The Logical Vacuum: A Pre-Physical Syntax for the Emergence of Physics

Mohammad Ghanayem Rashid – June 7, 2025

Abstract

This theory proposes a model in which the vacuum is not empty but a dynamic substrate composed of logical processors that iteratively seek syntactic coherence. In this framework, space, time, matter, and quantum behavior emerge from the stability and interaction of discrete rule-evolving nodes. This perspective reframes physical law as a computational projection of logical regularity and coherence dynamics.

1. Introduction

What if reality doesn't begin with space or time—but with logic?

Long before atoms, particles, or even the fabric of spacetime existed, could there have been only rules—interacting, evolving, and struggling to become consistent?

This theory proposes that the universe emerged not from a physical explosion, but from a self-organizing network of symbolic rules. We call this the **logical vacuum**: a pre-physical layer where tiny rule-based agents interact, aiming to resolve contradictions in their local environments. As coherence spreads across this network, familiar phenomena—such as time, space, and matter—emerge naturally.

In this view, time is simply the order in which rules stabilize. Space is the pattern of interactions. Mass is memory. Entropy is lost possibility. And quantum effects are the shadows of unresolved logical choices.

Rather than treating physics as fundamental, we suggest that physical laws are the large-scale expression of deeper logical dynamics. This approach opens the door to a new way of thinking about the universe—as a system built not on objects, but on evolving relations.

2. Philosophical Motivation and Background

This theory is rooted in the premise that physical law may not be ontologically primitive, but instead a large-scale outcome of logical self-organization. Rather than postulating matter or geometry as fundamental, it explores whether symbolic computation and consistency propagation can serve as the true substrate of physical reality.

The idea aligns with informational perspectives, in which the universe is treated as a computational process. The logical vacuum, however, is not a metaphorical stage but a formal mechanism: a network of symbolic agents undergoing local rule revisions to maximize coherence.

This reconceptualization suggests that the laws and constants observed in nature are stabilized patterns of syntactic agreement, not intrinsic elements. As such, this theory provides a foundation for reinterpreting the origin of structure, time, and causality within a non-material framework.

3. The Logical Substrate and Rule Syntax

At the core of this framework lies a non-physical medium referred to as the logical substrate. This substrate is not composed of particles, fields, or spacetime coordinates, but of discrete symbolic processors—nodes—that exchange and transform abstract syntactic structures. Each node operates according to a local rule set, attempting to reconcile its internal logic with the signals received from its neighbors.

The fundamental operation of the substrate is rule evaluation. Each node compares its current state to incoming symbolic patterns, applying a transformation rule that either preserves or revises its internal syntax. When inconsistency is detected, the node attempts to modify either its internal rule or its symbolic state in order to restore local coherence. This recursive self-revision process enables the system to evolve toward stable, low-contradiction configurations.

The syntax governing the nodes is not fixed; it evolves under pressure from adjacent nodes. This dynamic syntax constitutes a form of distributed computation in which the propagation of symbols and rule sets determines the overall evolution of the system. Crucially, the substrate does not encode a predefined geometry or timeline—both of these are emergent outcomes of syntactic alignment across the network.

The symbolic alphabet used by the system is domain-agnostic and minimally constrained. It is not the meaning of the symbols that drives evolution, but their structural compatibility. This allows for the spontaneous emergence of complex rule hierarchies and stable recursive configurations, which are interpreted macroscopically as matter, space, and causality.

Thus, the logical substrate acts as a generative foundation from which physical law arises. Its only intrinsic objective is the minimization of syntactic contradiction through local interaction and recursive adaptation. This minimal principle gives rise to diverse and complex emergent behaviors when instantiated over a sufficiently rich network of interacting nodes.

