

ON THE EXISTENCE OF DESTINY: A DEMONSTRATION FROM SPACETIME PHYSICS

[MANUEL ALEJANDRO HERNÁNDEZ MADAN]

ABSTRACT. We demonstrate that the concept of destiny possesses rigorous mathematical foundation in spacetime physics. By analyzing the geometric structure of worldlines in Minkowski spacetime, we prove that destiny—defined as the endpoint of an observer’s worldline—exists with identical ontological status as the observer’s birth (the worldline’s starting point). This demonstration requires no metaphysical assumptions beyond those implicit in special relativity. The apparent paradox between destiny and free will is resolved by recognizing that both perspectives are simultaneously valid: complete determination in four dimensions coexists with genuine choice in sequential time. We remove “destiny” from the realm of mysticism and establish it as a geometric property of spacetime.

1. INTRODUCTION

The question “Does destiny exist?” has occupied philosophers, theologians, and scientists for millennia. We demonstrate that this question admits a precise answer within the framework of modern physics. Specifically, we prove that destiny—properly defined—exists as a necessary consequence of spacetime geometry.

Our argument is remarkably simple. In special relativity, the complete history of any object traces a curve through four-dimensional spacetime called a *worldline*. This worldline has a starting point (birth, creation, origin) and an ending point (death, destruction, terminus). If we accept that spacetime is a four-dimensional geometric structure in which all events coexist—the so-called *block universe* interpretation of relativity—then both endpoints of any worldline exist simultaneously within that structure.

We define destiny as the endpoint of an observer’s worldline. Under this definition, destiny is not a mystical force shaping events but rather a geometric property: the final point of a curve in spacetime. Just as we accept that your birth occurred at a specific point in spacetime, we must accept that your death will occur (or from the four-dimensional perspective, *does occur*) at a specific point in spacetime. That point is your destiny.

This demonstration resolves the apparent conflict between destiny and free will by recognizing them as perspectives from different dimensional vantage points. From the external four-dimensional view, your complete worldline exists as a geometric object with determined endpoints. From your internal sequential perspective, you experience genuine uncertainty and choice as you traverse that worldline moment by moment. Both are simultaneously true, just as a circle can be simultaneously round (from above) and appear as a line segment (from the side).

The remainder of this paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 establishes our minimal axiomatic foundation. Section 3 provides precise definitions. Section 4 proves preliminary

Date: February 3, 2026.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 83A05; Secondary 53B30, 00A30.

Key words and phrases. Spacetime, worldline, block universe, causality, determinism, destiny.

results about worldlines. Section 5 contains our main theorem. Section 6 explores philosophical implications.

2. AXIOMATIC FOUNDATION

Our demonstration requires only three axioms, all standard in modern physics.

Axiom 1 (Minkowski Spacetime). Physical reality is described by a four-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold \mathcal{M} (Minkowski spacetime) with metric signature $(-, +, +, +)$. The metric is given by

$$(1) \quad ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2,$$

where t is the temporal coordinate and (x, y, z) are spatial coordinates.

This axiom reflects Einstein’s special relativity, experimentally verified to extraordinary precision over more than a century.

Axiom 2 (Worldlines). Every physical object traces a continuous curve through spacetime called its worldline. For massive objects, worldlines are timelike curves (tangent vectors satisfy $ds^2 < 0$). A worldline $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ maps a parameter interval to spacetime, with $\gamma(a)$ representing the object’s origin and $\gamma(b)$ representing its terminus.

This is the standard representation of an object’s complete history in spacetime physics. The worldline encodes where and when the object exists throughout its entire existence.

Axiom 3 (Block Universe). All events in spacetime coexist as a four-dimensional geometric structure. Temporal relations (past, present, future) are observer-dependent frame properties, not ontologically fundamental features of reality.

This interpretation follows naturally from the relativity of simultaneity. While not universally accepted by all physicists, it represents a mainstream interpretation of relativity theory.

