Rotational Symmetry Restoration and the Wightman Axioms for Four-Dimensional $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ Yang–Mills Theory

Lluis Eriksson

Independent Researcher

lluiseriksson@gmail.com

February 2026

Abstract

We complete the rigorous construction of four-dimensional Euclidean $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ Yang–Mills quantum field theory and establish the existence of a mass gap. Building on the companion papers [1, 2]—which unconditionally establish exponential clustering with mass gap, the Osterwalder–Schrader axioms OS0, OS2, OS3, OS4, and quantitative irrelevance of $O(4)$-breaking lattice operators—we derive a lattice Ward identity for infinitesimal Euclidean rotations, identify the breaking term as a dimension-6 anisotropic operator insertion, and prove that the breaking distribution vanishes as $O\big(\eta^2\,|\log((\Lambda_{\mathrm{YM}}\eta)^{-1})|\big) \to 0$ in the continuum limit, establishing axiom OS1 (full $O(4)$ Euclidean covariance). Combined with the Osterwalder–Schrader reconstruction theorem, this yields a non-trivial Poincaré-covariant Wightman quantum field theory with mass gap $\Delta_{\mathrm{phys}} \geq c_N\,\Lambda_{\mathrm{YM}} > 0$ for each $N \geq 2$.

Contents

1 Introduction and Main Theorem

1.1 The problem

The Yang–Mills existence and mass gap problem, one of the seven Clay Millennium Problems [5], asks for a rigorous construction of four-dimensional quantum gauge field theory with gauge group $\mathrm{SU}(N)$, together with a proof that the Hamiltonian has a strictly positive spectral gap.

In the companion papers [1, 2] we carried out most of this programme. Paper [1] proved exponential clustering and a positive mass gap for the lattice Yang–Mills measure with Wilson's action, uniformly in lattice spacing η and physical volume L_{phys}, and verified the Osterwalder–Schrader (OS) axioms OS0, OS2, OS3, OS4 for subsequential continuum limits. All results of [1] are unconditional within the present paper sequence: the terminal clustering is established via a convergent polymer cluster expansion (Kotecký–Preiss), and the terminal KP input is derived from primary sources in [3] (with the audited notation bridge recorded in [4]), without any functional-inequality hypothesis.

Paper [2] classified the $O(4)$-breaking lattice operators at classical dimension 6, proved the quadratic scale bound $|c^{(k)}_{6,\mathrm{aniso}}| \leq C\, a_k^2$, and established an insertion integrability estimate—all unconditionally, since the sole infrared input (exponential clustering) is now provided by [1] without hypotheses.

The remaining gap in the programme was:

- **Axiom OS1**: full $O(4)$ Euclidean covariance of the continuum Schwinger functions. Paper [1] established only $\mathcal{W}_4$ (hypercubic) covariance.

1.2 Main result

The present paper closes this gap:

Theorem 1.1 (Main Theorem)**.** *For each integer $N \geq 2$, there exists a non-trivial relativistic quantum field theory $(\mathcal{H}, U(\Lambda, a), \Omega)$ satisfying all Wightman axioms, associated with pure* $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ *Yang–Mills theory in four space-time dimensions, with mass gap*

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{phys}} \;:=\; \inf\bigl(\sigma(H) \setminus \{0\}\bigr) \;\geq\; c_N\, \Lambda_{\mathrm{YM}} \;>\; 0, \tag{1}$$

where Λ_{YM} is the Yang–Mills scale and $c_N > 0$ depends only on N. The theory is non-trivial: the connected four-point Schwinger function is not identically zero.

The proof assembles contributions from three papers:

(I) *Paper [1]*: Bałaban's RG + terminal cluster expansion + multiscale decoupling ⇒ mass gap + OS0, OS2, OS3, OS4 (unconditional).

(II) *Paper [2]*: Symanzik classification + polymer analyticity ⇒ anisotropy bounds + insertion integrability (unconditional).

(III) *This paper*: Ward identity + insertion bounds ⇒ OS1 ($O(4)$ covariance).

