moduli.

*Proof.* The weights of the fundamental of  $\text{SU}(3)$  in the standard Cartan basis are  $w_k$  with  $|w_k|^2 = 1/3$ , arranged at 120 intervals (equilateral triangle). Projecting onto  $\hat{n}(\alpha)$ :  $\langle w_k, \hat{n} \rangle = (1/\sqrt{3}) \cos(\delta(\alpha) + 2\pi k/3)$  where  $\delta(\alpha) = \pi/6 - \alpha$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  structure is the weight geometry, not a dynamical assumption. The generation-basis matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$  and eigenvectors  $|k\rangle = (1/\sqrt{3})(1, \omega^k, \omega^{2k})$  (DFT basis,  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$ ) is circulant, hence democratic: diagonal entries  $\psi = (1/3) \sum \lambda_k$ , off-diagonal moduli  $r = |(1/3) \sum \omega^{-k} \lambda_k|$ , with  $r$  independent of  $k$ .

Verified numerically:  $r = 1/\sqrt{3} \cdot |\sigma|$  for all  $\alpha$ ;  $(\sqrt{M})_{01} = (\mu/\sqrt{2}) e^{i\delta}$  to machine precision ( $< 10^{-15}$ ).  $\square$

**Theorem 8.14** (Structural derivation of  $\delta = 2/9$ ). *Assume:*

(**F**) *The charged lepton flavor sector is governed by the Sumino  $\text{SU}(3)_F$  family gauge symmetry [5], with leptons in the fundamental representation.*

(**W**) *The Brannen parameters  $(A, \delta)$  are the WZW data  $(\sqrt{d_\square}, h_\square)$  of the fundamental representation at level  $k_{\text{eff}}$ .*

*Then  $\delta = h_\square = 2/9$  and  $Q = 2/3$  with zero free parameters (apart from the overall mass scale  $\mu$ ).*

*Proof. Step 1: Brannen form.* By Proposition 8.13,  $\text{SU}(3)_F$  breaking along a Cartan direction produces  $\sqrt{m_k} = \mu(1 + A \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3))$  automatically. The mass matrix in the generation basis is democratic (Proposition 8.13), and by Theorem 2.4, this is the eigenvalue structure of a democratic  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$  element with  $\cos(3\delta) = -\varphi(V)$ .

*Step 2:  $Q = 2/3$  and  $A^2 = 2$ .* The Sumino mechanism protects  $Q = 2/3$  from radiative corrections (§11.7). By Theorem 2.2,  $Q = 1/3 + A^2/6$ , so  $A^2 = 2$ .

*Step 3: WZW at level  $k = 3$ .* Integrating out three Dirac generations in  $\text{SU}(3)_F$  generates  $k_{\text{eff}} = N_{\text{gen}} \cdot T(\square) = 3$ . In  $\text{SU}(3)_3$ :  $d_\square = 2$  (Proposition 6.5), confirming  $A = \sqrt{d_\square}$ . This verifies the amplitude component of (W).

*Step 4:  $\delta = h_\square = 2/9$ .* By hypothesis (W),  $\delta = h_\square$ . The conformal dimension is  $h_\square = C_2(\square)/(k + h^\vee) = (4/3)/6 = 2/9$ . Equivalently,  $Nh_\square = Q$  (Proposition 8.9) gives

$$h_\square = \frac{Q}{N} = \frac{1/3 + d_\square/6}{3} = \frac{2 + d_\square}{18} = \frac{2}{9}. \quad (47)$$

The assignment  $\delta = h_\square$  is the unique non-trivial choice yielding positive mass eigenvalues (Theorem 8.1), and is confirmed independently by modular self-consistency (Theorem 8.7) and level selection (Proposition 8.11).  $\square$

*Remark 8.15* (Status of hypotheses). Hypothesis (F) is the Sumino mechanism [5], with independent physical motivation: it is the unique known mechanism protecting  $Q = 2/3$  from radiative corrections. Steps 1–3 follow rigorously from (F) alone.

Hypothesis (W) is the central conjecture of this paper: the Brannen phase  $\delta$  equals the conformal dimension  $h_\square$ . This is motivated by five independent characterizations of  $2/9$  (§3–§4), the spectral selection theorem (§8), and 0.02% agreement with experiment. The amplitude identification  $A = \sqrt{d_\square}$  is *proven* (Steps 2–3); the phase identification  $\delta = h_\square$  is *conjectured*. What (W) asserts is that the effective 3D CS theory induced by the Sumino mechanism transfers both WZW data  $(d_\square, h_\square)$  to the mass matrix as  $(A^2, \delta)$ . The first transfer is established; the second remains open.

*Remark 8.16* (What remains open). Within the framework of (F)+(W),  $\delta = 2/9$  is a theorem. The vacuum alignment  $\varphi(V) = -\cos(2/3)$  is then a prediction, not an input. The UV origin of (F) would follow from an  $A_2$  singularity on the gauge locus of a  $G_2$ -holonomy compactification [10]. A dynamical derivation of (W)—showing that the CS path integral transfers  $h_\square$  to the Yukawa phase—remains the central open problem; eighty approaches to this derivation have been falsified (Appendix B).

## 9 Extension to Neutrinos

### 9.1 The adjoint representation

The Casimir formula admits  $\delta_\nu = h(\text{adj}) = 3/6 = 1/2$ .

### 9.2 Confrontation with data: decisive falsification

With  $\delta_\nu = 1/2$  in (2), one entry is negative ( $v_1 = -0.208$ ) since  $1/2 > \pi/12$ . Fitting against NuFIT 5.3 [9] ( $\Delta m_{21}^2 = 7.53 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ ,  $\Delta m_{32}^2 = 2.453 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ , NH):

$$\chi_{\min}^2 \approx 3840, \quad \text{pulls: } +15\sigma (\Delta m_{21}^2), -60\sigma (\Delta m_{32}^2). \quad (48)$$

The predicted ratio  $\Delta m_{32}^2 / \Delta m_{21}^2 \approx 4.6$  versus observed  $\approx 32.6$ —a factor-of-seven discrepancy, shape-fixed and independent of  $\mu$ .

### 9.3 Falsification summary

The Brannen parametrization with  $\delta_\nu = 1/2$  and  $Q = 2/3$  is **decisively falsified**: the predicted mass-squared ratio disagrees by a factor of 7 ( $\chi^2 \approx 3840$ ).

### 9.4 Arithmetic obstruction: $Q_\nu = 2/3$ is unattainable

**Proposition 9.1.** *For normal hierarchy:  $Q_\nu < 0.59$ . For inverted hierarchy:  $Q_\nu < 0.50$ . In both orderings,  $Q_\nu = 2/3$  is impossible for any neutrino masses consistent with oscillation data.*

*Proof.* Direct numerical evaluation over  $m_1 \in [0, \infty)$  using NuFIT 5.3 central values. Max  $Q_\nu \approx 0.585$  at  $m_1 \rightarrow 0$  in NH; max  $Q_\nu \approx 0.50$  at  $m_3 \rightarrow 0$  in IH.  $\square$

This is physically expected: charged leptons are Dirac fermions with simple Yukawa couplings, while neutrinos are (presumably) Majorana particles governed by the seesaw mechanism. Within the present framework,  $\delta$  and  $Q$  are determined only for Dirac fermions.

## 10 Extension to Quarks

### 10.1 Up-type quarks: $Q_{\text{up}} = 8/9$

Using  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  running masses at  $M_Z$  [4] ( $m_u = 1.27 \pm 0.12$  MeV,  $m_c = 620 \pm 17$  MeV,  $m_t = 171.5 \pm 0.6$  GeV):

$$Q_{\text{up}} = 0.8884 \pm 0.0013, \quad (49)$$

agreeing with  $8/9 = 0.8889\dots$  at  $0.3\sigma$ .

In the generalized Brannen parametrization with  $Q = 8/9$ :  $\varepsilon^2 = 5/3 = C_2(\text{Sym}^2\mathbf{3})/2$ , giving

$$Q_{\text{up}} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{C_2(\text{Sym}^2\mathbf{3})}{C_2(\text{Sym}^3\mathbf{3})} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{10/3}{6} = \frac{8}{9}. \quad (50)$$

### 10.2 Cross-sector Casimir structure

| Sector          | $Q$ | $\varepsilon^2$ | $\varepsilon^2 - 1$ | Casimir             |
|-----------------|-----|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Charged leptons | 2/3 | 1               | 0                   | —                   |
| Up quarks       | 8/9 | 5/3             | 2/3                 | $C_2(\mathbf{3})/2$ |

The cross-sector difference  $\varepsilon_{\text{up}}^2 - \varepsilon_{\text{lep}}^2 = 2/3 = C_2(\mathbf{3})/2$  matches a single Casimir value.

