Special Relativity 2.0:
An Energy-Responsive Spacetime
Foundations of E-Theory (Part I)

Michael Lee
Chief Scientist
Metallic AI
mike@metallic-ai.com

Abstract

Although the mathematical framework for Special Relativity has proven phenomenally successful for over a century, it is predicated on an assumption that time and space are the independent properties of physics - an assumption fundamental to its mathematical foundation. Yet, what if these assumptions were not fundamental at all? What if energy, specifically the energy associated with relative motion, was the fundamental property?

This paper examines the implications of such a fundamental shift. By defining relative energy as the fundamental property, not space and time, we find that a single unified spacetime emerges, covariant with, and responsive to, changes in energy. A spacetime that is not an independent set of coordinates between which we must transform, but a single adaptive spacetime responsive to relative energy.

We will show how, when we define energy as intrinsic and independent, momentum, space and time adapt algebraically to relative motion with covariance embedded in their relationships with energy. We demonstrate how this covariance is assured in every inertial frame, not by coordinate transformations but by simple algebraic scaling of time and spatial elements. Further, when momentum, time and space are defined in terms of covariant relationships, time and length are understood as intervals that scale in an adaptable space time, not as coordinates in a fixed spacetime. Where proper time and proper length emerge naturally as rest frame representations of these relationships. This then allows us to define relativity as energy-responsive scaling, not differential transformations across coordinate spaces. Not only does this greatly simplify special relativity, it lays the foundation for a purely energy-emergent theory unifying the current theoretical landscape.

Introduction

Consider a single unified covariant spacetime, where momentum, time and space adapt to energy differences associated with relative motion. Rather than differential transformations between coordinate spaces, this spacetime simply scales with the relative energy between inertial frames. Instead of energy's evolution being framed by a fixed temporal and spatial background, it evolves within a spacetime that adapts to its energy.

In this paper we will show that by choosing relativistic energy as the independent variable in all energy relationships (momentum, time and space), a single covariant spacetime emerges naturally from these relationships.

This reformulation originates from a fundamental insight: the initial assumptions of Lorentz[1] and Minkowski[2] define space and time as *the* independent variables in their relationship with energy and momentum. This assumption resulted in an inversion of dependencies that required their dexterous mathematical frameworks to address.

This inversion defines how Special Relativity[3] framed energy, momentum, time and space. It is energy and momentum that are the dependent variables, but it is their relationship that is invariant. And although time and space are defined as the independent variables, it is time and space that transform.

This creates a fundamental tension in special relativity: ***We are transforming the independent variables while fixing the dependent ones***. And it is this set of inverted dependencies upon which the mathematical framework of Special Relativity is built. A framework where the differential transformations of Lorentz and the Four-Vector Formalism of Minkowski define the mathematical foundation for Einstein's postulates. Where the invariance of c and the consistency of physical laws are preserved through a system of separate coordinate spaces—one for each inertial frame—connected by transformations that adjust these spaces in response to relative motion.

However, when considering the postulates of Special Relativity:

- The speed of light is invariant in all inertial reference frames.
- The laws of physics remain unchanged in all inertial reference frames.

Neither the formalism of Minkowski nor the differential transformations of Lorentz are required by the postulates. They are a means to an end, not the end itself.

In this paper we will show how, by inverting the starting assumptions of Lorentz and Minkowski, Einstein's postulates can be satisfied through a simpler, more elegant framework. Rather than treating energy as evolving through separate, transforming coordinate systems, we demonstrate how a single unified covariant spacetime emerges naturally when the energy differences associated with relative motion serve as the fundamental independent variable.

This approach:

1. Eliminates the need for differential transformations between multiple coordinate spaces
2. Preserves the invariance of the speed of light through direct energy-momentum relationships

3. Maintains consistent physical laws across reference frames through energy-dependent covariant scaling of spacetime parameters

4. Reduces the mathematical complexity of relativistic calculations while revealing deeper physical insights

5. Ultimately allows both time and length to be defined as Hamiltonian operators with relativistic eigenstates defined by the spectral energy of the system's Hamiltonian

Special Relativity 2.0 (SR2) returns space and time to their proper role as dependent variables adapting to energy differences between frames, creating a more intuitive framework where the invariant relationship between energy and momentum inherently ensures covariance with energy differences across inertial frames. This approach resolves the unnecessary fragmentation of spacetime in traditional formulations while maintaining all experimentally verified predictions of Special Relativity. Moreover, by framing intervals as Hamiltonian eigenvalues, SR2 lays the groundwork for a seamless extension into quantum theory.

The Ontology of Energy

The fundamental premise of SR2 is straightforward: energy is the intrinsic, independent variable from which all other relativistic properties derive. When we anchor relative energy in the invariant energy-momentum relationship, we find that momentum, time, and space naturally emerge as dependent properties that scale proportionally with the energy of relative motion.

This shift eliminates the need for separate coordinate systems and differential transformations. Instead, we maintain a single unified spacetime that adapts uniformly to changes in relative energy. The mathematics becomes simpler, more intuitive, and more directly connected to observable physical quantities.

In this section we will systematically develop this framework by:

1. Defining energy as the source of space and time

2. Replacing the spacetime interval ds^2 with the energy-momentum interval $d\Sigma^2$

3. Showing how spacetime emerges from energy

4. Redefining time and space as relative intervals, not fixed coordinates

Through this development, we will develop the concepts and structures that will then allow us to develop the foundations for all SR2.

The Universal Energy Field

E-Theory postulates a fundamental, intrinsic universal energy field—the scalar field ε that defines the energy distribution throughout the universe. Initially, we will define ε conceptually:

Epsilon (ε) is the primordial scalar energy field existing prior to and independent of spacetime. It evolves intrinsically, being defined by neither time nor space, with everything defining spacetime encoded within the field.

We will expand on this conceptual formulation with mathematical formalism as we progress through the series on the foundations of E-theory. But in this paper we focus exclusively on energy dynamics and show how kinematics is simply a form of the underlying dynamics of energy

Within this energy field, we define an invariant interval:

$$\boxed{d\Sigma^2 = \frac{dE^2}{c^2} - dp^2} \tag{1}$$

Which is the Legendre dual of:

$$ds^2 = c^2 dt^2 - dx^2 \tag{2}$$

This invariant interval establishes the fundamental classification of energy-momentum properties

- $d\Sigma^2 = 0$: Defines null or light-like intervals, where $dE/dp = c$. This corresponds to massless particles like photons that travel at the speed of light. The light-cone structure that determines causality emerges directly from this condition.
- $d\Sigma^2 > 0$: Represents time-like intervals, where energy changes dominate over momentum changes. This corresponds to massive particles ($m > 0$) and establishes proper temporal ordering of events.
- $d\Sigma^2 < 0$: Indicates space-like intervals, where momentum changes dominate over energy changes. These intervals cannot be causally connected.

The energy-momentum interval is analogous to, and serves the same purpose as, the spacetime interval ds^2 of classic SR. Its classification directly yields the energy-momentum relationship $E^2 = p^2c^2 + m^2c^4$, which describes how energy and momentum are fundamentally related in this energy-centered ontology.

This paper introduces ε as a conceptual foundation for the energy-momentum interval and as the source for emergent spacetime. The formalism of this field and its relationship to the physics of E-Theory will be explored in detail in subsequent works.

Spacetime as an Emergent Property

Spacetime as an Emergent Property

Traditional physics treats spacetime as a background structure. In Special Relativity, this background is fixed but fragmented into multiple coordinate spaces (one for each inertial reference frame), while in General Relativity, it becomes a dynamic structure adapting to energy's influence. Both approaches, however, maintain spacetime as ontologically primary.

SR2 fundamentally redefines spacetime, not as a primary structure, but as an emergent manifold sourced from the underlying energy field. Without energy and its dynamic evolution, spacetime would remain an undefined, amorphous 4D manifold. It is the presence and distribution of energy that breathes life into this manifold, giving it structure and meaning.

The origin of spacetime begins with the Universal Energy Field (ε), which defines the energy distribution throughout the universe. This primordial field contains everything that defines spacetime (energy evolution, fundamental intervals, causality, momentum, etc.).

The essential link between this fundamental energy field and the emergence of spacetime is what we term the **Quantum Bridge**. This bridge asserts that energy and momentum are primordial properties defined within ε, while time and space are the emergent components of spacetime that arise from them.

But how, precisely, does spacetime emerge?

Axiom: The Quantum Bridge SR2 proposes that at the foundational boundary where spacetime emerges from energy, time and energy share a **commuting relationship**. The same holds for length and momentum. This is a departure from standard quantum mechanics, where time is treated as a classical background parameter. In this new framework, for a definite time interval (dt_e) to emerge from a definite energy differential (dE), their relationship must be mutually certain. This commuting property is what enables the direct, axiomatic link between them. Spacetime arises from the energy field at its most fundamental level through these relationships, with the infinitesimal spacetime elements following directly: $dt_e = \hbar/dE$ and $dx_e = \hbar/dp$.

If the universal energy field exists and this quantum bridge holds, then spacetime emergence at the differential level follows deductively within SR2.

This differentiation leads directly to the *emergent* energy-momentum interval:

$$d\Sigma^2 = \hbar^2 \left(\frac{1}{c^2 dt_e^2} - \frac{1}{dx_e^2} \right) \tag{3}$$

This manifestly inverts the classical spacetime interval $ds^2 = c^2dt^2 - dx^2$, giving credence to SR2's claim that traditional relativity had its dependencies inverted.

Summary

This energy-driven spacetime provides the foundation for Part II of E-Theory, where the Hamiltonian doesn't just define quantum evolution, but relativistic adaptation as well. The same energy operator that governs dynamics also determines how spacetime intervals scale with relative motion.

Note: This emergent spacetime framework establishes a single, unified coordinate space that continuously adapts to energy's evolution. The result is a spacetime that is neither fixed (as in SR) nor independently dynamic (as in GR), but *fundamentally dependent on and emerging from the properties of the universal energy field.*

The Foundations of SR2

The fundamental premise of SR2 is straightforward: energy is the intrinsic, independent variable from which all relativistic properties emerge. When we anchor our theory in the invariant energy-momentum interval ($d\Sigma^2$), we find that space and time naturally emerge as dependent properties that scale in response to energy differences between reference frames.

In the previous section, we established the ontological framework for SR2. Before developing the mathematical foundations, we briefly recall the key formulations:

Energy-Momentum Interval: The fundamental invariant in the universal energy field ε:

$$d\Sigma^2 = \frac{dE^2}{c^2} - dp^2$$

Quantum Relations: The bridge from energy field to spacetime

$$dt_e = \frac{\hbar}{dE}, \quad dx_e = \frac{\hbar}{dp}$$

With these foundations established, we now develop the complete mathematical framework of SR2.

Deriving the Energy-Momentum Relationship

From the energy-momentum interval, we can derive the familiar energy-momentum relationship. For a massive particle, we can integrate the interval along a path:

$$\Sigma^2 = \frac{E^2}{c^2} - p^2$$

For a particle with rest mass m, the invariant Σ equals mc:

$$(mc)^2 = \frac{E^2}{c^2} - p^2$$

Multiplying both sides by c^2:

$$m^2c^4 = E^2 - p^2c^2$$

Rearranging to the standard form:

$$\boxed{E^2 = p^2c^2 + m^2c^4} \tag{4}$$

This is the familiar energy-momentum relationship that unifies relativistic energy and momentum with rest mass. In SR2, however, this relationship is derived directly from the more fundamental energy-momentum interval, emphasizing that energy and momentum are the primary quantities.

Our goal now is to demonstrate how time and space **emerge** as dependent quantities that adapt to localized energy-momentum distributions.

From Wave Properties to Spacetime Intervals

The connection between the energy-momentum domain and emergent spacetime is forged at the quantum level. We begin not with differentials, but with the fundamental wave properties inherent to any quantum system, as described by the de Broglie relations. These relations allow us to define a set of **raw, intrinsic intervals** that characterize the system in its unobserved, wavelike state.