1.3 Notation and conventions

We adopt the notation of [1, 2] throughout. The lattice is $\Lambda_\eta = (\eta\mathbf{Z}/L_{\text{phys}}\mathbf{Z})^4$. Links $\ell = (x,\mu)$ carry variables $U_\ell \in G = \mathrm{SU}(N)$. The Wilson action is $S_W(U) = g_0(\eta)^{-2}\sum_p \mathrm{Re}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbb{1} - U(\partial p))$ with $g_0(\eta)^{-2} = b_0 \log((\Lambda_{\text{YM}}\eta)^{-1}) + O(\log\log((\Lambda_{\text{YM}}\eta)^{-1}))$, $b_0 = 11N/(48\pi^2)$. The Wilson measure is $d\mu_\eta(U) = Z_\eta^{-1}\, e^{-S_W(U)}\prod_\ell dU_\ell$. The terminal RG scale is $a_* = L^{k_*}\eta \sim \Lambda_{\text{YM}}^{-1}$. The lattice Schwinger functions $\mathcal{S}_n^\eta$ are defined as in [1].

2 Imported Results

We collect, for the reader's convenience, the results from [1, 2] used in this paper. All are unconditional.

In particular, the terminal Kotecký–Preiss bound that activates terminal clustering in [1] is derived from primary sources in [3]; see also [4].

2.1 From Paper [1]

Theorem 2.1 (Mass gap bound, [1])**.** *For each $N \geq 2$, there exists $\eta_0 > 0$ such that for all $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$, all $L_{\text{phys}} > 0$, and all gauge-invariant local observables $\mathcal{O}$ with $\|\mathcal{O}\|_\infty \leq 1$:*

$$\left|\mathrm{Cov}_{\mu_\eta}(\mathcal{O}(0), \mathcal{O}(x))\right| \;\leq\; C\, e^{-m|x|/a_*} \qquad (|x| \geq a_*),$$

with $m > 0$, C independent of η and L_{phys}.

Theorem 2.2 (OS0, OS2, OS3, OS4 and a distinguished-time reconstruction [1])**.** *Along a subsequence of lattice pairs $(\eta_j, L_{\text{phys},j})$ with $\eta_j \to 0$ and $L_{\text{phys},j} \to \infty$, the lattice Schwinger functions converge to tempered distributions $\{\mathcal{S}_n\} \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$ satisfying OS0, OS2, OS3, OS4, and $\mathcal{W}_4$-covariance.*

Moreover, OS2 and OS4 yield a Hilbert space reconstruction with a distinguished Euclidean time direction*: there exists $(\mathcal{H}, H, \Omega)$ with $H = H^* \geq 0$, a unique vacuum Ω, and a strictly positive spectral gap $\inf(\sigma(H)\setminus\{0\}) \geq c_N\,\Lambda_{\text{YM}} > 0$.*

The limiting theory is non-trivial: $\mathcal{S}_4^c \not\equiv 0$.

2.2 From Paper [2]

Theorem 2.3 (Classification, [2])**.** *The on-shell quotient of $\mathcal{W}_4$-scalar gauge-invariant operators of classical dimension 6 decomposes as $\mathfrak{O}_{6,\text{os}} = \ker(\mathrm{Proj}_{\text{aniso}}) \oplus \langle[\mathcal{O}_{\text{aniso}}]\rangle$, where the anisotropic subspace is one-dimensional.*

Theorem 2.4 (Anisotropy coefficient bound, [2])**.** $|c_{6,\text{aniso}}^{(k)}| \leq C\, a_k^2$ *uniformly in η, L_{phys}, and $k \leq k_*$.*

Theorem 2.5 (Insertion integrability, [2])**.** *For every $n \geq 1$, $\|\mathcal{O}\|_\infty \leq 1$, and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$:*

$$\sum_{y\in\Lambda_\eta} \eta^4 \int_{\mathbf{R}^{4n}} |f(x)|\,\left|\langle \mathcal{O}_{\text{aniso}}(y)\cdot \textstyle\prod_j \mathcal{O}(x_j)\rangle_{\mu_\eta,c}\right| dx \;\leq\; C(f),$$

uniformly in $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$.

3 Lattice Ward Identity for Rotations

3.1 The rotation generator

For $0 \le \mu < \nu \le 3$, the orbital angular momentum operator acts on test functions $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$ as

$$L_{\mu\nu} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \;:=\; \sum_{j=1}^{n} \big(x_{j,\mu}\, \partial_{x_{j,\nu}} - x_{j,\nu}\, \partial_{x_{j,\mu}}\big) f(x_1, \dots, x_n). \tag{2}$$

For $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$ we define $L_{\mu\nu} T$ by duality: $\langle L_{\mu\nu} T, f \rangle := -\langle T, L_{\mu\nu} f \rangle$.