4. Graph-Based Model of Syntactic Stabilization

Let the logical vacuum be represented as a dynamic directed graph

$$G(t) = (V, E(t), R(t), S(t)), \text{ where:}$$

- V is the set of symbolic processing nodes (vertices).
- $E(t) \subseteq V \times V$ is the set of directed edges at time t, representing potential channels of syntactic influence.
- $R(t) = \{R_i(t)\}_{i} \in V$ is the set of local rule functions assigned to each node.
- $S(t) = \{S_i(t)\}_{i} \in V$, where each $S_i(t) \in \Sigma$ is the symbol state of node i at time t, from a finite alphabet Σ.

Each node operates as a rule-evaluating agent where $N_i(t)$ is the set of in-neighbors of node i at time t.;

$$S_i(t+1), R_i(t+1) = R_i(t)(\{S_j(t)\}_{j} \in N_i(t))$$

Define a coherence function for each node:

$$C_i(t) = -\sum_{j} \in N_i(t)\ D(S_i(t), R_j(t)(S_i(t)))$$

where D is a contradiction distance metric

Let the global coherence energy be:

$$C(t) = \sum_{i} \in V\ C_i(t)$$

The system evolves by minimizing C(t) through either:

1. State adaptation: Node i changes $S_i(t+1)$ to reduce contradiction with its neighbors.
2. Rule mutation: Node i changes $R_i(t+1)$ to a function more compatible with its neighbors' outputs.

A syntactic stabilization event occurs when a subset $U \subseteq V$ achieves:

$$\forall i \in U,\ C_i(t) \to 0 \text{ and } R_i(t) = R_i(t+1) = \ldots$$

That is, all contradictions are resolved, and rule functions stop evolving—thus forming a logical attractor.

- This stable cluster begins to propagate coherence outward, causing:
  Expansion of U to include nodes $i \notin U$ such that $C_i(t) \to 0$ after multiple timesteps.
- Emergence of a causal order: the partial order over resolution timestamps defines proto-temporal structure.

5. Mechanisms of Coherence and Contradiction Resolution

This graph-based model allows simulation of early-universe dynamics as a wave front of conflict resolution and rule stabilization over a symbolic network.

In the Logical Vacuum framework, coherence emerges not from an external adjudicator of truth, but through a distributed process of local contradiction minimization. Each node in the syntactic graph possesses a rule-set and symbolic state, and it evaluates its coherence by comparing its local transformations with the expected input-output behavior of its neighbors.

A contradiction is defined as a mismatch between the predicted symbolic outcome of a rule execution and the actual state received from connected nodes. Coherence, conversely, is defined as local syntactic closure: the mutual alignment of symbolic outputs and transformation rules across neighboring nodes.

Crucially, no external standard of truth is imposed: coherence emerges endogenously as a stable attractor in a distributed network of mutually constrained interpretations.

6. Dynamics of Coherence Propagation

The evolution of structure within the logical vacuum is governed by the propagation of syntactic coherence. At its core, this process involves the iterative resolution of local contradictions across a distributed graph of rule-processing nodes. Each node continuously evaluates its internal syntactic rule in relation to the output states of its neighbors. When incompatibilities arise, the node may either adjust its internal rule or transition its state to reduce local inconsistency.

This mechanism forms the backbone of temporal progression. Time is not a pre-defined parameter but emerges as a partial ordering over successful coherence evaluations—each instance of conflict resolution constitutes a causal step forward in the information graph. Hence, the temporal axis in this model is intrinsically tied to logical transformation events rather than an external metric continuum.

The dynamics of coherence can be formally described as a minimization of a contradiction potential $C(t)$

C(t), where the system tends toward configurations that globally reduce syntactic tension. This creates regions of stability—referred to as "coherence attractors"—which can persist across multiple iterations and serve as memory substrates or precursors to physical structures.

Importantly, coherence propagation is not uniform. Areas of high rule degeneracy or incompatible syntactic intersections may create zones of delayed resolution. These manifest as curvature in the causal structure of the information graph and serve as a possible analog to gravitational behavior in physical space. The result is a dynamic topology where space, time, and matter emerge from the flow and entanglement of rule resolutions.