Raw Intervals from Wave Properties We can associate a particle's relativistic energy (E_r) and momentum (p) with a temporal frequency and a spatial wavelength. From these, we define the intrinsic time and length intervals:

- The **intrinsic time interval** (t_e) is the fundamental period of the particle's quantum phase clock, defined as $t_e = \hbar/E_r$.
- The **intrinsic length interval** (x_e) is the characteristic reduced wavelength of the particle, defined as $x_e = \hbar/p$.

These intervals, $t_e = \hbar/E_r$ and $x_e = \hbar/p$, represent the unobserved, "fluid" nature of spacetime associated with a quantum system. They are real but unobservable properties, as any act of observation introduces a specific frame of reference that alters the system's dynamics.

The Observer and Proper Intervals The raw intervals present a critical limitation: the intrinsic length x_e is undefined for a particle at rest, where momentum $p = 0$. This is not a flaw in the theory, but a signpost indicating that a new concept is needed to connect the raw, unobserved potential to a measured reality.

To provide this essential context, we must introduce an **observer** and the corresponding **proper intervals** (τ and L_0) defined in that observer's rest frame. In the rest frame, where kinetic energy is zero and $E_r = mc^2$, we can define the **proper time**:

$$\boxed{\tau = t_e(E_r = mc^2) = \frac{\hbar}{mc^2}} \tag{5}$$

With the intrinsic length interval undefined at rest, we must establish the **proper length** (L_0) through a different means. It is defined as the distance light travels in one proper time interval, thereby tying it to the universal constant c:

$$\boxed{L_0 = c \cdot \tau = \frac{\hbar}{mc}} \tag{6}$$

These proper intervals provide the crucial bridge from the unobservable quantum realm to the macroscopic world. It is essential to note that the absolute values of τ and L_0 are dependent on the observer's rest mass and are therefore not universal. This is not a weakness but a strength of the theory. The unifying principle is not the intervals themselves, but their **invariant relationship**: for any observer, the ratio $L_0/\tau = c$ is constant. This invariant ratio is what "locks" the fluid geometry of the raw intervals onto a concrete, measurable framework. By grounding the theory in this universal relationship rather than a single set of universal constants, it establishes a more robust, scale-invariant foundation for relativity. It is the ratio of these observer-specific proper intervals to the system-specific raw intervals that will ultimately give rise to all relativistic phenomena.

Relativity: From Coordinate Transformations to Scaled Intervals

In classical Special Relativity, relativistic effects emerge from complex coordinate transformations between reference frames, requiring mathematical machinery to preserve the form of physical laws. SR2 reveals a far simpler reality: relativistic effects are simply the normalization of proper intervals across inertial frames based on the relative energy difference between the frames. No more, no less.

This normalization works by adapting proper intervals in the observed frame by the ratio of the total relative energy to the energy of the rest frame. This process normalizes proper intervals to the rest frame, ensuring consistent observations across all inertial observers. Such straightforward scaling is made possible by reframing energy as the primary, independent variable with space and time adapting to this energy rather than serving as its backdrop.

The profound simplicity of this approach reveals how straightforward relativity is at its core. Rather than invoking geometric transformations of spacetime coordinates, *relativistic effects emerge naturally from adapting proper time and length intervals to the energy differences between frames.*

By forming ratios between proper intervals and emergent intervals, we derive the scaling factors:

1. Time dilation:

$$\boxed{\frac{\tau}{t_e} = \frac{\hbar/(mc^2)}{\hbar/E_r} = \frac{E_r}{mc^2} \equiv \Gamma} \tag{7}$$

2. Length contraction:

$$\boxed{\frac{L_e}{L_0} = \frac{\hbar c/E_r}{\hbar/(mc)} = \frac{mc^2}{E_r} = \frac{1}{\Gamma}} \tag{8}$$

These relationships recover exactly the familiar SR factors, but now as algebraic consequences of energy and momentum rather than imposed coordinate transformations:

$$\boxed{t_{obs} = \Gamma \cdot \tau, \quad L_{obs} = L_e = \frac{L_0}{\Gamma}} \tag{9}$$

Critically, the scaling factor Γ is mathematically identical to the classical Lorentz factor γ, despite their fundamentally different derivations. In classical special relativity, $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$, derived through coordinate transformations between reference frames. In contrast, $\Gamma = E_r/(mc^2)$ emerges directly from the energy-momentum relationship, representing the ratio of total relativistic energy to rest energy.

This fundamental equivalence is extraordinary. Both Γ and γ represent the same physical quantity—the relative scaling of observables between a moving frame and a rest frame—but obtained through fundamentally different mathematical approaches. Where γ requires complex coordinate transformations and is inherently limited to velocity-based derivatives, Γ emerges directly from algebraic energy relationships.

We know from Special Relativity:

$$E_r = \gamma mc^2 == \frac{E_r}{mc^2} = \gamma$$

Showing the equivalency

$$\boxed{\Gamma = E_r/mc^2 = \gamma} \tag{10}$$

The entire formulation succeeds because:

1. The energy-momentum interval defines the core invariant when energy is the independent property, serving the same purpose as the ds^2 spacetime interval in classic SR.
2. Time and space emerge directly from the energy-momentum field via quantum relationships.
3. Proper intervals anchor the scales at $E_r = mc^2$.
4. Ratios of proper to emergent intervals yield Γ and $1/\Gamma$ as inevitable algebraic consequences.

This completes our derivation chain from the fundamental energy-momentum interval through to the Lorentz factors, while making manifest the "inverse dependencies" at the heart of SR2. By recognizing energy as the independent variable, we obtain a more direct, more intuitive understanding of relativistic effects as natural adaptations of spacetime to energy differences.

Addition of Relativistic Velocities

Having defined how momentum, time, and space scale to changes in relative velocities, we now focus on the addition of relativistic velocities (and equivalent kinetic energies). In classical mechanics, velocities simply add linearly. If a train moves at 50 km/h and a person walks forward at 5 km/h, the observer at rest sees the person moving at 55 km/h. This intuition breaks down at high speeds. If a spaceship moves at $0.9c$ and fires a projectile at $0.3c$ relative to itself, classical addition would suggest the projectile moves at $1.2c$—exceeding the speed of light. This contradicts both observation and the postulates of special relativity, which assert that no object with mass can exceed the speed of light and that light speed is invariant in all frames.

Einstein resolved this by introducing the relativistic velocity-addition formula [3], which ensures that combining two subluminal velocities remains subluminal:

$$\boxed{v = \frac{v_1 + v_2}{1 + \frac{v_1 v_2}{c^2}}} \tag{11}$$

This formula preserves the invariant speed c, is symmetric between frames, and alters only the relative velocity. It does not mix space and time coordinates directly, but rather provides the correct transformation for the *rate of change* of position across frames without invoking spatial contraction or time dilation in its derivation.

In SR2, velocity addition is treated ***in exactly the same way***. Though traditionally derived via Lorentz transformations, one can show that the same result follows directly from the invariant energy–momentum relation [3]:

$$E^2 = p^2c^2 + m^2c^4.$$

Noting that relativistic velocity can be written as

$$v = \frac{p\,c^2}{E},$$

adding two velocities v_1 and v_2 while enforcing the single invariant $E^2 = p^2c^2 + m^2c^4$ reproduces the same velocity-addition law—no coordinate mixing needed. In SR2, where space and time both emerge algebraically from this energy–momentum invariant, the derivation is identical.

Thus, SR2 recovers Einstein's velocity-addition formula not as an imposed rule, but as a natural consequence of the energy-momentum relationship. The invariance of c and the nonlinearity of high-speed velocity addition arise organically from the same adaptive structure that governs energy, momentum, and emergent spacetime.

Einstein's velocity addition law, though historically linked to Lorentz transformations, stands apart: it transforms no spacetime coordinates directly. In SR2, it resides exactly where it belongs—as a direct expression of energy dynamics.

Adapting Spacetime vs Transforming Spacetime Coordinates

Having established how spacetime emerges from the universal energy field ε, we can now examine how this energy-first approach fundamentally changes our understanding of relativistic effects.

It is critical to understand the difference between classic SR and SR2 when it comes to adapting spacetime. In special relativity, the Lorentz transformation [1] serves a critical role: it ensures the relationships among energy, momentum, space, and time remain covariant across all inertial frames when time and space are independent properties. It ensures this covariance by **transforming the time and space coordinates themselves** from one observer's reference frame to another. Each inertial observer occupies a distinct coordinate system, and the Lorentz transformation re-maps these coordinates in such a way that the form of the physical laws—especially the energy-momentum relationship—remains invariant.

This transformation is not just a mathematical convenience; it's a structural requirement of Einstein's postulates [3] – if time and space are independent properties. Relative velocity between frames demands a redefinition of both time and space in order to preserve the consistency of physical law. Thus, time is dilated, lengths are contracted, and simultaneity becomes frame-dependent—not because the objects or intervals change intrinsically, but because the coordinate system itself is transformed to maintain covariance.

SR2 approaches this problem from a fundamentally different perspective. In SR2, space and time are not absolute backgrounds, nor are they independently transformed from one observer to another. Instead, they are **dynamically scaled intervals**, emerging directly from the relative energy. This reformulation retains a **single, unified coordinate space** across all frames. What changes is not the coordinate system, but the **algebraic scale of emergent intervals** in response to the relative energy between frames.

In SR2, emergent time and space intervals are defined in terms of energy relationships:

$$t_e = \frac{\hbar}{E_r}, \quad x_e = \frac{\hbar}{p}, \quad p = \frac{\sqrt{E_r^2 - m^2c^4}}{c} \tag{12}$$

As total relativistic energy E_r increases—due to relative motion between frames—t_e decreases (time dilation), x_e contracts (length contraction), and p increases (momentum gain). These are not coordinate transformations. They are **algebraic responses**: intrinsic rescalings of the emergent intervals due to energy dynamics. The same coordinate system applies in all frames, but what each interval *means*—in terms of observable duration, distance, or momentum—scales with energy.

This distinction is crucial. Whereas Lorentz transformations [1] **map** one frame's coordinates onto another's to enforce consistency, SR2 holds the coordinate structure constant and lets the **emergent intervals within it scale**. In effect, Lorentz transforms the framework; SR2 adjusts the interval magnitudes. Both preserve covariance, but through different mechanisms. In Lorentz relativity, consistency is imposed; in SR2, consistency is **emergent** from the algebraic structure of energy and its relationships.

This leads to a more transparent physical interpretation. In SR2, relativistic effects are not the result of shifting coordinate systems or rotating spacetime axes [2]. They are direct consequences of energy scaling emergent intervals—measurable, consistent, and grounded in the same energy-momentum relationship that anchors all relativistic behavior. There's no need to redefine time or space between observers. Instead, observers measure different outcomes because the energy they bring to the system changes how emergent time and space intervals scale—**within the same coordinates**.

Time and Spatial Derivative Scaling

When transforming between coordinate systems, as is done in special relativity via the Lorentz transformation [1], the rules of calculus dictate that all time and spatial derivatives must also transform. This is governed by the **chain rule**, which relates derivatives in one coordinate system to those in another. For instance, a time derivative in one frame must be expressed in terms of both time and space derivatives from the other frame:

$$\frac{d}{dt'} = \frac{dt}{dt'} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} + \frac{dx}{dt'} \cdot \frac{d}{dx}$$

This process is necessary to preserve consistency when coordinates themselves are being redefined. However, it introduces a structural complication: **the form of the derivative changes.** Without careful reapplication of transformation rules at each level, the differential operators may no longer preserve covariance. Maintaining consistency across transformed derivatives often requires additional adjustments or reformulations to ensure that the equations of motion, field equations, or wave equations still hold their correct relativistic form.

