Dimensional Accessibility, Proportional Distribution, and Alignment as Conditions for Resonance in Complex Systems

Doug Hoffman

February 27, 2026

Abstract

Complex systems across physical, biological, computational, organizational, economic, and quantum domains can achieve **resonance** (coherent amplification with bounded adaptability) through a recurring structural architecture when three conditions jointly obtain within a ternary continuum (continuous state space bounded by functional poles):

1. **D**: Dimensional freedom (accessible intermediate states)
2. **P**: Proportional distribution (balanced energy/influence allocation)
3. **A**: Alignment (phase, directional, and incentive coherence)

The multiplicative relationship $\mathbf{R} \propto \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{A}$ implies that significant degradation of any component reduces overall resonant stability and increases susceptibility to systemic collapse. This framework stratifies dynamical regimes from static order (D≈0) through chaotic complexity (medium A) to periodic resonance (A≈1), correctly diagnosing failure modes across multiple domains: vanishing gradients (neural nets), trophic cascades (ecology), misaligned incentives (organizations), decoherence (quantum), and phase mismatch (physics).

Resonance is not inherent but emergent, operating at multiple scales and contexts. Systems can transition between regimes by redefining functional poles under stress, as seen in bait ball formation. The DPA architecture offers a domain-general diagnostic for system health, predicting phase boundaries and multiplicative fragility without parameter tuning.

Keywords: resonance, complex systems, dimensional freedom, proportional distribution, feedback alignment, ternary continuum, multiplicative dynamics, self-correction, phase transitions, cross-domain framework

1 Introduction

Resonant behavior, broadly understood as coherent amplification with bounded adaptability, appears in a wide range of complex systems, from physical and biological to computational, organizational, economic, and quantum settings. Despite this recurrence, there is no simple, domain-independent diagnostic for when a system will exhibit such resonance, nor a common structural language that spans these fields.

This paper proposes a minimal architecture for resonance based on three structural conditions: dimensional freedom (D), proportional distribution (P), and alignment (A). These conditions are formalized in a multiplicative relationship $R \propto D \times P \times A$ and are argued to stratify dynamical regimes from static order through chaotic complexity to coherent resonance, connecting classical work on deterministic chaos and synchronization [1, 2] with contemporary models in machine learning and ecology [3, 4]. The aim is not to replace domain-specific models, but to offer a unifying heuristic that highlights shared failure modes and stability conditions across otherwise disparate systems.

2 Core Definitions

D (Dimensional Freedom) Sufficient dimensional accessibility: a continuous state space bounded by functional poles and constituted by the accessible range of intermediate states, enabling expressive variation without compression into rigid binaries.

P (Proportional Distribution) Proportionate distribution of energy, influence, or information among interacting components, shaped by variance limits that prevent overload or underutilization while matching the system's actual conditions.

A (Alignment) Constructive coupling among interacting elements: the degree to which phase/timing, directional, or incentive coherence are aligned and mutually reinforcing across the range of interacting agents, evaluated relative to preserving D and P.

R (Resonance) An emergent dynamical regime—not inherent to the architecture—characterized by coherent amplification, inherent self-correction, and bounded adaptive stability through internal feedback. The extent to which a system sustains self-reinforcing interaction patterns across its state space. Due to the multiplicative relationship $R \propto D \times P \times A$, resonance collapses or degrades proportionally with the failure of any single factor.

3 Cross-Domain Validation

Domain	Low R	Medium R	High R
Neural Nets	Binary nets (D=0)	Vanilla deep net	Transformer w/norm
Organizations	Siloed corp (P=0)	Post-merger	Flywheel startup
Ecology	Monocrop (D=0)	Overfished	Coral reef
Physics	Mistuned osc. (A=0)	**Lorenz**	Phase-locked lasers
Economics	Zombie firms (P=0)	Bubble	Bull market
Quantum	Decohered (D=0)	GHZ state	BEC condensate
Flocking	Foraging	—	Bait ball

Table 1: DPA framework stratifies dynamical regimes across domains. The examples in Table 1 are illustrative, aligning the DPA conditions with well-studied phenomena such as deterministic non periodic flow, phase synchronization, deep learning optimization pathologies, trophic cascades in ecological networks, and synergetic pattern formation in physical and biological systems.

4 Discussion

The multiplicative relationship implies that significant degradation of any component reduces overall resonant stability: vanishing gradients, trophic cascades, incentive misalignment, and decoherence. Systems transition between regimes by redefining functional poles under stress, maintaining DPA balance within new operating contexts.

Acknowledgments

None.

References

1. E. N. Lorenz, “Deterministic nonperiodic flow,” *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 130–141, 1963.
2. Y. Kuramoto, *Chemical Oscillations, Waves, and Turbulence*. Springer, 1984.
3. I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, *Deep Learning*. MIT Press, 2016.
4. R. T. Paine, “Food web complexity and species diversity,” *The American Naturalist*, vol. 100, no. 910, pp. 65–75, 1966.
5. H. Haken, *Synergetics: An Introduction*. Springer, 1983.