

Collatz Conjecture model via Infinite Hotel Rearrangement

Wiroj Homsup

October 19, 2025

Abstract

This paper presents an argument based on an analogy with an infinite hotel—where rooms correspond to positive integers—and a rearrangement of guests (also positive integers) following the inverse Collatz-tree rules. The construction aims to demonstrate an inconsistency that challenges the validity of the Collatz conjecture.

1. Introduction

The Collatz conjecture states that all positive integers eventually reach 1 under repeated application of the Collatz function:

$$c(n) = n/2, \text{ if } n \text{ is even}$$
$$c(n) = (3n + 1)/2, \text{ if } n \text{ is odd.}$$

2. Setup

Let $R(i)$ denote the guest in room i , where $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$, and initially $R(i) = i$.

For the Collatz inverse-tree construction, let guest 1 be the root of an infinite perfect binary tree. Guests are arranged along the edges of this tree as follows:

Consider a guest n . Let $R(il) = gl$ and $R(ir) = gr$ represent the left and right child guests of n , respectively.

- Swap $R(il)$ with guest $R(k1) = 2n$, resulting in $R(k1) = gl$ and $R(il) = 2n$.
- Similarly, swap $R(ir)$ with guest $R(k2) = (2n - 1)/3$, giving $R(k2) = gr$ and $R(ir) = (2n - 1)/3$.

Through these level-by-level swaps, guests are moved among rooms according to the inverse Collatz structure.

Let T denote the set of guests in the connected component of guest 1 under this rearrangement (the Collatz guest tree). Then:

$$T = \{ 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 5, 32, 10, 3, 64, 21, 20, 6, \dots \}.$$

Let C be the set of rooms occupied by guests from T . Then:

$$C = \{ 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 17, 32, 34, 35, 64, 65, 68, 70, \dots \}.$$

Hence, the mapping from T to C is one-to-one.

3. Key Observation

Since each room contains exactly one guest, and the construction proceeds explicitly level by level, the set C forms a proper subset of the natural numbers \mathbb{N} .

For instance, room 3 does not belong to C (i.e., $3 \notin C$). During the rearrangement process, as levels progress to infinity, the guest $R(3)$ continues changing, and its limiting value cannot be definitively assigned. Therefore, $R(3) \notin T$.

4. Conclusion

Consequently, $T \neq \mathbb{N}$, meaning not all positive integers are contained within the Collatz tree. Under this framework, the Collatz conjecture would not hold unless guests not in C are all infinity.

References

[1] R. Terras, (1976). "A stopping time problem on the positive integers".

Acta Arithmetica, 30(3), 241-252.

[2] J. C. Lagarias. The $3x+1$ Problem: An Overview.

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.02635>, 2021.