Gravity as Osmotic Depression of the Quantum Vacuum

A unified theory of relativity and quantum physics

Bertrand Jarry
souverainbertrand64@gmail.com

February 2026

Abstract

We propose a radically new conceptual framework for understanding gravity and relativity, not as geometric curvatures of spacetime, but as physical modifications of the quantum vacuum. Gravity results from a static osmotic depression, while special relativity emerges from the dynamic compression of the vacuum by motion. This approach naturally resolves the problem of unification with quantum mechanics and makes experimentally testable predictions. Unlike attempts to "quantize geometry" (strings, loops), our theory recognizes that gravity and relativity are already quantum phenomena in nature, emerging from the properties of the vacuum.

1. Introduction: The problem of unification

Modern physics rests on two incompatible theoretical pillars. Einstein's general relativity describes gravity as a curvature of spacetime and excels at large scales. Quantum mechanics describes the other three fundamental forces via quantized fields and dominates the microscopic scale. These two theories, while remarkably accurate in their respective domains, are mathematically irreconcilable in extreme situations such as black holes or the Big Bang.

We propose that this problem stems from a fundamental conceptual error: the confusion between mathematical description and physical reality. Einstein's spacetime curvature, while effective as a computational tool, may be no more "real" than Ptolemy's epicycles. Both models produce correct predictions, but only an underlying physical mechanism can lead to true unification.

2. The central hypothesis: energy osmosis

2.1 Nature of the quantum vacuum

The quantum vacuum is not empty in the classical sense. It is the site of constant fluctuations, with the continuous appearance and disappearance of virtual particle-antiparticle pairs. Contrary to the naive calculations of quantum field theory, which predict a catastrophically high energy density, we posit that the vacuum has a low energy density ρ_0, close to zero.

2.2 Osmosis Mechanism

Matter represents a concentration of energy ($E = mc^2$). At the interface between matter and vacuum, an osmotic process occurs: energy gradually "leaks" from matter into the surrounding vacuum, creating a local decrease in vacuum density. This phenomenon is limited to the surface of matter by electromagnetic and nuclear forces that maintain internal cohesion.

Osmotic flux is mathematically expressed as $J = -D\nabla(\rho_m - \rho_v)$, where D is the osmotic diffusion coefficient, ρ_m the matter density, and ρ_v the vacuum density. This pressure drop propagates through the surrounding vacuum according to the $1/r^2$ law, a direct result of the three-dimensional geometry (dilution over the surface of a sphere of $4\pi r^2$).

3. Mathematical formalism of gravity

3.1 Osmotic Poisson Equation

In steady state, the vacuum continuity equation imposes $\nabla \cdot J = 0$. For a mass distribution ρ_m, this leads to the osmotic Poisson equation:

$$\nabla^2(\Delta\rho) = (D/\tau) \cdot \rho_m$$

where $\Delta\rho$ represents the vacuum depression and τ a characteristic osmosis time.

3.2 Derivation of Newton's law

For a point mass M, the solution is $\Delta\rho(r) = DM/(4\pi\tau r)$. A test object of mass m experiences a force proportional to the gradient of this depression: $F = -\alpha m\nabla(\Delta\rho)$, where α is a coupling constant. Substituting, we obtain:

$$F = -(\alpha DMm)/(4\pi\tau r^2) \cdot \hat{r}$$

By identifying with Newton's law $F = -GMm/r^2 \cdot \hat{r}$, we find that the gravitational constant emerges from the osmotic parameters: $G = \alpha D/(4\pi\tau)$. Gravity is no longer a mysterious fundamental constant, but a consequence of the properties of a vacuum.

3.3 Relativistic Generalization (RG)

The density of the vacuum affects the local propagation of light and the flow of time. By setting $c^2_{local} = c_0^2[1 - \kappa\Delta\rho(r)]$, where κ is a coupling constant, and by choosing $\kappa D/(4\pi\tau c_0^2) = 2G/c_0^2$, we find to first order:

$$c^2_{local} \approx c_0^2(1 - 2GM/c_0^2 r)$$

This is exactly the Schwarzschild metric. General relativity therefore emerges naturally from our model, but with a radically different physical interpretation: it is not space that curves, it is the vacuum that becomes rarefied.

This approach naturally explains why light is deflected near the Sun: it propagates in a rarefied vacuum where its local speed decreases, creating a refraction effect similar to the passage of light through media of different densities.

4. Emergence of Special Relativity

If general relativity emerges from static changes in the vacuum (osmotic depression), then special relativity should emerge from dynamic changes. Here, we show how the effects of special relativity result from motion through the quantum vacuum.

4.1 The vacuum as a fundamental medium

The quantum vacuum possesses measurable electromagnetic properties: permittivity ε_0 and permeability μ_0. The speed of light emerges directly from these properties: $c = 1/\sqrt{(\varepsilon_0\mu_0)}$. This speed is not arbitrary—it is the maximum speed at which perturbations can propagate in the vacuum.