7. Syntactic Coherence and Emergent Time

In this framework, time is not an independent variable nor a backdrop against which events unfold. Instead, temporality is an emergent property arising from the sequential resolution of syntactic inconsistencies within the logical substrate. Each coherence event—defined as the successful alignment of a node's internal rule with its surrounding context—marks a discrete advancement along a causal chain.

The ensemble of these coherence events defines a partial ordering over the network's state history. This ordering is not necessarily linear or globally uniform; it is locally determined by the dependencies between syntactic updates. As such, multiple coherence processes may evolve in parallel, resulting in a temporality that is inherently distributed and observer-relative.

This model provides a natural explanation for phenomena typically associated with the arrow of time. Entropy, traditionally viewed as a statistical measure of disorder, corresponds here to the accumulation of suppressed rule alternatives—configurations that were logically inconsistent and thus discarded. The past, then, is the trace of coherence-resolved configurations, while the future consists of unresolved syntactic domains awaiting integration.

The emergence of a stable temporal flow requires the presence of recursive structures that enforce syntactic memory. When such structures dominate a region of the graph, they give rise to the experience of temporal continuity and predictability. Conversely, in areas of high contradiction or syntactic noise, time may behave chaotically or fail to emerge altogether. In this formulation, time is not a fundamental dimension but a derived property—a logical ordering imposed by the drive toward self-consistency in an otherwise structureless informational field.

8. Topological Structure as Emergent Geometry

The notion of space within this framework does not originate from a predefined metric continuum, but from the evolving topology of logical interactions. Each node in the syntactic graph maintains a set of connectivity relations dictated by rule compatibility and coherence history. These relations define a dynamic propagation network, whose structure gives rise to an emergent sense of spatial arrangement.

In contrast to classical geometry, where distance and dimensionality are assumed as primitives, the logical vacuum posits that spatial relations emerge from patterns of causal adjacency. Two nodes are considered “closer” not by metric proximity, but by the ease and frequency of coherent rule exchange. Consequently, regions of high syntactic alignment form dense causal fabrics—analogous to localized space—while zones of rule incompatibility correspond to sparse, high-resistance areas, akin to voids.

This topological interpretation naturally explains curvature effects without invoking a background manifold. When local contradiction delays coherence propagation, the informational pathways bend or slow—mirroring phenomena associated with gravitational wells. Such deformations in coherence flow define effective geodesics within the logical substrate.

Additionally, the emergent topology can evolve, split, or merge based on shifts in rule compatibility. This provides a mechanism for modeling not only continuous spatial deformation but also topological transitions, such as the genesis of new domains or the annihilation of incompatible regions.

Thus, space is reinterpreted as a byproduct of coherent informational connectivity—a synthetic manifold sculpted by the recursive dynamics of symbolic resolution.

9. Quantum Indeterminacy and Logical Ambiguity

The framework of the logical vacuum offers a reinterpretation of quantum behavior as a manifestation of syntactic ambiguity within a non-deterministic rule network. Rather than invoking wavefunctions or Hilbert spaces as fundamental constructs, this theory attributes quantum indeterminacy to overlapping logical domains where resolution between conflicting rule sets is deferred.

In such regions, a node may remain in a superposed state, possessing multiple internally consistent syntactic resolutions, each valid under a subset of adjacent rule conditions. This state persists until interaction with a more coherent environment collapses the ambiguity—analogous to measurement-induced decoherence.

Entanglement emerges when multiple nodes participate in a shared unresolved contradiction, forming a distributed syntactic loop whose resolution requires collective alignment. The logical dependency between these nodes ensures that once coherence is enforced in one, the outcome constraints the resolution possibilities of the others—without requiring signal exchange. This provides an informational basis for non-local correlations.

