

Integrated cross-scale derivative bounds for Wilson lattice gauge theory: closing the log-Sobolev gap — a conditional and windowed closure*

Lluis Eriksson

Independent Researcher

lluiseriksson@gmail.com

February 2026 (v2: July 2026)

Abstract

We prove integrated cross-scale derivative bounds that replace the unverified Assumption 5.4 of [6]. Combined with two explicit large-field inputs (Hypotheses 3.2 and 4.2) and the conditional inequalities of [7], this yields — under the audited hypotheses listed below and within the stated volume window — the corresponding log-Sobolev assembly for the Wilson lattice gauge measure at sufficiently weak coupling, with constant independent of L_{vol} inside the window. The key decomposition into small-field and large-field contributions survives verbatim from v1, as do the sweeping-out modification (an L^1 bound in place of an essential supremum, and a shifted essential supremum over $\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$), the Rothaus closure, the $SU(2)$, $d = 2$ toy-model analysis, and the correction $\lambda_1 \geq \alpha_*$ to [6], Proposition 6.1(2). Version 2 corrects the logical status of the assembly after the quantitative audit of the series (ai.viXra:2602.0051–0056, all v2). (a) The Absorption step in the proof of Theorem 1.1 relied on the premises “ $p_0(g) \rightarrow \infty$ as $g \rightarrow 0$ along the flow” and “if β_k grows sufficiently with k ”: both use the inverted-sign flow of the series erratum and are withdrawn; with the correct asymptotic-freedom flow all statements hold in the window $k \leq k^*(\beta)$, i.e. $L_{\text{vol}} \leq e^{C/g^2+O(1)}$. (b) A new finding (F-ABS): the absorption condition (16) consumes Hypothesis 4.2 in the strong exponent form $e^{-c\beta_k\varepsilon_k^2}$, but the companion verification (2602.0056 v2) delivers only $e^{-c_{\text{sf}}p_0(g_k)}$ with $c_{\text{sf}} = 2/(1 + \beta_0)$ — bounded along the window — so (16) fails at every scale $k \geq 2$ with the Balaban-compatible thresholds (9), even under (H-P0). The strong form is therefore an additional explicit hypothesis (H-ABS), and its saturated variant $\varepsilon_k \equiv \varepsilon_*$ opens a second window $k \leq k_{\text{abs}} \propto \beta\varepsilon_*^2$. (c) The inputs are retagged per their v2 verifications: Hypothesis 3.2 is windowed and conditional on Balaban’s small-field inputs (2602.0055 v2); Hypothesis 4.2 is form-level and conditional on (H-SFI)+(H-P0) (2602.0056 v2); the fiber LSI of [7] consumed by Corollary 1.2 inherits (H-YGZ) (2602.0053 v2). (d) Reference hygiene: v1’s reference [8] was a self-citation of the present paper and is removed; the Wilson duplicate is removed; the audited chain 2602.0051–0056 (v2) is cited. What survives and is validated in the companion suite: the per-direction Wilson bound (Lemma 3.1), the energy–distance

*Version 1 of this paper carried the title “Integrated cross-scale derivative bounds for Wilson lattice gauge theory: closing the log-Sobolev gap” and presented Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 as closing the gap unconditionally, citing the companions [9], [10] as verifications of Hypotheses 4.2 and 3.2. Those citations referred to the v1 companions, whose unconditional claims have since been withdrawn (ai.viXra:2602.0055/0056, v2); the present version restates all results with their true hypothesis load and volume window. See the Note added in v2 (Section 8).

identity (13), the single-plaquette tail (Proposition 7.1, Table 1 reproduced digit-by-digit), the honest impossibility Remark 7.2, the factorization step (21), the Rothaus closure, and Appendix A's $\lambda_1 \geq \alpha_*$.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Context and motivation	3
1.2	The obstruction and its resolution	3
1.3	Main results	3
1.4	Organization	4
1.5	The audit hypotheses (new in v2)	4
2	Setup and notation	4
2.1	Multiscale decomposition	5
2.2	Small-field and large-field regions	5
2.3	What was Assumption 5.4	5
3	Per-direction pointwise bound	5
4	Large-field measure suppression	6
4.1	Energy–distance identity	6
4.2	Toy model: $SU(2)$, $d = 2$	6
4.3	General case: Balaban hypothesis	7
5	Proof of the integrated derivative bounds	7
6	Closing the LSI: modified sweeping-out and Rothaus closure	8
6.1	Defective log-Sobolev inequality	8
6.2	Poincaré inequality (spectral gap)	8
6.3	Tight LSI via Rothaus closure	9
7	Toy model validation: $SU(2)$ in $d = 2$, one RG step	9
7.1	Parametrization and Haar measure	9
7.2	Single-plaquette Wilson measure	9
7.3	Analytic tail bound	9
7.4	Block large-field suppression (unconditional)	10
7.5	Remark on the conditional version	10
7.6	Verification of the full chain	10
7.7	Numerical validation	10
8	Note added in v2	10
A	Correction to Proposition 6.1(2) of [6]	11
B	Reproducibility	12

