Thermalization and Decoherence via Boson Orbital Alignment: A Coherence-Based Interpretation of Measurement and Collapse

Michael J. Sarnowski

2025

Abstract

This paper presents a coherence-based mechanism for thermalization and quantum decoherence, grounded in the Holosphere lattice model of spacetime. Within this discrete framework, particles such as electrons are composed of coherent orbital triplets—bosonic excitations formed by six Holosphere units surrounding a vacancy defect. Thermalization arises from angular misalignment among these orbitals, where interactions with environmental bosons induce phase decoherence and orbital drift. Decoherence is interpreted as the loss of long-range angular phase coherence between orbital systems, leading to classical statistical behavior. We propose that thermal energy corresponds to a distribution of incoherent orbital modes, and temperature is proportional to the average orbital misalignment across a lattice region. Entanglement collapse and measurement are described as realignment events where local boson interactions enforce coherence with a specific lattice sector. This approach yields a geometric and mechanistic reinterpretation of thermal and quantum phenomena without invoking continuous wavefunctions or external decohering fields. Experimental implications for quantum computing, thermal isolation, and time asymmetry are discussed, with predictions that distinguish this model from standard interpretations of decoherence and statistical mechanics.

1 Foundations and Background

In Holosphere Theory, the quantum and thermodynamic behaviors of matter emerge from discrete rotational dynamics within a structured lattice of nested spheres. Each unit of this lattice—a Holosphere—is a neutron-scale, spinning entity whose phase coherence with neighboring units defines the properties of space, particles, and fields. The vacuum is not empty, but a memory-preserving medium in which angular phase alignment constitutes the underlying fabric of physical reality.

At the quantum level, particles such as electrons are modeled as coherent orbital triplets. Each triplet consists of three localized vacancy defects, surrounded by orbiting dark bosons—coherent excitations of six adjacent Holospheres. These triplet configurations are stabilized by angular momentum constraints and topological phase-locking, yielding spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ behavior and Pauli exclusion as emergent geometric properties.

Coherence between these boson orbitals is the key to quantum behavior. Long-range entanglement arises when one of the three orbital modes remains in phase alignment across extended regions of the lattice. Local interactions or measurements correspond to realignments of orbital phases, collapsing nonlocal coherence into locally compatible configurations.

Thermalization and decoherence arise when these orbital alignments are disrupted. In a warm or noisy environment, misalignments accumulate due to angular strain from surrounding lattice fluctuations. These misalignments act as phase defects that destroy long-range coherence, leading to classical statistical behavior.

This paper explores how boson orbital misalignment governs the onset of decoherence, and how temperature can be reinterpreted as a measure of orbital phase disorder. By treating coherence as a physical quantity with geometric constraints, we derive a unified picture in which quantum collapse, thermal equilibrium, and entropy growth are all consequences of a single underlying process: the realignment and relaxation of angular phase tension in the Holosphere lattice.

2 Boson Orbital Alignment and Defect Stability

The fundamental constituents of particles in the Holosphere lattice are not pointlike entities, but coherent orbital configurations of angular strain. In particular, electrons and other fermions are modeled as *triplet defect systems*, composed of three spatially proximate vacancy defects, each surrounded by six Holospheres. These six-Holosphere orbitals act as rotating coherence shells that sustain dark bosons—coherent angular excitations that propagate phase information around the vacancy.

Each dark boson carries angular momentum and remains in phase alignment with the surrounding lattice as long as coherence conditions are satisfied. For the triplet system to remain stable, all three dark bosons must maintain relative phase alignment, such that their combined orbital strain forms a closed, topologically protected configuration. This aligned state minimizes angular tension and preserves the identity of the fermionic particle.

Mathematically, the alignment of each boson orbital is defined by a coherence angle $\theta_i(t)$, and the system remains stable when the relative angular differences are constrained:

$$\Delta\theta_{ij}(t) = \theta_i(t) - \theta_j(t) \approx 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$$

These alignment conditions ensure that the orbital phases remain phase-locked, enabling long-range coherence and entanglement. The strain energy of the triplet system can be modeled as:

$$E_{\text{orb}} = \sum_{i<j} \kappa \left[1 - \cos(\theta_i - \theta_j)\right]$$

where κ is the angular stiffness constant of the lattice. Minimal energy corresponds to maximal alignment. Small deviations increase local angular strain, which can destabilize the triplet coherence and trigger decoherence events.

