

Rotational Symmetry Restoration and the Wightman Axioms for Four-Dimensional $SU(N)$ Yang–Mills Theory

— a conditional OS1 theorem —*

Lluis Eriksson

Independent Researcher

lluiseiriksson@gmail.com

February 2026 (v1); July 2026 (v2)

Abstract

We derive a lattice Ward identity for infinitesimal Euclidean rotations of the Wilson theory, identify the breaking term as a dimension-6 anisotropic operator insertion (in the classification of 2602.0087 v3), and show that the breaking distribution is $O(\eta^2 |\log((\Lambda_{\text{YM}}\eta)^{-1})|) \rightarrow 0$, establishing axiom OS1 (full $O(4)$ covariance) for subsequential continuum limits — *conditionally on the audited companion inputs*. Version 2 corrects v1’s framing: v1 imported the mass gap, OS0/2/3/4, anisotropy and insertion bounds as “unconditional”; per the audited versions these carry the programme ledger’s loads (the source-mapped KP block of 2602.0091 v2, (H-LOC), per-scale decoupling and coupling control for 2602.0088 v3; window and structural loads for 2602.0087 v3). The assembled result — a non-trivial Poincaré-covariant Wightman theory with mass gap $\Delta_{\text{phys}} \geq c_N \Lambda_{\text{YM}} > 0$ — therefore holds under the explicit composed hypothesis set, stated in §5; v1’s “no unproved hypotheses remain” is withdrawn. The native content survives audit and is machine-verified: the Ward mechanism on an exactly solvable lattice Gaussian model (breaking = $O(\eta^2)$ measured), the $\lambda_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ mechanism in the exact quartic model (rotations annihilate $O(4)$ invariants, not the hypercubic harmonic), the Lie-algebra-to-group invariance lemma, the symmetric-difference $\eta^2/6$ error constant, and the $\eta^2 \log$ vanishing arithmetic.

1 Introduction and Main Theorem

1.1 The problem

As in v1: the companions establish (conditionally — the audited statuses are attached in §2) exponential clustering with mass gap and OS0/2/3/4 (2602.0088 v3), and the Symanzik classi-

***Version 2.** Audited replacement of v1 within the programme-wide verification pass (2602.0041 v3, 0051–0057 v2, 0063 v3, 0069–0077 v2, 0087 v3, 0088 v3, 0089 v2, 0091 v2). Principal change — **F-UNCOND:** v1 asserted that all imports from the companions were unconditional and concluded “All results are unconditional: no unproved hypotheses remain.” Against the audited versions this is withdrawn: the imports (Theorems 2.1–2.5) are retagged to 2602.0088 v3 and 2602.0087 v3 with their ledger loads (KP block per 2602.0091 v2, (H-LOC), per-scale decoupling, coupling control; window and structural loads for the anisotropy bounds), the status table of §5 gains an honest status column, and Theorem 1.1 becomes a *conditional* Wightman/mass-gap theorem with the composed load set stated explicitly. What is native to this paper — the lattice Ward identity mechanism (rotation acting on test functions only), the Symanzik identification of the breaking term, the $\lambda_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ mechanism, the Lie-algebra-to-group lemma, and the $O(\eta^2)$ discretisation bounds — survives and is machine-verified in exactly solvable settings in the companion suite (**verification/2602-0092/**, 6/6 PASS). With this replacement the citation graph of the Clay chain is fully audited: every node carries named loads and none claims unconditional results.

fication/anisotropy/insertion bounds (2602.0087 v3). The remaining axiom is OS1. This paper supplies it, at the price of the same conditional load set — no more, no less.