4.2 The movement creates a "wind of emptiness"

An object at rest in the quantum vacuum undergoes symmetrical fluctuations. In motion, this symmetry is broken: the virtual fluctuations are compressed in the direction of motion and stretched behind it. This asymmetry creates an effective change in the vacuum density:

$$\rho_{v}\_effective = \rho_v \cdot \gamma = \rho_v/\sqrt{(1 - v^2/c^2)}$$

where γ is the Lorentz factor. This dynamic vacuum compression affects all internal physical processes of the moving object.

4.3 Time Dilation

Every clock relies on quantum processes: atomic oscillations, exchanges of virtual photons. When moving in a compressed vacuum, these processes naturally slow down. The proper time of the moving object is:

$$\tau = t\sqrt{(1 - v^2/c^2)}$$

This is exactly the time dilation of special relativity, but here it has a physical cause: the compression of the vacuum slows down all internal processes.

4.4 The speed limit c

Why can nothing exceed c? In our model, c is the speed at which the quantum vacuum can "respond" to a perturbation. Trying to accelerate beyond c is like trying to move faster than the vacuum can reorganize itself. As $v \to c$, the vacuum compression $\gamma \to \infty$ requires infinite energy.

$$E = \gamma mc^2 \to \infty$$

This limit is not geometric or arbitrary - it is a fundamental physical property of the substrate of the universe.

4.5 Application: GPS Clocks

GPS satellites undergo two distinct temporal effects that combine:

SR effect (motion at ~14,000 km/h): The satellite moves in a compressed vacuum. Its clock slows down by ~7 microseconds/day.

GR effect (altitude ~20,000 km): The satellite is in a vacuum less rarefied than the surface. Its clock speeds up by ~45 microseconds/day.

Net effect: +38 microseconds/day, exactly as observed. Without these corrections, the GPS would drift by ~10 km per day. Both relativity phenomena are manifestations of the same quantum vacuum: static modification (GR) vs. dynamic modification (SR).

5. Conceptual problem solving

5.1 The hollow sphere paradox

Inside a spherical shell of matter, Newtonian gravity is strictly zero. Our model explains this phenomenon naturally: due to spherical symmetry, the pressure drop $\Delta\rho$ is uniform inside the shell. Since $\nabla(\Delta\rho) = 0$, the gravitational force vanishes, regardless of the shell's thickness.

5.2 Weakness of severity

Gravity is approximately 10^{39} times weaker than electromagnetism. In our model, this is easily explained: osmosis is a surface phenomenon, while electromagnetism operates directly between charges. Only the matter-vacuum interface contributes to osmosis, with the majority of the mass being held inside by cohesive forces.

5.3 Black Holes

A black hole forms when gravitational depression becomes so intense that electromagnetic and nuclear forces can no longer hold matter together. The total collapse transforms all matter into a "surface": osmosis reaches its maximum, creating an infinite vacuum. The event horizon marks the boundary where the vacuum is completely rarefied ($\Delta\rho \rightarrow \rho_0$), preventing even light from propagating.

5.4 The Twin Paradox

In the classic paradox, the traveling twin ages less. The geometric explanation invokes a "change of reference frame." Our model offers a physical explanation: twin B travels in a compressed vacuum (high γ), and all of its biological processes actually slow down. During the U-turn, the acceleration creates an intense interaction with the vacuum. It truly ages less—this is not a geometric illusion, but a physical effect of the vacuum.

6. Complete unification: SR, GR and quantum physics

The decisive advantage of our approach is that it naturally resolves the unification problem. If gravity and relativity are properties of the quantum vacuum, they are already quantum in nature. There is no need to "quantize" anything.

Phenomenon	Traditional explanation	Our model
Gravity	Spatial curvature	Static vacuum depression
GR dilation	Time curvature	A rarefied vacuum slows everything down
SR Dilation	Minkowski Geometry	Dynamic vacuum compression
Limit c	Causal structure	Vacuum response speed
$E = mc^2$	Fundamental principle	Voltage stored in a vacuum
Electromagnetism	Quantum field	Virtual photons in a vacuum

All fundamental physics emerges from the same substrate. Gravitational waves become compression waves in a vacuum, propagating at speed c as experimentally observed by LIGO. SR and GR are no longer separate theories, but two aspects of the same phenomenon viewed from different perspectives.

7. Experimentally testable predictions

A scientific theory must make verifiable predictions that distinguish it from competing theories. Our model proposes four critical experiments:

7.1 Gravitational Casimir Effect

The Casimir effect results from the modification of the quantum vacuum between two metallic plates. If gravity also modifies the vacuum, the Casimir effect should vary measurably near a significant mass. Prediction: $F\_Casimir(M,d) = F\_Casimir_0(d)[1 + \beta GM/(c^2R)]$, where β is a coefficient to be determined experimentally.