In contrast, SR2 does not transform derivatives—it scales derivatives within the same coordinate system. Consider:

$$\frac{d}{dt_1^0} = \Gamma \frac{d}{dt_1^1} \tag{13}$$

where $\Gamma = E_r/mc^2$ is the energy scaling factor derived from our emergent interval relationships; $\frac{d}{dt_1^1}$ is the time derivative of the observed frame in the observed frame; and $\frac{d}{dt_1^0}$ is the time derivative of the observed frame in the observing frame. The subscript defines the frame of the derivative (1) and the superscript defines the frame of the observer (0 = rest frame; 1 = moving frame).

This is simple algebraic scaling of emergent intervals, not a structural transformation. The form of the derivative remains unchanged. The derivative on the left side of the equation and the derivative on the right side of the equation are ***the exact same derivative***. They are identical, except the one on the right has been scaled by Γ to give us the adapted time derivative on the left. This preservation of derivative structure is especially important in quantum mechanics [4], where maintaining the form of the wave equation is essential for consistency.

That said, scaling derivatives comes with its own constraints:

1. **Scaling can only be applied within a fixed coordinate system.** It is valid because the coordinate system itself remains unchanged.

2. **The scaling factor must be constant with respect to the derivative being taken.**

3. **The scaling factor must be independent of the function being differentiated.**

Fortunately, in SR2, all of these conditions are satisfied. The scaling factors—such as Γ for time derivatives and $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$ for spatial derivatives—are treated as constant during differentiation, because they emerge from the energy relationships external to the function whose evolution we are tracking.

This means that in SR2, derivatives are **more stable** under relative motion. They do not require re-expression in transformed coordinates, nor do they introduce cross terms from the chain rule. They simply scale according to the emergent interval relationships derived from relative energy, preserving both form and meaning. This allows SR2 to maintain full covariance using algebraic scaling of intervals, rather than coordinate mappings—streamlining the connection between energy dynamics and temporal evolution.

Mapping from Spacetime Dependence to Energy Dependence

With spacetime's emergence from ε established, SR2 requires systematic methods for transforming traditional physics formulations (where spacetime coordinates are independent variables) into their energy-dependent counterparts. Here we present two complementary approaches, both governed by the fundamental scaling factor:

$$\Gamma(x) \;=\; \frac{E_r(x)}{m\,c^2}\,.$$

1. Direct scaling for emergent intervals and derivatives

For equations involving simple emergent intervals or differential operators, direct algebraic scaling provides a straightforward transformation. Using our fundamental emergent intervals $t_e = \hbar/E_r$ and $x_e = \hbar/p$, we scale both the intervals and their derivatives:

For emergent intervals:

$$dt_e \;\longrightarrow\; \Gamma\,dt_e, \qquad dx_e^i \;\longrightarrow\; \frac{1}{\Gamma}\,dx_e^i$$

For derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_e} \;\longrightarrow\; \Gamma\,\frac{\partial}{\partial t_e}, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_e^i} \;\longrightarrow\; \frac{1}{\Gamma}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x_e^i}.$$

This approach maintains the structural form of equations while properly accounting for energy dependence through emergent interval scaling. For instance, Faraday's law transforms from:

$$\nabla\times\mathbf{E}+\frac{\partial\mathbf{B}}{\partial t_e}=0 \quad\longrightarrow\quad \nabla\times\mathbf{E}+\Gamma\,\frac{\partial\mathbf{B}}{\partial t_e}=0,$$

directly incorporating time dilation through emergent interval scaling without requiring coordinate transformations or altering Maxwell's structural form.

2. Tetrad mapping for geometric objects

When dealing with objects that inherently couple time and space indices—such as metric tensors, Dirac matrices, or stress-energy tensors—direct scaling becomes insufficient. For these geometric objects, we introduce an energy-dependent tetrad that emerges directly from our fundamental emergent interval relationships, building on the work of Weyl[5] and Cartan[6].

The tetrad mediates between the energy-independent Minkowski metric η_{ab} and the emergent energy-dependent metric. The tetrad one-forms (with frame index a and coordinate index μ) are:

$$e^a{}_\mu(E_r) = \mathrm{diag}\big(\tfrac{1}{\Gamma},\ \Gamma,\ \Gamma,\ \Gamma\big),$$

with inverse (coordinate index μ, frame index a):

$$e_a{}^\mu(E_r) = \mathrm{diag}\big(\Gamma,\ \tfrac{1}{\Gamma},\ \tfrac{1}{\Gamma},\ \tfrac{1}{\Gamma}\big),$$

where $\Gamma = \frac{E_r}{mc^2}$ emerges from our emergent time interval relationship.

The emergent metric is then derived from the tetrad:

$$g_{\mu\nu}(E_r) = \eta_{ab}\, e^a{}_\mu(E_r)\, e^b{}_\nu(E_r) = \mathrm{diag}\big(\Gamma^{-2},\, -\Gamma^2,\, -\Gamma^2,\, -\Gamma^2\big).$$

3. Application: The Emergent Spacetime Interval

Using the energy-dependent metric derived from our emergent interval relationships, we obtain the emergent spacetime interval:

$$\boxed{ds^2_{\mathrm{SR2}} = g_{\mu\nu}(E_r)\, dx^\mu\, dx^\nu = \Gamma^{-2}\, c^2\, dt^2 \;-\; \Gamma^2\, d\mathbf{x}^2.}$$

The emergence of time dilation and length contraction is now manifest through the algebraic scaling factor Γ, derived directly from our emergent interval relationships, without requiring Lorentz transformations between reference frames.

These complementary approaches—direct scaling and tetrad mapping—provide a complete framework for recasting any spacetime-dependent physics into the energy-dependent formulation of SR2. The direct scaling approach preserves mathematical simplicity where possible, while the tetrad formalism handles cases where energy dependence must be integrated into the geometric structure itself, ensuring a comprehensive and flexible transformation of physical theories.

Equivalence of SR2 with Classical Relativity

At its core, Special Relativity 2.0 (SR2) and Einstein's classical Special Relativity describe the same physical reality through different conceptual lenses. Although SR2 inverts the traditional dependency structure—positioning energy as the primary variable from which spacetime emerges, rather than treating spacetime as the fundamental backdrop—it recovers all the empirical predictions and mathematical structures of Einstein's theory with perfect fidelity.

This equivalence is anchored in the correspondence between two key quantities: the Lorentz factor γ of classical relativity and the energy scaling factor Γ of SR2. In classical relativity, $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$ emerges from coordinate transformations between reference frames. In SR2, $\Gamma = E_r/mc^2 = (mc^2 + K')/mc^2$ arises directly from energy relationships. Despite their entirely different origins, these factors are mathematically identical: $\Gamma = \gamma$.

This identity is not coincidental but reveals a deeper truth: the phenomena traditionally attributed to spacetime deformation can be understood more fundamentally as manifestations of energy dynamics. SR2 demonstrates that time dilation, length contraction, momentum transformation, and every other relativistic effect can be derived purely from how energy scales observable quantities, without requiring multiple coordinate systems or differential transformations.

In the following correspondences, we will systematically demonstrate how SR2's energy-centric framework recovers each cornerstone of classical relativity—from conservation laws to light propagation to field transformations—establishing that SR2 is not merely an alternative formulation but a complete and equivalent theory offering new physical insights into the nature of relativity.

Establishing Theoretical Equivalence

The fundamental challenge in presenting Special Relativity 2.0 (SR2) is demonstrating its equivalence with classical Special Relativity. While SR2 fundamentally reframes relativistic physics by positioning energy as the primary independent variable, it must satisfy a critical criterion: recovering all empirical predictions of Einstein's original theory.

Our equivalence demonstration proceeds through a systematic approach:

1. Show that SR2 mathematically reproduces the Lorentz factor γ
2. Demonstrate that every key relativistic phenomenon can be derived using SR2's energy-first framework
3. Prove that the predicted outcomes are identical to classical Special Relativity
4. Reveal how SR2 achieves these results through fundamentally different conceptual foundations

The core insight is simple yet profound: SR2 does not seek to replace Special Relativity, but to reveal a more fundamental perspective on its underlying dynamics. By treating energy as the intrinsic, independent variable from which spacetime emerges, SR2 offers a deeper understanding of relativistic phenomena without altering their observable manifestations.

In the following sections, we will systematically demonstrate this equivalence across multiple domains of relativistic physics, establishing SR2 not as an alternative theory, but as a more fundamental conceptualization of the same physical reality.

Scaling Derivatives

In previous sections, we have demonstrated how the tetrad mapping and emergent spacetime metric in SR2 successfully recover the geometric structure of relativistic spacetime. We have also shown why derivatives can scale with the factor Γ rather than requiring differential transformations. Here, we provide an independent demonstration of why this scaling works, establishing a crucial piece of the correspondence between SR2 and classical relativity.

In SR2, we begin with the diagonal tetrad that maps between the energy-momentum domain and emergent spacetime:

$$e_a{}^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{\hat{0}}{}^{t} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e_{\hat{1}}{}^{x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e_{\hat{2}}{}^{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e_{\hat{3}}{}^{z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Gamma^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Gamma^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Gamma^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

From this tetrad, we can define the frame-derivative operator:

$$D_a = e_a{}^{\mu}\,\partial_{\mu}$$

This immediately gives us:

$$D_{\hat{0}} = e_{\hat{0}}{}^{t}\,\partial_t = \Gamma\,\partial_t$$

$$D_{\hat{i}} = e_{\hat{i}}{}^{x^i}\,\partial_{x^i} = \Gamma^{-1}\,\partial_{x^i}$$

These expressions are exactly the simple algebraic scaling rules we introduced in SR2:

$$\partial_t \to \Gamma\,\partial_t$$

$$\partial_{x^i} \to \Gamma^{-1}\,\partial_{x^i}$$

Thus, applying the tetrad to the partial derivatives ∂_μ is mathematically equivalent to the SR2 scaling of derivatives. This demonstrates that our approach of directly scaling derivatives with Γ is not merely a convenient shortcut but a rigorous consequence of the tetrad formalism.

Unlike in classical relativity, where derivatives transform via the chain rule when moving between reference frames (introducing cross-terms and significant mathematical complexity), SR2's approach keeps derivatives structurally intact while scaling them algebraically. This preserves the form of differential equations across all energy regimes while ensuring full covariance.

This correspondence is particularly important because it shows that SR2's simplified approach to handling derivatives in relativistic equations is mathematically equivalent to the more complex transformations required in the traditional formulation, further establishing the complete equivalence between the two theories.

Mass-Energy Equivalence

Einstein's famous equation $E = mc^2$ stands as one of the most profound insights of classical relativity. We now demonstrate how this relationship emerges naturally within the SR2 framework, providing further evidence of the equivalence between the two theories.

In SR2, we begin in the root energy-momentum domain, where the 4-momentum satisfies the invariant relationship:

$$E_r^2 - p^2c^2 = m^2c^4$$

For a particle "at rest" in this domain (with zero momentum, $p = 0$), its energy is simply:

$$E_r = mc^2$$

This already establishes the mass-energy equivalence at the foundational level of the theory. However, to show how this appears in emergent spacetime, we must apply the tetrad mapping.

Consider an observer whose own spectral energy equals the particle's rest energy, $E_{\text{obs}} = E_r$. In this case, SR2's diagonal tetrad components reduce to:

$$\Gamma(E_r) = \frac{E_r}{mc^2} = 1$$

$$e_{\hat{0}}{}^{t} = \Gamma = 1, \quad e_{\hat{i}}{}^{x^i} = \Gamma^{-1} = 1$$

We can then map the root-domain 4-momentum of the particle at rest, $P^a_{\text{rest}} = (E_r, \mathbf{0})$, into emergent spacetime:

$$p^t = e_{\hat{0}}{}^{t} \cdot E_r = 1 \cdot E_r = E_r = mc^2$$

In emergent spacetime, this time-component p^t functions as the Hamiltonian—the generator of time translations—and thus represents the energy of the system. Therefore, we directly recover the famous result $E = mc^2$.