The bosons themselves are not elementary particles, but manifestations of rotational phase wrapped around the vacancy defects. They are stabilized by the balance between angular momentum coupling from surrounding Holospheres and the topological geometry of the triplet. This gives rise to an effective restoring force whenever the orbitals begin to drift out of phase.

However, this stability is not absolute. Interactions with other lattice regions, collisions with environmental excitations, or coupling to macroscopic measurement systems can introduce phase noise. When the phase angle $\Delta\theta_{ij}$ exceeds a critical threshold, coherence is lost and the triplet decouples into decoherent substructures. This marks the transition from quantum behavior to classical thermal behavior, and is a key signature of decoherence in the Holosphere framework.

We define a coherence threshold λ as the maximum tolerable angular mismatch for orbital coherence to persist:

$$|\Delta\theta_{ij}| < \lambda \Rightarrow \text{coherent triplet preserved}$$

$$|\Delta\theta_{ij}| \geq \lambda \Rightarrow \text{triplet decoheres}$$

This threshold λ depends on the angular strain environment, [2] local nesting geometry, and the energy scale of surrounding Holospheres. In high-coherence regions (e.g., low temperature or isolation), λ is large and orbital coherence is stable. In high-noise regions, λ is narrow and decoherence is more likely.

The alignment of boson orbitals is therefore not just a structural condition—it is the fundamental mechanism that governs whether a quantum system remains entangled, enters a classical statistical state, or collapses into a definite outcome under measurement. In the following section, we explore how environmental interactions drive decoherence by inducing persistent orbital misalignments.

3 Mechanisms of Decoherence

In the Holosphere lattice framework, quantum coherence arises from the synchronized phase alignment of dark boson orbitals surrounding vacancy defects. Decoherence occurs when this alignment is disrupted—specifically, when the orbital phase angles θ_i of a triplet system drift apart beyond the coherence threshold λ, as defined in Section 2. The mechanisms that drive this misalignment are physical, directional, and rooted in the angular dynamics of the surrounding lattice. In this framework, λ reflects the angular elasticity of the lattice — a measure of how much angular deformation is energetically penalized.

We identify three primary pathways by which decoherence can occur: [1]

3.1 Environmental Angular Strain

The Holosphere lattice is a dynamic medium. Even in vacuum-like regions, residual angular strain from distant defects and lattice curvature propagates through the medium. These low-level fluctuations act as background noise that perturbs the angular alignment of orbitals.

When a triplet system is immersed in such an environment, its boson orbitals experience torque from surrounding lattice units whose phase gradients are imperfectly aligned. This leads to cumulative phase drift:

$$\frac{d\theta_i}{dt} = \omega_i + \sum_j \Gamma_{ij}(t)$$

where ω_i is the natural angular frequency of the ith orbital, and $\Gamma_{ij}(t)$ represents perturbative strain from neighboring Holospheres. Over time, these perturbations push the relative phase differences $\Delta\theta_{ij}$ toward and beyond the decoherence threshold λ.

3.2 Thermal Coupling and Phase Jitter

At nonzero temperature, the lattice contains a spectrum of incoherent angular excitations—thermal modes that represent unbound bosonic rotations. These excitations couple to orbital systems via lattice strain fields and can induce random torque on the triplet structure.

The effect of thermal noise can be modeled as angular phase jitter with a characteristic diffusion rate D:

$$\left\langle (\Delta\theta_{ij}(t))^2 \right\rangle \approx 2Dt$$

This diffusive growth of phase variance implies that decoherence is a time-dependent process with a characteristic timescale τ_d:

$$\tau_d \sim \frac{\lambda^2}{2D}$$

As temperature increases, D grows and τ_d shortens, explaining why coherence is more fragile at higher thermal energy densities. This provides a physical, non-probabilistic mechanism for thermal decoherence grounded in angular strain propagation.

3.3 Boundary Interactions and Measurement-Induced Phase Locking

A third decoherence pathway occurs when a quantum system couples to a macroscopic environment—e.g., during measurement. In Holosphere Theory, this interaction is not an abstract collapse, but a concrete orbital alignment event. The measurement apparatus exerts a coherent angular influence on the system, enforcing phase synchronization with a particular subset of the lattice.

Let θ_{env} represent the dominant phase orientation of the measurement medium. Then:

$$\theta_i \to \theta_{\text{env}} + \delta_i$$

where δ_i is a small alignment deviation. The act of measurement is thus the imposition of coherence with an external reference frame, effectively overriding the triplet's prior internal phase configuration. This results in decoherence from the original superposition, but not from loss of information—instead, information is realigned and transferred into the coherent memory of the lattice.

