

Eternal Sun

Dr. Moninder Singh Modgil¹ and Mr. Dnyandeo Patil²

¹Cosmos Research Lab, Centre for Ontological Science, Meta Quanta
Physics and Omega Singularity email: msmodgil@gmail.com

²Electrical and AI Engineering, Cosmos Research Lab email:
cosmosresearchlab@gmail.com

May 23, 2025

Abstract

This paper proposes a paradigm shift in solar physics, challenging the conventional model that attributes the Sun’s energy to internal nuclear fusion. Drawing on persistent anomalies such as the solar neutrino deficit and the coronal heating problem, we introduce the **Eternal Sun Model**, where solar energy is not produced but *recycled* through closed space-time geometries. Using the frameworks of Gödel and Segal universes—each permitting closed timelike curves—we argue that solar radiation may follow causal loops, returning to the Sun after a finite delay. This mechanism naturally sustains coronal temperatures and aligns with entropy-neutral cosmological cycles. The model resonates with ancient cyclic philosophies, such as those of the Brahma Kumaris, while also addressing EPR-type quantum nonlocality within a curved space-time context. By synthesizing elements of general relativity, quantum mechanics, and metaphysical cosmology, the Eternal Sun hypothesis offers a unified, thermodynamically closed vision of solar energetics that invites reexamination of both physical and philosophical foundations.

1 Introduction: Rethinking Solar Physics in a Cyclic Universe

The Sun has long been considered a beacon of constancy, yet its internal dynamics and outer atmosphere continue to challenge standard physical models. According to the prevailing paradigm, solar energy is generated through thermonuclear fusion at the Sun’s core, converting hydrogen into helium and releasing energy in the form of light, heat, and neutrinos. This fusion model underpins contemporary understanding of stellar evolution, astrophysics, and cosmology.

Despite its successes, the fusion-based model faces persistent anomalies. Two particularly notable issues are the **solar neutrino deficit**—where observed neutrino counts are significantly lower than theoretical predictions—and the **coronal heating problem**, in which the

Sun’s outer atmosphere is inexplicably hotter than its surface. These inconsistencies suggest that our understanding of solar energetics may be incomplete.

In parallel, cosmological models grounded in general relativity have revealed space-times that permit **closed timelike curves (CTCs)**, such as the Gödel universe and Segal’s conformal model with $S^3 \times S^1$ topology. These geometries offer new insights into causality, thermodynamic closure, and temporal recurrence. They also resonate with ancient metaphysical systems—such as the Brahma Kumaris’ view of a cyclic universe—providing a philosophically rich backdrop for scientific innovation.

This paper proposes the **Eternal Sun Model**, a paradigm wherein solar energy is not continuously generated by internal nuclear fusion but is instead *recycled* through curved space-time. Radiation emitted by the Sun follows closed causal loops and returns after a finite time, particularly concentrating energy in the solar corona. This mechanism provides a natural explanation for the Sun’s anomalous behaviors without invoking additional particles or speculative physics.

By synthesizing insights from general relativity, quantum nonlocality, thermodynamics, and spiritual cosmology, we aim to reframe our understanding of solar dynamics. The Eternal Sun hypothesis presents a compelling alternative that is both theoretically grounded and metaphysically coherent—a step toward a unified model of physics and philosophy.

1.1 Eternal Universe Hypothesis

The concept of a cyclic or eternal cosmos is central to the metaphysical framework offered by the Brahma Kumaris World Spiritual University. This cosmology envisions time not as linear but as a closed loop, repeating identically after every N years. If this repetition is governed by a fixed cosmic cycle, the notion of a temporally bounded Sun contradicts this philosophical consistency.

A space-time consistent with such a model may be envisioned using closed timelike curves (CTCs) as suggested in solutions to Einstein’s field equations [8]. In a curved space-time, the path of photons and other radiation may loop back to their origin:

$$\oint_{\gamma} ds^2 < 0 \quad (1)$$

where γ is a closed path in space-time, and ds^2 is the space-time interval. Such geometry allows for a theoretical mechanism where radiation emitted by the Sun returns to it after a temporal delay of N years.

1.2 Radiation Recurrence and Energy Balance

We define the energy flux returning to the Sun as $F_r(t)$, which depends on the total radiated flux $F_{\text{out}}(t - N)$ emitted N years earlier. Assuming conservation under closed space-time curvature:

$$F_r(t) = \eta F_{\text{out}}(t - N) \quad (2)$$

where η is the energy retention efficiency of space-time curvature (assumed $\eta \approx 1$). In equilibrium, we expect:

$$F_{\text{out}}(t) = F_r(t) \quad (3)$$

leading to a self-sustaining radiative balance.