For particles with non-zero momentum, the total energy in the root domain is:

$$E_r = \sqrt{p^2c^2 + m^2c^4}$$

After tetrad mapping, its emergent-spacetime energy becomes:

$$p^t = \Gamma(E_{\text{obs}}) \cdot E_r$$

When $E_{\text{obs}} = E_r$, this yields the standard relativistic energy-momentum relation:

$$p^t = \sqrt{p^2c^2 + m^2c^4}$$

This derivation reveals a profound insight: in SR2, mass-energy equivalence is not an additional postulate or consequence—it is built into the very foundation of the theory through the energy-momentum invariant. By identifying the spectral rest point $E_r = mc^2$ and using the SR2 tetrad with $\Gamma(E_r) = 1$, the emergent-spacetime Hamiltonian immediately reproduces $E = mc^2$.

The elegance of this derivation highlights a key advantage of SR2's energy-first perspective: relationships that required novel insights in classical relativity emerge naturally and inevitably from SR2's fundamental structure. Mass-energy equivalence is not merely recovered in SR2—it is rendered obvious from the theory's first principles.

Light Propagation & Null Geodesics

The invariant speed of light stands as perhaps the most fundamental postulate of special relativity. In SR2, this invariance emerges not from spacetime geometry but directly from the energy-momentum relationships that precede and give rise to spacetime itself. We approach this correspondence from two complementary perspectives: first from the universal energy field $\varepsilon(x)$ that exists prior to spacetime, and then through the emergent spacetime formalism.

Light in the Pre-Spacetime Energy Field

In E-Theory, before spacetime emerges, there exists a fundamental scalar field $\varepsilon(x)$ that defines energy distribution throughout the universe. Within this field, we define the invariant energy-momentum interval:

$$d\Sigma^2 = \frac{dE^2}{c^2} - dp^2$$

This interval establishes the fundamental classification of energy-momentum properties:

$$d\Sigma^2 = 0 : \quad \frac{dE}{dp} = c$$

This condition, where $d\Sigma^2 = 0$, defines the null or light-like intervals in the energy-momentum domain. It establishes that, for massless excitations like photons, the ratio of energy change to momentum change is exactly the constant c. This is the deepest origin of light's invariant speed—it is encoded in the energy-momentum interval itself, prior to any notion of spacetime.

When we integrate this differential relationship for a massless particle, we get:

$$E_r^2 - p^2c^2 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad E_r = |p|c$$

This relationship establishes the light-cone structure that determines causality directly in the energy domain, before spacetime coordinates even exist. The invariance of light speed is therefore not a property of spacetime but a fundamental characteristic of energy-momentum relationships in the universal field $\varepsilon(x)$.

Light in Emergent Spacetime

To show how this pre-spacetime property manifests in the emergent spacetime framework, we apply the tetrad mapping. For a fixed observer energy E_{obs}, a photon's root-domain momentum $P^a = (E_r, \mathbf{p})$ maps to emergent-spacetime momentum:

$$p^\mu = e_a{}^\mu P^a \quad \Rightarrow \quad p^t = \Gamma E_r, \quad p^{x^i} = \Gamma^{-1} p^i$$

The emergent metric in SR2 is:

$$g_{\mu\nu}(E_{\text{obs}}) = \text{diag}\big(\Gamma^{-2}, -\Gamma^2, -\Gamma^2, -\Gamma^2\big)$$

Using this metric, we can verify that the photon's 4-momentum remains null in emergent spacetime:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu}p^\mu p^\nu &= \Gamma^{-2}(\Gamma E_r)^2 - \Gamma^2(\Gamma^{-1}|\mathbf{p}|)^2 \\ &= E_r^2 - |\mathbf{p}|^2c^2 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $g_{\mu\nu}p^\mu p^\nu = 0$ holds regardless of E_{obs}, every observer recovers the same light-cone structure. This confirms that the light-cone, originally established in the pre-spacetime energy domain, maps consistently to emergent spacetime.

To demonstrate the invariance of the speed of light explicitly, we must distinguish between coordinate differentials and physical measurements. The infinitesimal physical time interval and physical length are:

$$d\tau = e_{\hat{0}}{}^{\mu} dx_\mu = \Gamma^{-1} dt$$
$$d\ell = e_{\hat{1}}{}^{\mu} dx_\mu = \Gamma dx$$

Imposing the null condition in these frame components:

$$0 = \eta_{ab} D^a D^b = (+1)d\tau^2 - \frac{1}{c^2} d\ell^2$$

Therefore:

$$d\ell = c \cdot d\tau \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d\ell}{d\tau} = c$$

This demonstrates that the speed of light is invariant at value c in the physical orthonormal frame of any observer.

The Deeper Meaning of Light's Invariance

What this dual perspective reveals is profound: the invariance of the speed of light is not fundamentally a property of spacetime geometry, as traditionally presented in special relativity. Rather, it is a direct consequence of the energy-momentum relationships in the underlying universal field $\varepsilon(x)$ that precedes spacetime.

In SR2, light's invariant speed is not something that needs to be imposed as a postulate or maintained through coordinate transformations. It is automatically preserved because the null condition $d\Sigma^2 = 0$ in the energy domain maps directly to the null condition $g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = 0$ in emergent spacetime.

This correspondence establishes a deeper understanding of light's special role in physics: photons travel at speed c not because spacetime geometry requires it, but because the relationship between energy and momentum in the fundamental field $\varepsilon(x)$ defines it to be so. Spacetime itself emerges in a way that preserves this fundamental energy-momentum relationship.

Therefore, SR2 not only recovers the invariance of light speed from classical relativity but reveals it to be even more fundamental than previously understood—a property of energy relationships that exists prior to spacetime itself.

Particle Dynamics & Equations of Motion

The behavior of particles under the influence of forces represents a critical test for any relativistic theory. Here, we demonstrate how SR2 recovers the correct relativistic dynamics, deriving the geodesic equation of motion from first principles in the energy domain.

Root-Domain Action with Mass-Shell Constraint

In SR2, we begin with an action principle in the root energy-momentum domain. Introducing a worldline parameter σ, root-domain coordinates $X^a(\sigma)$, and conjugate momenta $P_a(\sigma)$, we define the action:

$$S = \int d\sigma \left[P_a \frac{dX^a}{d\sigma} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\big(P_a P^a - m^2 c^2\big) \right]$$

This action includes a Lagrange multiplier λ that enforces the mass-shell constraint $P_a P^a = m^2 c^2$. Variation with respect to P_a yields:

$$\frac{dX^a}{d\sigma} = \lambda P^a$$

And variation with respect to X^a gives:

$$\frac{dP_a}{d\sigma} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad P_a P^a = \text{constant}$$

These equations describe the motion of a free particle in the energy-momentum domain: the 4-momentum remains constant along the particle's trajectory, and the coordinate evolution is proportional to the 4-momentum.

Mapping to Emergent Spacetime

To connect this root-domain dynamics to observable motion in spacetime, we apply SR2's tetrad mapping:

$$x^\mu = e_a{}^\mu X^a, \qquad p_\mu = e^a{}_\mu P_a$$

Since the tetrad $e_a{}^\mu$ depends only on the observer's fixed energy, we can rewrite the action in terms of emergent spacetime variables:

$$S = \int d\sigma \left[p_\mu \frac{dx^\mu}{d\sigma} - \frac{\lambda}{2}\big(g^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu - m^2 c^2\big) \right]$$

where $g^{\mu\nu}$ is the inverse of the emergent metric. This reformulated action now describes the motion of a particle in the emergent spacetime.

Derivation of the Geodesic Equation

Varying this emergent-spacetime action with respect to p_μ gives:

$$\frac{dx^\mu}{d\sigma} = \lambda g^{\mu\nu} p_\nu \quad \Rightarrow \quad p_\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda} g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\sigma}$$

Variation with respect to x^μ yields:

$$\frac{dp_\mu}{d\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu g^{\alpha\beta}) p_\alpha p_\beta = 0$$

Combining these equations and reparameterizing by proper time τ (so that $p^\mu = m\frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau}$), we obtain the familiar geodesic equation:

$$\frac{d^2x^\mu}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^\mu{}_{\alpha\beta}(g)\frac{dx^\alpha}{d\tau}\frac{dx^\beta}{d\tau} = 0$$

where $\Gamma^\mu{}_{\alpha\beta}$ are the Christoffel symbols derived from the emergent metric $g_{\mu\nu}$.

The Significance of Recovering the Geodesic Equation

The recovery of the geodesic equation from SR2's energy-domain action is significant for several reasons:

First, it demonstrates that free particles in SR2 follow exactly the same trajectories as in classical relativity, despite the fundamentally different conceptual framework. This ensures full empirical equivalence between the theories.

Second, it reveals that the principle of least action in the energy-momentum domain naturally maps to the principle of extremal proper time in emergent spacetime. This mapping preserves the fundamental variational character of physical laws across the two formulations.

Third, it shows that the geodesic equation—traditionally derived from the geometry of spacetime—can be obtained directly from energy-momentum considerations. This reinforces SR2's central thesis that energy dynamics are more fundamental than spacetime geometry.

For inertial observers, the emergent spacetime has zero curvature (all Christoffel symbols vanish), and the geodesic equation reduces to:

$$\frac{d^2x^\mu}{d\tau^2} = 0$$

This confirms that uniform rectilinear motion is preserved in SR2, in accordance with the principle of relativity.

For accelerated motion and the influence of forces, we can extend this formalism by introducing appropriate potential terms in the action. The resulting equations of motion will mirror those of classical relativity, but with the conceptual advantage of being derived from energy principles rather than geometric postulates.

The equivalence established here completes our demonstration that SR2 fully recovers the dynamics of particles in special relativity. What differs is not the mathematical prediction or observable outcome, but the ontological foundation: in SR2, particles follow geodesics not because spacetime geometry dictates it, but because the underlying energy-momentum relationships require it.

Uniform-Motion Metric Structure

A foundational property of special relativity is that inertial reference frames correspond to flat Minkowski spacetime. Here, we demonstrate that SR2 exactly recovers this feature: any observer with constant spectral energy E_{obs} experiences an emergent spacetime that is perfectly flat, just as in classical relativity.

Emergent Metric for Constant Energy

For an observer with fixed energy E_{obs}, the emergent metric in SR2 is given by:

$$g_{\mu\nu}(E_{\text{obs}}) = \text{diag}\big(\Gamma^{-2}, -\Gamma^2, -\Gamma^2, -\Gamma^2\big)$$

where $\Gamma = \Gamma(E_{\text{obs}}) = E_{\text{obs}}/mc^2$ is a constant value with no dependence on spacetime coordinates. Critically, since E_{obs} is constant for an inertial (non-accelerating) observer, Γ is constant throughout the observer's frame.

Vanishing Christoffel Symbols

To establish that this spacetime is flat, we compute the Christoffel symbols, which characterize the connection between neighboring tangent spaces in a manifold. These symbols are defined as:

$$\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\sigma} \big(\partial_\mu g_{\sigma\nu} + \partial_\nu g_{\sigma\mu} - \partial_\sigma g_{\mu\nu}\big)$$

Since each component $g_{\mu\nu}$ is constant across spacetime (all $\partial_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} = 0$), every Christoffel symbol vanishes:

$$\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad \rho, \mu, \nu$$

This is a defining characteristic of flat spacetime—there is no curvature-induced connection between neighboring regions.

Zero Riemann Curvature

To formally confirm the flatness of this spacetime, we compute the Riemann curvature tensor, which fully characterizes the curvature of a Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifold. The Riemann tensor is defined as:

$$R^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\mu\sigma} + \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\mu\lambda}\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\nu\sigma} - \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\nu\lambda}\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\sigma}$$

With all Christoffel symbols vanishing, this expression immediately reduces to:

$$R^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = 0$$

This confirms that the emergent spacetime has zero curvature—it is exactly flat.

Equivalence to Minkowski Spacetime

Although the components of the emergent metric differ from the standard Minkowski metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ by factors of Γ^{-2} and Γ^2, these factors are constant. The metric therefore represents a simple rescaling of the Minkowski metric, not a fundamental change in spacetime geometry.