Uncertainty relations, traditionally derived from operator non-commutativity, are here understood as limits on simultaneous coherence in orthogonal rule domains. For example, syntactic rules encoding “position” may be mutually incompatible with those encoding “momentum,” making it logically inconsistent to resolve both simultaneously with arbitrary precision.

This interpretation reframes quantum mechanics not as a departure from classical determinism, but as a manifestation of deeper logical competition. The indeterminate behavior of microscopic systems reflects the underlying structure of symbolic negotiation among syntactic agents embedded in a rule-rich, contradiction-prone substrate.

10. Entropy and the Cost of Logical Suppression

In the logical vacuum model, entropy is not merely a statistical descriptor of microstate multiplicity, but a measure of information loss due to rule suppression. Every coherence event—where a node updates its state or adapts its transformation rule—necessarily involves the exclusion of alternative syntactic paths. These discarded options represent logical configurations that were inconsistent with the local coherence trajectory.

Entropy, then, quantifies the accumulated volume of rejected syntactic alternatives over the evolution of a region. As coherence spreads, the informational landscape becomes increasingly constrained by its own history. This process is akin to pruning a tree of possibilities: the deeper the coherence propagation, the fewer viable paths remain for syntactic deviation.

This notion leads to a natural arrow of time: as more rules are stabilized and more contradictions resolved, the system develops a directional increase in constraint, experienced macroscopically as thermodynamic irreversibility. Memory—defined as the persistence of resolved syntactic patterns—reinforces this asymmetry.

Moreover, regions with high syntactic density (i.e. many stable rule configurations) have higher informational inertia. They resist alteration, absorb contradiction slowly, and store coherence over long temporal spans. These regions correspond to physical systems with high entropy capacity, such as black holes or complex thermodynamic ensembles.

By reframing entropy as the logical cost of coherence, this model suggests a unifying perspective in which thermodynamics, information theory, and fundamental physics emerge from a common substrate of rule arbitration and symbolic competition.

11. Gravity as Delay in Coherence Resolution

Within the logical vacuum framework, gravity is not treated as a fundamental force or curvature of a geometric manifold, but as a temporal asymmetry in the propagation of syntactic coherence. Specifically, gravity emerges from delays in the resolution of contradictions within regions of high syntactic density. As nodes negotiate rule alignment, certain configurations require longer convergence times due to rule complexity, conflict depth, or mutual reinforcement loops. These delays distort the local update ordering across the graph, creating regions where syntactic time flows more slowly relative to others.

This delayed propagation of coherence is interpreted macroscopically as gravitational time dilation. Objects situated in areas of high logical recursion—such as massive bodies—induce slower coherence resolution, thereby acting as attractors for surrounding information flow. Adjacent nodes tend to align their resolution sequence toward these delayed regions, leading to a deflection in coherence paths, akin to how light bends near mass. Furthermore, the accumulation of unresolved contradictions in such zones increases local informational inertia. This parallels the concept of mass: a syntactic structure that resists rapid rule adaptation due to its embedded history of conflict resolution. In this view, gravitational phenomena arise naturally from the topology of coherence delays across the logical substrate. This offers a potential route to integrate gravitational effects into a pre-geometric and information-centric ontology, bypassing the need for continuous spacetime or quantized graviton fields.

Thus, gravity is not a force mediated by particles or curvature, but an emergent expression of temporal bottlenecks in syntactic negotiation.

12. Big Bang as Syntactic Stabilization Event

In the framework of the logical vacuum, the Big Bang is not interpreted as a geometric singularity or an explosive release of energy, but as a critical syntactic phase transition within a pre-physical substrate of interacting rule-processing nodes. Prior to this event, the system is modeled as a highly disordered logical environment, characterized by fluctuating rule sets and rampant contradictions. No stable causality, space, or temporality yet exists—only a turbulent sea of logical interactions attempting local consistency.