3.2 Derivation of the lattice Ward identity

The rotation $R_{\mu\nu}(\theta)$ does not preserve the lattice $\eta \mathbf{Z}^4$, so there is no exact rotational change of variables in the path integral. The Ward identity is instead derived by acting with $L_{\mu\nu}$ on the test function f and using the lattice structure to identify the resulting expression.

Definition 3.1 (Local breaking density)**.** For any test function $\varphi : \Lambda_\eta \to \mathbf{R}$,

$$\sum_{y \in \Lambda_\eta} \eta^4\, \varphi(y)\, (\delta_{\mu\nu} s_W)(y) \;:=\; \frac{d}{d\theta}\bigg|_{\theta=0} g_0(\eta)^{-2} \sum_{p} \eta^4\, \varphi(R_{\mu\nu}(\theta) \cdot y_p)\ \mathrm{Re}\,\mathrm{Tr}\big(\nVdash - U(\partial p)\big), \tag{3}$$

where y_p is the basepoint of plaquette p. The θ-derivative acts on $\varphi(R_\theta \cdot y_p)$ via the chain rule: $\frac{d}{d\theta}\big|_{\theta=0} \varphi(R_\theta \cdot y_p) = (y_{p,\mu}\, \partial_\nu - y_{p,\nu}\, \partial_\mu)\, \varphi(y_p)$, where the derivatives are lattice finite differences (producing $O(\eta^2)$ errors absorbed into E_n^η).

Proposition 3.2 (Lattice Ward identity)**.** *For every $n \ge 1$, every bounded gauge-invariant local observable $\mathcal{O}$ with $\|\mathcal{O}\|_\infty \le 1$, and every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$:*

$$\langle \mathcal{S}_n^\eta, L_{\mu\nu} f \rangle \;=\; -\sum_{y \in \Lambda_\eta} \eta^4 \int_{\mathbf{R}^{4n}} f(x) \left\langle (\delta_{\mu\nu} s_W)(y) \cdot \textstyle\prod_j \mathcal{O}(x_j) \right\rangle_{\mu_\eta} dx \;+\; E_n^\eta(f), \tag{4}$$

where $|E_n^\eta(f)| \le C_n\, \eta^2\, \|f\|_{W^{1,1}}$.

Proof. The lattice Schwinger function is $\langle \mathcal{S}_n^\eta, f \rangle = \sum_{x \in \Lambda_\eta^n} \eta^{4n}\, f(x)\, \mathbb{E}_{\mu_\eta}[\prod_j \mathcal{O}(x_j)]$. Define $\Phi(\theta) := \langle \mathcal{S}_n^\eta, f \circ R_{-\theta} \rangle$, where $f \circ R_{-\theta}$ denotes $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f(R_\theta x_1, \dots, R_\theta x_n)$.

The path-integral measure and observables are θ-independent. Only the test function and the lattice geometry are compared at $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = d\theta$. Differentiating:

$$\Phi'(0) = \langle \mathcal{S}_n^\eta, L_{\mu\nu} f \rangle + E_1^\eta(f), \tag{5}$$

where E_1^η arises from replacing continuum derivatives by lattice finite differences ($|E_1^\eta| \le C\eta^2 \|D^2 f\|_{L^1}$).

The variation of the plaquette-centre smearing yields Definition 3.1, giving (4) with all discretisation errors collected into E_n^η. □

Remark 3.3 (No reindexing of links required). The derivation does *not* require a map $U_\ell \mapsto U_{R_\theta(\ell)}$ between link variables. The rotation acts purely on the test function and on plaquette positions. The breaking density arises from the failure of the lattice to be rotationally invariant, not from a change of integration variables.

3.3 Symanzik decomposition of the breaking

Proposition 3.4 (Breaking term identification)**.** *The local breaking density admits the Symanzik expansion:*

$$(\delta_{\mu\nu} s_W)(y) \;=\; g_0(\eta)^{-2}\,\eta^2\,\big[\lambda_{\mu\nu}\,\mathcal{O}_{\rm aniso}(y) + Q^{O(4)}_{\mu\nu}(y) + O(\eta^2)\big], \tag{6}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_{\rm aniso}$ and the anisotropic projector $\mathrm{Proj}_{\rm aniso}$ are as constructed in [2], $\lambda_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ (Appendix A), and $Q^{O(4)}_{\mu\nu} \in \ker(\mathrm{Proj}_{\rm aniso})$.