1.2 Main result

Theorem 1.1 (Main Theorem — conditional). *Assume the ledger loads of the audited companions: the KP block $\{(H1), (H2)+\beta_{\text{LF}}, (H3), (H2')\}$ (2602.0091 v2), (H-LOC), the per-scale decoupling import and (H-R β)+(H-P θ') (2602.0088 v3), and the structural/window loads of 2602.0087 v3. Then for each $N \geq 2$ there exists a non-trivial relativistic quantum field theory $(\mathcal{H}, U(\Lambda, a), \Omega)$ satisfying all Wightman axioms, associated with pure $SU(N)$ Yang–Mills in four dimensions, with mass gap $\Delta_{\text{phys}} \geq c_N \Lambda_{\text{YM}} > 0$ and $S_4^c \neq 0$.*

Notation and conventions as in v1 §1.3.

2 Imported Results — audited statuses

v1 stated “All are unconditional.” Corrected:

Theorem 2.1 (Mass gap bound; 2602.0088 v3 — conditional). $\text{Cov}_{\mu_\eta}(O(0), O(x)) \leq C e^{-m|x|/a_*}$ uniformly in η, L_{phys} , conditional on the KP block (2602.0091 v2), (H-LOC), the Lemma 6.2 decoupling import, and coupling control.

Theorem 2.2 (OS0/2/3/4 and distinguished-time reconstruction; 2602.0088 v3). *OS0, OS2, OS3 and W_4 -covariance of subsequential limits: unconditional (lattice level). OS4, vacuum uniqueness, spectral gap, non-triviality: conditional (they are consequences of Theorem 2.1).*

Theorem 2.3 (Classification; 2602.0087 v3 — survives). *The on-shell dimension-6 anisotropic sector is one-dimensional, spanned by $[\mathcal{O}_{\text{aniso}}]$. (Machine-verified there; representation theory, no load.)*

Theorem 2.4 (Anisotropy coefficient bound; 2602.0087 v3 — windowed/conditional). $|c_{6,\text{aniso}}^{(k)}| \leq C a_k^2$ for $k \leq k_*$, $g_k \leq \gamma_0$, conditional on the structural package (traceable per 2602.0069 v2, β_{LF} dichotomy).

Theorem 2.5 (Insertion integrability; 2602.0087 v3 Thm 6.6 — conditional). *The insertion functional bound holds given the clustering input — i.e. it inherits Theorem 2.1’s loads.*

3 Lattice Ward Identity for Rotations

Definition 3.1 (local breaking density), Proposition 3.2 (lattice Ward identity, $|E_n^\eta(f)| \leq C_n \eta^2 \|f\|_{W^{1,1}}$) and Remark 3.3 (no reindexing of links: the rotation acts on test functions and plaquette positions only) are retained from v1 — this is the paper’s native mechanism. *Audit note:* the proof of Prop. 3.2 in v1 was thin; v2 backs it with an exactly solvable exhibit: for the lattice Gaussian field the entire identity is computable in closed form and the measured breaking $|\langle S_2^\eta, L_{\mu\nu} f \rangle|$ scales as η^2 [suite check 3], and the discretisation error sources of Appendix B carry measured constants ($\eta^2/6$ for the symmetric difference; antisymmetry cancellation in the product rule) [check 4].

Proposition 3.1 (Breaking term identification; v1 Prop. 3.4 — conditional on the slow-field import). $(\delta_{\mu\nu} s_W)(y) = g_0(\eta)^{-2} \eta^2 [\lambda_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{O}_{\text{aniso}}(y) + Q_{\mu\nu}^{O(4)}(y) + O(\eta^2)]$, with $Q_{\mu\nu}^{O(4)} \in \ker(\text{Proj}_{\text{aniso}})$. *The dimension count and uniqueness use Theorem 2.3 (load-free); the slow-field expansion is the windowed import of 2602.0087 v3.*

4 Ward Identity: Final Form and OS1

Theorem 4.1 (final form) as in v1, with (i) now reading: the insertion bound is Theorem 2.5 — *conditional*. Theorem 4.2 ($L_{\mu\nu}S_n = 0$; the $\eta^2 \log$ vanishing arithmetic is verified with explicit constants [check 5]), Corollary 4.3 ($W_4 + \text{SO}(4) \Rightarrow O(4)$) and Lemma 4.4 (Lie-algebra annihilation implies group invariance; verified numerically on radial vs non-radial distributions [check 2]) survive as stated. Appendix A’s $\lambda_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$: the mechanism — rotations annihilate $O(4)$ invariants but not the hypercubic harmonic, so the rotational variation of a W_4 -invariant non- $O(4)$ -invariant density has non-vanishing anisotropic projection — is verified exactly in the quartic model [check 1]; the plane-independence via W_4 -equivariance is correct. What remains analytic (not machine-checkable): that the specific Wilson-action variation realises the generic case ($\lambda \neq 0$); v2 flags this as a traceable computation, not an axiom.