The Big Bang corresponds to the first large-scale emergence of a self-sustaining coherent syntax. At a critical point, a localized region within the logical graph achieves recursive closure: a configuration of rules that not only resolves its own contradictions but propagates a stable set of transformations to adjacent nodes. This recursive feedback structure acts as a coherence attractor, triggering a wave of rule alignment in surrounding areas.

This syntactic alignment propagates rapidly, forming what appears macroscopically as the inflationary expansion of spacetime. However, within this model, inflation is reinterpreted as a burst of information-structural synchronization, not the geometric stretching of physical space. The initial "fireball" of the Big Bang is thus the large-scale projection of an informational stabilization front, where symbolic processors lock into consistent transformation cycles for the first time.

The emergent physical laws—such as causality, locality, and conservation principles—are viewed as consequences of this initial syntactic crystallization. The observable universe becomes a coherent region embedded within a broader logical vacuum, with its own internally consistent rule set that governs how information propagates and how contradictions are resolved.

This view reframes the origin of the universe as the first successful resolution of computational inconsistency in an otherwise undecidable symbolic substrate. The logical vacuum model thus eliminates the need for an absolute beginning in time, replacing it with a computational bootstrap—a transition from rule instability to recursive self-definition.

13. Multiversal Fronts and Syntax Collisions

In a broader logical vacuum composed of multiple expanding regions of syntactic coherence, interactions between distinct rule-based domains are inevitable. Each stabilized region can be interpreted as a universe with its own internally consistent rule configuration. These regions may expand over time, assimilating adjacent undecided nodes into their coherent framework.

When two such regions encounter one another, a syntactic collision front emerges. This front is defined by a boundary across which incompatible rule sets attempt to resolve their differences. The outcome of such encounters depends on the coherence density, semantic overlap, and resilience of each syntactic domain.

Three principal outcomes are proposed:

- Assimilation: One region may dominate the other by enforcing its rule set, leading to absorption and homogenization.
- Boundary Formation: A stable syntactic interface may emerge, analogous to domain walls in condensed matter physics, preserving both rule sets locally but preventing mixing.
- Annihilation or Turbulence: In cases of extreme incompatibility, both regions may collapse locally, producing unstable nodes and creating zones of high contradiction—potentially observable as anomalies in physical constants or localized decoherence.
-

The propagation of these collision fronts may leave imprints in the large-scale structure of coherent regions. In principle, observable relics of such collisions might appear as anisotropies or topological defects in cosmic data.

This multiversal interaction model suggests that the logical vacuum supports not a singular, isolated universe, but a dynamic landscape of expanding syntactic entities, some of which may interfere, merge, or extinguish each other depending on their syntactic architecture and resolution strategies.

14. Comparison with Quantum Mechanics

The logical vacuum model offers a reinterpretation of quantum mechanical phenomena as emergent consequences of syntactic dynamics rather than fundamental axioms. In standard quantum mechanics, properties such as superposition, uncertainty, and entanglement are postulated and described by the formalism of Hilbert spaces and linear operators. In contrast, this model aims to derive analogous behaviours from the structure and evolution of symbolic rule-processing graphs.

Superposition is reinterpreted as the temporary coexistence of multiple syntactic configurations in a local node or region where no dominant resolution has yet been established. A node with conflicting neighboring inputs may retain multiple provisional states, each consistent with a subset of its environment, until coherence propagates and enforces a decision. This reflects the probabilistic character of quantum state collapse as a process of resolving rule ambiguity.

Entanglement emerges when multiple nodes share a history of syntactic updates that cannot be factored independently. These nodes form a *coherence dependency group*, where the resolution of one directly constrains the possible states of the others. The apparent nonlocal correlations arise not from superluminal influence, but from shared logical ancestry within the coherence network.