Such alignment events are directional and irreversible. They convert a nonlocal entangled system into a locally phase-locked structure, consistent with classical outcomes. This provides a mechanistic interpretation of wavefunction collapse that avoids the need for hidden variables or many-worlds interpretations.

In summary, decoherence in the Holosphere lattice is the result of angular phase misalignment driven by environmental strain, thermal noise, and external coherence enforcement. These processes cause orbital systems to lose their mutual alignment, transitioning from coherent quantum behavior to incoherent, thermal, or classical states. In the next section, we explore how this decoherence process leads to thermalization, and how temperature itself emerges from orbital disorder in a rotating lattice.

4 Thermalization from Coherence Drift

Thermalization in Holosphere Theory arises from the gradual degradation of orbital phase coherence in a system of interacting triplet defects. As dark boson orbitals accumulate angular strain from environmental interactions, their relative phases begin to drift. This phase drift leads to decoherence, and as decoherence spreads across a region of the lattice, the system approaches a thermalized state defined not by kinetic energy, but by the statistical distribution of angular misalignments. Unlike Kinetic theory temperature is not a fundamental quantity, but emerges from rotational strain statistics.

4.1 Angular Phase as a Thermodynamic Variable

In the Holosphere framework, temperature is reinterpreted as a macroscopic measure of phase disorder. Instead of assigning thermal energy to translational motion, we associate it with rotational phase deviation between adjacent boson orbitals. In a coherent state, the variance of relative phase angles $\Delta\theta_{ij}$ is low. In a thermalized region, the phase angles are randomly distributed over $[0, 2\pi)$, and their pairwise differences exhibit large variance.

We define the local coherence entropy S_θ for a group of N triplet orbitals as:

$$S_\theta = -k_B \sum_n P_n \ln P_n$$

where P_n is the probability distribution of angular phase differences in that region. In the high-temperature limit, this distribution approaches uniformity, maximizing S_θ.

4.2 Statistical Distribution of Orbital Misalignments

If the orbital misalignments are modeled as independent random variables drawn from a wrapped Gaussian distribution centered on zero, the effective temperature T can be associated with the variance σ_θ^2 of these angular deviations:

$$P(\Delta\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_\theta^2}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left[-\frac{(\Delta\theta + 2\pi m)^2}{2\sigma_\theta^2}\right]$$

Here, temperature is proportional to the spread of this distribution:

$$T \propto \sigma_\theta^2$$

This replaces the classical kinetic energy-based definition of temperature with a coherence-based metric that reflects angular disorder in the lattice.

4.3 Relaxation Toward Thermal Equilibrium

[6]

Once decoherence begins, systems relax toward a statistical equilibrium state in which the angular phase differences fluctuate randomly. The relaxation dynamics can be modeled by a phase diffusion equation:

$$\frac{\partial P(\theta, t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial \theta^2}$$

where $P(\theta, t)$ is the probability density for orbital phase alignment, and D is the phase diffusion constant introduced in Section 3. This diffusion process reflects the gradual loss of information encoded in orbital alignment and explains why isolated quantum systems trend toward thermal equilibrium over time.

4.4 Energy Distribution and the Angular Boltzmann Factor

The energy of a triplet system with misaligned orbitals increases as a function of angular strain. Assuming a cosine coupling potential: [5]

$$E_{\text{orb}}(\Delta\theta) = \kappa\,[1 - \cos(\Delta\theta)]$$

The probability of occupying a given phase configuration is then given by a lattice-adapted Boltzmann factor:

$$P(\Delta\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{E_{\rm orb}(\Delta\theta)}{k_B T}\right) = \exp\left(-\frac{\kappa[1-\cos(\Delta\theta)]}{k_B T}\right)$$

This equation connects statistical thermal behavior directly to orbital misalignment, showing that thermalization is simply the statistical spread of angular phase due to environmental strain.

In this view, temperature and entropy are emergent consequences of angular coherence dynamics. Thermalization is the inevitable result of coherence drift in a rotating medium, and equilibrium is reached when the distribution of phase differences becomes statistically isotropic. This reinterpretation grounds thermodynamic behavior in lattice geometry and offers new avenues for understanding low-temperature systems, coherence protection, and decoherence rates.

In the next section, we reinterpret quantum measurement as a coherence alignment event, where orbital systems are phase-locked to the observer's lattice frame, collapsing probabilistic distributions into definite angular states.