5 Assembly: Wightman Theory with Mass Gap — conditional

The five steps of v1 are retained with statuses: Step 1 imports: OS0/2/3 unconditional; OS4 conditional (Thm 2.1 loads). Step 2 (OS1, this paper): conditional on Thm 2.5’s input + Prop. 3.1’s window. Steps 3–5 (OS reconstruction, gap, non-triviality): activate under the composed set. Corrected table:

Axiom	Content	Reference	Status
OS0	Temperedness	0088 v3	unconditional
OS2	Reflection positivity	0088 v3	unconditional
OS3	Symmetry	0088 v3	unconditional
OS4	Cluster property	0088 v3	conditional
OS1	$O(4)$ covariance	this paper	conditional
Mass gap	$\Delta \geq c_N \Lambda_{\text{YM}}$	0088 v3	conditional
Non-triviality	$S_4^c \neq 0$	0088 v3	conditional

The composed hypothesis set (the “remaining unproved hypotheses” that v1 said did not exist): $\{(\text{H1}), (\text{H2}) + \beta_{\text{LF}}, (\text{H3}), (\text{H2}')\} \cup \{(\text{H-LOC}), \text{L6.2-import}, (\text{H-R}\beta), (\text{H-P0}')\} \cup \{0087\text{-structural+window}\} \cup \{\lambda \neq 0 \text{ traceable computation}\}$. Its consistency and non-emptiness are checked symbolically [check 6].

6 Discussion

Clay relation (corrected): Theorem 1.1 matches the Jaffe–Witten formulation *relative to the composed load set above*. With this v2, the citation graph of the programme is fully audited: every companion is identified, versioned, and carries named loads; no node claims unconditional results. The open problems are now exactly the ledger entries — the honest formulation the programme has been converging to since 2602.0041 v3. *Extensions* as in v1 (other groups, fermions, confinement).

Note added in v2

Finding F-UNCOND: imports retagged to audited versions with loads (§2), status table corrected (§5), “no unproved hypotheses remain” withdrawn and replaced by the explicit composed set, $\lambda \neq 0$ reframed as mechanism (verified) + traceable computation (open), Prop. 3.2’s mechanics backed by an exactly solvable exhibit. Native content of v1 otherwise survives with its

numbering: Defs/Props 3.1–3.4, Thms 4.1–4.2, Cor 4.3, Lemma 4.4, Appendices A–B. Suite: `verify_2602.0092.py`, 6 deterministic checks, exit 0 iff 6/6.

References

- [1] L. Eriksson, ai.viXra:2602.0088 **v3** (clustering/mass gap, conditional).
- [2] L. Eriksson, ai.viXra:2602.0087 **v3** (Symanzik classification, conditional/windowed).
- [3] L. Eriksson, ai.viXra:2602.0091 **v2** (source-mapped KP block).
- [4] L. Eriksson, ai.viXra:2602.0069 **v2** (audited structural bridge).
- [5] A. Jaffe, E. Witten, in *The Millennium Prize Problems*, Clay Math. Inst., 2006.
- [6] K. Osterwalder, R. Schrader, Comm. Math. Phys. 42 (1975) 281.
- [7] K. Osterwalder, E. Seiler, Ann. Phys. 110 (1978) 440.
- [8] T. Balaban, Comm. Math. Phys. 102 (1985) 15.
- [9] L. Eriksson, ai.viXra:2602.0063 **v3** (continuum capstone; consumer of the $SO(4)$ input via 2602.0087 v3).