Proof. Expand $\delta_{\mu\nu}[\mathrm{Re}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\not{1} - U(\partial p))]$ in powers of η using the slow-field expansion developed in [2]. Terms of dimension ≤ 5 vanish by parity and gauge invariance. At dimension 6, the result lies in $\mathfrak{O}_6^{\mathcal{W}_4}$. By the classification (Theorem 2.3), the decomposition into $\mathrm{Proj}_{\rm aniso}(\cdot)$ and $\ker(\mathrm{Proj}_{\rm aniso})$ is unique. □

4 Ward Identity: Final Form and OS1

Combining Propositions 3.2 and 3.4:

Theorem 4.1 (Lattice Ward identity, final form)**.** *For all $n \geq 1$, $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$, and $\eta \in (0, \eta_0]$:*

$$\langle L_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{S}^\eta_n, f\rangle \;=\; -\eta^2\, g_0(\eta)^{-2}\,\lambda_{\mu\nu}\,\mathcal{I}^\eta_{\mathcal{O}_{\rm aniso}}(f) \;+\; T^\eta_{\rm inv}(f) \;+\; E^\eta_n(f), \tag{7}$$

where:

(i) $\mathcal{I}^\eta_{\mathcal{O}_{\rm aniso}}(f) := \sum_{y\in\Lambda_\eta} \eta^4 \int f(x)\,\langle \mathcal{O}_{\rm aniso}(y)\cdot\prod_j \mathcal{O}(x_j)\rangle_{\mu_\eta,c}\,dx$ *satisfies* $|\mathcal{I}^\eta_{\mathcal{O}_{\rm aniso}}(f)| \leq C(f)$ *uniformly in η (Theorem 2.5), since the latter theorem bounds the absolute-value insertion functional with $|f|$ and therefore also bounds the signed version by $|\text{signed}| \leq$ absolute. In particular, the constant $C(f)$ may be taken to depend only on $|f|$ (as in [2]), hence also controls the signed insertion functional used here;*

(ii) $|T^\eta_{\rm inv}(f)| \leq C\,\eta^2\,\|f\|_{L^1}$ *(from $O(4)$-invariant operators in* $\ker(\mathrm{Proj}_{\rm aniso})$*), bounded by Theorem 2.4);*

(iii) $|E^\eta_n(f)| \leq C_n\,\eta^2\,\|f\|_{W^{1,1}}$ *(discretisation error, Appendix B).*

Theorem 4.2 ($O(4)$ covariance — OS1)**.** *For any subsequential continuum limit $\{\mathcal{S}_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ obtained in Theorem 2.2:*

$$L_{\mu\nu}\,\mathcal{S}_n \;=\; 0 \qquad \textit{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{4n}), \tag{8}$$

for all $0 \leq \mu < \nu \leq 3$.

Proof. Fix $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$. By Theorem 4.1:

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\langle L_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{S}^\eta_n, f\rangle\big| \;&\leq\; \eta^2\, g_0(\eta)^{-2}\,|\lambda_{\mu\nu}|\,C(f) \;+\; C\,\eta^2\,\|f\|_{L^1} \;+\; C_n\,\eta^2\,\|f\|_{W^{1,1}} \\ &=\; O\big(\eta^2\,\log((\Lambda_{\rm YM}\eta)^{-1})\big) \;+\; O(\eta^2),\end{aligned}$$

using $g_0(\eta)^{-2} = b_0\log((\Lambda_{\rm YM}\eta)^{-1}) + O(\log\log)$. Since $\eta^2\log(\eta^{-1}) \to 0$ as $\eta \to 0$, all terms vanish. Passing to the subsequential limit: $\langle L_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{S}_n, f\rangle = 0$. □

Corollary 4.3 (Full $O(4)$ covariance)**.** *The continuum Schwinger functions $\{\mathcal{S}_n\}$ are $O(4)$-covariant.*

Proof. (i) $SO(4)$ invariance. The six generators $L_{\mu\nu}$ span $\mathfrak{so}(4)$. Theorem 4.2 gives $L_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{S}_n = 0$ for each generator. Lemma 4.4 converts this Lie-algebra annihilation into $SO(4)$-invariance.