Summary: Coherence-Based Thermalization

- **Orbital Energy from Angular Misalignment:**

$$E_{\rm orb}(\Delta\theta) = \kappa\,[1-\cos(\Delta\theta)]$$

- **Temperature from Phase Variance:**

$$T \propto \sigma_\theta^2$$

- **Angular Boltzmann Distribution:**

$$P(\Delta\theta) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\kappa[1-\cos(\Delta\theta)]}{k_B T}\right)$$

- **Coherence Entropy (Angular Disorder):**

$$S_\theta = -k_B \sum_n P_n \ln P_n$$

Thermal equilibrium arises when orbital phase differences are statistically isotropic, and angular strain is maximally dispersed. [7]

5 Measurement and Collapse as Alignment Events

In the standard formulation of quantum mechanics, measurement is treated as a special process wherein the wavefunction collapses probabilistically into a definite state. This collapse is abrupt, non-unitary, and fundamentally outside the ordinary time evolution governed by the Schrödinger equation. In the Holosphere lattice framework, however, no such discontinuity is required. Measurement is reinterpreted as a physical *coherence alignment event*—a deterministic interaction between a triplet orbital system and a larger lattice region that enforces phase synchronization.

5.1 Coherence Locking with the Measurement Medium

When a quantum system (e.g., an electron) interacts with a macroscopic measurement device, it becomes exposed to an extended coherence field that dominates the local lattice environment. This device has its own dominant phase structure, θ_{env}, arising from many coupled Holospheres with aligned angular modes.

During measurement, the triplet orbital structure is subjected to a coherence gradient that reorients its boson orbitals toward the environment's dominant phase. This can be modeled as a realignment of the orbital phases: [3]

$$\theta_i \longrightarrow \theta_{\text{env}} + \delta_i$$

where δ_i is a small residual deviation constrained by the measurement apparatus's coherence stiffness. The result is a loss of the original superposition and the emergence of a definite, classically registered outcome.

5.2 Collapse Without Probabilities

Unlike the probabilistic collapse postulated in standard interpretations, this alignment event is physically driven by angular strain and coherence compatibility. The orbital phase of the measured system must match the dominant local coherence shell of the measuring device in order for interaction to occur. In this sense, collapse is not a loss of information, but a *coherence transfer*—the measured system synchronizes with the macroscopic lattice configuration.

This alignment is effectively irreversible. Once the triplet orbitals are reoriented, their previous superposition state cannot be reconstructed without reversing the angular strain pathways across the environment—a process which is practically impossible due to the high coherence inertia of macroscopic systems.

5.3 Directionality and the Arrow of Collapse

The Holosphere model introduces a natural directionality to measurement collapse: orbital alignment can only proceed from less coherent to more coherent regions. The measurement device, being a large and internally phase-locked system, has lower entropy and higher angular stiffness than the fluctuating quantum system it interrogates.

This explains why the system collapses into the environment's frame, and not vice versa. It also accounts for the apparent irreversibility of measurement and the emergence of a thermodynamic arrow of time: once orbital coherence is absorbed by a macroscopic region, it cannot spontaneously re-emerge in a globally coherent superposition.

5.4 Entanglement Collapse as Phase Partitioning

In entangled systems, a single orbital mode is shared across multiple triplets or lattice regions. Measurement of one component enforces coherence realignment across the entire shared phase domain, resulting in correlated outcomes. In Holosphere Theory, this is not mysterious—it is a manifestation of nonlocal angular phase coherence being partitioned and locked by environmental strain gradients.

The measurement process severs the shared coherence link by anchoring one end to a fixed lattice phase, forcing the remote triplet orbital to reorient accordingly. The entangled correlation is preserved because both systems were previously phase-coupled to the same boson mode.

In this framework, quantum measurement is not an abstract mystery but a physical process of angular realignment. Collapse is not an epistemic update—it is a geometric transition. The lattice preserves coherence locally until phase gradients force alignment, at which point the system conforms to the dominant strain configuration. What standard interpretations call collapse is, in Holosphere terms, a synchronization of orbital phases to the memory structure of the environment.

In the next section, we explore how these alignment processes give rise to irreversibility, entropy increase, and the emergence of time asymmetry in lattice dynamics.

6 Time Asymmetry and Entropic Flow

In conventional physics, the arrow of time is typically associated with the second law of thermodynamics: entropy increases in isolated systems. However, this law is statistical in nature, and its connection to microscopic dynamics remains unresolved. In Holosphere Theory, the directionality of time emerges from the irreversible breakdown of angular coherence across the lattice. The transition from ordered orbital alignment to thermally disordered states defines a natural entropic flow grounded in phase geometry.