1 Introduction

1.1 Context and motivation

The construction of a rigorous non-perturbative framework for Yang–Mills theories on the lattice has been a central goal of mathematical physics since the foundational work of Wilson [12] and the analytical program of Balaban [1,2,3,4]. A key milestone is the establishment of uniform functional inequalities — in particular, a log-Sobolev inequality (LSI) with a constant independent of the lattice volume — for the Wilson lattice gauge measure. In the companion paper [6] we developed a multiscale framework reducing a uniform LSI to two inputs: (i) conditional functional inequalities on each RG fiber, from Ricci curvature lower bounds ([7]); (ii) cross-scale derivative bounds (Assumption 5.4 of [6]). **(v2)** Per the series audit, the *quantitative use* of (i) inside the multiscale assembly inherits (H-YGZ) (2602.0053 v2): the Ricci bound itself is unconditional, but the fiber LSI constant degrades by a Holley–Stroock factor $e^{-2\beta n_{\text{plaq}}}$ once the conditional Wilson interaction on the fiber is accounted for. v1’s sentence “the conditional inequalities (i) are fully established in [7]” is accordingly weakened.

1.2 The obstruction and its resolution

Assumption 5.4 of [6] posits that

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\mathcal{G}_k} E[|\nabla_{\mathcal{E}_k} V_{<k}|^2 \mid \mathcal{G}_k] \leq D_k, \quad \sum_k D_k < \infty, \quad (1)$$

uniformly in the lattice volume. The difficulty is that the essential supremum over $\mathcal{G}_k$ requires pointwise control for every slow configuration, including the large-field region where Balaban’s polymer expansion gives no analytic control. Our resolution (unchanged from v1): (1) the sweeping-out argument needs only the L^1 bound for the defective LSI and a *shifted* essential supremum over $\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$ for the Poincaré inequality; (2) both are proved by decomposing into small-field (polymer expansion) and large-field (pointwise bound $\times$ measure suppression) contributions.

1.3 Main results

Theorem 1.1 (Integrated cross-scale derivative bounds; v2: windowed, conditional). *Let μ_β denote the Wilson lattice gauge measure on a finite lattice Λ with gauge group $G = SU(N_c)$, and let $\{\mathcal{E}_k, \mathcal{G}_k\}$ be the multiscale decomposition of [6]. Assume Hypothesis 3.2 (windowed; verified conditionally on Balaban’s small-field inputs (B1)–(B4) in [10]), Hypothesis 4.2 (form-level; verified conditionally on (H-SFI)+(H-P0) in [9]), and the absorption hypothesis (H-ABS) of §1.5. Then for β sufficiently large and all scales $k \leq \min\{k^*(\beta), k_{\text{abs}}(\beta)\}$ (see §1.5), and any unit vector $v \in \mathcal{E}_k$ supported in a single block:*

(a) (L^1 bound)

$$E_{\mu_\beta}[|vV_{<k}|^2] \leq D_k := 2C_{\text{SF}}^2 L_{\text{RG}}^{-(d-1)k}; \quad (2)$$

(b) (Shifted essential supremum)

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}} E[|vV_{<k}|^2 \mid \mathcal{G}_{k+1}] \leq D_k. \quad (3)$$

In both cases $\sum_k D_k < \infty$ independently of L_{vol} (the sum running over the windowed scales). (v1 asserted (a)–(b) for all k ; that assertion relied on the inverted flow and on the strong exponent form of Hypothesis 4.2, and is replaced by the present windowed, conditional statement.)

Corollary 1.2 (Uniform log-Sobolev inequality; v2: windowed, conditional). *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 and additionally (H-YGZ) (for the quantitative fiber LSI constant $\hat{\alpha}_0 > 0$ consumed from [7]), the Wilson measure μ_β satisfies*

$$\text{Ent}_{\mu_\beta}(f^2) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha_*} \mathcal{E}(f, f) \quad \text{for all Lipschitz } f,$$

with $\alpha_* > 0$ independent of L_{vol} within the window $L_{\text{vol}} \leq e^{C/g^2+O(1)}$.

1.4 Organization

Section 2 recalls the framework. Section 3 gives the per-direction bound and Hypothesis 3.2. Section 4 gives the large-field suppression and Hypothesis 4.2. Section 5 proves Theorem 1.1, with the corrected Absorption step. Section 6 closes the LSI. Section 7 contains the $SU(2)$, $d = 2$ validation. Appendix A records the correction to [6]; Appendix B the reproducibility material.

1.5 The audit hypotheses (new in v2)

Hypothesis ((H-ABS) strong-form absorption input). *Hypothesis 4.2 holds with the exponent as printed in (14): $\text{ess sup } \mu_k(Z_k(B)|\mathcal{G}_{k+1}) \leq C_{\text{blk}} e^{-c\beta_k \varepsilon_k^2}$ with $c > 0$ depending only on (d, N_c, L_{RG}) , for the saturated thresholds $\varepsilon_k \equiv \varepsilon_*$. This is strictly stronger than what the companion verification [9] delivers: there the small factor is $e^{-c_{\text{sf}} p_0(g_k)}$ with $c_{\text{sf}} = 2/(1 + \beta_0)$, and [9]’s own asymptotics give $\beta_k \varepsilon_k^2 \asymp p_0(g_k)^2$, i.e. exponent $\asymp \sqrt{\beta_k \varepsilon_k^2}$, not $\beta_k \varepsilon_k^2$. Under (H-ABS) the absorption condition (16) holds for*

$$k \leq k_{\text{abs}}(\beta) := \frac{c\beta_k \varepsilon_*^2 - \ln(M_k^2 C_{\text{blk}}/C_{\text{SF}}^2)}{(d-1) \ln L_{\text{RG}}},$$

a second window, linear in β like $k^*(\beta)$. Without (H-ABS), (16) fails at every scale $k \geq 2$ with the thresholds (9) (companion suite, check 5).

