

The Topologically Protected Singularity Qubit (TPSQ): A Cosmic Qubit for Trans-Aeonic Information Transfer

Mario Prebježić

mario.prebjezic@gmail.com

June 15, 2025

Abstract

I propose a unified framework for the Topologically Protected Singularity Qubit (TPSQ), a quantum information carrier designed to resolve the trans-aeonic information paradox in Penrose's Conformal Cyclic Cosmology (CCC). By synthesizing four core axioms—topological protection, holographic embedding, topological evolution, and maximal entropy—the TPSQ provides a mathematically robust mechanism for information survival across conformal singularities. This work establishes the TPSQ as a bridge between quantum gravity, topology, and cosmology, with testable implications for the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) and quantum simulators.

1 Introduction: The CCC Information Paradox

Penrose's Conformal Cyclic Cosmology (CCC) posits an infinite sequence of universes (*aeons*), each terminating in a conformal singularity that births the next. The central challenge is the survival of information across these boundaries, where scale-dependent structures are erased by infinite conformal stretching ($\Omega \rightarrow \infty$).

The Topologically Protected Singularity Qubit (TPSQ) resolves this paradox by leveraging:

- **Topological quantum computation** (non-local encoding),
- **Loop Quantum Gravity** (discrete spacetime),
- **Holographic quantum error correction** (via AdS/CFT).

2 The Four Axioms: A Unified Framework

Axiom 2.1 (Topologically Protected State Space). The TPSQ Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{TPSQ}} \simeq \mathbb{C}^2$ is stabilized by a topological Hamiltonian:

$$H_{\text{eff}} = -K \sum_v A_v - J \sum_p B_p, \quad [A_v, B_p] = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $A_v = \prod_{j \in s(v)} \sigma_j^x$ and $B_p = \prod_{k \in \partial p} \sigma_k^z$. Key properties:

- Energy gap $\Delta > 0$ protects against decoherence,
- Ground state degeneracy $\dim(\mathcal{H}_0) = 2^g$ (genus g),
- Conformal invariance under $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}$.

Axiom 2.2 (Holographic Embedding). There exists an isometric embedding:

$$\iota : \mathcal{H}_{\text{TPSQ}} \hookrightarrow L^2(\overline{\mathcal{A}}, d_{\mu_{\text{AL}}})_{\text{LQG}} \otimes \bigoplus_{\Delta} \mathcal{V}_{\Delta} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{V}}_{\Delta}, \quad (2)$$

where:

- The LQG component encodes background-independent geometry,
- The CFT component captures conformal boundary states.

Axiom 2.3 (Topological Evolution). The evolution of TPSQ states is governed by flat-connection holonomies:

$$U(\gamma) = \mathcal{P} \exp \left(-i \int_{\gamma} A \right) \in G, \quad F_A = 0, \quad G \subseteq E_8. \quad (3)$$

With:

- Path-independence: $U(\gamma_1) = U(\gamma_2)$ for homotopic $\gamma_1 \simeq \gamma_2$,
- Projective representations of $\pi_1(\Sigma)$,
- E_8 symmetry stabilizing \mathcal{H}_0 via non-Abelian anyons.

Axiom 2.4 (Maximal Entropy at Singularity). At the conformal boundary:

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} I \quad \Rightarrow \quad S_{\text{vN}}(\rho) = 1 \text{ bit}. \quad (4)$$

- Encodes irreducible trans-aeonc information,
- Enforces quantum no-cloning via entropy saturation.

3 Extended Mathematical Framework

3.1 Topological State Space Formalization

The protected Hilbert space is defined on a genus- g Riemann surface Σ_g :

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{TPSQ}} = \text{span}\{|\psi_i\rangle\} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}, \quad \dim(\mathcal{H}_{\text{TPSQ}}) = 2^g,$$

where the physical space emerges from LQG spin networks:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}} = \bigoplus_{\Gamma} \bigotimes_{e \in \Gamma} \mathcal{H}_e^{j_e} / \text{gauge}.$$

The spectral gap theorem ensures protection:

$$\Delta = \inf_{\phi \perp \mathcal{H}_0} \frac{\langle \phi | H_{\text{eff}} | \phi \rangle}{\|\phi\|^2} > 0,$$

with $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{|\psi\rangle : A_v|\psi\rangle = B_p|\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle\}$.