6.1 Coherence Degradation as a Directional Process

The Holosphere lattice supports both highly ordered (coherent) and disordered (thermalized) orbital states. While angular coherence can persist over long distances and enable phenomena such as interference and entanglement, it is inherently vulnerable to degradation from environmental strain.

This degradation is not symmetric. Once coherence is lost due to phase drift, thermal noise, or measurement alignment, it cannot spontaneously regenerate without an external coherence source. The evolution of lattice systems is therefore biased in one direction: from order (aligned triplets) to disorder (decohered orbital systems).

6.2 Entropy as a Measure of Coherence Loss

Let $C(t)$ represent the total orbital coherence of a system, defined by the average mutual alignment of boson orbital phases. As the system evolves under thermal or environmental influence, $C(t)$ decreases, and entropy increases:

$$S(t) = -k_B \sum_n P_n(t) \ln P_n(t), \quad \text{with } P_n(t) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{E_n}{k_B T}\right)$$

This statistical entropy increase corresponds to the irreversible spread of angular strain and the loss of memory-preserving alignment.

Since the lattice resists phase reconstruction once coherence is lost, entropy increase becomes not just likely, but physically enforced by the structure of the lattice itself.

6.3 Emergence of the Thermodynamic Arrow of Time

Time asymmetry in the Holosphere framework arises from the asymmetry of coherence migration:

$$\text{Coherent orbital state} \longrightarrow \text{Decohered thermal state} \quad \text{(irreversible)}$$

Coherent alignment requires low entropy, precise strain constraints, and a narrow range of phase variance. Decoherence, by contrast, corresponds to a vast number of statistically equivalent misaligned configurations. The system evolves naturally toward this higher-entropy state, not by probabilistic assumption, but because it is geometrically favored in the angular configuration space.

This gives rise to a lattice-based definition of the arrow of time:

Time progresses in the direction of net coherence degradation.

Unlike conventional thermodynamic models, this framework does not rely on coarse-graining, probability, or ensemble interpretation. Instead, it grounds time asymmetry in the physical topology of rotating systems: once angular memory is lost, it cannot be spontaneously recovered without directed coherence restoration—a process with low statistical likelihood and high energetic cost.

6.4 Connection to Quantum Measurement

This coherence-based time asymmetry also explains the apparent directionality of quantum measurement. When a system is measured, the orbital alignment is enforced by an external coherence field. Once phase-locking occurs, the prior superposed configuration is irretrievable. This aligns the informational arrow of quantum collapse with the thermodynamic arrow of entropy increase.

Thus, decoherence, measurement, and thermalization are not separate processes—they are manifestations of the same underlying dynamic: the relaxation of orbital phase alignment in a spinning, memory-based medium.

In the next section, we examine how these insights offer predictive implications for quantum coherence protection, thermal isolation, and next-generation quantum technologies grounded in Holosphere lattice engineering.

7. Experimental Predictions

The Holosphere lattice model of decoherence via boson orbital alignment offers several testable predictions that diverge from standard thermodynamic or wavefunction-based interpretations. These predictions include:

- **Photon interference visibility** should decrease when *synthetic angular noise* is introduced into the coherence path. Controlled perturbations of phase alignment between orbital components should produce measurable decoherence effects.
- **Temperature in Holosphere-based systems** can be inferred from orbital misalignment statistics rather than traditional kinetic energy distributions. This suggests a redefinition of temperature as a rotational phase dispersion phenomenon in coherent matter-wave systems.

Future experiments involving interferometry, qubit phase alignment, and angular momentum entanglement could test these predictions and distinguish coherence strain models from purely statistical ones.

7.1 Angular Coherence Thresholds and Decoherence Suppression

In Holosphere Theory, the critical coherence threshold λ defines the maximum allowable angular deviation between boson orbitals before decoherence occurs. Materials or environments that minimize external angular strain—such as rotationally symmetric lattices or cryogenically aligned Holosphere analogs—could exhibit enhanced quantum coherence lifetimes.

Prediction: Systems engineered to minimize phase strain at specific orbital scales should show a marked increase in decoherence time τ_d, as predicted by:

$$\tau_d \sim \frac{\lambda^2}{2D}$$

This implies that decoherence is tunable through geometric and material properties that affect the phase diffusion constant D and coherence threshold λ.

7.2 Thermal Isolation via Angular Phase Filtering

Because temperature in this model corresponds to the statistical spread of orbital phase differences, materials that restrict angular phase exchange may act as thermal insulators—not by blocking energy directly, but by suppressing phase disorder transmission.