3. DEFINITIONS

Definition 4 (Worldline). A worldline is a continuous, timelike curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ in spacetime representing the complete history of a physical object. We denote the starting point as $p_0 = \gamma(a)$ and the endpoint as $p_f = \gamma(b)$.

Definition 5 (Birth and Death). For an observer with worldline γ :

- The *birth point* is $p_0 = \gamma(a)$, the spacetime event where the observer begins to exist.
- The *death point* is $p_f = \gamma(b)$, the spacetime event where the observer ceases to exist.

Definition 6 (Destiny). Destiny is the endpoint of an observer’s worldline:

$$(2) \quad \text{Destiny} := p_f = \gamma(b).$$

Destiny is thus a specific point in spacetime with coordinates (t_f, x_f, y_f, z_f) , representing where and when the observer’s worldline terminates.

Definition 7 (Proper Time). For an observer with worldline γ , proper time τ is the time measured by a clock moving along γ . The observer experiences only the instantaneous “now” at parameter value τ , corresponding to spacetime point $\gamma(\tau)$.

4. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Lemma 8 (Worldlines Have Endpoints). *Every worldline $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ has precisely two endpoints: $p_0 = \gamma(a)$ and $p_f = \gamma(b)$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the definition of a curve and the topology of the closed interval $[a, b]$. The continuity of γ ensures that both $\gamma(a)$ and $\gamma(b)$ are well-defined points in \mathcal{M} . \square

Lemma 9 (Coexistence of Endpoints). *In the block universe (Axiom 3), both endpoints p_0 and p_f of a worldline coexist as elements of the spacetime manifold \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. By Axiom 3, all events in spacetime coexist within the four-dimensional structure. Both p_0 and p_f are events (points in \mathcal{M}), therefore both coexist. The fact that an observer experiences p_0 before p_f in proper time does not alter the geometric fact that both points exist as elements of \mathcal{M} . \square

Lemma 10 (Ontological Symmetry). *The endpoints p_0 (birth) and p_f (death) possess identical ontological status as points in spacetime.*

Proof. Both p_0 and p_f are elements of \mathcal{M} . From the perspective of the spacetime manifold, there is no ontological distinction between them—they are simply two points on a curve. The psychological asymmetry we perceive (the past feels different from the future) reflects our position within sequential time, not any fundamental asymmetry in the geometric structure of spacetime itself. \square

Lemma 11 (Sequential Experience as Traversal). *An observer’s experience of time as sequential corresponds to traversing their worldline point by point, ordered by proper time τ .*

Proof. By Definition 7, the observer experiences only the instantaneous event at $\gamma(\tau)$ for their current proper time τ . As τ increases from a to b , the observer experiences the sequence of events $\{\gamma(\tau) : \tau \in [a, b]\}$ in order. This creates the phenomenological experience of “moving through time,” though geometrically the complete worldline exists statically in \mathcal{M} . \square

5. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 12 (Existence of Destiny). *If an observer’s worldline exists in spacetime, then the observer’s destiny exists with the same ontological status as the observer’s birth.*

Proof. Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be the observer’s worldline. By Lemma 8, γ has two endpoints: birth point $p_0 = \gamma(a)$ and death point $p_f = \gamma(b)$.

By Lemma 9, both p_0 and p_f coexist in spacetime \mathcal{M} . By Lemma 10, they possess identical ontological status.

By Definition 6, destiny is precisely p_f . Therefore, destiny exists with the same ontological status as birth.

Since virtually all physicists accept that birth points exist (as events in spacetime), logical consistency requires accepting that death points—destinies—exist equally. \square

Corollary 13 (Inevitability of Destiny). *If an observer with worldline γ exists, then the observer will reach their destiny $p_f = \gamma(b)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 11, the observer traverses their worldline as proper time τ increases. Since γ is defined on the closed interval $[a, b]$, the parameter τ must reach b , at which point

the observer experiences event p_f . The completeness of the real line interval $[a, b]$ ensures this. \square

Corollary 14 (Resolution of the Destiny-Free Will Paradox). *Destiny (four-dimensional geometric completeness) and free will (sequential choice) are simultaneously true from their respective dimensional perspectives.*

Proof. From the external four-dimensional perspective: The complete worldline γ exists as a geometric object in \mathcal{M} . Both endpoints are determined. The curve is what it is.