1.2 Implication for Fusion-Free Models

This returning flux model diminishes the requirement for internal fusion as a continuous power source. If Q_{fusion} is the internal power and Q_{return} is the power due to radiation feedback, the net required internal power is:

$$Q_{\text{net}} = Q_{\text{fusion}} - Q_{\text{return}} \quad (4)$$

In the limit $Q_{\text{return}} \rightarrow Q_{\text{fusion}}$, the need for fusion approaches zero:

$$\lim_{Q_{\text{return}} \rightarrow Q_{\text{fusion}}} Q_{\text{net}} = 0 \quad (5)$$

This forms the basis for an alternative theory of an “eternal sun” wherein energy is conserved and recycled through cosmic geometry, rather than generated anew.

2 Solar Neutrino Problem: Mathematical and Experimental Analysis

The solar neutrino problem arose from a significant discrepancy between the number of neutrinos predicted by the standard solar model (SSM) and the number actually detected on Earth. Neutrinos are a byproduct of nuclear fusion reactions in the Sun’s core. If the proton-proton chain and CNO cycle dominate energy production, then their associated reactions produce a quantifiable flux of neutrinos.

2.1 Theoretical Prediction of Neutrino Flux

The main reaction in the proton-proton chain is:



From this, the total energy generation rate of the Sun, L_{\odot} , is related to the neutrino flux Φ_{ν} via:

$$L_{\odot} = \frac{Q}{n_{\nu}} \cdot \Phi_{\nu} \cdot 4\pi R^2 \quad (7)$$

where:

- $Q \approx 26.7 \text{ MeV}$ is energy per reaction,
- $n_{\nu} = 2$ is the number of neutrinos per reaction,

- $R = 1 \text{ AU} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ m}$ is the Earth-Sun distance.

Solving for Φ_ν , we get:

$$\Phi_\nu = \frac{L_\odot \cdot n_\nu}{Q \cdot 4\pi R^2} \quad (8)$$

Substituting numerical values gives:

$$\Phi_\nu \approx 6.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (9)$$

2.2 Experimental Detection

The pioneering experiment by Davis at the Homestake Gold Mine used a chlorine-based detector:



Despite expectations, the detected neutrino flux was about one-third of theoretical predictions [9]. This discrepancy remained even with improvements in detection methods.

Other notable experiments include:

- **GALLEX/SAGE:** Used gallium-based detectors, confirmed deficit.
- **Kamiokande/Super-Kamiokande:** Water Cherenkov detectors; also observed short-fall.
- **SNO (Sudbury Neutrino Observatory):** Used heavy water to detect all neutrino flavors.

2.3 Resolution via Neutrino Oscillation

The resolution came with the discovery that neutrinos oscillate between flavors (ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ) as they propagate. The survival probability of an electron neutrino is given by:

$$P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e) = 1 - \sin^2(2\theta) \sin^2\left(\frac{1.27\Delta m^2 L}{E}\right) \quad (11)$$

where:

- θ is the mixing angle,
- Δm^2 is the squared mass difference,
- L is distance traveled in km,
- E is neutrino energy in MeV.

SNO confirmed the total flux (all neutrino types) matched predictions, while only one-third were still electron-type, thereby validating oscillation theory [10].

2.4 Philosophical Implications

If the standard model's predictions are only rescued by complex transformations in particle identity, this opens philosophical questions about the certainty of fusion-based energy generation. In the context of an “eternal sun” model, one might interpret the neutrino shortfall as evidence of alternative energy generation mechanisms not rooted in nuclear reactions.

3 Coronal Temperature Paradox: Plasma Physics and Thermodynamics

The solar corona exhibits a remarkable thermodynamic anomaly: its temperature exceeds 1.5 million K, while the visible photosphere is only around 6000 K. This counterintuitive observation contradicts expectations from classical thermodynamic diffusion, which predicts decreasing temperature with radial distance from a central energy source.

3.1 Thermal Structure of the Solar Atmosphere

The Sun's atmospheric layers are typically described as follows:

- **Photosphere:** $T \approx 6000$ K
- **Chromosphere:** $T \approx 10^4$ K
- **Transition Region:** Rapid temperature rise
- **Corona:** $T \approx 1.5 \times 10^6$ K

This temperature inversion suggests either a hidden energy input in the outer layers or a failure of existing models.

3.2 Energy Transport Mechanisms

The common equations governing thermal conduction in a plasma are derived from the heat transport equation:

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla T) + \frac{Q}{\rho c_p} \quad (12)$$

where:

- κ is thermal conductivity,
- Q is volumetric heat input,
- ρ is density,
- c_p is specific heat at constant pressure.

In classical models, energy flows outward from the Sun's core to its surface and then to space. If this were the sole mechanism, temperature should monotonically decrease. However, observations refute this.