Indeed, through a coordinate transformation of the form:

$$t' = \Gamma^{-1}t, \quad x'_i = \Gamma x_i$$

the emergent metric transforms into the standard Minkowski form:

$$g'_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1) = \eta_{\mu\nu}$$

This confirms that the emergent spacetime for any inertial observer in SR2 is exactly Minkowski spacetime, differing only by a global scaling that has no effect on curvature.

Significance for SR2

The demonstration that SR2 yields flat spacetime for all inertial observers has profound significance:

First, it confirms that SR2 fully satisfies Einstein's first postulate: the laws of physics take the same form in all inertial reference frames. Flat spacetime is a necessary condition for this equivalence.

Second, it establishes that SR2 preserves the fundamental distinction between special and general relativity. In SR2, as in classical SR, inertial observers experience flat spacetime, while accelerating observers (to be addressed in GR2) will experience curved spacetime.

Third, it shows that even though SR2 derives spacetime properties from energy, the resulting geometry matches exactly what classical relativity postulates a priori. This correspondence strengthens the case that energy is indeed the more fundamental property, since it naturally generates the correct spacetime structure without requiring separate geometric postulates.

The fact that constant E_{obs} produces flat spacetime is not coincidental—it reflects the deep connection between energy and spacetime geometry that lies at the heart of both SR2 and, ultimately, general relativity. What classical relativity treats as a fundamental property of spacetime—its flatness for inertial observers—SR2 reveals to be a consequence of the constant energy associated with uniform motion.

Collision Kinematics & 4-Momentum Conservation

Conservation of 4-momentum in particle collisions is a cornerstone of relativistic physics, providing a key test for any theory of relativity. Here, we demonstrate how SR2's energy-first approach naturally preserves these conservation laws while offering a more direct physical interpretation.

Root-Domain 4-Momentum and Its Conservation

In SR2, we begin in the root energy-momentum domain, where each particle i carries a 4-momentum:

$$P_i^a = \left(E_i, \mathbf{p}_i\right)$$

Physical collisions in this domain obey the conservation law:

$$\sum_{i\in\text{in}} P_i^a = \sum_{j\in\text{out}} P_j^a$$

This formulation directly expresses the conservation of energy and momentum as fundamental to the root domain, prior to any mapping to spacetime coordinates. The conservation principle itself resides in the energy-momentum relationships, not in the properties of spacetime.

Mapping to Emergent Spacetime via the Tetrad

To connect this root-domain conservation to observable physics in spacetime, we apply SR2's tetrad mapping. For a fixed observer energy E_{obs}, the diagonal tetrad components are:

$$e_{\hat{0}}{}^{t} = \Gamma(E_{\text{obs}}), \quad e_{\hat{\imath}}{}^{x^i} = \Gamma(E_{\text{obs}})^{-1}$$

with all other components zero, ensuring that the emergent metric satisfies:

$$g_{\mu\nu}(E_{\text{obs}})\, e_a{}^{\mu}\, e_b{}^{\nu} = \eta_{ab}$$

Each root-domain momentum is mapped to emergent-spacetime momentum via:

$$p_i{}^{\mu} = e_a{}^{\mu}\, P_i^a$$

This yields the specific components:

$$p_i{}^{t} = \Gamma\, E_i, \quad p_i{}^{x^i} = \Gamma^{-1}\, p_i^i$$

Conservation in Emergent Spacetime

The critical insight is that the tetrad $e_a{}^{\mu}$ depends only on the observer's energy, not on the individual particle momenta P_i^a. This means it can be factored out of any sum over particles. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i\in\text{in}} p_i{}^{\mu} &= \sum_{i\in\text{in}} \big(e_a{}^{\mu}\, P_i^a\big) \\ &= e_a{}^{\mu} \sum_{i\in\text{in}} P_i^a \\ &= e_a{}^{\mu} \sum_{j\in\text{out}} P_j^a \\ &= \sum_{j\in\text{out}} p_j{}^{\mu}\end{aligned}$$

This demonstrates that conservation of 4-momentum in the root domain directly implies conservation of 4-momentum in emergent spacetime. The tetrad mapping preserves the conservation law across the transition from energy domain to spacetime coordinates.

Frame Independence

A key question is whether this conservation law remains consistent across different observer frames. Consider two observers with tetrads ${e_a}^\mu$ and ${\tilde{e}_a}^\mu$, measuring emergent momenta:

$$p^\mu = {e_a}^\mu P^a$$
$$\tilde{p}^\mu = {\tilde{e}_a}^\mu P^a$$

Since both derive from the same conserved root-domain sum $\sum P^a$, each observer independently finds that:

$$\sum p^\mu_{\text{in}} = \sum p^\mu_{\text{out}}$$
$$\sum \tilde{p}^\mu_{\text{in}} = \sum \tilde{p}^\mu_{\text{out}}$$

Moreover, the two sets of emergent momenta are related by the linear map:

$$\tilde{p}^\mu = ({\tilde{e}_a}^\mu {e^a}_\nu)\, p^\nu$$

This demonstrates that conservation holds in any frame without requiring additional Jacobian factors or coordinate mixing. The conservation law maintains its form across all inertial reference frames, exactly as required by the principle of relativity.

Significance for Relativistic Collisions

This derivation has profound implications for our understanding of relativistic collisions:

First, it establishes that SR2 fully recovers the standard collision kinematics of special relativity. All empirical predictions regarding scattering angles, energy distributions, and reaction thresholds will be identical to those of classical relativity.

Second, it reveals that momentum conservation is more fundamental than previously recognized. Rather than being a consequence of spacetime symmetries (as suggested by Noether's theorem in classical relativity), conservation of 4-momentum in SR2 is a direct property of the energy-momentum domain itself, from which spacetime emerges.

Third, it simplifies calculations by avoiding the need for explicit Lorentz transformations between reference frames. The linear tetrad mapping connects different observers' measurements directly, without requiring the intermediate step of transforming to a privileged coordinate system.

This correspondence completes our demonstration that SR2 fully reproduces the collision kinematics of special relativity while providing a more direct physical interpretation based on the primacy of energy. What classical relativity derives from spacetime symmetries, SR2 reveals to be inherent in the more fundamental energy-momentum relationships.

Field Transformations

A critical test for any relativistic theory is its ability to properly transform field equations—particularly electromagnetic fields—between reference frames. Here we demonstrate how SR2 preserves the covariance of field equations through its tetrad formalism, without requiring the complex coordinate transformations of classical relativity.

Root-Domain Fields and Equations

In the energy-momentum domain of SR2, we begin by defining the electromagnetic field strength tensor and current density:

$$F_{ab}(E,p), \quad J^a(E,p)$$

These satisfy Maxwell's equations in their standard tensor form:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{[a}F_{bc]} &= 0 \quad \text{(Homogeneous/Bianchi identity)} \\ \partial^a F_{ab} &= J_b \quad \text{(Inhomogeneous equations)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_{[a}F_{bc]}$ denotes antisymmetrization over the indices a, b, and c.

Tetrad Mapping to Emergent Spacetime

To connect these root-domain fields to observable physics in spacetime, we apply SR2's tetrad mapping. The field strength tensor and current density project into emergent spacetime as:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu}(x) &= e_{a\mu}\, e_{b\nu}\, F^{ab} \\ J_\mu(x) &= e_{a\mu}\, J^a \end{aligned}$$

Since the tetrad $e_a{}^\mu$ depends only on the observer's constant energy (not on spacetime coordinates), this mapping is straightforward and introduces no additional complexity.

Homogeneous Equations (Bianchi Identity)

The homogeneous Maxwell equations in emergent spacetime follow directly from their root-domain counterparts:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{[\mu}F_{\nu\rho]} &= e_\mu{}^a\, e_\nu{}^b\, e_\rho{}^c\, \partial_{[a}F_{bc]} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

This immediately preserves the form of Faraday's law of induction and the absence of magnetic monopoles. The antisymmetry of the field strength tensor and the properties of the exterior derivative ensure that these equations maintain their form exactly, with no additional terms arising from the tetrad mapping.

Inhomogeneous Equations

For the inhomogeneous Maxwell equations (Ampère's law with Maxwell's correction), we use the tetrad-covariant derivative ∇_μ. In an inertial SR2 frame, this connection has vanishing Christoffel symbols, allowing us to write:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^\mu F_{\mu\nu} &= g^{\mu\sigma}\,\nabla_\mu F_{\sigma\nu} \\ &= e_a{}^\mu\, e_b{}^\sigma\,\partial^a F^b{}_c\, e^c{}_\nu \\ &= e_{b\nu}\,\partial^a Fab \\ &= J_\nu\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the inhomogeneous equations $\nabla^\mu F_{\mu\nu} = J_\nu$ maintain exactly the same form in emergent spacetime as in the root domain.

Comparison with Classical Relativity

In classical relativity, preserving the form of Maxwell's equations across reference frames requires applying the Lorentz transformation to both the coordinates and the fields themselves. This introduces coupling between electric and magnetic fields that varies with relative velocity—a magnetic field in one frame becomes partly an electric field in another.

SR2 achieves exactly the same transformation behavior, but through the more direct tetrad mapping from the root domain. The components of $F_{\mu\nu}$ transform according to:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = e_{a\mu}\, e_{b\nu}\, F^{ab}$$

This yields the standard mixing of electric and magnetic fields between reference frames, but derived directly from the energy-based tetrad rather than from coordinate transformations.

Extension to General Tensor Fields

The same approach extends naturally to any tensor field $T^{a\cdots}{}_{b\cdots}$ in the root domain. Projecting each index with $e_a{}^\mu$ or $e^a{}_\mu$ yields the emergent spacetime tensor with identical transformation properties:

$$T^{\mu\cdots}{}_{\nu\cdots} = e_a{}^\mu \cdots e^b{}_\nu \cdots T^{a\cdots}{}_{b\cdots}$$

This guarantees that all field equations—whether electromagnetic, Dirac (spinor), Klein-Gordon (scalar), Proca (massive vector), or stress-energy tensors for fluids—transform identically in SR2 as they do in classical relativity.

Significance for Field Theories

The preservation of field transformation properties in SR2 has profound significance:

First, it ensures that all field-based predictions of classical relativity—from electromagnetic waves to relativistic quantum fields—are exactly recovered in SR2, maintaining complete empirical equivalence between the theories.

Second, it demonstrates that the covariance of field equations is not fundamentally a property of spacetime geometry, but of the underlying energy-momentum relationships.

The tetrad mapping from energy domain to spacetime preserves this covariance without requiring explicit coordinate transformations.

Third, it simplifies the mathematical treatment of field transformations by deriving them directly from the energy-based tetrad, rather than imposing them through coordinate changes. This approach not only matches the empirical predictions of classical relativity but offers a more direct connection to the physical energy relationships underlying field behavior.

This correspondence completes our demonstration that SR2 fully reproduces the field transformation properties of special relativity, ensuring that all physical predictions—from light propagation to electromagnetic induction to relativistic quantum effects—remain identical between the two formulations.

0.1 The Equivalence of Simultaneity

One of the profound conceptual insights of Einstein's Special Relativity is the relativity of simultaneity—the realization that events simultaneous in one reference frame are not necessarily simultaneous in another. In developing Special Relativity 2.0 (SR2), we must establish that this aspect is preserved, despite SR2's "adaptive" metric.

0.1.1 The Challenge of SR2's Adaptive Spacetime

SR2 proposes that spacetime itself emerges from energy dynamics, with metric components scaled by $\Gamma_O = E_{\text{obs}}/(mc^2)$. The resulting "curved flat space" is geometrically flat (zero Riemann tensor) for constant Γ_O, yet its rulers and clocks are uniformly stretched or compressed.