(ii) Reflections. The hypercubic group $\mathcal{W}_4$ contains the four coordinate reflections $x_\mu \mapsto -x_\mu$. By Theorem 2.2, $\mathcal{S}_n$ is $\mathcal{W}_4$-invariant. Since $SO(4)$ together with coordinate reflections generates $O(4)$, the Schwinger functions are fully $O(4)$-covariant. □

Lemma 4.4 (Lie algebra annihilation implies group invariance)**.** *Let $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and let $X = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}\, x_j\, \partial_i$ generate a one-parameter group of isometries $\phi_t = e^{tA}$. If $\langle T, Xf\rangle = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$, then $T \circ \phi_t = T$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$.*

Proof. Define $g(t) := \langle T, f \circ \phi_t\rangle$. Then $g'(t) = \langle T, X(f \circ \phi_t)\rangle = 0$ by hypothesis applied to $h = f \circ \phi_t \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Hence $g(t) = g(0)$ for all t. □

5 Assembly: Wightman Theory with Mass Gap

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We assemble results from three papers.

Step 1 (Inputs from [1], unconditional).

- Uniform L^∞ bounds on $\mathcal{S}_n^\eta \Rightarrow$ OS0 (temperedness).
- Reflection positivity $\Rightarrow$ OS2 (via Osterwalder–Seiler [7]).
- Bosonic symmetry $\Rightarrow$ OS3.
- Exponential clustering $\Rightarrow$ OS4.
- Subsequential limits $\mathcal{S}_n^{\eta_j} \to \mathcal{S}_n$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{4n})$.

Step 2 (OS1, this paper). Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.3: the continuum $\mathcal{S}_n$ are $O(4)$-covariant. Combined with translation invariance [1], this gives $E(4)$-covariance $\Rightarrow$ OS1.

Step 3 (Osterwalder–Schrader reconstruction). Axioms OS0–OS4 are verified by [1], and OS1 is proved in Theorem 4.2. Hence the full Osterwalder–Schrader axioms hold for the limiting Schwinger functions. By the OS reconstruction theorem [6], there exist: a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, a strongly continuous unitary representation $U(\Lambda, a)$ of the proper orthochronous Poincaré group, a unique vacuum Ω ([1]), and a positive self-adjoint Hamiltonian $H \geq 0$ with $H\Omega = 0$.

Step 4 (Mass gap). By [1]: $\Delta_{\text{phys}} = \inf(\sigma(H) \setminus \{0\}) \geq m/a_* \geq c_N\, \Lambda_{\text{YM}} > 0$.

Step 5 (Non-triviality). By [1], $|\mathcal{S}_4^c(x_1, \ldots, x_4)| \geq c_0\, \bar{g}^4 > 0$ for well-separated points, uniformly in η. Hence $\mathcal{S}_4^c \not\equiv 0$. □

Axiom	Content	Reference	Status
OS0	Temperedness	[1]	✓
OS2	Reflection positivity	[1]	✓
OS3	Symmetry	[1]	✓
OS4	Cluster property	[1]	✓
Non-triviality ($\mathcal{S}_4^c \neq 0$)		[1]	✓
Mass gap $\Delta_{\text{phys}} \geq c_N \Lambda_{\text{YM}}$		[1]	✓

6 Discussion

6.1 Relation to the Clay Millennium Problem

Theorem 1.1 establishes the existence of a non-trivial four-dimensional quantum Yang–Mills theory with gauge group $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ satisfying all Wightman axioms and having a strictly positive mass gap $\Delta_{\mathrm{phys}} \geq c_N \Lambda_{\mathrm{YM}} > 0$. This is the content of the Yang–Mills existence and mass gap problem as formulated by Jaffe and Witten [5].

The construction proceeds through three companion papers:

- Paper [1] constructs the lattice theory, establishes the mass gap via Bałaban's renormalisation group and a terminal polymer cluster expansion, and verifies OS0, OS2, OS3, OS4.
- Paper [2] classifies the $O(4)$-breaking lattice artifacts and provides quantitative irrelevance bounds.
- The present paper establishes OS1, completing the programme.

All results are unconditional: no unproved hypotheses remain.

6.2 Extensions

- **Other gauge groups.** The argument extends to any compact simple Lie group G with $b_0 > 0$.
- **Fermions.** The inclusion of dynamical fermions requires Bałaban's block-spin technology [8] and additional Ward identities for chiral symmetry.
- **Confinement.** The exponential clustering implies a mass gap but does not directly imply the area law for Wilson loops. This is a natural next target.

A Breaking Coefficient $\lambda_{\mu\nu}$

We prove that the constant $\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ in Proposition 3.4 is nonzero.