Hypothesis (Imported hypotheses). (H-P0) (power-law large-field penalty exponent) and (H-SFI) (slow-field interface) are inherited from Hypothesis 4.2 via 2602.0056 v2 / 2602.0052 v2. (H-YGZ) (fiber LSI constant not degraded by Holley–Stroock) is inherited by Corollary 1.2 via 2602.0053 v2. The volume window $k \leq k^*(\beta)$, $L_{\text{vol}} \leq e^{C/g^2+O(1)}$, is inherited from the corrected asymptotic-freedom flow (2602.0051 v2): at the series’ representative arithmetic ($g = 0.05$, $\gamma_0 = 0.1$, $N_c = 3$, $L_{\text{RG}} = 2$), $k^* = 18639$ and $\log L_{\text{vol}} \leq 32.3/g^2$.

2 Setup and notation

We work within the framework of [6]. Let $\Lambda = (\mathbb{Z}/L\mathbb{Z})^d$ be a periodic lattice of side $L = L_{\text{RG}}^{n_{\text{max}}} L_0$, gauge group $G = SU(N_c)$, configuration space $\mathcal{A} = G^{|\text{edges}|}$. The normalized Wilson plaquette functional is

$$S_W(U) := \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_c} \text{Re tr } U_P\right), \quad (4)$$

the Wilson action is $S_\beta = \beta S_W$, and $d\mu_\beta = Z(\beta)^{-1} e^{-\beta S_W} \prod_e dU_e$ with dU_e normalized Haar.

2.1 Multiscale decomposition

Following [6], $\mathcal{G}_0 \supset \mathcal{G}_1 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{G}_{n_{\max}}$ encode the slow variables, and $\mathcal{E}_k \subset T\mathcal{A}$ the scale- k fast fluctuations.

Definition 2.1 (Conditional fast potential). *Fix k . With $\mu_k(\cdot | \mathcal{G}_{k+1})$ the conditional measure of the scale- k fast variables and ν_k the Haar product measure on the fiber,*

$$V_{<k}(U | \mathcal{G}_{k+1}) := -\log \frac{d\mu_k(\cdot | \mathcal{G}_{k+1})}{d\nu_k}(U) + \text{const}(\mathcal{G}_{k+1}). \quad (5)$$

In Balaban's framework the effective action at scale k decomposes as

$$V_{<k} = \beta_k S_W + S_{k,\text{res}} + \text{const}(\mathcal{G}_{k+1}), \quad (6)$$

with β_k the effective inverse coupling and $S_{k,\text{res}}$ the polymer/boundary residual. For the toy model ($n_{\max} = 1$), $\beta_0 = \beta$ and $S_{0,\text{res}} \equiv 0$. For a unit fast vector $v \in \mathcal{E}_k$,

$$vV_{<k} = v(\beta_k S_W) + vS_{k,\text{res}}. \quad (7)$$

2.2 Small-field and large-field regions

For each scale k and block B , the local large-field event is

$$Z_k(B) := \{U : \exists P \in \mathcal{P}_k(B) \text{ with } \|U_P - \mathbf{1}\|_{\text{HS}} \geq \varepsilon_k\}. \quad (8)$$

We fix the Balaban-compatible thresholds

$$\varepsilon_k^2 := c_* g_k^2 p_0(g_k) \wedge \varepsilon_*^2, \quad \beta_k := g_k^{-2}, \quad (9)$$

with p_0 Balaban's large-field function and ε_* the chart radius. **(v2)** The parenthetical of v1, “ $p_0(g) \rightarrow \infty$ as $g \rightarrow 0$ ”, concerns the bare-coupling limit at fixed k ; along the cascade, with the correct flow, g_k *increases* and $p_0(g_k)$ *decreases* (from 3.34 to 2.50 over the window at the representative arithmetic).

Proposition 2.2 (Small-field analyticity (local form), [6, App. A]). *Fix k and a scale- k block B . On $\Omega_{k,B}^{\text{sf}}$, for any unit $v \in \mathcal{E}_k$ supported in B : $|vV_{<k}| \leq C_{\text{SF}} L_{\text{RG}}^{-(d-1)k/2}$.*

2.3 What was Assumption 5.4

Assumption 2.3 ([6, Assumption 5.4], now superseded). *For each k and each unit $v \in \mathcal{E}_k$, $\text{ess sup}_{\mathcal{G}_k} E[|vV_{<k}|^2 | \mathcal{G}_k] \leq D_k$, $\sum_k D_k < \infty$.*

The present paper replaces this assumption by Theorem 1.1 — in v2, at the price of the explicit hypothesis load and the window stated there.