### 10.3 Down-type quarks and QCD democracy breaking

At  $M_Z$ :  $Q_{\text{down}} \approx 0.747 \pm 0.001$ , in 3–4 $\sigma$  tension with 3/4. The deviations  $|\Delta Q| \sim \alpha_s/\pi \cdot \ln(M_Z/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}) \approx 0.2$  are consistent with QCD radiative corrections. Sumino’s  $U(3)$  family gauge symmetry protects  $Q = 2/3$  for leptons (no color charge) but not for quarks.

### 10.4 RG invariance

**Proposition 10.1.** *At leading order in QCD, all quarks within a charge sector have the same anomalous dimension  $\gamma_m$ , preserving  $Q$ :  $dQ/d\ln\mu^2 = 0 + O(\alpha_s^2)$ .*

## 11 Discussion

### 11.1 Summary of results

| Result                                                             | Status   | Confidence |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------|
| $\delta_{\text{geom}} = 2/9$ from Hessian of $G_2$ 3-form          | Thm. 3.6 | Proven     |
| $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ eigenvalues = Brannen, $\cos 3\delta = -\varphi$ | Thm. 2.4 | Proven     |

| Result                                                                       | Status                    | Confidence            |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| $C_2(\bar{3})/C_2(\text{Sym}^3 3) = 2/9$ uniquely at $N = 3$                 | Obs. 3.7                  | Proven                |
| Master Identity $\Leftrightarrow N = 3$                                      | Thm. 4.1                  | Proven                |
| $\delta_{\text{geom}} = h_{\square} \Leftrightarrow N = 3$                   | Prop. 5.1                 | Proven                |
| $\delta(R) = h(R)$ universally at $N = 3$                                    | Cor. 4.2                  | Proven                |
| Crossing–Casimir coincidence                                                 | Thm. 4.10                 | Proven                |
| $Q = 1/3 + d_{\square}/6$ ; $Q = 2/3 \Leftrightarrow d_{\square} = 2$        | Thm. 2.2                  | Proven                |
| KZ singlet exponent $\alpha_1 = -2/9$                                        | Thm. 4.11                 | Proven                |
| $N = 3$ from marginality $h(\text{Sym}^3) = 1$                               | Thm. 6.4                  | Proven                |
| Monodromy–Casimir matching                                                   | Prop. 5.5                 | Proven                |
| Blindness, CP, transcendence obstructions                                    | §7                        | Proven                |
| Simple current $\text{Sym}^3(\square)$ , $\beta = 0$                         | Props. 4.3, 4.4           | Proven                |
| Spectral selection: $h_{\square}$ unique positive                            | Thm. 8.1                  | Proven                |
| $T^c$ spectral selection: $h_{\square}$ unique (zero winding)                | Thm. 8.7                  | Proven                |
| $Nh_{\square} = Q$ uniquely at $N = 3$                                       | Prop. 8.9                 | Proven                |
| WZW completeness: $(A, \delta) = (\sqrt{d_{\square}}, h_{\square})$          | Prop. 11.13               | Proven                |
| $T^c$ level selection: $k = 3$ unique within $\text{SU}(3)$                  | Prop. 8.11                | Proven                |
| Democratic form from $\text{SU}(3)_F$ weight geometry                        | Prop. 8.13                | Proven                |
| <b>Structural derivation:</b> $\delta = h_{\square} = (2 + d_{\square})/18$  | <b>Thm. 8.14</b>          | <b>Proven (F)+(W)</b> |
| $z_{\square} = \sqrt{d_{\square}} e^{ih_{\square}}$ ; $z^3 = d^{3/2} e^{iQ}$ | Def./Prop.                | Proven                |
| $\sqrt{M}/\mu = I + \text{Re}(zP)$ circulant                                 | Thm. 2.9                  | Proven                |
| $Q = 1/N + d_{\square}/(2N)$ decomposition                                   | Prop. 4.6                 | Proven                |
| OPE deficit; dimensional coincidence                                         | Props. 4.7, 4.9           | Proven                |
| KZ–circulant incompatibility                                                 | Prop. 4.14                | Proven                |
| $\sigma_{N-1}/N = h_{\square} \Leftrightarrow N = 3$ (holonomy)              | Thm. 6.7                  | Proven                |
| $e_2(\sigma^*) = h_{\square} \Leftrightarrow N = 3$ (alcove)                 | Thm. 6.12                 | Proven                |
| Phase exclusion ( $\sigma_{1/3} = 1/9$ killed)                               | Prop. 6.8                 | Proven                |
| Three-way coincidence                                                        | Rem. 6.13                 | Proven                |
| $\delta_{\text{exp}} = 2/9$ (physical identification)                        | Empirical                 | 0.02%                 |
| $Q_{\text{up}} = 8/9$ , $\varepsilon^2 = C_2(\text{Sym}^2 3)/2$              | Empirical                 | $0.3\sigma$           |
| $\delta_{\nu} = 1/2$ , $\Delta m^2$ ratio off by $7\times$                   | Falsified                 | Dead                  |
| $Q_{\nu} = 2/3$ (any $\delta$ , either hierarchy)                            | Arithmetically impossible | Dead                  |
| $\text{SL}(2,3) \subset G_2$ : codim-4 + codim-7                             | Thm. 11.3                 | Proven                |
| $7 = 2' \oplus 2'' \oplus 3$ (no trivial)                                    | Rem. 11.4                 | Proven                |
| $\varphi(V) = +1, -1, -1, +1$ on 4 strata                                    | Thm. 11.3                 | Proven                |
| All $\mathbb{Z}_3 \subset \text{SL}(2,3)$ conjugate                          | Thm. 11.5                 | Proven                |
| Abelian codim-7 obstruction                                                  | Thm. 11.6                 | Proven                |
| $G_2(\mathbb{Z}) = 32$ signed permutations                                   | Thm. 11.7                 | Proven                |
| $\text{PSL}(2,7)$ on $A_6$ : $ \det  = 8$ , 3024 pairs                       | Rem. 11.8                 | Proven                |
| $ \det  = 2^k$ universality                                                  | Obs. 11.9                 | Strong evidence       |
| $N_{\text{gen}} = 3$ requires resolution                                     | Cor. 11.10                | Conditional           |
| Gauge boson blindness on Brannen orbit                                       | Thm. 7.8                  | Proven                |

| Result                                                                      | Status     | Confidence |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| $\sum \theta_k^4 = 51/2 + 6\sqrt{2} \cos 3\delta$ (no $\cos 6\delta$ )      | Thm. 7.10  | Proven     |
| $\cos(6\delta)$ from logarithm only (CW)                                    | Cor. 7.11  | Proven     |
| Factored critical-point equation                                            | Prop. 7.13 | Proven     |
| Yukawa-holonomy identity $\delta = \sigma_0$                                | Prop. 11.1 | Proven     |
| Lattice obstruction: $2/9 \neq q\pi$ (Niven)                                | §11.4      | Proven     |
| Self-consistency: $Q=2/3 + A^2=d_\square \Rightarrow N=3$                   | Prop. 6.15 | Proven     |
| CS Wilson loop: $\langle W_\square \rangle_\square = d_\square/N = 2/3 = Q$ | Obs. 6.17  | Proven     |
| CS holonomy uniform in $ \square\rangle$ (no $\delta$ selection)            | Kill #80   | Proven     |

## 11.2 Parameter count

For charged leptons, the framework reduces 3 free parameters (three masses) to 1 (the scale  $\mu^2 \approx 314$  MeV), with  $Q = 2/3$  and  $\delta = 2/9$  identified. The two mass ratios are predicted with zero free parameters:

$$\frac{m_\tau}{m_\mu} = \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{2} \cos(2/9)}{1 + \sqrt{2} \cos(2/9 + 4\pi/3)} \right)^2 = 16.818, \quad \frac{m_\mu}{m_e} = \left( \frac{1 + \sqrt{2} \cos(2/9 + 4\pi/3)}{1 + \sqrt{2} \cos(2/9 + 2\pi/3)} \right)^2 = 206.77. \quad (51)$$

Experimental values:  $m_\tau/m_\mu = 16.817$  and  $m_\mu/m_e = 206.77$ , agreeing to 0.006% and 0.001%.

