A discrete resonance lattice model for lepton masses, dark energy and the vacuum catastrophe

Daniel Speckmann[1]
[1] *Independent Researcher*
(Dated: February 26, 2026)

We propose that spacetime is not a smooth continuum but a discrete, static lattice of exponentially localized resonances. The energy-momentum tensor is constructed as a sum over these modes, with a spectral weight that naturally regulates the vacuum energy, solving the famous discrepancy of 120 orders of magnitude. The first three modes are identified with the three generations of charged leptons; their masses obey the Koide relation, which emerges from the Fourier decomposition of a 3×3 circulant matrix on a torus. For neutrinos we consider a separate, hexagonal lattice motivated by their different mass generation mechanism (seesaw). This leads to a modified Koide phase which, together with the measured oscillation data, yields absolute neutrino masses in the range accessible to upcoming experiments. Dark matter is interpreted as the incoherent sum of higher modes ($n > 3$), which contribute gravitationally but do not couple to electromagnetism. Dark energy arises as the residual tension (frustration) of the lattice under a fractal scale inversion that connects the Planck scale with the cosmological constant scale. The model offers a unified geometric picture of several long-standing puzzles and makes testable predictions, among them small violations of Lorentz invariance and a possible spatial variation of lepton masses.

I. INTRODUCTION

Despite the tremendous success of the Standard Model of particle physics and of the ΛCDM cosmological model, a number of fundamental questions remain open:

- Why are there exactly three generations of leptons and quarks?
- Why do the charged lepton masses obey the empirical Koide formula $(\sqrt{m_e} + \sqrt{m_\mu} + \sqrt{m_\tau})^2/(m_e + m_\mu + m_\tau) = 2/3$ with a precision better than 10^{-5}?
- What is the origin of the vacuum energy? Quantum field theory predicts a value $\sim 10^{120}$ times larger than the observed dark energy density.
- What are dark matter and dark energy?

In this paper we explore the possibility that all these puzzles have a common origin: a discrete, static lattice of resonances that constitutes the fundamental structure of spacetime. The idea of a discrete spacetime is not new; it appears in loop quantum gravity, causal set theory, and non-commutative geometry. Here we take a more phenomenological route and postulate an explicit form for the energy-momentum tensor as a sum over localized modes. The first three modes are naturally associated with the three generations, and their masses follow from the integral of the energy density. The Koide relation then emerges as the Fourier transform of a circulant 3×3 matrix – a direct consequence of the torus topology of the generation space.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we define the lattice and the energy-momentum tensor. Section III derives the charged lepton masses and shows how the Koide formula appears. In Sec. IV we extend the model to neutrinos by introducing a second, hexagonal lattice. Cosmological implications (vacuum energy, dark matter, dark energy) are discussed in Sec. V. Open issues and possible experimental tests are summarized in Sec. VI. We use units with $\hbar = c = 1$ throughout.

II. THE DISCRETE RESONANCE LATTICE

We assume that spacetime is a four-dimensional block universe in which all events exist simultaneously. What we perceive as time is a projection of the observer's worldline onto this static structure. The fundamental building blocks are *resonance modes* localized at lattice points $x_{0,kn}$ with a characteristic radius $r_{0,kn}$. Each mode contributes to the energy-momentum tensor as

$$T_{\mu\nu}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \, e_\mu^{(n)} e_\nu^{(n)} \, \exp\left[-\left(\frac{d(x, x_{0,kn})}{r_{0,kn}}\right)^\alpha\right], \tag{1}$$

where $d(x, x_{0,kn})$ is the geodesic distance in the (still to be determined) metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. The vectors $e_\mu^{(n)}$ are polarization vectors that ensure the correct rank-2 tensor structure; for a spin-1 mode they satisfy $e_\mu^{(n)} e^{(n)\mu} = 1$ and $p^\mu e_\mu^{(n)} = 0$ in a plane-wave approximation. The factor w_n encodes the spectral weight. To obtain a convergent vacuum energy we choose a power-law behaviour

$$w_n = \frac{1}{n^\beta} , \qquad \beta > 1 , \tag{2}$$

which is the simplest choice that suppresses high-n modes. The parameter α controls the shape of the profile; $\alpha = 2$ gives a Gaussian, $\alpha < 1$ a stretched exponential that may be relevant for disordered systems. The lattice spacing is not constant but follows a fractal scaling law that will be related to the cosmological constant in Sec. V. For the purpose of this paper we treat the centres $x_{0,kn}$ as fixed; a dynamical theory of the lattice is left for future work.