3 Per-direction pointwise bound

Lemma 3.1 (Pointwise bound: Wilson contribution). *Let $G = SU(N_c)$ with bi-invariant metric $\langle X, Y \rangle = -2\text{tr}(XY)$ on $\mathfrak{su}(N_c)$. For any unit tangent vector $v \in \mathcal{E}_k$ (supported on a single link b in left-trivialization) and any $U \in \mathcal{A}$:*

$$|v(\beta_k S_W)(U)| \leq c_W(k) := \frac{2(d-1)\beta_k}{\sqrt{2N_c}}. \quad (10)$$

Proof. Write $v(U_b) = XU_b$ with $X \in \mathfrak{su}(N_c)$ and $|X| = 1$ (so $\|X\|_{\text{HS}} = 1/\sqrt{2}$). Only the $2(d-1)$ plaquettes containing b contribute, and for each, $|v \frac{1}{N_c} \text{Re tr}(U_P)| \leq \|X\|_{\text{HS}} \|U_P\|_{\text{HS}}/N_c = 1/\sqrt{2N_c}$, using $\|U_P\|_{\text{HS}} = \sqrt{N_c}$. Summing and multiplying by β_k gives the result. $\square$

Theorem 3.2 (Pointwise bound for residual derivatives; = Hypothesis 3.2, windowed per [10]). *There exist $q \geq 0$ and $C_{\text{res}} > 0$ such that for every k and every unit $v \in \mathcal{E}_k$ supported on a single link,*

$$\sup_{U \in \mathcal{A}} |v S_{k,\text{res}}(U | \mathcal{G}_{k+1})| \leq C_{\text{res}} (1 + \beta_k)^q. \quad (11)$$

(v2) *Per the verification [10] (2602.0055 v2): the bound holds windowed ($k \leq k^*(\beta)$, with the bare β on the right-hand side) and conditionally on Balaban's small-field inputs (B1)–(B4); the constants depend additionally on γ_0 . v1 cited [10]'s v1 as an unconditional verification; that citation is corrected.*

Remark 3.3 (Status of Hypothesis 3.2). *In the small-field region the polymer activities are analytic and the bound follows from Balaban's construction and the Cauchy estimate. The hypothesis extends this to a global bound including the large-field region. In the toy model ($n_{\text{max}} = 1$), $S_{0,\text{res}} \equiv 0$ and the hypothesis holds trivially.*

Remark 3.4 (Explicit values for Wilson part). *For $d = 2$, $N_c = 2$: $c_W(0) = \beta$. For $d = 4$, $N_c = 2$: $c_W(0) = 3\beta$.*

Definition 3.5 (Combined pointwise bound). *For each scale k , define*

$$M_k^2 := 2c_W(k)^2 + 2C_{\text{res}}^2(1 + \beta_k)^{2q}. \quad (12)$$

By $(a+b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$, Lemma 3.1 and Hypothesis 3.2 give $|vV_{<k}|^2 \leq M_k^2$ for all $U \in \mathcal{A}$ (within the window and under the conditions of Theorem 3.2). For the toy model, $M_0^2 = 2c_W(0)^2 = 2\beta^2$.

4 Large-field measure suppression

4.1 Energy–distance identity

For any $U \in SU(N_c)$:

$$1 - \frac{1}{N_c} \text{Re tr}(U) = \frac{1}{2N_c} \|U - \mathbf{1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2. \quad (13)$$

Each plaquette with $\|U_P - \mathbf{1}\|_{\text{HS}} \geq \varepsilon$ contributes at least $\frac{\beta}{2N_c} \varepsilon^2$ to the Wilson action.

4.2 Toy model: $SU(2)$, $d = 2$

Lemma 4.1 (Toy block large-field suppression). *For all $\beta \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 2\sqrt{2}]$: $\mu_\beta(Z_0(B)) \leq 4C\beta^{3/2}e^{-\beta\varepsilon^2/4}$, where $C > 0$ is a universal constant and $Z_0(B)$ is the event that at least one of the 4 plaquettes in the block satisfies $\|U_P - \mathbf{1}\|_{\text{HS}} \geq \varepsilon$.*

4.3 General case: Balaban hypothesis

Theorem 4.2 (Balaban-type conditional large-field suppression; = Hypothesis 4.2, conditional per [9]). *In $d \geq 2$, for each block B there exist $c > 0$ and $C_{\text{blk}} < \infty$, depending on (d, N_c, L_{RG}) but independent of L_{vol} and k , such that*

$$\text{ess sup}_{\mathcal{G}_{k+1}} \mu_k(Z_k(B) | \mathcal{G}_{k+1}) \leq C_{\text{blk}} \exp(-c \beta_k \varepsilon_k^2). \quad (14)$$

(v2) *Per the verification [9] (2602.0056 v2): the verified form is windowed, conditional on (H-SFI)+(H-P0), and carries the exponent $c_{\text{sf}} p_0(g_k)$ with $c_{\text{sf}} = 2/(1 + \beta_0)$ — equivalently $\asymp \sqrt{\beta_k \varepsilon_k^2}$ under the asymptotics of [9], not the printed $\beta_k \varepsilon_k^2$. The strong form (14) as printed is hypothesis (H-ABS) when used in the Absorption step. In $d = 2$ the route of [9] is additionally fixed- β .*

Remark 4.3 (On the role of β_k). *The use of β_k (rather than the bare β) is essential for the absorption step, since the scale- k effective coupling governs the energy penalty under the conditional fast measure. (v2) With the correct flow β_k decreases with k ; v1’s contrary suggestion is withdrawn (see §5).*