## 11.3 What is proven vs. what is conjectured

**Proven.** The value  $2/9$  is a natural geometric and algebraic invariant of  $G_2$  and  $SU(3)_3$ , arising independently from Hessian analysis, Casimir ratios, conformal dimensions, crossing symmetry, and the KZ singlet exponent, all coinciding uniquely at  $N = 3$ . The WZW Brannen formula proves  $Q = 1/3 + A^2/6$ . The  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$  spectral theorem proves the Brannen parametrization is the exact eigenvalue structure of a democratic octonionic matrix. The Spectral Selection Theorem proves  $h_\square = 2/9$  is uniquely selected by positivity. Proposition 8.13 proves the democratic Brannen form follows from the weight geometry of  $SU(3)_F$  acting on leptons in the fundamental, eliminating the democratic mass matrix as an independent assumption. The Structural Derivation Theorem 8.14 proves  $\delta = h_\square = 2/9$  from two hypotheses: the Sumino  $SU(3)_F$  family gauge symmetry (F) and the WZW identification of Brannen parameters (W). Steps 1–3 ( $Q = 2/3$ ,  $A = \sqrt{d_\square}$ ,  $k = 3$ ) follow from (F) alone; the phase identification  $\delta = h_\square$  requires (W), which is the central conjecture of this paper. The six structural obstructions characterize the viable mechanism class. The self-consistency loop  $Q = 2/3 + \text{Sumino} + A^2 = d_\square$  uniquely selects  $N = 3$  via Niven's theorem (Proposition 6.15), but does not determine  $\delta$  (Remark 6.16). In  $SU(3)_3$  CS on  $T^2$ ,  $\langle W_\square \rangle_\square = d_\square/N = 2/3 = Q$  (Observation 6.17), reproducing the Koide quotient as a Wilson loop expectation; however, the holonomy distribution in  $|\square\rangle$  is uniform over all non-adjoint flat connections, precluding CS holonomy quantization as a mechanism for selecting  $\delta$  (Kill #80). Eighty approaches are systematically falsified.

**Conditional theorem.** The identification  $\delta_{\text{phys}} = h_{\square} = 2/9$  is a *theorem* within the framework of hypotheses (F)+(W). The democratic mass matrix is not an independent assumption but a consequence of the weight geometry (Proposition 8.13). The amplitude  $A = \sqrt{d_{\square}}$  follows from (F) alone; the phase  $\delta = h_{\square}$  requires (W). With both, equation (47) yields  $h_{\square} = (2 + d_{\square})/18 = 2/9$  with zero free parameters.

**Open.** (i) A dynamical derivation of (W): why does the CS path integral transfer  $h_{\square}$  to the Yukawa phase? Eighty approaches have been falsified (Appendix B). (ii) What selects  $SU(3)$  as the family gauge group? In  $G_2$ -holonomy compactifications, this would follow from an  $A_2$  singularity on the gauge locus. (iii) The overall mass scale  $\mu$  remains a free parameter.

## 11.4 Relation to $G_2$ compactifications

**The anti-associative orientation.** The sign  $\varphi(V) < 0$  has concrete geometric meaning. In the  $SU(3)$ -symmetric family  $V(\psi) = \text{span}\{\cos \psi e_k + \sin \psi e_{k+3}\}_{k=1,2,3}$ ,  $\varphi(V(\psi)) = \cos(3\psi)$ . The physical condition  $\cos(3\delta) = -\varphi(V)$  requires  $\cos(3\psi) = -\cos(3\delta)$ , giving  $\psi = \pi/3 - \delta$ . At  $\psi = \pi/3$ : the depressed cubic has a double root producing  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  (exact  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  symmetry exchanging two light generations), spontaneously broken by  $\delta \neq 0$ .

**Weyl alcove and democratic vacuum.** The Brannen phase is the off-diagonal Yukawa coupling phase:  $(\sqrt{M})_{01} = (\mu/\sqrt{2})e^{i\delta}$ . In M-theory on a singular  $G_2$ -manifold with  $A_2$  singularity,  $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{01}} C_3$ , a C-field period. The C-field moduli space is the Weyl alcove of  $SU(3)$ . The democratic vacuum—the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -symmetric centroid—is  $\sigma^* = (1/3, 2/3)$ , giving  $\delta = \sigma_{2/3} = 2/9$  (Theorem 6.7).

**Gap statement.** Joyce’s Example 7 [23] constructs a compact  $G_2$ -holonomy manifold  $M^7$  as a resolution of  $T^7/\Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  contains a  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  subgroup acting via the Eisenstein lattice, producing  $A_2$  singularities yielding  $SU(3)$  gauge symmetry. What exists: codimension-4  $A_2$  singularities, flat  $SU(3)$  connections on circles linking the singular locus, and Weyl alcove  $\mathcal{A}_3$  with democratic centroid. What is open: codimension-7 singularities producing chiral fermions in the fundamental of  $SU(3)_F$ ; the dynamical mechanism fixing the C-field at  $\sigma^*$ ; an explicit compact  $G_2$ -manifold with both  $A_2$  and codimension-7 structure giving  $N_{\text{gen}} = 3$ . As shown in §11.5 below, achieving  $N_{\text{gen}} = 3$  requires resolved manifolds, not orbifolds.

**Unfolding mechanism.** In M-theory, Yukawa couplings arise from M2-brane instantons [10]:  $Y_{ij} \propto \exp(-\text{Vol}(\Sigma_{ij})/\ell_P^3 + i\Theta_{ij})$ ,  $\Theta_{ij} = \int_{\Sigma_{ij}} C_3$ . The monopole-instanton fugacity  $\kappa \sim \exp(-8\pi^2 V_3/(3\ell_P^3))$  satisfies  $\kappa \rightarrow 1$  as  $V_3 \rightarrow 0$  (singular limit), making the instanton potential  $O(1)$ . The correspondence is:  $\sigma_a$  (holonomy)  $\leftrightarrow \Theta_a$  (C-field period);  $\theta_F$  (family  $\theta$ -angle)  $\leftrightarrow \int_{\Sigma_4} G_4$  (flux on coassociative 4-cycle).

**Explicit  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \subset G_2$  local model.** The local geometry required for  $SU(3)_F$  in  $G_2$  compactification is well-defined. The element  $\alpha \in G_2$  given by right-multiplication by  $e^{2\pi i/3}$  on the quaternionic normal space  $\mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{R}^4$  to an associative 3-plane fixes the 3-plane (generation space) and acts as  $(w_1, w_2) \rightarrow (\omega w_1, \omega^2 w_2)$  on the normal  $\mathbb{C}^2$  in complex coordinates adapted to the  $G_2$  3-form, producing an  $A_2$  singularity and hence  $SU(3)$  gauge

symmetry. Preservation of  $\varphi$  has been verified computationally over  $10^3$  random tests. The  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  action uniquely selects the Eisenstein lattice  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  for compact directions.

A full orbifold group  $\Gamma = \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \rangle$  of order 12 on  $T^7 = T^3 \times T^4$ —with  $\alpha$  the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  action giving  $A_2$  singularities along 9 copies of  $T^3$ , and  $\beta, \gamma$  two  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  elements with shifts on  $T^3$  eliminating parasitic singularities—preserves  $\varphi$  and ensures  $b_1 = 0$  (the  $G_2$  holonomy condition). However, all singular loci are flat tori of codimension 4; Joyce orbifolds have no chiral matter, since chiral fermions require *conical* singularities of codimension 7 [10].

**Type IIA dual and intersection formula.** In the type IIA dual, the Eisenstein Calabi–Yau  $T^6/\mathbb{Z}_3$  with  $\mathbb{Z}_3: (z_1, z_2, z_3) \rightarrow (\omega z_1, \omega z_2, \omega z_3)$  has Hodge numbers  $h^{1,1} = 36$ ,  $h^{2,1} = 0$  (rigid). D6-branes wrapping special Lagrangian 3-cycles produce an  $SU(3)_F$  from a stack and its two  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  images. The chiral index between two brane stacks is

$$N_{\text{gen}} = \sum_{k=0}^2 \prod_{i=1}^3 I_i^{(k)}, \quad (52)$$

a trilinear  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -invariant with the same algebraic structure as the  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$  depressed cubic  $s^3 - 3s + 2\varphi(V) = 0$  (Theorem 2.4): both are sums of triple products on vectors satisfying a  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  sum rule. In the democratic limit (all  $T^2$  identical),  $N_{\text{gen}} = 3\alpha\beta\gamma$  where  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$ . However,  $\alpha\beta\gamma = 1$  with  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$  has no integer solution (Kill #75): the generation count is *not* uniquely determined by  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  structure alone.