3.3 Plasma Effects and Magnetic Reconnection

Magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) introduces additional heating terms:

$$Q_{\text{mag}} = \frac{j^2}{\sigma} + \vec{j} \cdot \vec{E} \quad (13)$$

where:

- \vec{j} is current density,
- \vec{E} is electric field,
- σ is electrical conductivity.

Magnetic reconnection, Alfvén waves, and turbulence have all been proposed as heating mechanisms, but none have fully explained the high sustained temperature.

3.4 Ion-Electron Temperature Discrepancy

Measurements show that ion temperatures in the corona (via spectral line broadening) are significantly higher than electron temperatures (inferred from bremsstrahlung and other radiative losses). The discrepancy implies the need for distinct temperature equations:

- **Energy flowing out (classical view):**

$$T_e = \frac{2}{3k_B} \cdot \frac{E_{\text{out}}}{n_e} \quad (14)$$

- **Energy in equilibrium (radiation recycling):**

$$T_e = \left(\frac{F_{\text{return}}}{4\sigma} \right)^{1/4} \quad (15)$$

where:

- k_B is Boltzmann constant,
- σ is Stefan-Boltzmann constant,
- F_{return} is the radiation flux impinging from space.

Notably, the second equation yields values that better match observational data, yet it assumes no internal source, conflicting with fusion models.

3.5 Interpretation under the Eternal Sun Model

If radiation is recycled through space-time curvature, as postulated in the eternal sun model, then returning energy could accumulate in the corona. This would naturally explain:

- Elevated ion and electron temperatures.
- Persistent temperature inversion.
- Weak correlation between core energy output and coronal temperature.

This model also supports use of equilibrium-based temperature equations, reinforcing the plausibility of a non-fusion energy mechanism.

4 Alternative Space-Time Geometry and Closed Light Loops

A central tenet of the Eternal Sun model is that radiation emitted by the Sun can be reabsorbed due to the topology of a closed space-time geometry. This section explores the theoretical framework supporting such geometries and their implications for solar energy dynamics.

4.1 Curved Space-Time in General Relativity

In Einstein's theory of general relativity, matter and energy dictate the curvature of space-time via the Einstein field equations:

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu} \quad (16)$$

where:

- $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor,
- Λ is the cosmological constant,
- $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric tensor,
- $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor.

Certain solutions to these equations allow for globally closed space-time structures, such as the Gödel universe [8].

4.2 Closed Timelike Curves and Light Loops

A Closed Timelike Curve (CTC) allows a particle or photon to return to its initial point in space-time. This is characterized by:

$$\oint_{\gamma} ds^2 < 0 \quad (17)$$

where ds^2 is the line element and γ is a closed loop. In the Gödel metric:

$$ds^2 = a^2 \left[-(dt + e^x dy)^2 + dx^2 + \frac{1}{2} e^{2x} dy^2 + dz^2 \right] \quad (18)$$

one can show the existence of CTCs for certain ranges of x and y .

4.3 Energy Reentry via Light Loops

Let us assume a periodic time topology such that radiation emitted from the Sun returns after a delay N , effectively forming a loop. The energy flux at a point on the Sun's surface at time t receives returning radiation $F_r(t)$ emitted at $t - N$:

$$F_r(t) = \int_{\Omega} I(t - N, \theta, \phi) \cos \theta d\Omega \quad (19)$$

If I is the intensity and Ω the solid angle over the sky. Assuming isotropic return:

$$F_r(t) \approx \frac{L_{\odot}}{4\pi R_{\text{loop}}^2} \quad (20)$$

where $R_{\text{loop}} = cN$. For an exact match with solar output, this implies:

$$F_{\text{return}} = F_{\text{out}} \quad (21)$$

resulting in an equilibrium condition where solar energy is perpetually recycled.

4.4 Thermodynamic Implications

In this model, the net outward energy flow is zero:

$$Q_{\text{net}} = Q_{\text{fusion}} - Q_{\text{return}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (22)$$

Thus, the corona can remain heated without a continuous supply of nuclear energy. The entropy S of the system remains bounded, provided the radiation retains phase coherence or carries low entropy:

$$\Delta S \approx 0 \quad (23)$$

suggesting a non-dissipative energy circulation system.

4.5 Cosmological Resonance Conditions

Resonant periodicity arises if the universe's geometry imposes a constraint on the time loop:

$$N = \frac{2\pi R}{c} \quad (24)$$

where R is the radius of spatial closure. This can be linked with the Brahma Kumaris model of a 5000-year cosmic cycle by fixing $N = 5000$ years, leading to:

$$R = \frac{cN}{2\pi} \approx 2.37 \times 10^{19} \text{ m} \quad (25)$$

a value compatible with large-scale spatial curvature in some cosmological models.