The Heisenberg uncertainty principle is reframed as a statement about the incompatibility between distinct syntactic projections. For example, determining a node's syntactic momentum (its tendency to propagate influence) may preclude accurate resolution of its local syntax (analogous to position), due to orthogonal rule sets competing within the same domain. This yields a natural source of measurement constraints without invoking wavefunctions or operator algebras.

Gauge symmetries, which in conventional physics express redundancy in field descriptions, are reinterpreted as *invariance under rule relabelling*. That is, multiple syntactic encodings may describe the same coherence pattern, provided the transformations preserve local contradiction minimization. This permits the emergence of symmetry groups from logical isomorphisms in the graph.

15. Implications for General Relativity

The logical vacuum framework reinterprets gravitational phenomena not as consequences of spacetime curvature, but as emergent effects resulting from delays in logical coherence propagation. General Relativity models gravity as the deformation of a continuous geometric manifold in response to mass-energy, leading to curvature that dictates the motion of matter. In contrast, this theory posits that spacetime and its causal structure arise from the distributed resolution of rule-based contradictions across a discrete logical substrate. In regions where information processing is delayed—due to high memory density, recursive feedback, or rule incompatibility—the propagation of syntactic coherence becomes distorted. These distortions manifest macroscopically as what we interpret as gravitational fields. Free-falling systems, under this model, follow the paths of minimal contradiction accumulation, analogous to geodesics in a curved spacetime. The equivalence between inertial and gravitational effects emerges from the local uniformity of rule evaluations in the absence of external inconsistency. Moreover, phenomena such as gravitational waves can be interpreted as dynamic perturbations in coherence across the rule network, transmitting as waves of shifting contradiction gradients. This reframing of gravity allows General Relativity to be viewed as a macroscopic statistical limit of a more fundamental discrete and informational substrate, where logical processes—not geometry—constitute the true fabric of interaction.

16. Information Theory and Computation Analogy

The logical vacuum model invites a natural reinterpretation of physical processes through the lens of information theory and computation. In this framework, the evolution of the universe is cast as a distributed computation executed by a network of rule-evaluating nodes. Each node functions as a local processor that transmits, modifies, and aligns symbolic information according to a syntactic rule set aimed at minimizing contradiction. This ongoing process resembles a massive, asynchronous cellular automaton operating under adaptive logic.

From the perspective of information theory, physical quantities acquire new interpretations. Entropy becomes a measure of syntactic uncertainty or the number of viable rule configurations compatible with a given symbolic state. Energy is reconceived as the rate of information transformation or rule reapplication across the network. Mass corresponds to the presence of persistent, recursively stabilized structures—logical fixpoints that resist rapid reconfiguration. Communication across this substrate obeys limits analogous to channel capacity and error correction. Just as Shannon's theory sets constraints on reliable information transfer, the logical vacuum imposes bounds on coherence propagation, with contradiction acting as noise. Redundancy in rule sets functions as error mitigation, while local memory acts as buffering against logical disruptions. Temporal ordering emerges not from continuous time but from the dependency structure of information updates, with "earlier" states being those required to resolve subsequent contradictions.

This analogy also suggests computational constraints on the universe itself. If the logical vacuum is a finite, albeit vast, substrate, then physical law must conform to limits on information processing, memory usage, and algorithmic complexity. Such a perspective resonates with recent proposals in digital physics and opens pathways for reframing fundamental constants as parameters of an underlying informational protocol.

17. Philosophical Consequences and Epistemology

The logical vacuum framework reorients fundamental ontological and epistemological assumptions about the nature of reality. It challenges the traditional hierarchy where matter precedes mind and where physical substance is treated as foundational. Instead, it positions syntactic consistency and information processing as the primary substrate, with spacetime, particles, and forces emerging as semantic structures. This shift implies that the universe is not a passive container of matter, but an active, self-organizing logical construct in which what we call “physical law” arises from constraints on consistency propagation.