Proposal: Nanostructures with rotational symmetry could serve as *angular coherence filters*, limiting decoherence and heat flow by reducing orbital misalignment across boundaries.

7.3 Measurement Sensitivity from Phase Alignment Interfaces

Measurement in the Holosphere lattice is a coherence alignment event. Systems with precise angular phase gradients—such as superfluid vortex arrays or topologically phase-locked quantum dots—could function as measurement amplifiers, where minimal external orbital misalignment triggers alignment collapse.

Prediction: Certain measurement devices may act as coherence synchronizers, detecting weak phase strain with high sensitivity and low energy thresholds.

7.4 Quantum Error Correction and Coherence Encoding

If coherence is a physical, directional quantity, then error correction codes could be geometrically embedded into angular momentum configurations of lattice systems. Orbital triplets with redundant alignment states may store phase information robustly across thermal fluctuations.

Implication: Quantum memory could be enhanced by storing logical states not as binary qubits but as stabilized angular phase configurations in rotationally coupled triplet arrays.

7.5 Low-Temperature Coherence Signatures

At very low temperatures, Holosphere Theory predicts a suppression of orbital phase disorder, with coherence length scales increasing significantly. This could result in quantized decoherence plateaus or angular quantization effects visible in superconducting circuits, Bose-Einstein condensates, or solid-state quantum interference devices.

Experimental Signature:

- Discrete coherence transitions as a function of temperature, due to orbital locking thresholds.
- Phase-locked decoherence minima associated with topological triplet reconfigurations.

7.6 Observable Deviations from Classical Thermal Distributions

If temperature is truly the statistical spread of angular phase, rather than kinetic energy, then thermal noise spectra in certain rotationally constrained systems should deviate from classical Planck or Maxwell-Boltzmann forms.

Prediction: High-precision noise spectroscopy in angular-constrained quantum materials should reveal phase clustering effects not predicted by continuous models.

These implications point to a new frontier in quantum control: not just minimizing energy loss, but actively engineering angular coherence. Coherence-based materials, angular filters, and lattice-aligned interfaces offer a path toward more stable qubits, finer measurement control, and fundamentally new phases of matter. In the final section, we reflect on the unifying power of the orbital alignment model, and how decoherence, measurement, and thermodynamics may be expressions of a single deeper coherence geometry.

Table 1: **Experimental Predictions from Holosphere Coherence Decoherence Model**

Phenomenon	**Holosphere Prediction**	**Testable Experimental Signature**
Decoherence scaling	Decoherence time increases with square of angular threshold: $\tau_d \propto \lambda^2/2D$	Long-lived coherence in materials engineered for high angular alignment
Thermal insulators via coherence filtering	Angular phase filtering reduces thermalization	Nanostructures or optical lattices with symmetry-preserving boundaries suppress heat and decoherence
Measurement amplification	Systems with sharp coherence boundaries act as phase-sensitive detectors	Quantum dots or SQUIDs exhibit collapse-like behavior in response to tiny angular strain
Quantum memory from orbital triplets	Stabilized triplet configurations store angular phase information	Triplet-encoded states resist decoherence in thermally perturbed environments
Discrete decoherence plateaus at low temperature	Decoherence occurs in quantized phase alignment transitions	Sharp drops in decoherence rate observed at specific critical temperatures
Non-classical thermal spectra	Phase-based temperature deviates from kinetic-based models	Anomalies in thermal noise spectra of rotationally constrained quantum systems

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented a coherence-based model of decoherence and thermalization grounded in the discrete rotational dynamics of the Holosphere lattice. Unlike standard quantum interpretations, which treat decoherence as probabilistic and temperature as kinetic, this framework reinterprets both as geometric consequences of angular misalignment within a structured, memory-preserving medium.

Quantum systems such as the electron are modeled as coherent triplet defect configurations, stabilized by phase-locked boson orbitals rotating around vacancy defects. The transition from coherent quantum behavior to classical thermal behavior occurs not through measurement postulates or stochastic wavefunction collapse, but through the deterministic breakdown of orbital alignment caused by environmental angular strain, thermal noise, or macroscopic phase-locking.

Key results include:

- Decoherence time is a function of angular phase variance and environmental strain: $\tau_d \sim \lambda^2/2D$.
- Temperature is reinterpreted as the statistical spread of orbital phase misalignment: $T \propto \sigma_\theta^2$.
- The arrow of time emerges from irreversible coherence loss across the lattice, not from external assumptions.
- Quantum measurement is a realignment process that synchronizes a local triplet orbital with the dominant coherence frame of the measuring apparatus.
- Thermalization is the statistical dispersion of angular phase configurations toward equilibrium, driven by strain diffusion.