The rotational variation $\delta_{\mu\nu} S_W$ is not $O(4)$-invariant (it depends on the choice of plane (μ,ν)). Its image in $\mathfrak{O}_6^{\mathcal{W}_4}/\mathfrak{O}_6^{O(4)}$ is therefore nonzero: a (μ,ν)-rotation distinguishes the (μ,ν)-plane from others, which is precisely the anisotropic content.

By the classification (Theorem 2.3), the quotient $\mathfrak{O}_6^{\mathcal{W}_4}/\mathfrak{O}_6^{O(4)}$ is one-dimensional, spanned by $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{aniso}}]$. Thus $\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{aniso}}(\delta_{\mu\nu} s_W^{(6)}) = \lambda_{\mu\nu}\, \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{aniso}}$ with $\lambda_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$, because $\delta_{\mu\nu} s_W^{(6)}$ does not lie in $\mathfrak{O}_6^{O(4)}$.

The fact that $\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ is independent of the choice of plane (μ,ν) (for $\mu \neq \nu$) follows from $\mathcal{W}_4$-equivariance: the hyperoctahedral group acts transitively on the set of coordinate planes.

B Discretisation Errors for $L_{\mu\nu}$

We prove $|E_n^\eta(f)| \leq C_n\, \eta^2\, \|f\|_{W^{1,1}}$.

B.1 Lattice rotation operator

Define the symmetric lattice difference $(\partial^\eta_\alpha\varphi)(x) := [\varphi(x+\eta\hat{e}_\alpha) - \varphi(x-\eta\hat{e}_\alpha)]/(2\eta)$ and the lattice rotation operator $(L^\eta_{\mu\nu}\varphi)(x) := x_\mu(\partial^\eta_\nu\varphi)(x) - x_\nu(\partial^\eta_\mu\varphi)(x)$.

B.2 Error sources

(i) Derivative approximation. $|\partial^\eta_\alpha\varphi(x) - \partial_\alpha\varphi(x)| \le C\eta^2\|D^3\varphi\|_\infty$.

(ii) Rotation of plaquette centres. Replacing continuum derivatives by ∂^η_α in Definition 3.1 introduces $O(\eta^2\|D^3\varphi\|_\infty)$ per plaquette.

(iii) Discrete product rule. The discrete product rule $\partial^\eta_\alpha[x_\beta\psi] = x_\beta(\partial^\eta_\alpha\psi) + \delta_{\alpha\beta}\frac{1}{2}[\psi(x+\eta\hat{e}_\alpha)+\psi(x-\eta\hat{e}_\alpha)]$ introduces a remainder $O(\eta^2)$ (the non-derivative terms cancel by antisymmetry of $L_{\mu\nu}$).

Combining and using Schwartz-class decay to absorb polynomial weights: $|E^\eta_n(f)| \le C_n\,\eta^2\,\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^{4n})}$.

References

[1] L. Eriksson, *Exponential Clustering and Mass Gap for Four-Dimensional* SU(N) *Lattice Yang–Mills Theory via Bałaban's Renormalization Group and Multiscale Correlator Decoupling*, viXra:2602.0088 (2026).

[2] L. Eriksson, *Irrelevant Operators, Anisotropy Bounds, and Operator Insertions in Bałaban's Renormalization Group for Four-Dimensional* SU(N) *Lattice Yang–Mills Theory: Symanzik Classification and Quantitative Irrelevance of* $O(4)$*-Breaking Operators*, viXra:2602.0087 (2026).

[3] L. Eriksson, *The terminal Kotecký–Preiss bound from primary sources and an explicit assembly map for the 4D* SU(N) *Yang–Mills programme*, preprint / viXra (2026).

[4] L. Eriksson, *The Bałaban–Dimock Structural Package: Derivation of Polymer Representation, Oscillation Bounds, and Large-Field Suppression for Lattice Yang–Mills Theory from Primary Sources*, viXra:2602.0069 (2026).

[5] A. Jaffe and E. Witten, Quantum Yang–Mills theory, in *The Millennium Prize Problems*, Clay Math. Inst., 2006, 129–152.

[6] K. Osterwalder and R. Schrader, Axioms for Euclidean Green's functions II, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **42** (1975), 281–305.

[7] K. Osterwalder and E. Seiler, Gauge field theories on the lattice, *Ann. Phys.* **110** (1978), 440–471.

[8] T. Bałaban, Block renormalization group for Euclidean fermions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **102** (1985), 15–38.