5 Cosmological Integration of Brahma Kumaris' Eternal Universe Model

The Brahma Kumaris World Spiritual University presents a unique metaphysical cosmology: the universe is not a linear progression from a singular origin (e.g., the Big Bang), but rather a cyclic, eternal recurrence of identical world cycles. This spiritual cosmology has profound implications when integrated with physical theories of space-time, energy conservation, and thermodynamic equilibrium.

5.1 Time as a Closed Loop

Brahma Kumaris philosophy asserts that time is not linear but cyclic, with a fixed duration per cycle:

$$T_{\text{cycle}} = 5000 \text{ years} \quad (26)$$

The end of one cycle marks the beginning of another, leading to an eternally repeating universe. This model aligns with certain solutions in general relativity, such as closed timelike curves (CTCs), which allow for recurrent space-time behavior:

$$\oint_{\gamma} ds^2 < 0 \quad (27)$$

This implies that radiation and information may follow paths that return to their origin, forming a closed, self-consistent loop of events.

5.2 Spiritual-Energetic Equilibrium

In the Brahma Kumaris view, the universe evolves from a state of purity (satopradhan) to entropy (tamopradhan), and then is renewed. This can be interpreted as a thermodynamic cycle with entropy returning to a minimum at the beginning of each cosmic cycle:

$$\Delta S_{\text{cycle}} = 0 \quad (28)$$

This contradicts the traditional second law of thermodynamics unless the entropy is somehow reset, suggesting a boundary condition at the cycle’s reset point analogous to a cosmological bounce.

5.3 Integration with Eternal Sun Hypothesis

Incorporating this spiritual cosmology into the Eternal Sun model leads to several reinterpretations:

- The Sun is not aging or evolving but participating in a closed radiation loop.
- Solar radiation emitted in one cycle returns in the next, sustaining the corona without fusion.
- Neutrino deficiencies and coronal anomalies are artifacts of misinterpreted time-asymmetric models.

Thus, the cosmological structure becomes not just closed spatially, but also temporally, supporting a fully recycling solar system.

5.4 Metaphysical Symmetry and Cosmic Reset

The concept of a perfect reset aligns with spiritual myths, such as the “wheel of time” (*Kalachakra*). The cosmology presumes a symmetrical recurrence:

$$\forall t \in [0, T_{\text{cycle}}], \exists t' = t + nT_{\text{cycle}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (29)$$

where physical and metaphysical variables recur identically. This periodicity may provide a new kind of boundary condition for cosmological models—one not of expansion or collapse, but of structural isomorphism.

5.5 Scientific and Spiritual Synthesis

Rather than treating spiritual and scientific cosmologies as incompatible, this integrated framework advocates a dialectic approach:

$$\text{Truth}_{\text{cosmic}} = \text{Truth}_{\text{scientific}} \cup \text{Truth}_{\text{spiritual}} \quad (30)$$

In this sense, a spiritual cosmology may serve as a guide to question entrenched assumptions in standard models and offer heuristics for new physical theories.

6 Proposed Thermodynamic Model for Energy Recycling in the Corona

The corona’s extreme temperatures challenge conventional energy transport mechanisms rooted in internal nuclear fusion. In this section, we propose a thermodynamic model where energy is externally recycled through closed-loop radiation, consistent with the Eternal Sun hypothesis and a curved space-time geometry.

6.1 Assumptions and Energy Conservation

Let $F_{\text{out}}(t)$ be the energy flux radiated from the solar surface and $F_{\text{return}}(t)$ the flux returned via space-time curvature. We assume steady-state equilibrium:

$$F_{\text{net}} = F_{\text{out}}(t) - F_{\text{return}}(t) = 0 \quad (31)$$

Under this assumption, no net energy escapes; instead, it circulates through the system. The total energy balance over time Δt for a surface element is:

$$\Delta E = \int_t^{t+\Delta t} (F_{\text{return}} - F_{\text{loss}}) dt \quad (32)$$

6.2 Corona as an Energy Reservoir

We model the corona as a thin shell with volume V_c and mass $M_c = \rho_c V_c$. The internal energy U is given by:

$$U = \frac{3}{2} n k_B T \quad (33)$$

where:

- n is the particle number density,
- k_B is Boltzmann constant,
- T is temperature of the corona.

If incoming energy equals radiative loss, the temperature remains stable:

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{3}{2} k_B \frac{dnT}{dt} = 0 \quad (34)$$

6.3 Modified Stefan-Boltzmann Equilibrium

Let us equate the returning radiation to the Stefan-Boltzmann radiation from the corona:

$$F_{\text{return}} = \sigma T_c^4 \quad (35)$$

Solving for temperature:

$$T_c = \left(\frac{F_{\text{return}}}{\sigma} \right)^{1/4} \quad (36)$$

This equation explains why the corona, as a radiation-absorbing shell, can sustain high temperatures independent of core fusion. By adjusting F_{return} , the observed $T_c \sim 1.5 \times 10^6$ K can be achieved.