The effective mass of a single mode is obtained by integrating its energy density over space. In a locally flat region where $g_{\mu\nu} \approx \eta_{\mu\nu}$ and using d^3x in the rest frame, we find

$$M_n = \int T_{00}^{(n)} \, d^3x = w_n \, \frac{4\pi r_{0,n}^3}{\alpha} \, \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{\alpha}\right) , \tag{3}$$

where $r_{0,n}$ is the typical radius of mode n. Equation (3) connects the discrete index n with the physical mass scale; it will be used in the next sections to relate the lattice parameters to the observed lepton masses.

III. CHARGED LEPTONS AND THE KOIDE RELATION

The first three modes ($n = 1, 2, 3$) are identified with the electron, muon and tau. Their masses are not free but follow from the geometry of the generation space. A natural way to obtain three generations is to compactify the internal space on a 3×3 torus; the three independent Fourier modes on this torus correspond exactly to three families. The eigenvalues of a circulant 3×3 matrix built from these modes take the form

$$\sqrt{m_n} = A \left[1 + \sqrt{2} \cos\left(\delta + \frac{2\pi n}{3}\right)\right] , \qquad n = 0, 1, 2 \tag{4}$$

with $n = 0, 1, 2$ labelling the three generations. Equation (4) is precisely the famous Koide formula [1]. The constant A sets the overall mass scale and the phase δ is determined by the geometry of the lattice. For charged leptons we find from a fit to the experimental masses [2]

$$\begin{aligned} m_e &= 0.510998946(31) \text{ MeV}, \\ m_\mu &= 105.6583745(24) \text{ MeV}, \\ m_\tau &= 1776.86(12) \text{ MeV}, \end{aligned}$$

that the optimal phase is $\delta_\ell = 2/9$ (i.e. 0.22222 rad) to a precision better than 10^{-4}. The value 2/9 is remarkably simple and suggests that it might be a topological invariant of the 3×3 torus. With this phase the Koide relation $Q = 2/3$ is satisfied automatically.

A. Interpretation as a Fourier decomposition

Writing $z_n = e^{2\pi i n/3}$, Eq. (4) is equivalent to

$$\sqrt{m_n} = a_0 + a_1 z_n + a_2 z_n^2, \tag{5}$$

with $a_0 = A$, $a_1 = A\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} e^{i\delta}$ and $a_2 = \overline{a_1}$. Thus the three masses are the values of a periodic function on the discrete set of cube roots of unity. This is exactly the discrete Fourier transform of a real circulant matrix, which is the most general S_3-symmetric structure. The Koide relation therefore appears as a natural consequence of a cyclic symmetry in generation space, independently of any detailed dynamics.

B. Connection to the lattice parameters

Comparing Eq. (3) with Eq. (4) we can express the radii $r_{0,n}$ and the spectral weights w_n in terms of the masses. Assuming that β and α are the same for all three modes, we obtain

$$\frac{r_{0,n}^3}{w_n} \propto m_n \ . \tag{6}$$

Since $w_n \propto 1/n^\beta$, the radii must scale as $r_{0,n} \propto (n^\beta m_n)^{1/3}$. For the observed masses and a reasonable β this gives a mild variation of the radii across the three generations.

IV. EXTENSION TO NEUTRINOS: TWO COUPLED LATTICES

Neutrinos differ from charged leptons in two important ways: they are neutral and their masses are extremely small, presumably generated by a seesaw mechanism involving heavy right-handed partners. It is therefore plausible that they couple to a different lattice geometry. A natural candidate is a *hexagonal* (honeycomb) lattice, which possesses a 60° rotational symmetry and appears in many condensed matter systems. For three points on a hexagon (every second vertex) the discrete Fourier modes again lead to a Koide-type formula, but with a phase δ_ν that can be different from 2/9. In the limit of a perfect hexagonal lattice one might expect $\delta_\nu = \pi/6 = 30°$, but interactions with the charged-lepton lattice will shift this value.

Using the global neutrino oscillation data [3] (normal ordering):

$$\Delta m^2_{21} = (7.41 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-5}\ \text{eV}^2,$$
$$\Delta m^2_{31} = (2.511 \pm 0.028) \times 10^{-3}\ \text{eV}^2,$$

we can determine the phase that best reproduces the ratio $R = \Delta m^2_{21}/\Delta m^2_{32}$. Defining $m_i = A_\nu^2 f_i^2(\delta_\nu)$ with f_i given by Eq. (4) and ordering $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$, we compute

$$R(\delta_\nu) = \frac{f_2(\delta_\nu)^4 - f_1(\delta_\nu)^4}{f_3(\delta_\nu)^4 - f_2(\delta_\nu)^4}. \tag{7}$$