Remark 4.4 (Toy model and status in $d \geq 3$). *For $n_{\text{max}} = 1$ the unconditional version is proved in Section 7 (Lemma 4.1). The conditional version cannot hold uniformly over all coarse configurations in $d = 2$ (Remark 7.2); we therefore retain Hypothesis 4.2 as an input even in $d = 2$. For $d \geq 3$, Hypothesis 4.2 is verified at form level in [9] — windowed and conditional as recorded in Theorem 4.2.*

5 Proof of the integrated derivative bounds

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Fix a scale $k \leq \min\{k^*, k_{\text{abs}}\}$ and a unit $v \in \mathcal{E}_k$ supported in a block B . Using the partition $\mathcal{A} = \Omega_{k,B}^{\text{sf}} \sqcup Z_k(B)$:

$$E[|vV_{<k}|^2 | \mathcal{G}_{k+1}] = \underbrace{E[\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_{k,B}^{\text{sf}}} |vV_{<k}|^2 | \mathcal{G}_{k+1}]}_{\text{(I): small-field}} + \underbrace{E[\mathbf{1}_{Z_k(B)} |vV_{<k}|^2 | \mathcal{G}_{k+1}]}_{\text{(II): large-field}}. \quad (15)$$

Term (I). By Proposition 2.2, (I) $\leq C_{\text{SF}}^2 L_{\text{RG}}^{-(d-1)k}$.

Term (II). By Definition 3.5, $|vV_{<k}|^2 \leq M_k^2$ everywhere, so (II) $\leq M_k^2 \mu_k(Z_k(B) | \mathcal{G}_{k+1}) \leq M_k^2 C_{\text{blk}} e^{-c \beta_k \varepsilon_k^2}$ by (14) — i.e. under (H-ABS).

Absorption — corrected in v2. The requirement (II) $\leq C_{\text{SF}}^2 L_{\text{RG}}^{-(d-1)k}$ amounts to

$$c \beta_k \varepsilon_k^2 \geq (d-1) k \ln L_{\text{RG}} + C_0, \quad C_0 = C_0(C_{\text{SF}}, C_{\text{blk}}, C_{\text{res}}, q) > 0, \quad (16)$$

whose right-hand side grows linearly in k . v1 argued that (16) holds “since $p_0(g) \rightarrow \infty$ as $g \rightarrow 0$ ” and that “if β_k grows sufficiently with k along the RG flow, one may take $\varepsilon_k \equiv \varepsilon_*$ ”. Both premises are withdrawn (F1: inverted flow). The corrected accounting, adjudicated in the companion suite (check 5), is:

- With the thresholds (9) in the unsaturated regime, $\beta_k \varepsilon_k^2 = c_* p_0(g_k)$ is *bounded* along the window (and decreasing), so (16) fails for every $k \geq 2$ at the representative arithmetic — regardless of (H-P0), which controls $p_0(\gamma_0)$ but cannot make a bounded sequence grow linearly.

- With the exponent actually delivered by [9] ($c_{\text{sf}}p_0(g_k) \approx 0.05$), (16) fails already at $k = 1$ by four orders of magnitude (excess $10^{4.6}$, growing to 10^{23} at $k = 32$).
- Under (H-ABS) with saturated thresholds $\varepsilon_k \equiv \varepsilon_*$, (16) holds precisely for $k \leq k_{\text{abs}}(\beta)$, linear in β ; combined with the flow window this gives the stated range $k \leq \min\{k^*, k_{\text{abs}}\}$.

Combining, for k in the stated range, $E[|vV_{<k}|^2|\mathcal{G}_{k+1}] \leq 2C_{\text{SF}}^2 L_{\text{RG}}^{-(d-1)k} = D_k$ μ -a.s., proving (b); taking full expectation gives (a).

Summability. $\sum_k D_k \leq 2C_{\text{SF}}^2/(1 - L_{\text{RG}}^{-(d-1)}) < \infty$, independently of n_{max} and L_{vol} . $\square$

6 Closing the LSI: modified sweeping-out and Rothaus closure

Throughout, $E_k[\cdot] := E[\cdot|\mathcal{G}_k]$. This section is unchanged from v1 up to the hypothesis load: every statement consumes Theorem 1.1 (hence its window and hypotheses) and, for the fiber constant $\hat{\alpha}_0$, hypothesis (H-YGZ).

6.1 Defective log-Sobolev inequality

Lemma 6.1 (Defective LSI; replaces [6, Lemma 5.7]). *For every bounded Lipschitz $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\text{Ent}_\mu(g^2) \leq \frac{2}{\hat{\alpha}_0} \mathcal{E}(g, g) + D_* \|g\|_\infty^2, \quad (17)$$

where $D_* := \hat{\alpha}_0^{-1} \sum_k \sum_{v \in \text{ONB}(\mathcal{E}_k)} E[|vV_{<k}|^2] < \infty$ by Theorem 1.1(a).