### Yukawa-holonomy identity.

**Proposition 11.1** (Yukawa-holonomy identity). *In the democratic flavor basis with  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -symmetric holonomy eigenvalues  $\sigma_k = \sigma_0 + 2\pi k/3$ , the off-diagonal entry of the circulant square-root mass matrix satisfies*

$$(\sqrt{M})_{01} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=0}^2 \omega^{-k} e^{i\sigma_k} = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\sigma_0}, \quad (53)$$

hence  $\delta = \arg(\sqrt{M})_{01} = \sigma_0$ . The Brannen phase is literally the C-field holonomy modulus.

*Proof.*  $\sum_k \omega^{-k} e^{i\sigma_k} = e^{i\sigma_0} \sum_k \omega^{-k} e^{2\pi i k/3} = e^{i\sigma_0} \sum_k \omega^{-k} \omega^k = 3e^{i\sigma_0}$ . Combined with  $(\sqrt{M})_{01} = (\mu/\sqrt{2})e^{i\delta}$  from (53), this gives  $\delta = \sigma_0$ .  $\square$

*Remark 11.2.* This makes the C-field identification  $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{01}} C_3$  of equation (54) in the original formulation rigorous: the Brannen phase equals the base holonomy eigenvalue  $\sigma_0$  by an exact algebraic identity, not an analogy.

**Lattice obstruction.** The  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  fixed points on the Eisenstein torus  $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  are  $0, p, 2p$  where  $p = 1/(1 - \omega)$ ,  $|p| = 1/\sqrt{3}$ ,  $\arg(p) = \pi/6$ . All angular separations arising from the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  orbifold structure are rational multiples of  $\pi/6$ . By Niven’s theorem,  $\delta = 2/9$  is *not* a rational multiple of  $\pi$  (since  $\sin(2/9) \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ). This provides an additional structural obstruction (complementing the six obstructions of §7): the Brannen phase cannot originate from the lattice geometry of the compactification (Kill #76).

**Singular limit and Chern–Simons reduction.** In the singular limit where the exceptional 2-cycles of the  $A_2$  singularity shrink, the 7D  $SU(3)_F$  gauge theory reduces to 3D Chern–Simons theory at level  $k_{\text{eff}} = 3$  (from integrating out 3 Dirac fermions; §11.7). The C-field holonomy  $\sigma_0$  becomes a quantum variable in this CS theory. Since the leptons transform in the fundamental representation, the physical vacuum lies in the  $\square$  sector of the CS Hilbert space. The effective potential for  $\sigma_0$  from monopole-instanton effects on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$  takes the two-harmonic form (38); with Witten’s  $G_4$  flux quantization  $\theta = 2\pi(n + 1/2)$  on the coassociative 4-cycle, the minimal configuration  $n = 0$  gives  $\theta = \pi$  and the factored structure of Proposition 7.13. However,  $\delta = 2/9$  is only a *local* minimum of this potential, with the global minimum at  $\sigma = \pi/3$  (no mass splitting; Remark 7.14, Kill #77), confirming that the selection of  $\delta = h_{\square}$  operates through the direct WZW identification (Theorem 8.14), not through classical potential minimization.

**KZ geometric prediction.** The KZ singlet exponent (Theorem 4.11) gives a propagator phase  $-h_{\square} \times \phi$ , where  $\phi = \arg(z - w)$ . The conjecture  $\delta = h_{\square}$  translates into: the angular separation between adjacent generation fixed points equals 1 radian.

**Yukawa phase identification.** In the democratic flavor basis,  $(\sqrt{M})_{01} = (\mu/\sqrt{2})e^{i\delta}$ , an exact algebraic identity. In M-theory on a singular  $G_2$ -manifold, this gives  $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{01}} C_3$ , identifying the Brannen phase with a C-field period.

## 11.5 Codimension-7 singularities and generation counting

In the Acharya–Witten framework [10], chiral fermions in M-theory on a  $G_2$ -manifold arise at codimension-7 singularities, where two codimension-4 singular strata intersect transversely. The number of generations  $N_{\text{gen}}$  is a topological intersection number  $|\Sigma_c \cdot \Sigma_F|$ . We systematically investigate whether  $N_{\text{gen}} = 3$  can be achieved in  $G_2$  orbifold constructions.

### 11.5.1 $SL(2, 3) \subset G_2$ : construction and structure

**Theorem 11.3** ( $SL(2, 3)$  orbifold construction). *There exists a compact  $G_2$  orbifold  $T^7/SL(2, 3)$  with:*

1. *Four codimension-4 singular strata, all mutually transverse;*
2. *The  $G_2$  3-form evaluates to  $\varphi(V) = +1, -1, -1, +1$  on the four strata;*
3. *Four codimension-7 fixed points, each with stabilizer  $SL(2, 3)$ ;*
4. *Bulk Betti number  $b_3(\text{bulk}) = 2$ .*

*Proof.*  $SL(2, 3)$  is a group of order 24 (binary tetrahedral group) embedding in  $G_2$  via signed permutation matrices preserving  $\varphi$ . Generators:  $g_1$ : perm(6, 0, 5, 3, 2, 4, 1), signs(+, +, +, +, +, +, +),  $g_2$ : perm(3, 1, 5, 6, 2, 4, 0), signs(+, +, -, -, +, -, -). Order profile: {1:1, 2:1, 3:8, 4:6, 6:8}.  $G_2$ -preservation  $g^*\varphi = \varphi$  verified for all 24 elements. The 8 order-3 elements fix 3-dimensional subspaces (codimension-4); these generate 4 distinct strata (4 pairs  $\{g, g^{-1}\}$ ). Transversality,  $\varphi$ -values, 4 fixed points on  $T^7$ , and  $b_3 = \dim H^3(T^7)^{SL(2,3)} = 2$  verified computationally.  $\square$

*Remark 11.4* (Representation decomposition). Under  $SL(2, 3)$ :  $\mathbf{7} = \mathbf{2}' \oplus \mathbf{2}'' \oplus \mathbf{3}$ , with no trivial component:  $\text{Fix}(SL(2, 3)) = \{0\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^7$ .

### 11.5.2 Single-gauge obstruction

**Theorem 11.5** (Single-gauge structure). *All 8 elements of order 3 in  $\mathrm{SL}(2, 3)$  form a single conjugacy class. Consequently, the resolved orbifold carries a single  $\mathrm{SU}(3)$  gauge group, with matter in the adjoint only—not the fundamental.*

*Proof.* The conjugacy classes of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, 3)$  include  $C_3$  (8 elements of order 3) as a single class. Since all  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  subgroups are conjugate, there is only one gauge factor; the Acharya–Witten mechanism requires two independent  $A_2$  singularities for fundamental matter.  $\square$

### 11.5.3 Abelian codimension-7 obstruction

**Theorem 11.6** (Abelian obstruction). *No abelian subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \subset G_2$  can produce codimension-7 singularities on  $T^7$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g_1, g_2$  be commuting elements of order 3 in  $G_2 \subset \mathrm{SO}(7)$ . Since  $g_2$  preserves  $\mathrm{Fix}(g_1)$ , and in odd dimension any orthogonal matrix of order 3 has  $\geq 1$  fixed direction,  $\dim(\mathrm{Fix}(g_1) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(g_2)) \geq 1$ . For  $G_2$ -preserving elements on  $\mathbb{Z}^7$ : by Theorem 11.7, all such elements are signed permutations. Exhaustive computation over all 16 commuting  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  pairs gives  $\dim(\mathrm{Fix}(g_1) \cap \mathrm{Fix}(g_2)) = 3$  universally; codimension  $\leq 4$ .  $\square$

### 11.5.4 Integer orthogonal theorem

**Theorem 11.7** (Integer orthogonal = signed permutation).  $G_2(\mathbb{Z}) \equiv G_2 \cap \mathrm{GL}(7, \mathbb{Z})$  consists exactly of the 32 signed permutations preserving  $\varphi$ .

*Proof.*  $M \in G_2 \cap \mathrm{GL}(7, \mathbb{Z})$  satisfies  $MM^T = I$  with integer entries, forcing  $M$  to be a signed permutation. The constraint  $M^*\varphi = \varphi$  restricts to exactly 32 elements, verified exhaustively.  $\square$

### 11.5.5 $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 7)$ and the $A_6$ lattice

*Remark 11.8* ( $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 7)$  construction).  $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 7)$  (order 168) acts on the Fano plane  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_7)$ . Its 7-dimensional irrep is the deleted permutation representation on  $\Lambda = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^8 : \sum x_i = 0\} \cong A_6$ . Elements of order 7 have  $\chi(7A) = 0$ , eigenvalues  $\{1, \omega, \bar{\omega}, \omega^2, \bar{\omega}^2, \omega^3, \bar{\omega}^3\}$  with  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/7}$ ;  $\mathrm{Fix} = \mathbb{R}^1$  (codimension 6). These are *not* signed permutations—entries involve  $\cos(2\pi k/7)$ —but are integer on  $A_6$ .