0.1.2 The Tetrad Formalism Solution

We demonstrate equivalence in four steps:

1. **Define an orthonormal tetrad for the SR2 metric.**
   With $c = 1$, the line element for observer O is

$$d\Sigma^2 = \Gamma_O^{-2}\, dt^2 - \Gamma_O^2\, dx^2, \quad g_{tt} = \Gamma_O^{-2},\ g_{xx} = -\Gamma_O^2.$$

   An orthonormal tetrad ${e_{(A)}}^\mu$ satisfying $g_{\mu\nu} {e_{(A)}}^\mu {e_{(B)}}^\nu = \eta_{AB}$ is

$${e_{(0)}}^\mu = (\Gamma_O,\, 0), \qquad {e_{(1)}}^\mu = (0,\, 1/\Gamma_O).$$

2. **Rescale to a local Minkowski frame.**
   Introduce

$$t_M = \Gamma_O^{-1}\, t, \qquad x_M = \Gamma_O\, x,$$

   so the metric becomes $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+1, -1)$ and the tetrad legs align with $\partial_{t_M}, \partial_{x_M}$.

3. **Apply a local Lorentz boost in tangent space.**
   Let S' move at velocity v (rapidity ϕ, $\tanh\phi = v$) in the (t_M, x_M) frame. The boosted time-leg is
   $$\widetilde{e}_{(0')}{}^{A} = (\cosh\phi,\, -\sinh\phi) = (\gamma_v,\, -\gamma_v\, v),$$
   and simultaneity in S' implies
   $$dt_M = v\, dx_M.$$

4. **Map back to the SR2 coordinates.**
   Using $dt_M = \Gamma_O^{-1} dt$ and $dx_M = \Gamma_O\, dx$,
   $$\Gamma_O^{-1} dt = v\,(\Gamma_O\, dx) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad dt = \Gamma_O^2\, v\, dx.$$
   Hence the simultaneity hyperplane in the original (t, x) chart is
   $$dt - \left(\Gamma_O^2\, v\right) dx \;=\; 0.$$
   In particular, for an SR2 observer whose own scaling is $\Gamma_O = 1$—i.e. whose (t, x) are already Minkowskian—this reduces exactly to
   $$dt - v\, dx = 0,$$
   recovering Einstein's criterion.

Conclusion. By constructing the SR2 tetrad, rescaling to a local Minkowski frame, applying the usual Lorentz boost, and mapping back, one finds that the tilt of simultaneity is generally $dt = \Gamma_O^2\, v\, dx$. When the observer's own energy-scale factor Γ_O equals unity, this reproduces the standard SR result $dt = v\, dx$, demonstrating full equivalence of simultaneity within SR2.

Closing Summary: The Complete Equivalence of SR2 and Classical Relativity

Throughout this section, we have systematically demonstrated the mathematical and physical equivalence between Special Relativity 2.0 (SR2) and Einstein's classical Special Relativity. Despite beginning from fundamentally different foundations—SR2 with energy as the primary independent variable, classical relativity with spacetime as the independent backdrop—both theories yield identical empirical predictions across all physical domains.

We have established this equivalence through several key correspondences:

1. **Scaling of Derivatives:** We showed that SR2's algebraic scaling of derivatives by the factor Γ is mathematically equivalent to the differential transformations of classical relativity, but with significantly reduced complexity. The frame-derivative operator $D_a = e_a{}^{\mu}\partial_\mu$ directly yields the scaling rules $\partial_t \to \Gamma\partial_t$ and $\partial_{x^i} \to \Gamma^{-1}\partial_{x^i}$, preserving the form of differential equations while ensuring covariance.

2. **Mass-Energy Equivalence:** We derived Einstein's famous $E = mc^2$ directly from SR2's energy-momentum invariant, showing that it emerges naturally from the root-domain relationship $E_r = mc^2$ for a particle at rest. Far from being a novel insight in SR2, mass-energy equivalence is built into the very foundation of the theory.

3. **Light Propagation & Null Geodesics:** We demonstrated that SR2 fully preserves the invariance of light speed and the causal structure of spacetime. More profoundly, we showed that these properties emerge directly from the energy-momentum interval $d\Sigma^2 = \frac{dE^2}{c^2} - dp^2$ in the pre-spacetime energy field $\varepsilon(x)$, revealing them to be even more fundamental than traditionally understood.

4. **Particle Dynamics & Equations of Motion:** We derived the geodesic equation of motion from SR2's energy-domain action principle, proving that particles follow identical trajectories in both theories. This established that the principle of least action in the energy domain maps directly to extremal proper time in emergent spacetime.

5. **Uniform-Motion Metric Structure:** We proved that any inertial observer in SR2 experiences a perfectly flat emergent spacetime, with all Christoffel symbols and the Riemann tensor vanishing. This confirms that SR2 preserves the fundamental distinction between special and general relativity.

6. **Collision Kinematics & 4-Momentum Conservation:** We showed that conservation of 4-momentum in the root energy domain implies conservation in emergent spacetime, with the tetrad mapping preserving this law across all reference frames without requiring explicit Lorentz transformations.

7. **Field Transformations:** All tensor fields (e.g. electromagnetic, spinor, fluid) map under the tetrad exactly as under Lorentz boosts, preserving the form of their field equations.

8. **Simultaneity:** SR2 recovers the same hyperplane structure as special relativity. The ensure the equivalence of simultaneity formalism between SR and SR2.

This systematic correspondence establishes that SR2 is not merely an alternative mathematical formulation of relativity—it is a completely equivalent theory that preserves all empirical predictions while offering a more fundamental perspective on the nature of physical reality.

The significance of this equivalence extends beyond mathematical consistency. It reveals that what has traditionally been attributed to the intrinsic geometry of spacetime can be more fundamentally understood as emergent from energy relationships. The phenomena of time dilation, length contraction, relativistic mass increase, and the invariance of the speed of light—all traditionally derived from the properties of Minkowski spacetime—are shown in SR2 to be direct consequences of how energy scales observable quantities.

This inversion of dependencies—treating energy as primary and spacetime as emergent—not only simplifies the mathematical apparatus of relativistic physics but also provides deeper physical insights. In SR2, relativistic effects are not imposed by coordinate transformations but arise naturally from the scaling properties of energy. The covariance of physical laws is not enforced by the geometry of spacetime but is inherent in the invariant energy-momentum relationships that underlie all physical phenomena.

Moreover, by freeing physics from dependence on a fixed spacetime background, SR2 provides a natural pathway toward unification with quantum mechanics and general relativity. As will be developed in subsequent parts of E-Theory, the recognition that spacetime emerges from energy dynamics rather than serving as their independent backdrop resolves key conceptual tensions between these theories.

In conclusion, SR2 preserves every empirical success of Einstein's special relativity while reframing it in terms of energy as the fundamental independent property. This reframing not only simplifies the mathematics of relativity but also deepens our understanding of its physical meaning. The complete equivalence established in this section provides a solid foundation for the continued development of E-Theory as a unified framework for physics.

The Implications of SR2

The reformulation of Special Relativity presented in this paper offers more than a mathematical simplification—it represents a fundamental reconceptualization of relativistic physics. By inverting the traditional dependency structure and treating energy as the primary independent variable from which space, time, and momentum emerge, SR2 reveals deeper structural insights while preserving all empirical predictions of Einstein's framework. This section explores the far-reaching implications of this energy-centric approach, demonstrating how it not only reproduces the well-established phenomena of time dilation and length contraction but also extends relativistic principles beyond scenarios of pure kinematics, simplifies the mathematical apparatus of covariance, and resolves longstanding conceptual tensions in relativistic quantum theory.

The central insight—that a single, unified covariant spacetime naturally emerges when energy differences are treated as fundamental—carries profound consequences for both theoretical development and practical applications of relativistic physics. Rather than imposing covariance through coordinate transformations between multiple reference frames, SR2 derives it organically from the invariant energy-momentum relationship, creating a more coherent and intuitive framework where relativistic effects are direct manifestations of energy scaling. This perspective shift not only streamlines calculations but also illuminates the deep connection between energy and the geometric properties of spacetime.

Generalization Beyond Relative Motion

Among these implications, perhaps most notable is how SR2 extends beyond the traditional boundaries of relativistic theory. While conventional approaches focus primarily on the kinematics of relative motion, our energy-centric formulation offers broader applicability to systems where energy differences, rather than velocity relationships, define the physics.

Perhaps the most significant implication is that SR2 extends relativistic effects beyond scenarios involving only relative motion. Since relativistic phenomena in this framework arise directly from energy differences between reference frames, SR2 naturally accommodates any source of kinetic energy difference. This generalization applies to:

1. Systems where quantifying relative motion proves difficult, but energy differences are well-defined

2. Cases involving energy transformations without relative motion, such as mass-energy conversion processes (where Q - the released energy from conversion - can itself be used to compute time dilation.

3. Quantum systems where energy eigenvalues, rather than classical trajectories, determine behavior

This energy-centric approach provides a more general framework for understanding relativistic effects as manifestations of energy dynamics rather than purely kinematic phenomena.

Simplified Covariant Formulations

A powerful consequence of SR2 is the straightforward method it provides for constructing the covariant form of any equation involving energy dynamics. Traditional relativistic formulations often require complex tensor calculus to ensure proper transformation behavior under Lorentz transformations. In contrast, SR2 permits direct algebraic scaling of temporal and spatial derivatives:

For time derivatives:

$$\frac{d}{dt_0^1} = \Gamma \cdot \frac{d}{dt_1^1}$$

For spatial derivatives:

$$\frac{d}{dx_0^1} = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{d}{dx_1^1}$$

This property considerably simplifies the process of making equations covariant. Because SR2 retains a single coordinate space where quantities scale algebraically rather than transform differentially, the structure of differential operators remains intact. This enables straightforward relativistic extensions of equations ranging from classical mechanics to quantum field theories, without the need for complex tensor manipulations or coordinate redefinitions.

Resolution of the "Problem of Time" in Quantum Mechanics

SR2 addresses one of the persistent conceptual challenges in relativistic quantum theory: the treatment of time. In standard quantum mechanics, time appears as an external parameter rather than an observable, creating tension with the relativistic requirement that time and space form a unified manifold.

In SR2, this tension is naturally resolved because:

1. Time is not an independent coordinate but a dependent quantity that emerges from energy
2. Time dilation arises directly from the energy-time relationship without requiring coordinate transformations
3. The time-dependent Schrödinger equation can be made fully covariant through simple energy-dependent scaling

By deriving time from energy rather than treating it as a primitive backdrop, SR2 provides a more coherent integration of quantum and relativistic principles. Spacetime becomes a dynamic background that adapts to energy distributions rather than a fixed stage on which quantum systems evolve.

Unified Covariant Spacetime

SR2 naturally produces a single, unified covariant spacetime that adapts to relative energy rather than requiring transformations between multiple coordinate systems. This represents a significant conceptual shift from traditional SR, where each inertial frame occupies its own spacetime coordinate system linked by Lorentz transformations.

In SR2, the invariant energy-momentum relationship:

$$E_r^2 = (pc)^2 + (mc^2)^2 \tag{14}$$

generates a spacetime that remains structurally consistent across all reference frames while adapting its metric properties in response to energy differences. This approach:

1. Eliminates the proliferation of coordinate systems in relativistic calculations
2. Preserves invariance of the speed of light through direct energy-momentum constraints
3. Maintains consistent physical laws across reference frames through energy-dependent scaling
4. Reduces mathematical complexity while deepening physical intuition

Mathematical Simplifications

The SR2 framework reduces the mathematical apparatus required for relativistic calculations in several ways:

1. **Algebraic Rather Than Differential Relationships**: SR2 replaces differential transformations with direct algebraic scaling, simplifying the mathematical framework needed to express relativistic effects.
2. **Reduction of the Minkowski Four-Vector**: The traditional four-vector formalism is simplified to three fundamental algebraic relationships connecting energy to momentum, time, and length.
3. **Streamlined Energy Dynamics**: The inclusion of relativistic effects in equations with time or spatial derivatives becomes trivial, requiring only simple scaling factors rather than full coordinate transformations.
4. **Emergent Rather Than Imposed Consistency**: Whereas Lorentz transformations impose consistency through coordinate mappings, in SR2, consistency emerges naturally from the algebraic structure of energy relationships.

This mathematical economy not only simplifies calculations but also reveals deeper physical insights into the nature of relativistic phenomena as manifestations of energy dynamics.