3.2 Holographic Embedding Formalization

The isometric embedding satisfies:

$$\langle \iota(\psi) | \iota(\phi) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{LQG}} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\text{CFT}}} = \langle \psi | \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{TPSQ}}}.$$

Realized through radial quantization:

$$\iota(|\psi\rangle) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\Delta_\psi} \mathcal{O}_\psi(\vec{x})|0\rangle,$$

where primary operators satisfy $[L_n, \mathcal{O}_\psi(0)] = 0$ ($n > 0$). Entanglement entropy follows:

$$S(\rho_{\text{LQG}}) = S(\rho_{\text{CFT}}) = \frac{\text{Area}(\partial\mathcal{M})}{4G_N}.$$

3.3 Topological Evolution Formalization

The E_8 -connection satisfies $F_A = dA + A \wedge A = 0$. Holonomies form representations:

$$\text{Hol}_A : \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow E_8.$$

Unitary evolution via Wilson loops:

$$U(\gamma) = \text{tr} \left(\mathcal{P} \exp \oint_\gamma A \right).$$

Path independence from Stokes' theorem:

$$U(\gamma_1\gamma_2) - U(\gamma_2\gamma_1) = \iint_S F_A = 0.$$

3.4 Singularity Entropy Formalization

At conformal boundary ($t \rightarrow \infty$):

$$\rho = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T U(t) \rho_0 U^\dagger(t) dt = \frac{I}{2}.$$

Holevo bound saturation:

$$\chi(\rho) = S\left(\frac{1}{2}I\right) - \frac{1}{2}S(I) = 1 \text{ bit}.$$

No-cloning enforcement:

$$\text{Tr}(C^\dagger C \rho^{\otimes 2}) = \frac{1}{4} < 1 \quad (\text{unitarity violation}).$$

3.5 Conformal Invariance Framework

Under Weyl transformation $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow e^{2\sigma} g_{\mu\nu}$:

$$U(\gamma) \mapsto e^{-\Delta_\psi \sigma} U(\gamma) e^{\Delta_\psi \sigma} = U(\gamma).$$

Primary field correlations transform as:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}(x_1) \cdots \mathcal{O}(x_n) \rangle_{\Omega^2 g} = \prod_i \Omega(x_i)^{\Delta_i} \langle \mathcal{O}(x_1) \cdots \mathcal{O}(x_n) \rangle_g.$$

3.6 Extended Theoretical Framework

Non-Abelian anyon braiding:

$$B_{ij} = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{k} h_{ij}\right),$$

with Cartan generators h_{ij} . Quantum error correction via $[[n, k, d]]$ code:

$$k/n = 1 - \frac{2}{g} \log_2 \epsilon, \quad d \sim e^{-cL/\xi}.$$

Conformal cyclic mapping:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{prev}} \xrightarrow{\Phi} I^+ \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} I^- \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathcal{M}_{\text{next}},$$

where $\mathcal{C} : x^\mu \mapsto x^\mu/x^2$.

4 Experimental Signatures

4.1 Cosmological Probes

- **CMB anomalies:**
 - Non-Gaussian correlations in temperature,
 - B-mode vortices from projected holonomies.
- **Gamma-ray bursts:** Planck-scale time delays ($\sim 10^{-44}$ s) from LQG discreteness.

4.2 Laboratory Analogues

- **Superconducting qubits:** Emulate topological codes under rescaling,
- **Topological insulators:** Edge states mimic \mathcal{H}_{CFT} behavior.

5 Open Challenges

1. **Background independence:** Merging \mathcal{H}_{LQG} (diffeomorphism-invariant) with \mathcal{H}_{CFT} (conformal-fixed)
2. **Decoherence:** Generalizing TPSQ to mixed states via $[[n, k, d]]$ codes
3. **Group selection:** Physical justification for $G = E_8$ symmetry
4. **Observational tests:** Quantitative modeling of T - B correlations in the CMB

Table 1: Interdependencies between TPSQ axioms

Interaction	Mathematical Mechanism	Physical Significance
A1 \rightarrow A3	Ground state degeneracy enables path-independent $U(\gamma)$	Topological protection \Rightarrow conformal invariance
A2 \rightarrow A4	ι preserves $\text{Tr}(\rho^2) = \frac{1}{2}$	Holography ensures entropy conservation
A3 \rightarrow A1	E_8 holonomies stabilize \mathcal{H}_0	Fault-tolerant computation with anyons

6 Conclusion

The TPSQ offers a novel solution to CCC's information paradox by encoding quantum bits across aeons. Its robustness stems from:

- Topological stability under conformal rescaling,
- Holographic embedding at singular boundaries,
- Holonomic evolution via E_8 symmetry,
- Entropic consistency (1 bit/*aeon*).

Further research will address background compatibility and seek empirical confirmation through cosmological and quantum simulation platforms.

References

- [1] Penrose, R. (2010). *Cycles of Time*. Bodley Head.
- [2] Kitaev, A. (2003). Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons. *Ann. Phys.*, 303(1), 2-30.
- [3] Almheiri, A., Dong, X., & Harlow, D. (2015). Bulk locality and quantum error correction in AdS/CFT. *JHEP*, 2015(4), 163.
- [4] Rovelli, C. (2004). *Quantum Gravity*. Cambridge Univ. Press.