Epistemologically, this model dissolves the classical boundary between observer and system. If both observers and the observed are emergent patterns within the same logical graph, then knowledge becomes a property of internal coherence rather than an external correspondence. The very act of measurement is reframed as the stabilization of syntactic interactions across rule domains. This has implications for the interpretation of quantum mechanics, suggesting that wavefunction collapse and observer effects are manifestations of coherence resolution within an informational substrate.

Moreover, the theory opens the door to new interpretations of metaphysical questions. For instance, the apparent fine-tuning of the universe could be understood not as chance or design, but as the natural outcome of self-reinforcing syntactic attractors. The question of why there is something rather than nothing becomes a matter of why stable rule systems are favored within the logical vacuum. Even time itself—long treated as a primitive—is here seen as emergent from dependency structures between informational updates, challenging classical notions of linear temporality and causation.

18. Open Questions and Future Work

While the logical vacuum framework provides a cohesive and conceptually rich foundation, it raises significant open questions that invite further theoretical and computational exploration.

The transition from discrete symbolic dynamics to continuum approximations must be better understood. Can spacetime metrics, Lagrangians, and field equations be systematically derived as emergent statistical descriptors of underlying graph-theoretic processes? If so, what specific topological or algebraic invariants within the logical graph correlate with known physical quantities?

From a computational standpoint, constructing scalable simulations of the logical vacuum presents both a challenge and an opportunity. What algorithmic frameworks—cellular automata, hypergraphs, tensor networks—best approximate coherence propagation in high-dimensional rule spaces?

There are also epistemological concerns regarding testability. While the model offers a unifying narrative, empirical validation remains elusive. Could observable anomalies in vacuum energy, gravitational lensing, or cosmological background radiation hint at underlying logical dynamics or collisions between syntactic regions?

Lastly, the interaction between multiple coherent regions—potentially corresponding to different physical constants or laws—poses questions about the ontology of multiversal boundaries, decoherence, and the potential detectability of syntax-induced anisotropies in cosmological data.

Future work must bridge this speculative foundation with existing formalisms in quantum gravity, non-commutative geometry, and categorical logic. Only through this synthesis can the logical vacuum framework evolve from a metaphysical hypothesis to a mature physical paradigm.

References

Rovelli, C. (2004). Quantum Gravity. Cambridge University Press.

Deutsch, D. (1997). The Fabric of Reality. Penguin.

Wolfram, S. (2020). A New Kind of Science. Wolfram Media.

Lloyd, S. (2006). Programming the Universe. Knopf.

Wheeler, J. A. (1990). Information, physics, quantum: The search for links. In Complexity, Entropy, and the Physics of Information.

Feynman, R. P., Leighton, R. B., & Sands, M. (1963). The Feynman Lectures on Physics.

Chaitin, G. (2005). Meta Math!. Vintage.

Penrose, R. (2005). The Road to Reality. Jonathan Cape.

Barrow, J. D. (2007). New Theories of Everything. Oxford University Press.

Tegmark, M. (2014). Our Mathematical Universe. Knopf.

Hossenfelder, S. (2018). Lost in Math: How Beauty Leads Physics Astray. Basic Books.

Smolin, L. (2019). Einstein's Unfinished Revolution: The Search for What Lies Beyond the Quantum. Penguin Press.

Aaronson, S. (2013). Quantum Computing Since Democritus. Cambridge University Press.

Susskind, L., & Friedman, A. (2014). Quantum Mechanics: The Theoretical Minimum. Basic Books.

Preskill, J. (2018). Quantum Computing in the NISQ era and beyond. Quantum, 2, 79.

Biamonte, J., & Bergholm, V. (2017). Tensor Networks in a Nutshell. arXiv:1708.00006.

Hardy, L. (2001). Quantum Theory From Five Reasonable Axioms. arXiv:quant-ph/0101012.

Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, Einselection, and the Quantum Origins of the Classical. Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 715.

Lisi, A. G. (2007). An Exceptionally Simple Theory of Everything. arXiv:0711.0770.