From the internal sequential perspective: At proper time τ , the observer experiences only $\gamma(\tau)$ and has no direct access to $\gamma(\tau')$ for $\tau' > \tau$. The observer makes choices that determine which worldline they trace, experiencing genuine uncertainty about future events.

These perspectives are not contradictory but complementary, analogous to how a curve can be simultaneously determined (exists as a geometric object) yet experienced sequentially (traversed point by point).

The appearance of contradiction arises from conflating perspectives: applying sequential-time intuitions to four-dimensional structure, or vice versa. \square

6. PHILOSOPHICAL IMPLICATIONS

6.1. The Nature of Destiny. Our demonstration establishes destiny not as supernatural preordination but as geometric fact. Your destiny is simply the coordinates (t_f, x_f, y_f, z_f) of your worldline's endpoint—no more mysterious than the coordinates of your birth.

This differs fundamentally from fatalism. Fatalism claims that choices are meaningless because outcomes are predetermined. Our framework shows that choices are meaningful: they determine which worldline you trace through spacetime. From within sequential time, your choices are causally efficacious and experienced as free. The geometric completeness of your worldline does not negate this.

6.2. Time as Dimension. A crucial insight: we accept that spatial extent is real even though we only occupy one position at a time. You accept that New York exists even when you are in Los Angeles. Similarly, we should accept that temporal extent is real even though we only experience one moment at a time. Your death point exists in spacetime even though you have not yet experienced it in proper time.

The asymmetry in our intuitions about space versus time reflects our biological architecture (we can move freely in space but are constrained to traverse time sequentially), not any fundamental difference in the geometric structure of spacetime.

6.3. Implications for Human Experience. This framework suggests that your life, viewed from outside time, is a complete four-dimensional object: your worldline. Birth and death are its endpoints. What you experience as the flow of time is your consciousness traversing this worldline.

This does not diminish meaning or responsibility. Your choices shape the worldline. That the worldline is geometrically complete does not make choices illusory any more than the existence of a novel's ending makes the protagonist's journey meaningless.

6.4. Limitations. This demonstration:

- Does not predict when or where death will occur (it establishes existence of the endpoint, not its coordinates),

- Assumes the block universe interpretation (while widely accepted, not universally so),
- Applies within special relativity (quantum mechanics and quantum gravity may modify the picture),
- Does not imply purpose or design (destiny is geometric structure, not cosmic plan).

7. CONCLUSION

We have demonstrated that destiny exists as a necessary consequence of spacetime geometry. The argument is simple:

- (1) Worldlines exist in spacetime (standard physics).
- (2) Worldlines have endpoints (basic geometry).
- (3) Both endpoints coexist in the block universe (relativity interpretation).
- (4) Therefore, destiny (the endpoint) exists with the same status as birth (the starting point).

This removes destiny from the domain of mysticism and establishes it as geometric fact. The shadow of time in our dimension is what we call destiny—no more mysterious, and no less real, than the shadow of any object in space.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Einstein. Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper. *Annalen der Physik*, 322(10):891–921, 1905.
- [2] H. Minkowski. Raum und Zeit. *Physikalische Zeitschrift*, 10:75–88, 1908.
- [3] H. Weyl. *Philosophy of Mathematics and Natural Science*. Princeton University Press, 1949.
- [4] V. Petkov. *Relativity and the Nature of Spacetime*. Springer, 2005.
- [5] T. Sider. *Four-Dimensionalism: An Ontology of Persistence and Time*. Oxford University Press, 2001.
- [6] H. Putnam. Time and Physical Geometry. *Journal of Philosophy*, 64(8):240–247, 1967.

[ESPAÑA, MADRID]

Email address: [alexmadanband@gmail.com]