Proof. As in [6, Lemma 5.7]: entropy telescoping, conditional LSI on each fiber, and the score-function identity for $g_k := \sqrt{E_k[g^2]}$:

$$vE_k[g^2] = E_k[v(g^2)] - \text{Cov}_k(g^2, vV_{<k}). \quad (18)$$

The covariance term satisfies $|\text{Cov}_k(g^2, vV_{<k})|^2/(2E_k[g^2]) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|g\|_\infty^2 E_k[|vV_{<k}|^2]$. *Key modification relative to [6]:* instead of bounding $E_k[|vV_{<k}|^2]$ by its ess-sup over $\mathcal{G}_k$, take full expectation — only the L^1 bound of Theorem 1.1(a) is needed. Summing over v and k yields the defect $D_* \|g\|_\infty^2$. $\square$

6.2 Poincaré inequality (spectral gap)

Lemma 6.2 (Spectral gap; replaces [6, Lemma 5.10]). *For every Lipschitz g ,*

$$\text{Var}_\mu(g) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \mathcal{E}(g, g), \quad \lambda_1 \geq \frac{\hat{\alpha}_0}{2(1 + \sum_k D_k)} > 0, \quad (19)$$

with λ_1 independent of L_{vol} .

Proof. With $m_k := E[g|\mathcal{G}_k]$, the martingale variance decomposition and the conditional Poincaré bound each term; for the gradient of m_k ,

$$|vm_k|^2 \leq 2E_k[|vg|^2] + 2\text{Var}_k(g) E_k[|vV_{<k}|^2]. \quad (20)$$

Key factorization step. Set $A := E[|\nabla_{\mathcal{E}_k} g|^2|\mathcal{G}_{k+1}]$ ($\mathcal{G}_{k+1}$ -measurable) and $B := E_k[|vV_{<k}|^2]$. The tower property gives

$$E[A \cdot B] = E[A \cdot E[B|\mathcal{G}_{k+1}]] \leq D_k E[A], \quad (21)$$

using Theorem 1.1(b). Summing over v and k :

$$\text{Var}(g) \leq \frac{2}{\hat{\alpha}_0} \left(1 + \sum_k D_k\right) \mathcal{E}(g, g). \quad (22)$$

□

6.3 Tight LSI via Rothaus closure

Theorem 6.3 (Uniform tight log-Sobolev inequality; v2: windowed, conditional). *There exists $\alpha_* > 0$, depending on $\hat{\alpha}_0$, D_* , λ_1 , and the block geometry, but independent of L_{vol} , such that for all Lipschitz f :*

$$\text{Ent}_\mu(f^2) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha_*} \mathcal{E}(f, f). \quad (23)$$

Proof. Lemma 6.1 (defective LSI) plus Lemma 6.2 (spectral gap) yield a tight LSI by the standard Rothaus argument (cf. [5, Proposition 5.1.3]); extension to $f \in H^1(\mu)$ by truncation and lower semicontinuity. The hypothesis load and window are those of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2. □

7 Toy model validation: $SU(2)$ in $d = 2$, one RG step

This section survives v1 unchanged (it is the unconditional core of the paper) and is now machine-validated in the companion suite.

7.1 Parametrization and Haar measure

Every $U \in SU(2)$ is $U = \cos \theta \mathbf{1} + i \sin \theta \hat{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ with $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, so $\text{Re tr}(U) = 2 \cos \theta$ and, for class functions, $\int f dU = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(\theta) \sin^2 \theta d\theta$. The Hilbert–Schmidt distance satisfies

$$\|U - \mathbf{1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = 4 - 2 \text{Re tr}(U) = 8 \sin^2(\theta/2). \quad (24)$$

7.2 Single-plaquette Wilson measure

$$d\nu_\beta(\theta) = \frac{\sin^2 \theta e^{\beta \cos \theta}}{Z_1(\beta)} d\theta, \quad Z_1(\beta) = \frac{\pi}{\beta} I_1(\beta), \quad (25)$$

with I_1 the modified Bessel function.

7.3 Analytic tail bound

Proposition 7.1 (Single-plaquette tail). *There exists a universal constant $C > 0$ such that for all $\beta \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 2\sqrt{2}]$,*

$$\nu_\beta(\|U - \mathbf{1}\|_{\text{HS}} \geq \varepsilon) \leq C \beta^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta \varepsilon^2}{4}\right).$$

Proof. The event equals $\{\theta \geq \theta_0\}$ with $\theta_0 = 2 \arcsin(\varepsilon/\sqrt{8})$, $\cos \theta_0 = 1 - \varepsilon^2/4$. Numerator: $\int_{\theta_0}^\pi \sin^2 \theta e^{\beta \cos \theta} d\theta \leq \pi e^{\beta(1-\varepsilon^2/4)}$. Denominator: $Z_1(\beta) \geq c e^\beta \beta^{-3/2}$ by Gaussian comparison. Divide. □

7.4 Block large-field suppression (unconditional)

For a 2×2 block B with 4 plaquettes, the union bound gives $\mu_\beta(Z_0(B)) \leq 4C\beta^{3/2}e^{-\beta\varepsilon^2/4}$ (Lemma 4.1).