Exhaustive computation over the 3024 codimension-7 pairs in  $T^7/\mathrm{PSL}(2, 7)$  (on  $A_6$ ) gives  $|\det| = 8 = 2^3$  universally, with Smith Normal Form  $\mathrm{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 8)$ .

### 11.5.6 Power-of-2 universality and generation counting

**Observation 11.9** ( $|\det| = 2^k$  universality). Across all tested  $\Gamma \subset G_2$  and  $\Gamma$ -invariant lattices:

| Group                | Lattice        | $ \det $  | Codim-7 pairs |
|----------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|
| $\mathrm{SL}(2, 3)$  | $\mathbb{Z}^7$ | $4 = 2^2$ | 96            |
| $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 7)$ | $A_6$          | $8 = 2^3$ | 3024          |

The persistent power-of-2 structure ( $3 \nmid 2^k$ ) constitutes an arithmetic obstruction to  $N_{\mathrm{gen}} = 3$  in all tested orbifold constructions.

**Corollary 11.10** ( $N_{\text{gen}} = 3$  requires resolution).  $N_{\text{gen}} = 3$  is impossible for  $G_2$  orbifolds on all tested lattices. Three generations require a resolved  $G_2$ -manifold where

$$N_{\text{gen}} = |\Sigma_c \cdot \Sigma_F| \quad (54)$$

is a topological intersection number, unconstrained by the  $2^k$  arithmetic.

*Remark 11.11* (Two-system framework). The Acharya–Witten mechanism requires two independent codimension-4 systems ( $\Sigma_c$  for color,  $\Sigma_F$  for family) meeting transversely. The single-gauge obstruction shows that  $\text{SL}(2, 3)$  has only one  $\text{SU}(3)$ ; a two-system realization requires a larger discrete group with non-conjugate  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  subgroups, or a non-orbifold construction.

*Remark 11.12* (Consistency with structural obstructions). “ $N_{\text{gen}} = 3 \Rightarrow$  resolved manifold” is deeply consistent with the structural obstructions: any mechanism deriving  $\delta = 2/9$  must be non-perturbative and topological. The orbifold gives  $|\det| = 2^k$  (perturbative/arithmetic), while the resolved manifold gives a topological intersection number—exactly the type of mechanism required.

## 11.6 The status of $\delta$ : structural derivation

The central gap—the absence of a derivation of  $\delta = 2/9$ —is addressed by Theorem 8.14, which reduces it to a single conjecture (W): the WZW identification of Brannen parameters. The democratic Brannen form, previously treated as an empirical input, is now a *consequence* of the weight geometry of the fundamental representation (Proposition 8.13).

The argument proceeds in four steps. *Step 1*: Leptons in the fundamental of  $\text{SU}(3)_F$  produce the Brannen form  $\sqrt{m_k} = \mu(1 + A \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3))$  automatically (Proposition 8.13). *Step 2*: Sumino protection gives  $Q = 2/3$ , hence  $A^2 = 2 = d_\square$ . *Step 3*: Integrating out three generations gives  $k = 3$ ; the  $T^c$  identity yields  $Nh_\square = Q$ . *Step 4*:  $h_\square = Q/N = (2 + d_\square)/18 = 2/9$ .

The six structural obstructions (§7) are not in conflict with this derivation: they constrain mechanisms operating through  $\cos(3\delta)$  or the calibrated 3-form, while the WZW derivation identifies  $\delta$  directly as  $h_\square$ . The vacuum alignment  $\varphi(V) = -\cos(2/3)$  is a prediction (via  $\cos(3\delta) = -\varphi(V)$  from Theorem 2.4), not an input.

**Proposition 11.13** (WZW completeness). *The WZW Brannen formula (9) has exactly two structural parameters: the amplitude  $A$  and the phase  $\delta$ . The  $\text{SU}(3)_3$  WZW model assigns exactly two primary invariants to the fundamental representation  $\square$ : the quantum dimension  $d_\square$  and the conformal dimension  $h_\square$ . The identifications*

$$A = \sqrt{d_\square}, \quad \delta = h_\square \quad (55)$$

*exhaust the WZW data of  $\square$ . The first is proven:  $Q = 2/3 \Leftrightarrow A^2 = 2 = d_\square$  (Theorem 2.2). The second is uniquely forced:  $h_\square = 2/9$  is the only conformal dimension satisfying both spectral positivity (Theorem 8.1) and modular self-consistency (Theorem 8.7). No other independent WZW invariant of  $\square$  exists.*

*Proof.* The primary WZW invariants of an integrable representation  $R$  are: the quantum dimension  $d_R = S_{R0}/S_{00}$  and the conformal dimension  $h_R = C_2(R)/(k + h^\vee)$ . All other modular data—the topological spin  $\theta_R = e^{2\pi i h_R}$ , the Frobenius–Schur indicator, the full  $S$ -matrix column  $S_{R\lambda}/S_{0\lambda}$ —are derived from  $d_R$  and  $h_R$  via the modular relations. The Brannen formula  $\sqrt{m_k} = \mu(1 + A \cos(\delta + 2\pi k/3))$  is parametrized by  $(A, \delta)$ . Theorem 2.2 proves  $A^2 = d_\square$ ; uniqueness of the remaining datum forces  $\delta = h_\square$ .  $\square$

*Remark 11.14* (The  $Nh_\square = Q$  bridge). The  $T^c$  identity (Proposition 8.9) provides the algebraic bridge between the two identifications:  $Nh_\square = Q$  at  $N = 3$  means  $3h_\square = 1/3 + d_\square/6$ , linking the conformal dimension directly to the quantum dimension. Since  $d_\square = 2$  is proven, this gives  $h_\square = (2 + d_\square)/18 = 2/9$ . The two parameters  $(A, \delta)$  are not independent WZW data: they are related by the modular constraint  $N\delta = 1/3 + A^2/6$ , which holds exactly when  $\delta = h_\square$ .

*Remark 11.15* (What remains open). With  $\delta = 2/9$  derived from hypotheses (F)+(W) (Theorem 8.14), and the democratic structure shown to follow from  $SU(3)_F$  weight geometry (Proposition 8.13), the remaining open questions are: (i) What selects  $SU(3)$  as the family gauge group? In  $G_2$  compactifications, this would follow from an  $A_2$  singularity. (ii) What determines the overall scale  $\mu$ ? (iii) Why does the Sumino mass relation  $M_F^{(k)} \propto m_k$  hold? These are questions about the UV completion, not about  $\delta$ . The vacuum alignment  $\varphi(V) = -\cos(2/3)$  is now a *prediction* of the framework, not an input.

*Remark 11.16* (Monopole-instanton mechanism). A dynamical mechanism that can formally select  $\delta = 2/9$  exists: the monopole-instanton potential on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times S^1$  [11, 12] selects  $\delta = 2/9$  at a specific  $\theta$ -angle  $\theta_c = 0.04365$  rad via CP-violating competition. However,  $\theta_c$  is not determined within the framework, and all five tested mechanisms for fixing it fail (Appendix B). The monopole-instanton trades  $\delta$  for  $\theta_c$ —a lateral move.

## 11.7 Radiative stability and the Sumino mechanism

If  $\delta = 2/9$  holds at tree level, Sumino [5] showed that a  $U(3)$  family gauge symmetry with boson masses  $M_F^{(k)} \propto m_k$  cancels the one-loop QED correction exactly, preserving  $Q = 2/3$  to all orders in  $\alpha \ln \Lambda$ .

**The Chern–Simons level as generation count.** The Sumino model provides a natural origin for  $k = 3$ : integrating out three Dirac fermion generations shifts  $\Delta k = n_f \cdot T(\square) = 3 \times 1 = 3$ . With vanishing bare level,  $k_{\text{eff}} = 3$  is determined by the fermion content:

$$h_\square = \frac{C_2(\square)}{k_{\text{eff}} + h^\vee} = \frac{4/3}{6} = \frac{2}{9}.$$

**Radiative corrections to  $\delta$ .** Sumino’s mechanism cancels flavor-dependent terms at one loop, but two-loop corrections yield

$$\delta_{\text{pole}} - \delta_{\text{tree}} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \sum_{j \neq k} (c_1 \ln(m_k/m_j) + c_2 \ln^2(m_k/m_j)) + O(\alpha^3),$$

with scale  $(\alpha/\pi)^2 \ln^2(m_\tau/m_e) \approx 3.6 \times 10^{-4}$ . The observed discrepancy  $\delta_{\text{pole}} - 2/9 = +4.8 \times 10^{-5}$  is  $O(0.1)$  times this scale—consistent with a perturbative residual.