This angular coherence model offers practical implications for quantum computing, thermal shielding, quantum error correction, and measurement theory. Systems that preserve orbital alignment or minimize phase drift can achieve enhanced decoherence resistance, more stable quantum memory, and finer control over entanglement dynamics.

Perhaps most importantly, this framework unifies quantum decoherence, thermodynamic irreversibility, and the act of measurement under a single physical mechanism: the propagation and collapse of coherence in a rotating lattice. What appears as randomness or collapse in conventional models becomes, in the Holosphere picture, a geometric transition driven by angular tension and lattice memory.

The lattice does not collapse. It aligns. The system does not forget. It decoheres. The universe does not lose information. It remembers it differently.

In future work, we will extend this coherence model to examine thermal noise shielding, coherence braiding for topological computation, and angular path integrals for predictive modeling of decoherence gradients. Ultimately, we propose that coherence—not continuity, not probability—is the fundamental language of quantum thermodynamics.

References

[1] Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 75(3), 715–775.

[2] Joos, E., Zeh, H. D., Kiefer, C., Giulini, D. J. W., Kupsch, J., Stamatescu, I.-O. (2003). *Decoherence and the Appearance of a Classical World in Quantum Theory.* Springer.

[3] Tegmark, M. (2000). Importance of quantum decoherence in brain processes. *Physical Review E*, 61(4), 4194–4206.

[4] Bell, J. S. (1964). On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen paradox. *Physics Physique* , 1(3), 195–200.

[5] Feynman, R. P., Leighton, R. B., Sands, M. (1964). *The Feynman Lectures on Physics, Vol. II: Mainly Electromagnetism and Matter.* Addison-Wesley.

[6] Landau, L. D., Lifshitz, E. M. (1980). *Statistical Physics Part 1* (3rd ed.). Pergamon Press.

[7] Preskill, J. (2018). Quantum Computing in the NISQ era and beyond. *Quantum*, 2, 79.

[8] Schlosshauer, M. (2005). Decoherence, the measurement problem, and interpretations of quantum mechanics. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 76(4), 1267–1305.

Glossary of Terms

- **Holosphere** – A discrete spherical unit of the lattice, approximately the size of the neutron Compton wavelength, composed of nested Planck spheres.
- **Vacancy Defect** – A missing Holosphere site around which dark bosons orbit; forms the core structure of particles like electrons.
- **Dark Boson** – A coherent orbital excitation of six Holospheres around a vacancy defect, forming one part of a three-boson triplet configuration.
- **Triplet Orbital Structure** – The model of an electron or photon consisting of three rotating dark bosons bound by angular strain and orbital phase coherence.
- **Decoherence** – The loss of angular alignment among boson orbitals due to environmental strain, resulting in classical thermodynamic behavior.
- **Thermalization** – The geometric spreading of orbital angular phase states across the lattice, leading to a macroscopic temperature signature.
- **Coherence Strain** – A rotational tension field in the lattice that governs boson alignment and mediates phase transitions.
- **Angular Phase Alignment** – The specific angular positioning of rotating Holospheres in orbital mode, required for coherent propagation and entanglement.
- **Lattice Temperature** – A measure of the statistical distribution of angular phase misalignment across the Holosphere medium.
- **Measurement Collapse** – A realignment event where a coherent orbital mode synchronizes with the macroscopic phase structure of a measuring apparatus.

Glossary of Key Equations

- **Boson Orbital Misalignment Energy**:

  $$E_{\text{mis}} = \lambda(\Delta\theta)^2$$

  Misalignment energy due to angular strain between boson orbitals.

- **Coherence Time Estimate**:

  $$\tau \approx \frac{\hbar}{\lambda(\Delta\theta)^2}$$

  Decoherence time scales inversely with orbital misalignment.

- **Effective Lattice Temperature**:

  $$T \sim \frac{\lambda}{k_B}\langle(\Delta\theta)^2\rangle$$

  Defines temperature as a statistical average of angular misalignment.

- **Thermalization Strain Gradient**:

$$\nabla T \sim \nabla \left[\lambda \langle (\Delta\theta)^2 \rangle\right]$$

Lattice temperature gradient from variations in angular disorder.