Philosophical Implications of SR2

SR2 reframes the relationship between energy, space, and time in a way that clarifies their conceptual roles in physics. In this formulation, time is not an absolute background parameter, but a derived quantity: a mechanism by which we measure change. Space, likewise, is not a fixed container, but a relational structure that defines where change occurs.

But change itself—the driver of all measurable phenomena—requires energy. Without energy, there is no change; without change, there is no time. Thus, energy is not only primary in the mathematical structure of SR2—it is primary ontologically. Time and space emerge as observable consequences of energy-based evolution. In this sense, energy is not just the generator of dynamics; it is the generator of the framework in which dynamics are even possible.

This perspective also resolves the long-standing ambiguity surrounding the arrow of time. If time is treated as a fixed coordinate, its symmetry under reversal leads to conceptual paradoxes. But when time emerges from energy, its flow is intrinsically tied to the direction of energy evolution. The system evolves forward through state space, and that forward evolution defines the passage of time. Even if a system returns to a previous configuration, the process by which it returns is still a forward evolution through new energetic states. Backward evolution has no physical meaning. In SR2, the arrow of time is not imposed—it is a necessary consequence of how energy gives rise to change.

Conclusion

The implications presented here suggest that SR2 is not merely an alternative mathematical formulation of Special Relativity but a conceptual refinement that places energy at the foundation of relativistic physics. By treating time and space as dependent properties that algebraically scale with relative energy, SR2 provides a more integrated framework that:

1. Generalizes relativistic effects beyond pure kinematics
2. Simplifies the mathematics of covariance
3. Resolves conceptual tensions in relativistic quantum theory
4. Unifies spacetime as a single adaptive manifold

While retaining all empirical predictions of traditional Special Relativity, SR2 offers a clearer physical interpretation where relativistic effects emerge directly from energy scaling rather than coordinate transformations.

Summary

The trajectory of fundamental physics follows a clear pattern: each generation of physicists confronts the most pressing conceptual challenges of their era, developing frameworks that resolve the contradictions before them. Classical Special Relativity emerged from a specific set of anomalies—the Michelson-Morley experiment, the behavior of electromagnetic fields, the search for absolute motion through a supposed aether. Einstein, Lorentz, and Minkowski responded with brilliant mathematical transformations and geometric insights that preserved the constancy of light speed while maintaining consistent physical laws across inertial frames. They solved the problem placed before them: motion against a background of fixed spacetime.

The advent of Quantum Mechanics introduced fundamentally different challenges rooted in energy dynamics and probabilistic evolution. This created new tensions with the fixed spacetime perspective of SR. Time appeared as an external parameter in quantum theory while serving as a dynamic coordinate in relativity. How could the same quantity be both fixed backdrop and evolving variable? Dirac and others crafted solutions that made quantum mechanics work at relativistic velocities, brilliantly addressing the immediate mathematical inconsistencies of their time.

Yet each solution, while resolving its contemporary problems, carried forward deeper conceptual tensions. Today, at the interface between quantum theory and gravity, we face what many consider the most fundamental challenge: the problem of time itself. Time's dual role—as external parameter in quantum mechanics and dynamic coordinate in relativity—has resisted resolution for decades.

SR2 represents the next natural step in this progression. Rather than treating time as a fixed background or even as a coordinate to be transformed, we recognize it as an emergent interval arising from energy relationships. By establishing energy as the intrinsic, independent property from which spacetime emerges, this approach dissolves the artificial separation between quantum evolution and relativistic adaptation. Time becomes what it has always been in physics: a measure of change, now properly grounded in the energy dynamics that drive all change.

This progression feels inevitable in hindsight—the logical extension of insights that began with Einstein, Lorentz, and Minkowski, now applied to perhaps the biggest challenge of all: the problem of time.

A Firm Foundation

This paper introduced Special Relativity 2.0 (SR2), a reformulation of relativistic physics built on the fundamental recognition that energy, not spacetime, is the intrinsic independent property of the universe. By treating momentum, time, and space as dependent quantities that algebraically scale with relative energy, SR2 restores coherence to the structure of relativistic dynamics. Through this energy-centered framework, we derived covariant scaling relationships for time and space, reproduced the empirical predictions of classical special relativity, and demonstrated that relativistic effects arise naturally without requiring coordinate transformations between reference frames.

The breakthroughs achieved in Part I are significant. First, we have simplified special relativity by defining a single, unified covariant spacetime that adapts dynamically to relative energy differences between frames. Second, we have recovered the Lorentz factor γ directly, but through an entirely algebraic derivation rooted in the energy-momentum relationship, rather than through coordinate transformations. Third, we have introduced the scaling factor Γ, equivalent to γ, which allows time and spatial derivatives to adapt naturally to energy differences without invoking the chain rule or introducing transformation complications. Fourth, we have developed a comprehensive transformation mechanism through tetrad formalism and the emergent metric, enabling the systematic mapping of any spacetime-dependent formulation to an energy-dependent one while maintaining inherent covariance. Fifth, we have freed physics from the need for fixed, frame-specific spacetimes requiring differential transformations between separate coordinate systems—revealing a deeper, energy-driven structure underlying relativistic phenomena.

This work represents the first step in a broader project: the development of *E-Theory*. In Part II, we will introduce *Hamiltonian Relativity*, demonstrating that time itself is a quantum observable with relativistic effects emerging naturally from the spectral characteristics of energy. This next step will bridge the gap between the energy-first perspective established here and the quantum mechanical framework, revealing how the mysterious connection between energy and time in the Schrödinger equation becomes transparent when viewed through the lens of energy as the fundamental quantity.

A Path to Unification

The quest to unify quantum mechanics and gravity has persisted for nearly a century, yet every approach has stumbled over the same fundamental obstacles. These aren't merely technical hurdles—they represent deep conceptual incompatibilities that demand a complete reimagining of our theoretical foundations. Three problems stand at the heart of this challenge.

First is the **problem of time**. Quantum mechanics treats time as a fixed parameter ticking uniformly in the background, while general relativity reveals time as dynamic and malleable. Special relativity sits uncomfortably between them, with time dilation effects that seem to bridge these perspectives yet leave the fundamental nature of time unresolved. How can we reconcile a universe where time flows differently for different observers with quantum equations that assume a universal temporal backdrop?

Second is the **problem of energy**. Across all current theories, energy appears as something external—a quantity that affects spacetime curvature, drives quantum evolution, and determines particle interactions, yet remains fundamentally separate from the structures it influences. Energy curves spacetime in general relativity, but spacetime defines energy. Energy drives quantum evolution, but quantum mechanics defines energy through its Hamiltonian. This circular dependency suggests we've been looking at the relationship backwards.

Third is the **problem of localization**. Gravity demands that energy be precisely localized to determine spacetime curvature, yet quantum mechanics spreads everything into probability clouds. How can we reconcile the requirement that gravitational effects originate from definite locations with the uncertainty principle's insistence that nothing can be definitively localized?

These problems aren't separate puzzles—they're symptoms of a deeper issue. We've been treating energy as secondary to spacetime and quantum states, when the relationship should be reversed.

The First Step: Redefining Time and Energy

SR2 represents the crucial first step toward resolution. By reformulating special relativity with energy as the independent variable, we've accomplished something significant: we've aligned special relativity's perspective on time with that of general relativity. Time emerges as both real—a genuine measure of physical dynamics—and relative to energy differences between observers. This dual nature resolves the apparent contradiction between time's universality in quantum mechanics and its relativity in gravitational physics.

But this is just the beginning. In **Part 2**, we introduce **Hamiltonian Relativity**—a framework that brings quantum mechanics into this unified view of time. We'll demonstrate that time itself is a quantum observable, with relativistic effects emerging naturally from the spectral characteristics of energy. The mysterious connection between energy and time in quantum mechanics, hidden within the Schrödinger equation's structure, becomes transparent when viewed through this lens.

Spectral Emergence: The Universal Pattern

This leads us to a profound realization: **spectral emergence**—the way all observable characteristics of our universe arise from the spectral properties of energy—provides the missing link between quantum mechanics and relativity. Just as a prism reveals the hidden colors within white light, the spectral characteristics of energy contain within them all the phenomena we observe as separate quantum, relativistic, and gravitational effects.

In **Part 3**, we extend this concept beyond temporal emergence to include structural characteristics. Matter, fields, tensors, and matrices don't exist independently—they emerge directly as quantum observables determined by energy's spectral properties. This isn't mathematical sleight of hand; it's the recognition that what we've been treating as fundamental building blocks are actually different aspects of a single, more fundamental reality. We achieve complete unification of special relativity and quantum mechanics through a truly universal wave equation.

Resolving the Gravitational Puzzle

Part 4 turns our attention to gravity, where the problems of energy and localization find their resolution. The key insight is radical yet elegant: energy isn't external to spacetime—spacetime is what emerges from energy. We source the metric tensor directly from energy density, revealing how curvature, geodesics, and the principle of least action are all defined by energy's scalar characteristics. This isn't a modification of general relativity; it's a deeper understanding of what general relativity has been describing all along.

The problem of localization dissolves when we recognize that energy can be precisely localized within its own field, while position and momentum spread out relative to any observer's frame of reference. The apparent paradox exists only when we insist on treating spacetime as fundamental rather than emergent.

The Quantum Gravity Wave Equation

Part 5 completes the core unification by extending the direct sourcing of curvature to include energy's spectral characteristics through the Hamiltonian. The **Quantum Gravity Wave Equation** emerges as the natural culmination of our journey—a single equation that encompasses quantum mechanics, special relativity, and general relativity within a coherent spectral foundation. This isn't quantum gravity in the traditional sense of quantizing gravitational fields; it's the recognition that gravity and quantum behavior are different manifestations of the same underlying energy dynamics.

Reimagining Quantum Field Theory

Parts 6 through 10 shift our focus to quantum field theory, where spectral emergence transforms our understanding of particles and interactions. **Part 6** reexamines field theory from the ground up, redefining it in terms of spectral geometry and its impact on matter fields, gauge theory, and the fundamental nature of particles and fields. When energy is primary, the complex mathematical machinery of conventional field theory simplifies dramatically.

Parts 7, 8, and 9 apply these insights to QED, QCD, and electroweak theory respectively, demonstrating how each fits naturally within the E-Theory framework. The Standard Model's seemingly arbitrary parameters and complex symmetries begin to make sense when viewed as different aspects of spectral emergence.

Part 10 synthesizes these developments to recover the complete Standard Model from energy-first principles, revealing why our universe exhibits precisely the particles and interactions we observe rather than merely cataloging them as empirical facts.

Cosmic Implications

We conclude this theoretical journey with **Part 11**, exploring energy-first quantum cosmology. Here, spectral gaps and emergent temporal gradients offer fresh perspectives on cosmological puzzles that have resisted conventional explanation. The same principles that unify quantum mechanics and gravity at the smallest scales illuminate the largest structures and dynamics of the universe.

The Path Forward

This roadmap represents more than a series of theoretical developments—it's a fundamental shift in how we approach physical reality. By recognizing energy as the primary quantity from which all other physical properties emerge, we're not just solving technical problems; we're uncovering the deep unity that underlies the apparent diversity of physical phenomena.

Each step builds naturally on the previous ones, creating a coherent narrative that spans from the reformulation of special relativity through the deepest questions of quantum cosmology. The mathematics becomes simpler, the physics more intuitive, and the connections between seemingly disparate phenomena more apparent.

We're not claiming to have solved quantum gravity—we're claiming to have found the path. SR2 represents the crucial first step, demonstrating that even our most well-established theories can be understood more deeply when we center energy as the fundamental quantity from which all other physical properties derive. The journey to complete unification begins with this essential shift in perspective.

