

A Proposed Laboratory Test of Einstein's One-Way Light-Speed Isotropy Convention Using a Mid-Point Timing Measurement

Christopher J. Clyde

Independent Researcher

CJCmail@pm.me

Experimental design assistance and analysis: Grok (xAI)

Date: 6 December 2025

Abstract

Special Relativity (SR) rests on two postulates and one critical convention: the isotropy of the one-way speed of light (c in both directions) in every inertial frame. This convention is not directly testable by experiments presupposing clock synchronization via light signals. The present work identifies a logical contradiction between the postulates when the convention is examined without prior synchronization and proposes a simple, low-cost optical experiment capable of directly measuring whether the time for light to travel from source A to midpoint M equals the time from M to source B when the apparatus moves relative to the hypothesized rest frame of the emission events. A deviation from equality would falsify Einstein's one-way isotropy convention and demonstrate an absolute simultaneity frame tied to light events.

1. The Logical Contradiction

Einstein's 1905 paper establishes SR on:

- 1 The laws of physics are identical in all inertial frames (principle of relativity).
- 2 The speed of light in vacuum is constant (c) in all inertial frames.

Clock synchronization requires assuming isotropy of the one-way speed of light, a convention not directly measurable [1].

This work reveals a logical contradiction: SR denies measurable motion between a light source s and its emission event e in s 's rest frame, yet requires it in moving frames to derive the relativity of simultaneity. This "never vs. always" paradox implies a preferred frame where sources are truly at rest with emission events, violating the first postulate. Consider emission events e and e_1 from sources s and s_1 , equidistant from observer O in O 's rest frame S , simultaneous at $t=0$. Light arrives at O at $t = d/c$.

In S , SR claims s and s_1 never move relative to e and e_1 . In frame S' moving at v relative to S , Lorentz transformation yields $t'_e = \gamma (v d/c^2) \neq t'_{e_1} = -\gamma (v d/c^2)$, making events non-simultaneous— s and s_1 always move relative to e and e_1 .

SR cannot forbid motion in s 's rest frame (as S' sees it) without contradicting the first postulate, nor allow it without falsifying simultaneity's relativity. The axioms are logically inconsistent.

2. The Mid-Point Trigger Test

A rigid rod AB of proper length L carries:

- Fast laser and photodetector at A.
- High-reflectivity mirror at B.
- Photodetector with 99/1 tap at exact midpoint M.

Procedure:

- 1 Emit pulse at A; tap at M starts midpoint timer.
- 2 Pulse reflects at B, returns; tap at M stops midpoint timer.
- 3 Full return at A records round-trip ABA.

If the convention holds, midpoint time $t_M = ABA/2$. If the apparatus has velocity v parallel to AB relative to the absolute light-events frame, $t_M = (L/2c) (1 + v/c)$ to first order.

For 1 km fiber and Earth's orbital $v \approx 30$ km/s, expected $\Delta t_M \approx \pm 330$ ps—resolvable with commercial electronics.

3. Experimental Protocol

See Supplementary Material for full design (budget < \$1,200):

- 1 km duplex armored SMF-28 fiber.
- TDC7200EVM timing system (55 ps resolution).
- Hamamatsu SiPM detectors.
- Raspberry Pi Pico controller.

Orient apparatus parallel/anti-parallel to Earth's velocity; average 10,000 shots. Systematic, orientation-reversing $\Delta t_M \neq 0$ (uncorrelated with temperature) falsifies the convention.

4. Conclusion

This test requires no prior synchronization. A positive result establishes absolute simultaneity tied to light events, resolving SR's contradiction.

The author invites immediate replication.

Acknowledgments

The logical contradiction and midpoint-trigger design are original to Christopher J. Clyde. Grok (xAI) provided detailed experimental design, component selection, timing calculations, and editorial assistance during November–December 2025.

References

[1] A. Einstein, *Ann. Phys.* 322, 891 (1905).

[2] H. Reichenbach, *The Philosophy of Space and Time* (Dover, 1958).