6.4 Entropy and Non-Dissipative Radiation Loops

Assuming radiation loops are coherent and non-dissipative, the entropy S of the system does not increase:

$$\Delta S = \oint \frac{dQ}{T} = 0 \quad (37)$$

This challenges classical views of irreversible radiation loss and supports a stable, closed thermodynamic cycle.

6.5 Implications for Plasma Confinement and Structure

The high thermal energy in the corona suggests plasma confinement, potentially enhanced by magnetic fields. The plasma beta parameter:

$$\beta = \frac{2\mu_0 n k_B T}{B^2} \quad (38)$$

indicates the balance between thermal pressure and magnetic confinement. For $\beta \sim 1$, magnetic fields can help contain the recycled energy, maintaining coronal structure.

7 Proposed Thermodynamic Model for Energy Recycling in the Corona

The corona's extreme temperatures challenge conventional energy transport mechanisms rooted in internal nuclear fusion. In this section, we propose a thermodynamic model where energy is externally recycled through closed-loop radiation, consistent with the Eternal Sun hypothesis and a curved space-time geometry.

7.1 Assumptions and Energy Conservation

Let $F_{\text{out}}(t)$ be the energy flux radiated from the solar surface and $F_{\text{return}}(t)$ the flux returned via space-time curvature. We assume steady-state equilibrium:

$$F_{\text{net}} = F_{\text{out}}(t) - F_{\text{return}}(t) = 0 \quad (39)$$

Under this assumption, no net energy escapes; instead, it circulates through the system. The total energy balance over time Δt for a surface element is:

$$\Delta E = \int_t^{t+\Delta t} (F_{\text{return}} - F_{\text{loss}}) dt \quad (40)$$

7.2 Corona as an Energy Reservoir

We model the corona as a thin shell with volume V_c and mass $M_c = \rho_c V_c$. The internal energy U is given by:

$$U = \frac{3}{2} n k_B T \quad (41)$$

where:

- n is the particle number density,
- k_B is Boltzmann constant,
- T is temperature of the corona.

If incoming energy equals radiative loss, the temperature remains stable:

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{3}{2} k_B \frac{dnT}{dt} = 0 \quad (42)$$

7.3 Modified Stefan-Boltzmann Equilibrium

Let us equate the returning radiation to the Stefan-Boltzmann radiation from the corona:

$$F_{\text{return}} = \sigma T_c^4 \quad (43)$$

Solving for temperature:

$$T_c = \left(\frac{F_{\text{return}}}{\sigma} \right)^{1/4} \quad (44)$$

This equation explains why the corona, as a radiation-absorbing shell, can sustain high temperatures independent of core fusion. By adjusting F_{return} , the observed $T_c \sim 1.5 \times 10^6$ K can be achieved.

7.4 Entropy and Non-Dissipative Radiation Loops

Assuming radiation loops are coherent and non-dissipative, the entropy S of the system does not increase:

$$\Delta S = \oint \frac{dQ}{T} = 0 \quad (45)$$

This challenges classical views of irreversible radiation loss and supports a stable, closed thermodynamic cycle.

7.5 Implications for Plasma Confinement and Structure

The high thermal energy in the corona suggests plasma confinement, potentially enhanced by magnetic fields. The plasma beta parameter:

$$\beta = \frac{2\mu_0 n k_B T}{B^2} \quad (46)$$

indicates the balance between thermal pressure and magnetic confinement. For $\beta \sim 1$, magnetic fields can help contain the recycled energy, maintaining coronal structure.

M. J. Aschwanden, *Physics of the Solar Corona: An Introduction*, Springer, 2005.

G. L. Withbroe, “The mass and energy flow in the solar chromosphere and corona,” *Solar Physics*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 361–388, 1977.

E. R. Priest and T. G. Forbes, *Magnetic Reconnection: MHD Theory and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.

8 Segal’s $S^3 \times S^1$ Cosmology and Its Integration with the Eternal Sun Model

In his seminal monograph *Mathematical Cosmology and Extragalactic Astronomy* [15], Professor I. E. Segal of MIT proposed a topologically nontrivial model of the universe with global structure $S^3 \times S^1$. Here, S^3 denotes a closed, positively curved spatial geometry, while S^1 represents a cyclic topology of time.

8.1 Mathematical Structure of the Universe

The product space $S^3 \times S^1$ defines the universe as:

$$\mathcal{M} = S^3_{\text{space}} \times S^1_{\text{time}} \quad (47)$$

where:

- S^3 : Compact 3-sphere, representing a spatially finite but unbounded cosmos.
- S^1 : Compactified time, implying a periodic universe with no true beginning or end.