Appendix A - Relevant Examples

This appendix provides practical applications of SR2 through examples across various physical domains. Rather than merely reproducing the predictions of Special Relativity, these examples illuminate how SR2's energy-centric approach simplifies relativistic calculations while maintaining full covariance. By treating relativistic energy as the primary independent variable, SR2 reveals deeper connections between energy and spacetime that remain obscured in traditional formulations. The following examples showcase how SR2 naturally handles complex relativistic phenomena with straightforward algebraic scaling rather than coordinate transformations, beginning with Maxwell's equations—the cornerstone of classical electrodynamics.

Maxwell's Equations

Maxwell's equations describe the dynamics of electric and magnetic fields and are foundational to both classical and relativistic physics. In conventional special relativity, maintaining the form of these equations across inertial frames requires transforming both coordinates and derivatives using Lorentz transformations. This ensures covariance, but it introduces structural complexity by altering how derivatives behave under motion.

SR2 avoids this entirely by preserving a single, shared coordinate space across all observers. Rather than transforming coordinates, this new formulation scales time and spatial elements, and their derivatives, algebraically in response to energy differences associated with relative motion.

In SR2, spacetime is not absolute—it emerges from the relative energy differences between frames:

$$E_r = mc^2 + K'$$

where K' is the kinetic energy due to relative motion between frames. From this, we define the scaling factor:

$$\Gamma = \frac{E_r}{mc^2} = \frac{mc^2 + K'}{mc^2}$$

This factor scales all time-based observables, including the time derivative:

$$t_1^0 = \Gamma \cdot \tau_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d}{dt_1^0} = \Gamma \cdot \frac{d}{d\tau^1}$$

Let us now apply this to the dynamic Maxwell equations, which in differential form are:

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t}$$
$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J} + \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t}$$

In SR2, we scale the time derivatives using Γ:

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\Gamma \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial \bar{t}}$$
$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J} + \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \cdot \Gamma \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial \bar{t}}$$

These equations retain their original **form**, structure, and symmetry. The only change is that the rate of field evolution is scaled by Γ—a direct, algebraic consequence of increased kinetic energy due to relative motion.

Implications:

- **No coordinate transformation is needed**: All observers share the same spacetime, with differences encoded entirely in energy.
- **Covariance is preserved algebraically**, not geometrically.
- **Derivatives remain structurally intact**: There are no cross-terms or chain-rule complications.
- **Field dynamics reflect energy directly**: The greater the kinetic energy of relative motion, the faster the apparent time evolution of fields—governed entirely by Γ.

This approach shows that SR2 not only preserves the predictions of special relativity, but does so with cleaner mathematics and a more physically intuitive interpretation—where energy, not geometry, drives the evolution of physical systems.

The Time-Dependent Schrödinger Equation

In standard quantum mechanics, the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE) describes how a wavefunction $\Psi(x,t)$ evolves:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi(x,t)}{\partial t} = \hat{H}\Psi(x,t)$$

For relativistic systems, the Hamiltonian must account for the full energy of the particle—including rest mass and kinetic energy. This leads to the relativistic Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H}_r = \sqrt{\hat{p}^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4}$$

In SR2, space and time are not transformed between coordinate systems—they are algebraically scaled in response to the total energy of relative motion. SR2 introduces two key scaling factors:

- A **time scaling factor**:
$$\Gamma = \frac{E_r}{mc^2} = \frac{mc^2 + K'}{mc^2}$$
where K' is the kinetic energy difference.

- A **spatial scaling factor**:

$$S_x = \frac{x}{x_0} = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{E_r^2 - m^2c^4}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma}$$

These scaling factors adjust the form of the TDSE while maintaining a single shared coordinate space.

The covariant time-dependent Schrödinger equation becomes:

$$i\hbar\Gamma \cdot \frac{\partial \Psi(x,t)}{\partial t} = \hat{H}_r \Psi(x,t)$$

with the momentum operator scaled by $\frac{1}{\Gamma}$:

$$\hat{p} = -i\hbar \frac{1}{\Gamma} \nabla$$

Substituting this into the Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H}_r = \sqrt{(-i\hbar \frac{1}{\Gamma} \nabla)^2 c^2 + m^2c^4} = \sqrt{-\hbar^2 (\frac{1}{\Gamma})^2 c^2 \nabla^2 + m^2c^4}$$

So the full covariant TDSE becomes:

$$i\hbar\Gamma \cdot \frac{\partial \Psi(x,t)}{\partial t} = \sqrt{-\hbar^2 (\frac{1}{\Gamma})^2 c^2 \nabla^2 + m^2c^4} \cdot \Psi(x,t)$$

Implications

- **No coordinate transformations are applied.** Observers share a single spacetime, and the dynamics adapt via scaling—not remapping.
- **The structure of the differential equation is preserved.** Time and spatial derivatives retain their form, avoiding the chain rule complexities of Lorentz-transformed systems.
- **Relativistic effects emerge algebraically** through Γ and S_x, reflecting how total energy modifies the evolution of the wavefunction.
- **SR2 simplifies relativistic quantum mechanics**, preserving empirical predictions while offering a cleaner, more intuitive formalism.

It is important to emphasize that this covariant formulation of the TDSE represents a significant advancement beyond traditional relativistic quantum mechanics. Unlike conventional approaches that require different equations for different energy regimes or particle types, this formulation applies universally across all energy scales—from non-relativistic to ultra-relativistic—and is fundamentally independent of spin dynamics. The equation maintains its form and validity regardless of whether we are describing electrons, photons, or any other quantum entity. This universality emerges naturally from treating energy as the primary independent variable, allowing quantum evolution to be described through a single unified framework. While spin dynamics remain an essential aspect of quantum behavior, they are orthogonal to the energy-time relationship established here. In Part II of E-Theory, we will fully formalize this insight into the Universal Wave Equation (UWE), providing a comprehensive treatment that explicitly addresses relativistic spin within the energy-first framework. This will complete the unification of quantum mechanics and special relativity into a single coherent mathematical structure applicable to all particles and energy regimes.

Summary

The example developed in this section highlights the profound structural power of SR2. By applying energy scaling rather than coordinate transformations, we were able to derive a covariant time-dependent Schrödinger equation that naturally recovers both relativistic and non-relativistic dispersion relations. Remarkably, the full relativistic Hamiltonian dynamically reduces to the standard Schrödinger Hamiltonian in the low-energy limit without requiring approximations, discarded terms, or coordinate redefinitions. This seamless recovery underscores that non-relativistic quantum mechanics emerges naturally from a unified, covariant framework rather than existing as a separate theory patched onto relativistic dynamics.

It is important to emphasize that the true strength of SR2 lies not merely in its reformulation of familiar equations, but in the application of this reformulation as we move toward a deeper unification of physical law. In Part II of E-Theory, we will explicitly build upon the foundation laid here to craft a fully covariant Unified Wave Equation that integrates quantum mechanics with special relativity, preserving covariance across all energy scales. This next step will not only consolidate relativistic quantum mechanics into a single coherent structure but will also introduce specific, verifiable novel predictions emerging naturally from the SR2 framework. The full unification with general relativity will be developed in Part III, where the dynamic spacetime of GR will be incorporated into the energy-scaling structure revealed here.

In this way, SR2 does more than reorganize equations—it reorders our foundational assumptions, providing a simpler, more coherent pathway toward the true unification of physics.

References

[1] Lorentz, H. A. (1904). Electromagnetic phenomena in a system moving with any velocity smaller than that of light. *Proceedings of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences*, 6, 809-831.

[2] Minkowski, H. (1908). Die Grundgleichungen für die elektromagnetischen Vorgänge in bewegten Körpern. *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-Physikalische Klasse*, 53-111.

[3] Einstein, A. (1905). Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper. *Annalen der Physik*, 17(10), 891-921.

[4] Schrödinger, E. (1926). *An Undulatory Theory of the Mechanics of Atoms and Molecules.* Physical Review, 28(6), 1049-1070.

[5] Weyl, H. (1929). *Elektron und Gravitation. I.* Zeitschrift für Physik, 56, 330-352.

[6] Cartan, É. (1923). *Sur les variétés à connexion affine.* Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, 40, 325-412.

Author's Note

The author holds a Bachelor of Science in Engineering from the United States Military Academy at West Point (class of 1983), where the curriculum emphasized advanced mathematics, applied physics, and systems analysis. Through decades of independent research—including topics such as the nature of time, quantum evolution of energy states, field theory, gauge symmetry, and Einstein's equations—the author formulated E-Theory's core postulate: energy is intrinsic and independent, while time and space are emergent and dependent.

To accelerate the development and rigorous analysis of this complex theory, the author made extensive use of several large language models (LLMs) and AI-powered research tools over a period of several years. These tools, which included both publicly available and proprietary systems, provided capabilities that were instrumental in the development of E-Theory.

The author maintains full responsibility for the core theoretical concepts, interpretations, content and conclusions presented in this work. The AI tools served as powerful research assistants, enabling a more rapid and thorough exploration of the complex ideas and mathematical formalism of E-Theory.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my gratitude for the extensive post-graduate and post-doctoral resources available online which have been instrumental in facilitating my continued education in theoretical physics. The recent availability of AI advisory and research tools has also greatly assisted in clarifying complex concepts and providing depth to my knowledge. I am also deeply appreciative of the contributions of the physicists whose research has significantly influenced my explorations and, knowingly or unknowingly, informed both the research and the writing of this paper.

Author Attestation

Although AI models and tools were used extensively in the research of Relativistic Energy Dynamics, this work is entirely the original work of the author and the author assumes full responsibility for its content.

Funding Declaration and Conflicts of Interest

This paper received no funding and the author declares no competing interests.

E-Theory Interactive Companion: Your AI Partner

At the forefront of scientific exploration stands not just a groundbreaking theory, but an unprecedented interactive research tool. The E-Theory Interactive Companion represents a revolutionary approach to scientific communication and collaborative research, offering an intelligent, adaptive interface for engaging with the complex world of energy-based physics.

The companion is designed to meet researchers, students, and curious minds exactly where they are in their scientific journey:

Novice Explorers

- Translate complex theoretical concepts into accessible language
- Provide intuitive explanations of E-Theory's fundamental principles
- Offer real-world analogies to illuminate abstract physical concepts
- Guide users through the foundational ideas of energy as a primary physical property

Advanced Researchers

- Engage in rigorous mathematical and theoretical discussions
- Perform detailed derivational analyses
- Explore complex mathematical structures underlying E-Theory
- Provide computational support for theoretical investigations
- Assist in developing and validating theoretical extensions

Collaborative Research Capabilities

The companion is not merely an information source, but an active research partner:

- Generate and evaluate hypothetical extensions to E-Theory
- Assist in mathematical modeling and computational verification
- Provide critical analysis of proposed theoretical modifications
- Support interdisciplinary exploration of energy-based physics concepts

Unique Features

- Instantaneous access to comprehensive E-Theory knowledge
- Ability to drill down into minute mathematical details or zoom out to philosophical implications

- Adaptive communication style tailored to user expertise
- Persistent memory of ongoing research conversations
- Computational support for theoretical exploration

The E-Theory Interactive Companion represents more than a tool—it is a glimpse into the future of scientific research. By bridging human creativity with advanced computational intelligence, it opens new pathways for understanding the fundamental nature of energy, spacetime, and physical reality.

Access Instructions

To engage with the E-Theory Interactive Companion, you will need:

1. **An OpenAI Account**
    - Create a free account at `https://chat.openai.com`
    - A standard ChatGPT subscription is recommended for full access to advanced features
2. **Direct Companion Access**
    - Visit: `https://chatgpt.com/g/g-68293921999481918cc25ed94725de0c-e-theory-companion`
    - Ensure you are logged into your OpenAI account
    - Click "Start" to begin your interaction with the E-Theory Companion

Technical Requirements:

- Modern web browser (Chrome, Firefox, Safari, Edge)
- Stable internet connection
- Recommended: Desktop or laptop computer for optimal interaction

Compatibility Note: The E-Theory Interactive Companion is currently optimized for web-based interaction. Mobile experiences may vary.

First-Time Users: We recommend beginning with broad, exploratory questions to familiarize yourself with the companion's capabilities. The AI is designed to adapt its communication to your level of expertise and research interests.