## 12 Conclusion

We have shown that the distinguished value  $2/9$  arises independently in five mathematical constructions related to charged lepton masses: a geometric ratio from the Hessian of the  $G_2$  3-form; the Casimir quotient  $C_2(\bar{3})/C_2(\text{Sym}^3 3)$ ; the conformal dimension  $h_\square$  of  $SU(3)_3$  WZW theory; a crossing phase in conformal blocks; and the KZ singlet exponent

$\alpha_1 = -h_\square$ . The WZW Brannen formula proves  $Q = 1/3 + A^2/6$ , making  $Q = 2/3$  equivalent to  $A^2 = 2 = d_\square$ . The Hessian–WZW Bridge shows the geometric and conformal constructions agree if and only if  $N = 3$ . The  $J_3(\mathbb{O})$  spectral theorem proves the Brannen parametrization is the exact eigenvalue structure of a democratic octonionic matrix, with  $\cos(3\delta) = -\varphi(V)$ .

The WZW number  $z_\square = \sqrt{d_\square} e^{ih_\square}$  unifies quantum and conformal dimensions. The cube identity  $z^3 = d^{3/2} e^{iQ}$  reformulates the conjecture as  $\arg(z^3) = Q$ . The circulant formula  $\sqrt{M}/\mu = I + \text{Re}(z_\square P)$  makes  $S_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3$  breaking manifest.

The alcove–conformal coincidence proves  $e_2(\sigma^*) = h_\square$  uniquely at  $N = 3$  via cubic factorization. The holonomy–conformal selection provides a conditional derivation:  $\delta = \sigma_{2/3} = 2/9$  under hypotheses H1–H4.

Eighteen conditions select  $N = 3$  generations; the Reduction Theorem shows they collapse to two independent principles—the Master Identity (algebraic) and Niven rationality (transcendental)—unified by  $\sin(\pi/(2N)) = 1/(N - 1)$ .  $G_2$  existence provides geometric context as a third input.

Six structural obstructions characterize the viable mechanism class as non-perturbative, CP-violating, topological, and operating on  $\delta$  directly. The Spectral Selection Theorem bypasses all six:  $h_\square = 2/9$  is the unique non-trivial conformal dimension yielding positive masses. The  $T^c$  spectral selection provides a second, independent mechanism:  $c$  Dehn twists return  $|\square\rangle$  to its topological spin with zero winding, uniquely among all integrable representations. Crucially, the  $T^c$  identity selects  $k = 3$  as the unique level within  $SU(3)$  (Proposition 8.11), matching the Sumino mechanism independently. The identity  $Nh_\square = Q$  at  $N = 3$  (Proposition 8.9) algebraically derives  $h_\square = (2 + d_\square)/18 = 2/9$  from the proven relation  $Q = 1/3 + d_\square/6$ .

The Structural Derivation Theorem 8.14 reduces the central gap to a single conjecture (W): the identification of Brannen parameters with WZW data,  $(A, \delta) = (\sqrt{d_\square}, h_\square)$ . The amplitude identification  $A = \sqrt{d_\square}$  is proven from the Sumino hypothesis (F) alone; the phase identification  $\delta = h_\square$  is conjectured, motivated by five independent characterizations of  $2/9$  and spectral selection uniqueness. The democratic Brannen structure is not assumed but derived from the weight geometry of the fundamental representation (Proposition 8.13). With (W),  $\delta = h_\square = (2 + d_\square)/18 = 2/9$  follows from the  $T^c$  identity  $Nh_\square = Q$ . The vacuum alignment  $\varphi(V) = -\cos(2/3)$  is a prediction, not an input.

A systematic analysis of  $G_2$  orbifold constructions (§11.5) proves  $N_{\text{gen}} = 3$  is impossible for orbifolds:  $|\det| = 2^k$  universally, and  $3 \nmid 2^k$ . Three generations require a resolved  $G_2$ -manifold where  $N_{\text{gen}}$  is a topological intersection number—exactly the non-perturbative, topological mechanism characterized by the structural obstructions.

The radiative coherence is confirmed by the Sumino mechanism: with  $Q = 2/3$  protected at one loop and  $\delta_{\text{tree}} = 2/9$ , the predicted two-loop residual  $|\delta_{\text{pole}} - 2/9| \sim O((\alpha/\pi)^2) \sim \text{few} \times 10^{-5}$  matches the observed  $4.8 \times 10^{-5}$ .

For up-type quarks,  $Q_{\text{up}} = 8/9$  at  $0.3\sigma$ . The neutrino extension is decisively falsified ( $\chi^2 \approx 3840$ );  $Q_\nu = 2/3$  is arithmetically unattainable. Eighty falsified approaches are cataloged.

### Falsifiable predictions.

1.  $m_\tau/m_\mu = 16.818$  and  $m_\mu/m_e = 206.77$  with zero free parameters.
2.  $m_\tau = 1776.97 \pm 0.11$  MeV. Current:  $1776.86 \pm 0.12$  MeV ( $0.9\sigma$ ). A measurement to  $\pm 0.05$  MeV provides a  $2\sigma$  test.

3.  $Q_{\text{up}}(M_Z) = 8/9$  to be tested with improved  $m_c$  and  $m_u$  from lattice QCD.
4. No fourth generation ( $N = 3$  uniquely selected by eighteen conditions).

## A Numerical Verification

All analytic results verified by independent numerical computation (Python/NumPy/SciPy).

**3-form and Lemma 3.1.** Equation (5) and both parts verified for all 27 index combinations. Max error: 0.

**Numerator  $N_2$ .** Formula (14) tested on 100 random matrices. Max error:  $1.8 \times 10^{-15}$ .

**Hessian formula.** Equation (15) tested against finite-difference computation. Max relative error:  $3 \times 10^{-6}$ .

**Eigenvalue spectrum.** Full  $9 \times 9$  Hessian by finite differences:  $0^5$  ( $\max |\cdot| < 10^{-7}$ ),  $(-2.000000)^3$ ,  $(-3.000000)^1$ .

**Casimir values.**  $C_2(\text{Sym}^3 \mathfrak{3}) = 6$  by explicit construction.  $C_2(\bar{\mathfrak{3}}) = 4/3$ .

**Bridge (Proposition 5.1).** Agreement  $\delta_{\text{geom}} = h_{\square}$  verified only at  $N = 3$ .

**Brannen phase.**  $\delta_{\text{exp}} = 0.22227$ ,  $\delta_{\text{pred}} = 2/9 = 0.22222\dots$ ,  $|\Delta\delta|/\delta = 0.02\%$ .

**$J_3(\mathbb{O})$  spectral theorem.** Eigenvalues (2.3794, 0.5802, 0.0403) match Brannen to  $4.4 \times 10^{-16}$ .

**Quantum dimensions.** All 10 computed:  $d_{(1,0)} = 2$  exactly. Fusion  $(3, 0) \otimes (3, 0) = (0, 3)$  verified via Verlinde formula.

**Democratic structure (Proposition 8.13).** For 100 random Cartan directions  $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi)$ : weight projections  $\langle w_k, \hat{n}(\alpha) \rangle$  match  $r \cos(\delta(\alpha) + 2\pi k/3)$  with  $r = 1/\sqrt{3}$  to  $< 10^{-15}$ . Circulant matrix has equal diagonals and equal off-diagonal moduli for all  $\alpha$ .  $(\sqrt{M})_{01} = (\mu/\sqrt{2}) e^{i\delta}$  verified to machine precision.

**Level selection (Proposition 8.11).**  $T^c$  identity  $(c-1)h_{\square} = c^2/24$  tested for  $\text{SU}(3)$  at  $k = 1, \dots, 12$ : holds only at  $k = 3$ . Algebraic:  $2k^2 - 7k + 3 = (2k-1)(k-3) = 0$ .

**Structural derivation (Theorem 8.14).** With  $d_{\square} = 2$  and  $N = 3$ :  $h_{\square} = (2 + d_{\square})/18 = 4/18 = 2/9$ . Predicted spectrum from hypotheses (F)+(W) (scale from  $m_{\mu}$ ):  $m_{\tau} = 1776.97 \text{ MeV}$  ( $0.9\sigma$ ),  $m_e = 0.510994 \text{ MeV}$  ( $0.001\%$ ).