- **Vacancy Defect Triplet Coherence Condition**:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} \cos(\theta_i - \bar{\theta}) = 1$$

Only one boson aligned with the average phase $\bar{\theta}$ is allowed to remain coherent. [4]

Appendix B: Symbols Glossary

Symbol	Meaning	Units	Pronunciation
T	Lattice temperature defined as average angular strain	K (Kelvin)	"Tee"
τ	Decoherence time due to orbital misalignment	s (seconds)	"Tau"
λ	Angular stiffness constant; coupling between boson orbitals	J/rad^2 or eV/rad^2	"Lambda"
$\Delta\theta$	Angular deviation from coherent orbital alignment	radians (rad)	"Delta theta"
E_{mis}	Misalignment energy due to angular strain	J (Joules)	"E mis"
$\hbar$	Reduced Planck constant	J·s	"H-bar"
k_B	Boltzmann constant	J/K	"K B" or "Boltzmann's constant"

Table 2: *
Definitions and units of symbols used in boson orbital alignment and thermal decoherence models.

Appendix D: Dynamic Coherence Switching in Boson Triplets

In the Holosphere model, the electron (and photon) is composed of three dark bosons in a triplet orbital configuration. Each boson surrounds a distinct vacancy defect, and only one of these bosons is phase-coherent with the global lattice at a given time.

- Let ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_3 denote the three orbital states.
- The lattice permits only one coherent orbital: $\psi_{\text{coh}} \in \{\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3\}$.
- The coherent boson can dynamically switch over time, constrained by:
  1. Angular phase continuity (no sudden jumps),
  2. Orbital phase compatibility ($\theta_i \approx \bar{\theta}$),
  3. Coherence exclusivity (only one coherent boson at any time),
  4. Causality and decoherence boundary conditions.

Switching Sequence Model:

$$\psi_{\text{coh}}(t) = \begin{cases} \psi_1 & t_0 < t < t_1 \\ \psi_2 & t_1 < t < t_2 \\ \psi_3 & t_2 < t < t_3 \\ \cdots & \cdots \end{cases}$$

This dynamic switching mechanism preserves:

- Bell-type entanglement correlations,
- Local realism by avoiding over-determined coherence,
- Flexibility for gate-based computation and superposition evolution.

Appendix E: Derivation of Angular Strain-Based Thermalization

In the Holosphere lattice, thermal energy is interpreted not as random motion but as a statistical distribution of angular phase misalignments between rotating Holospheres. This yields a geometric definition of temperature from coherence strain.

E.1 Misalignment Energy

Let $\Delta\theta$ be the angular deviation of a boson orbital from ideal alignment. The elastic energy due to this strain is:

$$E_{\text{mis}} = \lambda(\Delta\theta)^2$$

where λ is the angular coupling stiffness of the lattice (a strain constant).

E.2 Statistical Average and Temperature

Assuming thermal equilibrium, the mean energy per degree of freedom in the lattice follows Boltzmann statistics:

$$\langle E_{\text{mis}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} k_B T$$

Equating this with the average strain energy gives:

$$\frac{1}{2} k_B T = \lambda \langle (\Delta\theta)^2 \rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad T = \frac{2\lambda}{k_B} \langle (\Delta\theta)^2 \rangle$$

(We absorb the factor of 2 into λ in simplified notation throughout the main text.)

E.3 Implications

- Temperature arises from distributed angular misalignment, not linear motion. - The lattice defines temperature via internal rotational geometry. - No kinetic theory is required — coherence loss itself defines thermal states.

Appendix F: Decoherence Time from Orbital Misalignment

[8]

Orbital decoherence in the Holosphere model is driven by angular misalignment among the three dark bosons composing a particle. The greater the misalignment, the faster the coherence collapses.

F.1 Coherence Time Derivation

Given misalignment energy:

$$E_{\text{mis}} = \lambda(\Delta\theta)^2$$

the coherence time τ is the characteristic time before coherence is lost, following an uncertainty-type relationship:

$$\tau \approx \frac{\hbar}{E_{\text{mis}}} = \frac{\hbar}{\lambda(\Delta\theta)^2}$$

F.2 Physical Interpretation

- τ is inversely proportional to angular strain. - Perfect alignment ($\Delta\theta = 0$) yields infinite coherence. - Macroscopic systems (high $\langle(\Delta\theta)^2\rangle$) decohere rapidly. - Quantum systems with strong coupling λ resist decoherence longer.

F.3 Connection to Temperature

Since temperature is defined by $\langle(\Delta\theta)^2\rangle$, hotter regions decohere faster:

$$\tau \propto \frac{1}{T}$$

This creates a deep correspondence between thermodynamics and coherence geometry.