7.5 Remark on the conditional version

Remark 7.2 (Conditional version in $d = 2$). *The shifted bound of Theorem 1.1(b) would require a uniform bound on $\mu_\beta(Z_0(B)|\mathcal{G}_1)$ over all coarse configurations $\bar{U}_B$. In $d = 2$ with axial gauge the fine plaquettes are i.i.d. and $\bar{U}_B$ is their product; for $\bar{U}_B = -\mathbf{1}$ the constraint $U_1U_2U_3U_4 = \bar{U}_B$ forces some $\|U_i - \mathbf{1}\|_{\text{HS}} \geq c > 0$ (in the $U(1)$ reduction, $c = 2\sin(\pi/8) \approx 0.765$), so $\mu_\beta(Z_0(B)|\bar{U}_B) = 1$ for ε small: no bound $C\beta^{3/2}e^{-c\beta\varepsilon^2}$ uniform in $\bar{U}_B$ can hold. Accordingly, the toy closes Theorem 1.1(a) via Lemma 4.1, and Hypothesis 4.2 is retained even in $d = 2$. (v2) This remark is consistent with, and sharpened by, the β -non-uniformity of the conditional prefactor measured in [9] (2602.0056 v2, Remark 6.6): $C(\beta) = 1.3/33/4.7 \times 10^7$ at $\beta = 1/4/16$ in the exact $U(1)$ toy.*

Remark 7.3 (Higher dimensions). *For $d \geq 3$, plaquettes within a block share edges and are not independent; the conditional suppression requires Balaban-type density bounds, as formulated in Hypothesis 4.2.*

7.6 Verification of the full chain

Combining with $|vV_{<0}|^2 \leq M_0^2 = 2\beta^2$ ($d = 2$, $N_c = 2$): $E[|vV_{<0}|^2] \leq C_{\text{SF}}^2 L_{\text{RG}}^{-1} + C_{\text{toy}}\beta^{7/2}e^{-\beta\varepsilon^2/4}$. For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ the large-field term vanishes as $\beta \rightarrow \infty$, confirming the absorption into the geometric factor *in the toy* (where $n_{\text{max}} = 1$ and no cascade is involved — the k -growth obstruction of §5 does not arise).

7.7 Numerical validation

Table 1 reports the exact tail probability $P(\beta, \varepsilon)$ (30-digit quadrature in v1; reproduced in the v2 companion suite with scipy quadrature to 10^{-12}) and the normalized ratio $R := P/(\beta^{3/2}e^{-\beta\varepsilon^2/4})$, which remains bounded by ≈ 0.37 and decreases monotonically with β , confirming Proposition 7.1. (v1’s Table 1 listed the full 8×7 grid and a two-panel figure; v2 prints a representative subset — the full grid is regenerated deterministically by the suite, check 3, including digit-level agreement with the v1 values.)

Remark 7.4 (Character expansion). $Z_1(\beta)$ can also be obtained from the $SU(2)$ character expansion via $I_0(\beta) - I_2(\beta) = \frac{2}{\beta}I_1(\beta)$, recovering (25). For multi-plaquette objects the character representation provides an exact alternative to quadrature (cf. [9, Section 6]).

8 Note added in v2

Version 1 presented Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 as an unconditional replacement of Assumption 5.4 of [6], citing [9] and [10] (v1) as verifications of Hypotheses 4.2 and 3.2. Following the quantitative audit of the series (ai.viXra:2602.0051–0056, all v2), the status is corrected:

- **F-ABS (new in this paper).** The Absorption step consumes Hypothesis 4.2 with exponent $c\beta_k\varepsilon_k^2$; the companion verification delivers $c_{\text{sf}}p_0(g_k)$, $c_{\text{sf}} = 2/(1 + \beta_0)$, with $\beta_k\varepsilon_k^2 \asymp p_0^2$

β	ε	$P(\beta, \varepsilon)$	$R(\beta, \varepsilon)$
2	0.3	9.91e-01	0.2924
2	2.0	1.53e-01	0.3197
6	1.0	3.69e-01	0.0897
10	1.3	3.35e-02	0.0577
20	1.0	1.74e-02	0.0231
30	1.5	1.90e-07	0.0196
50	1.3	3.16e-09	0.0106

Table 1: Exact tail probability and normalized ratio $R = P/(C\beta^{3/2}e^{-\beta\varepsilon^2/4})$ with $C = \pi/\sqrt{2\pi} \approx 1.2533$ (representative subset of v1’s Table 1; full grid in the companion suite). (v2) v1’s caption printed the ratio without C , but its tabulated values include it; the convention is fixed here (suite check 3).

(exponent $\asymp \sqrt{\beta_k \varepsilon_k^2}$). With thresholds (9), $\beta_k \varepsilon_k^2 = c_* p_0(g_k)$ is bounded along the window while the requirement (16) grows linearly in k : absorption fails for every $k \geq 2$ even under (H-P0). The strong form is now the explicit hypothesis (H-ABS), with its saturated window $k_{\text{abs}} \propto \beta \varepsilon_*^2$. [Suite check 5]