Experimental protocol: Measure the Casimir effect in Earth orbit and compare it to ground-based measurements. The difference in gravitational field should produce a detectable variation if our model is correct.

7.2 Decoherence of entanglement in a gravitational field

If the vacuum is more "ordered" (reduced entropy) near a mass, quantum entanglement should decoher more rapidly. Protocol: Create a pair of entangled photons, separate the photons vertically in a gravitational field, and measure the decoherence rate as a function of the gravitational potential difference.

7.3 Quantum anisotropy in motion

If SR emerges from motion in a vacuum, quantum fluctuations should exhibit a detectable front/back asymmetry in a moving frame of reference. Experiment: Measure quantum fluctuations (vacuum noise, Casimir effect) in a rapidly moving system. Prediction: Asymmetry proportional to v/c.

7.4 Precision tests on different materials

If osmosis depends on atomic structure, materials with the same mass but different structures (perfect crystal vs. amorphous) could produce slightly different gravitational fields. Although Einstein's equivalence suggests that only total mass matters, our model could predict minute differences measurable with ultra-precise gravimeters.

8. Cosmological Implications

8.1 Dark Energy

The accelerated expansion of the universe could result from a natural tendency of the vacuum to re-equilibrate. Each local depression created by matter generates a re-equilibration pressure. On a cosmological scale, this pressure manifests as a cosmological constant Λ, causing the expansion.

8.2 Dark Matter

Observations of galactic rotation attributed to dark matter could reflect large-scale variations in the structure of the vacuum that we do not yet understand. Osmosis might not be perfectly homogeneous, creating additional gradients not predicted by the simple distribution of visible matter.

8.3 Problem of the cosmological constant

The famous 120-order-of-magnitude discrepancy between the predicted and observed vacuum energy density could stem from a conceptual error. If the vacuum is not a seething sea of infinite energy but a relatively calm substrate with $\rho_0 \approx 0$, the problem naturally disappears.

9. Open questions and future developments

Several aspects of the theory require further development:

• Microscopic calculation of the coefficient D: D must be derived from first principles of quantum field theory rather than treated as a phenomenological parameter.

• Exact mechanism of osmosis: Is it the absorption of virtual particles, the polarization of the vacuum, or a consumption of entropy? Probably a combination, but the precise mechanism remains to be elucidated.

• Complete tensor development: It is necessary to rigorously construct the tensor $G[\Delta]$ for the complete theory and verify that all the solutions of general relativity are reproduced.

• Implications for quantum gravity: How do quantum vacuum fluctuations couple with gravitational osmosis? Is there a natural Planck limit to this process?

10. Conclusion

We presented a conceptual framework in which general relativity and special relativity are not abstract geometric curvatures, but concrete physical phenomena emerging from the quantum vacuum. This approach:

1. Naturally reproduces Newton, SR and GR from a single mechanism

2. Unifies gravity and relativity with quantum forces without "quantizing" anything.

3. Explain SR and GR as two aspects of the same void (dynamic vs static)

4. Resolves conceptual paradoxes (hollow sphere, weak gravity, twins, black holes)

5. It makes experimentally testable predictions that distinguish it from geometric models.

The true strength of this theory lies in its conceptual simplicity. Rather than adding extra dimensions, vibrating strings, or a quantization of spacetime, we simply propose to take seriously what we already know about the quantum vacuum and apply elementary physical mechanisms: osmosis for gravity, compression for motion.

Just as Copernicus replaced epicycles with simple orbits, as Newton unified the falling of apples and the movement of planets, and as Einstein unified space and time, perhaps the key to final unification lies not in increasing mathematical complexity, but in recognizing a simple physical mechanism we have neglected: the quantum vacuum as the fundamental substrate of all physics.

The proposed experiments will allow us to test this hypothesis and determine whether we have indeed identified the true physical nature of gravity and relativity, or whether, like epicycles, our model is merely an effective but ultimately inadequate mathematical description. Only experimentation will tell.

References

[1] Einstein, A. (1915). Die gleichungen der Gravitation. Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.

[2] Einstein, A. (1905). Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper. Annalen der Physik, 17, 891-921.

[3] Casimir, HBG (1948). On the attraction between two perfectly conducting plates. Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen, 51, 793-795.

[4] Hafele, JC, & Keating, RE (1972). Around-the-world atomic clocks: Predicted relativistic time gains. Science, 177(4044), 166-168.

[5] Verlinde, E. (2011). On the origin of gravity and the laws of Newton. Journal of High Energy Physics, 2011(4), 29.

[6] Abbott, BP, et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration) (2016). Observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole merger. Physical Review Letters, 116(6), 061102.

[7] Hossenfelder, S. (2018). Lost in Math: How Beauty Leads Physics Astray. Basic Books.