Time periodicity introduces the condition:

$$t \equiv t + T_{\text{cycle}}, \quad \text{with } T_{\text{cycle}} \in R^+ \quad (48)$$

This structure naturally supports cyclic cosmologies where all physical and geometric variables return to their initial values after each period.

8.2 Conformal Geometry and Redshift Reinterpretation

Segal's framework employs conformal geometry, wherein the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is defined up to a scaling factor $\Omega^2(x)$:

$$g'_{\mu\nu}(x) = \Omega^2(x)g_{\mu\nu}(x) \quad (49)$$

This allows for redshift to arise not due to metric expansion, but from the conformal structure of space-time itself. In this view, the redshift z of light emitted at time t_e and observed at t_o is given by:

$$1 + z = \frac{\Omega(t_o)}{\Omega(t_e)} \quad (50)$$

avoiding the need for cosmic inflation or a singular origin.

8.3 Application to Eternal Sun Energy Recycling

The Eternal Sun model posits that radiation emitted by the Sun returns via a curved space-time path. In a universe with $S^3 \times S^1$ topology:

- The spatial closure (S^3) ensures that emitted radiation can return to its origin.
- The temporal closure (S^1) allows periodic recurrence of solar conditions.

The time it takes for light to complete a loop around S^3 at speed c is:

$$T_{\text{return}} = \frac{2\pi R}{c} \quad (51)$$

If we identify $T_{\text{return}} = T_{\text{cycle}}$, then returning radiation from previous cycles continuously sustains coronal heating, obviating the need for internal fusion.

8.4 Entropy and Non-Singularity

Segal's universe is non-singular, avoiding infinite densities and allowing entropy to remain bounded over cycles:

$$\Delta S_{\text{total}} = 0 \quad (\text{per cycle}) \quad (52)$$

This matches the spiritual model of Brahma Kumaris and the thermodynamic conditions proposed in the Eternal Sun framework.

8.5 Implications for Unified Cosmology

Segal's model offers a mathematically rigorous basis for the Eternal Sun hypothesis:

- Supports recycling of energy via closed space-time.
- Justifies periodic thermodynamic and cosmological behavior.

- Provides conformal redshift alternative to metric expansion.

It bridges rigorous relativistic geometry with cyclic, non-singular cosmological philosophies.

9 EPR Causality, Closed Timelike Curves, and Implications for the Eternal Sun Hypothesis

The paradox of quantum non-locality—exemplified by the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) thought experiment and confirmed by violations of Bell’s inequalities—has challenged classical notions of causality. In the standard Minkowski space-time, events separated by a space-like interval are causally disconnected. However, in space-times with Closed Timelike Curves (CTCs), such as the Gödel universe or Segal’s compactified $S^3 \times S^1$ manifold, this restriction is relaxed.

9.1 Causal Equivalence in Gödel Space-Time

In the Gödel space-time $G_{3,1}$, every pair of points can be connected via a CTC. As shown in [16], the causal relation C defined by a chain of overlapping future light cones becomes:

$$xCy \iff \exists p_1, \dots, p_n : p_1 \in V_x^+, \dots, y \in V_{p_n}^+ \quad (53)$$

Transitivity, reflexivity, and symmetry hold for this relation, making C an equivalence relation. This allows spacelike-separated events, such as measurements in an EPR experiment, to be causally related in a physically meaningful way.

9.2 Causality in $S^3 \times S^1$ Spacetime

A similar causal structure exists in Segal’s compact universe $M_{3,1} = S^3 \times S^1$. For points $x, y \in S^3$, their light cones can intersect such that:

$$\exists z \in V_x^+ \cap V_y^+ \Rightarrow xCy, \quad yCx \quad (54)$$

When future and past light cones are identified:

$$V_x^+ = V_x^-, \quad V_y^+ = V_y^- \quad (55)$$

causality becomes symmetric. The finite time loop S^1 ensures all wave fronts (e.g., advanced and retarded) eventually overlap again, enabling signal recurrence.

9.3 Implications for the Eternal Sun Hypothesis

In both $G_{3,1}$ and $S^3 \times S^1$, radiation emitted from the Sun can return to its origin without violating causality:

- Energy loops back via space-time curvature.

- Coronal heating can be sustained without internal fusion.
- Causal linkage of temporally distant events permits thermodynamic closure.

This supports a recycling-based solar model that remains consistent with quantum observations, general relativity, and thermodynamics.

9.4 Redshift Without Expansion

Segal's model also provides a redshift formula:

$$z = \tan^2 \left(\frac{\tau}{2} \right) \quad (56)$$

where $\tau \in S^1$ is compactified time. Unlike the FLRW redshift from metric expansion, this emerges from conformal time geometry, offering an alternative explanation compatible with eternal recurrence.