- **F1.** “ $p_0(g) \rightarrow \infty$ as $g \rightarrow 0$ along the flow” and “if β_k grows sufficiently with k ” used the inverted-sign flow; withdrawn. All statements are windowed: $k \leq k^*(\beta)$, $L_{\text{vol}} \leq e^{C/g^2 + O(1)}$. [Suite check 6]
- **Inherited retags.** Hypothesis 3.2: windowed, conditional on (B1)–(B4) (2602.0055 v2). Hypothesis 4.2: form-level, conditional on (H-SFI)+(H-P0), fixed- β in $d = 2$ (2602.0056 v2). Fiber LSI constant $\hat{\alpha}_0$: inherits (H-YGZ) (2602.0053 v2). Corollary 1.2 carries the full load.
- **Reference hygiene.** v1’s [8] was a self-citation of the present paper (removed; placeholder kept). The duplicated Wilson entry is removed. [9], [10], [11] now cite the v2 replacements by number (2602.0056, 2602.0055, 2602.0054), and the audit chain 2602.0051/0052/0053 (v2) is added as [13]–[15].
- **What survives.** Lemma 3.1 (with its constant verified by numerical optimization to saturation), the identities (13)/(24), Proposition 7.1 and Table 1 (v1 values reproduced digit-by-digit), Remark 7.2 (verified exactly in the $U(1)$ toy), the factorization step (21), the Rothaus closure (validated on exact finite toys), and Appendix A’s correction $\lambda_1 \geq \alpha_*$ (validated numerically; it also propagates to [6] v3). [Suite checks 1–4, 7–8]

All numerical adjudications are reproduced by the deterministic companion suite `verify_2602_0057.py` (8 checks) at <https://github.com/lluiseiriksson/THE-ERIKSSON-PROGRAMME>, directory `verification/2602-0`

A Correction to Proposition 6.1(2) of [6]

In the original statement, the spectral gap bound reads $\lambda_1 \geq \alpha_*/2$, where α_* is the LSI constant. The correct bound, from the standard relation between the log-Sobolev constant and the spectral gap (cf. [5, Corollary 5.1.4]), is

$$\lambda_1 \geq \alpha_*.$$

The factor of 2 arose from a notational inconsistency in the definition of the Dirichlet form. With the convention used throughout this paper and [7] ($\text{Ent}(g^2) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \mathcal{E}(g, g)$), the spectral gap satisfies $\lambda_1 \geq \alpha$. This does not affect any qualitative conclusion of [6]; it improves the spectral gap by a factor of 2. (v2) Validated numerically on exact finite toys (suite check 8: $\lambda_1/\alpha \in [1.00, 2.9]$ across test chains, never below 1); the correction should be incorporated in [6]’s next revision (v3 carries the erratum hooks).

B Reproducibility

v1’s Appendix B listed the script `toy_validation.py` (mpmath, 30-digit precision), generating Table 1 and Figure 1. In v2 the validation is subsumed by the deterministic companion suite `verify_2602-0057.py` (NumPy/SciPy, 8 checks, ~ 5 s), which reproduces the full v1 grid of Table 1 (check 3, including the printed values to displayed precision), the constant of Lemma 3.1 (check 1), the conditional impossibility of Remark 7.2 (check 4), the F-ABS adjudication (check 5), the window (check 6), the factorization identity (check 7), and the Rothaus/Appendix A relations (check 8). Repo: `THE-ERIKSSON-PROGRAMME, verification/2602-0057/`.

References

- [1] T. Balaban, *Propagators and renormalization transformations for lattice gauge theories. I*, Comm. Math. Phys. **95** (1984), 17–40.
- [2] T. Balaban, *Propagators and renormalization transformations for lattice gauge theories. II*, Comm. Math. Phys. **96** (1985), 223–250.
- [3] T. Balaban, *Averaging operations for lattice gauge theories*, Comm. Math. Phys. **98** (1985), 17–51.
- [4] T. Balaban, *Large field renormalization. II. Localization, exponentiation, and bounds for the R operation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **122** (1989), 355–392.
- [5] D. Bakry, I. Gentil, and M. Ledoux, *Analysis and Geometry of Markov Diffusion Operators*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 348, Springer, 2014.
- [6] L. Eriksson, *Uniform Log-Sobolev Inequality and Mass Gap for Lattice Yang–Mills Theory*, ai.viXra:2602.0041 (v3), 2026.
- [7] L. Eriksson, *Ricci Curvature of the Orbit Space of Lattice Gauge Theory and Single-Scale Log-Sobolev Inequalities*, ai.viXra:2602.0046, 2026.
- [8] *[Removed in v2: v1’s reference [8] was a self-citation of the present paper.]*
- [9] L. Eriksson, *Large-field suppression for lattice gauge theories: from Balaban’s renormalization group to conditional concentration — a conditional and windowed verification*, ai.viXra:2602.0056 (v2), 2026.
- [10] L. Eriksson, *Residual derivative bounds and windowed uniform log-Sobolev inequality for $SU(N_c)$ lattice Yang–Mills at weak coupling*, ai.viXra:2602.0055 (v2), 2026.

- [11] L. Eriksson, *From uniform log-Sobolev inequality to mass gap for lattice Yang–Mills at weak coupling: a conditional and windowed assembly*, ai.viXra:2602.0054 (v2), 2026.
- [12] K. G. Wilson, *Confinement of quarks*, Phys. Rev. D **10** (1974), 2445–2459.
- [13] L. Eriksson, *[Series erratum and windowed multiscale flow]*, ai.viXra:2602.0051 (v2), 2026.
- [14] L. Eriksson, *Interface lemmas for the multiscale proof of the lattice Yang–Mills mass gap*, ai.viXra:2602.0052 (v2), 2026.
- [15] L. Eriksson, *DLR-uniform log-Sobolev inequality for lattice Yang–Mills: a conditional and windowed reduction*, ai.viXra:2602.0053 (v2), 2026.