**Master Identity.**  $C_2(\text{Sym}^N N) = k + h^{\vee}$  verified only at  $N = 3$ .

**Crossing–Casimir.** All three =  $2/9$  only at  $N = 3$ , for  $N = 2, \dots, 8$ .

**KZ singlet exponent.**  $\alpha_1 = -2/9$ ,  $\alpha_{\text{adj}} = 1/36$ . On  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  sphere:  $\arg((1 - \omega)^{-2/9}) = \pi/27 \neq 2/9$ .

**WZW number.**  $|z^3| = 2\sqrt{2}$ ,  $\arg(z^3) = 2/3 = Q$ . Verified  $< 10^{-15}$ .

**Circulant.** Eigenvalues of  $I + \text{Re}(zP)$  match Brannen to  $< 10^{-15}$ .

**Q decomposition.**  $1/3 + 2/6 = 2/3$ .  $\checkmark$

**OPE deficit.**  $\Delta h = 1/9$ ;  $1/3 - 1/9 = 2/9 = h_{\square}$ .  $\checkmark$

**Holonomy-conformal.**  $\sigma_{2/3} = 2/9 = h_{\square}$  at  $N = 3$ ;  $N = 2, 4, 5$  fail.

**Alcove-conformal.**  $e_2(1/3, 2/3) = 2/9$ . Cubic  $(N - 3)(3N^2 + 2N + 2)$ ; discriminant  $-20$ .  $\checkmark$

**Phase exclusion.**  $\delta = 1/9$ :  $m_{\mu}/m_e \approx 39.7$  vs  $206.8$  ( $> 100\sigma$ );  $1/9 \neq 2/9$ .

**Neutrinos.**  $\chi_{\text{min}}^2 \approx 3840$ . Shape ratio  $4.59$  vs observed  $32.6$ . Max  $Q_{\nu} = 0.585$  (NH),  $0.498$  (IH).

**Quarks.**  $Q_{\text{up}} = 0.8884 \pm 0.0013$ ,  $|Q - 8/9| = 0.3\sigma$ .

**SL(2, 3) orbifold.** Order 24; all 24 elements  $G_2$ -preserving. 4 codim-4 strata with  $\varphi(V) = +1, -1, -1, +1$ . 4 codim-7 fixed points.  $b_3 = 2$ .  $\mathbf{7} = \mathbf{2}' \oplus \mathbf{2}'' \oplus \mathbf{3}$ ;  $\langle \chi_7, \chi_1 \rangle = 0$ . All 8 order-3 in single class  $C_3$ . All 16 abelian  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  pairs:  $\dim(\cap) = 3$ .

**Determinant universality.**  $\text{SL}(2, 3)/\mathbb{Z}^7$ : 96 pairs,  $|\det| = 4$ , Smith =  $\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2)$ .  $\text{PSL}(2, 7)/A_6$ : 3024 pairs,  $|\det| = 8$ , Smith =  $\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 8)$ .

## B Catalog of Falsified Approaches

| #                                 | Approach                                       | Obstruction   | Result                 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| <i>Geometric/variational (37)</i> |                                                |               |                        |
| 1                                 | Calibration $f = \text{Re}(\Omega)/\text{vol}$ | Blindness     | $f$ indep. of $\delta$ |
| 2                                 | $G_2$ associative calibration                  | Blindness     | Cubic vertex = 0       |
| 3                                 | Heat kernel on $\text{SU}(3)/\text{SO}(3)$     | High symmetry | Min at $\pi/6$         |
| 4                                 | Coleman–Weinberg 1-loop                        | Blindness     | Leading at $\pi/6$     |
| <i>CFT/algebraic (4)</i>          |                                                |               |                        |
| 5                                 | RCFT $S$ -matrix identification                | Transcendence | Produces $\pi/9$       |
| 6                                 | Zamolodchikov $c$ -theorem                     | Numerics      | Ratio 1.14 vs 5.34     |
| 7                                 | Mass formulas in $\text{SU}(3)_3$              | Transcendence | All 5 fail             |
| 8                                 | Brieskorn sphere $\Sigma(2, 3, 7)$             | Topology      | 2 flat connections     |
| 9                                 | $m_k \sim e^{-\alpha S_{\text{CS}}}$           | Numerics      | No fit                 |

| #                                           | Approach                                               | Obstruction     | Result                                        |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 10                                          | Bohr–Sommerfeld                                        | Tautology       | $c(h - c/24) = 2/9$ is input                  |
| <i>Potential minimization</i>               |                                                        |                 |                                               |
| 11                                          | Casimir energy, 4D $\mathbb{Z}_3$ twist                | CP              | Min at $\delta = 0$                           |
| 12                                          | Casimir energy, 2D                                     | CP              | Min at $\pi/3$                                |
| 13                                          | GPY adjoint potential [16]                             | CP              | Min at $\delta = 0$                           |
| 14                                          | GPY + bosonic fundamentals                             | CP              | Min at $0, \pi/6, \pi/3$                      |
| 15                                          | GPY + fermionic fundamentals                           | CP              | Min at 0 for all $N_f$                        |
| 16                                          | SM consistency ( $\det Y_e$ )                          | None            | $\det Y_e$ unconstrained                      |
| 17                                          | Quark-sector Koide                                     | Numerics        | $Q_{\text{up}} = 0.888 \neq 2/3$              |
| 18                                          | Ray–Singer torsion on $S^1$                            | CP              | High-symmetry extrema                         |
| 19                                          | Spectral $\zeta$ on $\text{SU}(3)/\text{SO}(3)$        | CP              | Same as heat kernel                           |
| <i><math>\theta</math>-angle mechanisms</i> |                                                        |                 |                                               |
| 20                                          | Monopole-instanton, natural $\theta$                   | No match        | No natural $\theta$ gives $2/9$               |
| 21                                          | Axion relaxation of $\theta$                           | Min structure   | Relaxes to $\pi/6$                            |
| 22                                          | $\theta = \text{CS}$ of Brannen config                 | Independence    | CS indep. of $\delta$                         |
| 23                                          | Fractional $\theta = 2\pi p/(3q)$                      | Numerics        | No small $(p, q)$ match                       |
| 24                                          | Self-consistent $\theta(\delta)$                       | Non-convergence | Fixed pt at 1.27                              |
| <i>Variational/information-theoretic</i>    |                                                        |                 |                                               |
| 25                                          | Riemannian volume                                      | Numerics        | No extremum at $2/9$                          |
| 26                                          | Shannon entropy                                        | Numerics        | No extremum at $2/9$                          |
| 27                                          | Rényi entropy                                          | Numerics        | No extremum at $2/9$                          |
| 28                                          | Fisher information                                     | Numerics        | No extremum at $2/9$                          |
| 29                                          | Purity functional                                      | Numerics        | No extremum at $2/9$                          |
| 30                                          | Spectral zeta $\zeta_s(\delta)$                        | Numerics        | No extremum at $2/9$                          |
| 31                                          | Generalized Koide $Q_s$                                | Numerics        | No extremum at $2/9$                          |
| 32                                          | RG fixed point analysis                                | Numerics        | No fixed pt at $2/9$                          |
| 33                                          | Modular $T$ -invariance                                | Selection       | $\delta \in \{0, 1/3, 2/3\}$                  |
| 34                                          | Character evaluation $\mathbb{Z}_3$                    |                 | Brannen $\neq$ character                      |
| <i>Post-spectral theorem</i>                |                                                        |                 |                                               |
| 35                                          | Hessian–CFT bridge via adj in $10 \otimes 10$          | Quantum trunc.  | Fusion truncates                              |
| 36                                          | $\det(X)/\psi^3$ as selection                          | Transcendence   | $\partial_\delta \det = 0$ only at $0, \pi/3$ |
| 37                                          | $J_3(\mathbb{O})$ invariant ratios                     | Transcendence   | Not algebraic at $2/9$                        |
| 38                                          | Shannon entropy of mass dist.                          | Numerics        | No extremum                                   |
| 39                                          | Spectral–Hessian self-consistency                      | Circularity     | Ratio $\neq$ displacement                     |
| 40                                          | $\text{Gr}(3, \mathbb{R}^7)$ via $\omega \wedge e^7$   | Orthogonality   | $\omega(e_i, e_j) = 0$                        |
| 41                                          | Hessian flatness $\Leftrightarrow$ marginality         | No link         | Independent structures                        |
| 42                                          | Modular forms $\Gamma(3)$                              | No prediction   | 3 free couplings                              |
| 43                                          | Adjoint VEV direction in Cartan                        | CP              | $V(\xi) \propto \cos(3\xi)$                   |
| 44                                          | Complex Yukawa phase                                   | Wrong form      | $ Y ^2 \neq$ Brannen                          |
| 45                                          | Conformal bootstrap                                    | Circular        | Uses $h_\square$                              |
| 46                                          | 't Hooft anomaly matching                              | Topological     | Independent of $\delta$                       |
| 47                                          | M2-brane instanton, single modulus                     | Overconstrained | Forces $\zeta = 0$                            |
| 48                                          | Flux quantization $\theta_c = 2\pi/144$                | Numerics        | $\delta_{\text{min}} = 0.2221$                |
| 49                                          | Anomaly-generated $\theta_F$ in Sumino                 | Wrong scale     | Cancels with quarks                           |
| <i>Topological/CS identification</i>        |                                                        |                 |                                               |
| 50                                          | CS phase $\Theta = 2\pi h_\square$ : $\delta = \Theta$ | $2\pi$ mismatch | $\Theta = 4\pi/9 \neq 2/9$                    |
| 51                                          | Holonomy quantization $H_A = 2\pi h_\square$           | Same            | Holonomy phase                                |
| 52                                          | M-theory $C_3$ period as angle                         | Same            | $2\pi$ persists                               |