10 Collision Zone in the Corona: Energetics, Ionization, and Spectral Signatures from Counterstreaming Solar Wind

This section explores the theoretical consequences of a unique interaction scenario in the solar corona where incoming (advanced) and outgoing (retarded) solar wind fluxes intersect. Such a collision zone can be modeled as a high-energy region where particle collisions result in enhanced ionization, extreme temperatures, and specific spectral emissions.

10.1 Kinetic Energy of Solar Wind Particles

For a particle of mass m moving with speed v , the kinetic energy is:

$$E_k = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \quad (57)$$

Assuming two solar wind streams each moving at $v = 500 \text{ km/s}$, the relative collision velocity is:

$$v_{\text{rel}} = 1000 \text{ km/s} = 1 \times 10^6 \text{ m/s} \quad (58)$$

For protons:

$$m_p = 1.67 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg} \Rightarrow E_k = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1.67 \times 10^{-27} \cdot (10^6)^2 = 8.35 \times 10^{-16} \text{ J}$$

Converting to electronvolts:

$$1 \text{ eV} = 1.602 \times 10^{-19} \text{ J} \Rightarrow E_k \approx 5.2 \text{ keV}$$

For alpha particles (He^{2+} , with $m_\alpha = 4m_p$):

$$E_k \approx 4 \times 5.2 \text{ keV} = 20.8 \text{ keV}$$

10.2 Equivalent Temperature from Collisions

Using the kinetic theory relation:

$$E_k = \frac{3}{2}k_B T \Rightarrow T = \frac{2E_k}{3k_B} \quad (59)$$

For protons with $E_k = 5.2 \text{ keV}$ and $k_B = 8.617 \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV/K}$:

$$T = \frac{2 \cdot 5200}{3 \cdot 8.617 \times 10^{-5}} \approx 4.0 \times 10^7 \text{ K}$$

Hence, the collision zone may reach temperatures around 40 million K.

10.3 Ionization States Expected

At $T \sim 4 \times 10^7 \text{ K}$, we expect full ionization of:

- **Hydrogen:** fully ionized
- **Helium:** fully ionized (He^{2+})
- **Oxygen:** up to O^{8+}
- **Carbon:** C^{6+}
- **Iron:** Fe^{9+} to Fe^{16+}

These charge states are consistent with soft X-ray and EUV spectral lines observed in the solar corona [5].

10.4 Plasma and Radiative Effects

Plasma turbulence, two-stream instabilities, and shock-like structures may emerge in the collision region. These lead to:

- Enhanced **bremsstrahlung** (free-free) radiation
- Emission in spectral lines like Fe XII–XIV, Mg XI, and Si XII [11]
- Formation of small-scale magnetic reconnection sites [12]

10.5 Summary

- **Relative wind collision velocity:** $\sim 1000 \text{ km/s}$
- **Proton kinetic energy:** $\sim 5.2 \text{ keV}$
- **Alpha particle energy:** $\sim 20.8 \text{ keV}$
- **Equivalent temperature:** $\sim 40 \times 10^6 \text{ K}$

- **Ionization states:** Fully ionized H, He; O^{8+} , C^{6+} , Fe^{9+} – Fe^{16+}
- **Emission spectrum:** Soft X-rays, EUV (Fe IX–XIV, Mg XI, Si XII)
- **Plasma effects:** Shocks, instabilities, turbulence, local reconnection

This high-energy interaction scenario, though speculative under classical models, offers a compelling mechanism for extreme coronal temperatures and complex ionization states under the Eternal Sun hypothesis, particularly in the context of returning radiation in closed spacetime.

11 Evaluating Solar Models with Ockham’s Razor: Fusion vs. Eternal Recurrence

Ockham’s Razor recommends choosing the simpler of two models when both adequately explain observed phenomena. Here, we compare the Standard Solar Model (SSM), based on internal nuclear fusion, with the Eternal Sun Model (ESM), which proposes radiation recycling through closed spacetime geometry such as Gödel or Segal’s $S^3 \times S^1$ universe.

Comparison of Assumptions and Explanatory Burdens

1. **Energy Source:** The SSM requires hydrogen fusion at the Sun’s core sustained by immense gravitational pressure, whereas the ESM relies on the return of emitted radiation via closed light loops in curved spacetime.
2. **Solar Neutrino Deficit:** SSM needs supplementary hypotheses such as neutrino oscillation to reconcile theoretical predictions with experimental observations. ESM bypasses this by assuming no fusion, and therefore, a naturally lower neutrino flux.
3. **Coronal Temperature:** The anomalously high coronal temperatures in the SSM require complex mechanisms like magnetic reconnection and Alfvén wave heating. ESM accounts for this with recycled radiation concentrating energy at the corona.
4. **Cosmic Redshift:** In the SSM, redshift is explained by space expansion and demands assumptions such as inflation. In ESM, redshift emerges geometrically from a compactified time structure (S^1).
5. **Temporal Dynamics:** SSM predicts a finite solar lifespan (around 10 billion years), while ESM operates within an infinitely cyclic temporal model.
6. **Entropy Evolution:** The SSM implies entropy increase, requiring a low-entropy Big Bang. ESM allows for entropy-neutral cycles with $\Delta S = 0$ due to radiation recurrence.
7. **Quantum Nonlocality Compatibility:** ESM aligns better with causal equivalence required in EPR-type correlations, as CTCs allow space-like separated events to remain

causally linked.