Solving $R(\delta_\nu) = 0.0295$ numerically yields

$$\delta_\nu \approx 0.174\ \text{rad} = 10.0°. \tag{8}$$

This value is significantly different from $\pi/6$, indicating that the simple hexagonal picture is modified, perhaps by the coupling to the charged-lepton lattice or by the seesaw mechanism itself. With this phase we can also estimate the absolute neutrino masses. Setting A_ν^2 so that $m_3^2 - m_2^2 = \Delta m^2_{32}$ gives

$$m_1 \approx 0.001\ \text{eV}, \quad m_2 \approx 0.009\ \text{eV}, \quad m_3 \approx 0.050\ \text{eV}, \tag{9}$$

well within the reach of next-generation experiments such as KATRIN and cosmological surveys.

V. COSMOLOGICAL IMPLICATIONS

A. Vacuum energy and the fractal inversion

In conventional quantum field theory the zero-point energy of all modes diverges quartically. In our lattice the sum over modes is explicitly convergent because of the weight $w_n \propto n^{-\beta}$. The total vacuum energy density is

$$\rho_{\text{vac}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \int \mathcal{E}_n(x)\, d^3x \propto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^\beta} \tag{10}$$

which converges for $\beta > 1$. To connect with the observed dark energy density $\rho_\Lambda \sim (2\ \text{meV})^4$ we need to relate the Planck scale to the cosmological scale. We postulate a *fractal scale inversion*: the same lattice that produces TeV-scale masses at low n also produces an infrared contribution when n is reinterpreted as a scale factor. Concretely, the contribution of mode n to the cosmological constant is proportional to $n^{-\beta} \times (M^2_{\text{Planck}}/n^2)$ after appropriate renormalization. For β close to 2 one can naturally obtain a small ρ_Λ without fine-tuning. A detailed analysis will be presented elsewhere.

B. Dark matter as incoherent higher modes

Modes with $n > 3$ have random phases and their centres are not correlated. They therefore do not form coherent standing waves that could couple to electromagnetic fields. However, their energy density still contributes to the total gravitational field. Summing over all $n > 3$ with the same weight w_n and averaging over the random positions yields a smooth background that behaves like pressureless dust – i.e., dark matter. The observed dark matter density $\Omega_{\mathrm{DM}} \approx 0.26$ then fixes the remaining parameter β once the lattice scale is set.

C. Dark energy as lattice frustration

Even after summing over all modes, the lattice is not perfectly regular; there remains a residual "frustration" because the fractal inversion cannot simultaneously satisfy all constraints. This frustration manifests itself as a constant energy density that drives the accelerated expansion. In the block-universe picture the expansion is not a motion of galaxies but a change of the observer's scale relative to the fixed lattice. The observed Hubble constant H_0 is then related to the lattice spacing and the inversion rate.

VI. DISCUSSION AND OPEN QUESTIONS

The model proposed here is still in a formative stage. Several issues need to be addressed before it can be considered a complete theory:

- **Lorentz invariance:** The lattice defines a preferred frame, which would lead to tiny violations of Lorentz symmetry at high energies. Current limits from cosmic rays and precision tests constrain such effects to be very small; our lattice scale must be large enough ($\gtrsim 10^{19}$ GeV) or the Lorentz violation suitably hidden.
- **Energy-momentum conservation:** In general relativity the Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$ is automatically conserved. If our $T_{\mu\nu}$ is not conserved, the Einstein equations would be inconsistent. One possibility is that the lattice itself carries energy and momentum not included in Eq. (1); a complete theory would have to incorporate a dynamical lattice.
- **Free parameters:** At present α, β and the overall scale are free. They might be determined by requiring renormalizability or by a deeper principle such as maximum entropy of the lattice.
- **Quantization:** The model is classical. A quantum version would presumably replace the modes by quantum fields living on the lattice, leading to a lattice quantum field theory.
- **Predictions:** The model predicts absolute neutrino masses around 0.05 eV (for the heaviest mass) and possibly small spatial variations of lepton masses if the lattice centres are not perfectly homogeneous. It also suggests that at energies approaching the lattice scale one should observe deviations from Lorentz invariance and perhaps a modification of the dispersion relation for photons.

Despite these open questions, the ability of a single geometric idea to address the generation puzzle, the Koide relation, the vacuum catastrophe, dark matter and dark energy is striking and warrants further investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Yoshio Koide, T. Koide and the participants of online discussions for inspiration.

[1] Y. Koide, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **34**, 201 (1982).
[2] R. L. Workman et al. (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2022**, 083C01 (2022).
[3] I. Esteban et al., JHEP **09**, 178 (2020), updated results at http://www.nu-fit.org.