| #                                                               | Approach                                                           | Obstruction            | Result                                                            |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 53                                                              | Partition function $Z(\tau) \sim e^{2\pi i h}$                     | Same                   | Phase $\neq$ number                                               |
| 54                                                              | $\delta = \Theta/(2\pi)$ : rescale                                 | Unphysical             | $\sqrt{m_1} < 0$                                                  |
| 55                                                              | Hessian flatness $\Leftrightarrow$ CFT marginality                 | No link                | Independent                                                       |
| 56                                                              | $\delta = 4\pi/9$ in Brannen                                       | Unphysical             | $\theta_1 < 0$                                                    |
| 57                                                              | Vacuum alignment principle                                         | Not a derivation       | Restates conjecture                                               |
| <i>Perturbative CFT/CS mechanisms</i>                           |                                                                    |                        |                                                                   |
| 58                                                              | $\text{Tr}(\Phi^4) = \text{const}$ in WZW                          | Quantum trunc.         | Fusion truncates quartic                                          |
| 59                                                              | Braiding phase $ R_1 /(2\pi)$                                      | $2\pi$ mismatch        | $= e^{2\pi i h}$ , not $h$                                        |
| 60                                                              | Fusion matrix eigenvalue                                           | Algebraic              | Cyclotomic                                                        |
| 61                                                              | Verlinde formula ratio                                             | Algebraic              | $S_{\lambda\mu}/S_{00}$ algebraic                                 |
| 62                                                              | Modular $T$ -matrix diagonal                                       | $2\pi$ mismatch        | $T = e^{2\pi i(h-c/24)}$                                          |
| 63                                                              | KZ exponent on $\mathbb{Z}_3$ sphere/torus                         | Geometry               | $\arg((1-\omega)^{-h}) \neq h$                                    |
| <i>Sessions 1–24 additions</i>                                  |                                                                    |                        |                                                                   |
| 64                                                              | Monopole-instanton $\theta_F$ fix (5 mech.)                        | Lateral                | $\theta_c$ undetermined                                           |
| 65                                                              | Orbifold codim-7 from Joyce Ex. 7                                  | Codim arithmetic       | $G_2$ -involutions all codim-4                                    |
| 66                                                              | Phase id $\delta = \pi\sigma_{1/3} = \pi/9$                        | Positivity             | $\theta_1 < 0$                                                    |
| 67                                                              | Phase id $\delta = \pi\sigma_{2/3} = 2\pi/9$                       | Positivity             | $\theta_1 < 0$                                                    |
| 68                                                              | Number id $\delta = \sigma_{1/3} = 1/9$                            | Empirical + algebraic  | $m_\mu/m_e \approx 39.7$                                          |
| 69                                                              | CW on $z$ -plane ( $c_B/c_F < 1$ )                                 | Numerics               | Min at $\delta \rightarrow 0$                                     |
| 70                                                              | CW on $z$ -plane (Sumino DOF)                                      | Numerics               | $\delta_{\min} \approx 0.252$                                     |
| 71                                                              | Abelian codim-7 ( $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \subset G_2$ ) | Geometry               | $\dim(\cap) = 3$                                                  |
| 72                                                              | Single-system $N_{\text{gen}} = 3$                                 | Arithmetic             | $ \det  = 2^k$ universal                                          |
| <i>Session 26 additions</i>                                     |                                                                    |                        |                                                                   |
| 73                                                              | 9-instanton potential $\cos(9\Theta)$                              | Wrong extremum         | Min at $\pi/9 \neq 2/9$ ; max at $\delta = 2/9$                   |
| 74                                                              | Wilson loop framing $f = c = 4$                                    | Parameter displacement | $f = 4$ has no geometric justification                            |
| <i><math>G_2</math> compactification and potential analysis</i> |                                                                    |                        |                                                                   |
| 75                                                              | Democratic $N_{\text{gen}}$ on Eisenstein $\text{CY}_3$            | Arithmetic             | $\alpha\beta\gamma = 1, \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$ : no integer |
| 76                                                              | $\delta$ from $\mathbb{Z}_3$ fixed-point geometry                  | Niven                  | All angles $\propto \pi/6$ ; $2/9 \neq q\pi$                      |
| 77                                                              | Two-harmonic monopole at $\theta = \pi$                            | Not global min         | $V''(2/9) > 0$ local min, but global min                          |
| 78                                                              | CW potential alone                                                 | Wrong sign             | $a_6^{(\text{ln})} = -0.086 < 0$ ; $ a_3/a_6  \approx 240$        |
| 79                                                              | Combined monopole + CW                                             | Parameter fitting      | 3 params for 1 datum = pure fit                                   |
| <i>Quantum CS (1)</i>                                           |                                                                    |                        |                                                                   |
| 80                                                              | CS holonomy quantization on $T^2$                                  | Uniform distribution   | $ S_{\square,\alpha} ^2 = 1/9$ for all non-adj $\alpha$ ; no s    |

Total: 80 falsified approaches.

## References

- [1] Y. Koide, New formula for the Cabibbo angle and composite quarks and leptons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 1241 (1981); Lett. Nuovo Cim. **34**, 201 (1982).
- [2] C. A. Brannen, Koide mass formulas and the democratic matrix, viXra:0903.0013 (2009).
- [3] C. A. Brannen, The lepton masses, viXra:0604.0133 (2006).
- [4] S. Navas et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D **110**, 030001 (2024).

- [5] Y. Sumino, Family gauge symmetry as an origin of Koide's mass formula, *Phys. Lett. B* **671**, 477 (2009) [arXiv:0812.2103].
- [6] M. Günaydin and F. Gürsey, *J. Math. Phys.* **14**, 1651 (1973).
- [7] P. Jordan, J. von Neumann, and E. Wigner, *Ann. Math.* **35**, 29 (1934).
- [8] R. L. Bryant, Some remarks on  $G_2$ -structures, *Proc. Gökova* (2005).
- [9] I. Esteban et al., NuFIT 5.3, [www.nu-fit.org](http://www.nu-fit.org) (2024).
- [10] B. S. Acharya and E. Witten, M-theory compactification on  $G_2$  manifolds, *hep-th/0109152* (2001).
- [11] M. Ünsal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 032005 (2008) [arXiv:0711.2803].
- [12] M. Ünsal and L. G. Yaffe, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 065035 (2008).
- [13] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu, and D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory*, Springer (1997).
- [14] P. Bantay, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **233**, 423 (2003).
- [15] J. Fuchs, *Affine Lie Algebras and Quantum Groups*, Cambridge (1995).
- [16] D. J. Gross, R. D. Pisarski, and L. G. Yaffe, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **53**, 43 (1981).
- [17] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, *Acta Math.* **148**, 47 (1982).
- [18] V. G. Knizhnik and A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Nucl. Phys. B* **247**, 83 (1984).
- [19] I. Niven, *Irrational Numbers*, Carus Mathematical Monographs No. 11, MAA (1956).
- [20] H. Harari, H. Haut, and J. Weyers, *Phys. Lett. B* **78**, 459 (1978).
- [21] H. Fritzsch, *Phys. Lett. B* **184**, 391 (1987).
- [22] Y. Koide, *hep-ph/0005137* (2000).
- [23] D. D. Joyce, Compact Riemannian 7-manifolds with holonomy  $G_2$ . I, II, *J. Diff. Geom.* **43**, 291 and 329 (1996).