8. **Mathematical Framework:** SSM builds upon FLRW models and relies on inflation, dark energy, and dark matter. ESM draws on simpler global topologies like Gödel or Segal universes, using geometric unification.

9. **Empirical Support:** SSM has stronger laboratory validation (e.g., controlled fusion reactions, neutrino detectors). ESM currently relies more on astrophysical inference and theoretical consistency.

Evaluation via Ockham’s Razor

1. **Fewer Assumptions:** The Eternal Sun Model emerges as simpler, omitting the need for neutrino oscillation, dark matter, or inflation.

2. **Simplicity of Explanation:** ESM offers unified geometric explanations for several solar anomalies, while SSM needs distinct and complex add-ons.

3. **Experimental Validation:** The Standard Model still leads in empirical support, being grounded in laboratory-confirmed processes and particle detections.

4. **Philosophical Coherence:** ESM harmonizes with metaphysical cosmologies like those of Brahma Kumaris, offering a non-singular, cyclic model.

5. **Mathematical Elegance:** Segal’s and Gödel’s models underlying ESM use symmetric and closed manifolds, providing intrinsic coherence and elegance.

Conclusion

Ockham’s Razor highlights the Eternal Sun Model as the more parsimonious framework, especially in its ability to explain diverse solar and cosmological phenomena with fewer assumptions. Nonetheless, the Standard Solar Model remains dominant in terms of empirical backing. A synthesis of the two approaches—melding ESM’s explanatory power with SSM’s experimental strength—could be a fruitful path forward.

12 Eternal Sun Model

We propose an alternative model rooted in closed space-time geometry. In a cyclic universe with a repetition period of N years, radiation emitted from the Sun could theoretically loop back to its origin after N light-years of travel. Such returning fluxes would primarily affect the solar corona, explaining its higher temperature and reducing the need for internal fusion.

This model implies that:

- The solar surface is shielded by high-energy returning radiation.

- The energy distribution in the Sun aligns with equilibrium conditions.
- The absence of fusion eliminates the expectation of high neutrino flux.

13 Spiritual Integration and Metaphysical Perspective

The proposed model aligns with Brahma Kumaris cosmology, which views time as cyclic and the universe as a stage for periodic divine intervention. Analogous to the mythological "churning of the ocean," this approach suggests that collaboration between science and spirituality could yield new paradigms in physics.

14 Final Conclusion: Toward a Cyclic, Causally Connected Solar Paradigm

This work has critically examined foundational assumptions in solar physics and cosmology by applying theoretical physics, experimental anomalies, metaphysical models, and philosophical reasoning. The result is a novel and integrative hypothesis—the **Eternal Sun Model**—which challenges the prevailing fusion-based interpretation of solar energy.

We have argued that:

- The **solar neutrino deficit** and **coronal heating paradox** reveal cracks in the standard solar model.
- The **Gödel and Segal space-time topologies** permit closed timelike curves and cyclic causal structures, offering a geometric mechanism for radiation recycling.
- **Quantum nonlocality** and the **EPR paradox** find natural explanations within cyclic space-times, bypassing conventional paradoxes of causality.
- The **redshift of distant galaxies** can be reinterpreted via compact time topologies, eliminating the need for inflation or metric expansion.
- The **Brahma Kumaris cosmology**—with its eternal, recurring cosmic cycle—resonates deeply with the structure of a spacetime described by $S^3 \times S^1$, forming a bridge between metaphysics and physics.

This unified model offers:

- **Thermodynamic closure:** The solar corona remains hot through external radiation return rather than internal nuclear generation.
- **Causal completeness:** Events separated in time or space may remain causally linked in curved geometries.
- **Philosophical coherence:** The model aligns with ancient cyclic views of time and removes the need for cosmological singularities.

Ockham’s Razor favors the Eternal Sun model for its conceptual economy and explanatory breadth, though the standard model retains empirical weight via fusion experiments and neutrino detection. A synthesis of both models may be the next step—merging geometrical metaphysics with empirical rigor.

Ultimately, the Eternal Sun hypothesis invites us to rethink the universe not as a thermodynamic accident destined for heat death, but as a cyclic, self-sustaining, and causally closed system—one that echoes through spiritual traditions and scientific innovation alike.

References

- [1] J. N. Bahcall, “Solar models: An overview,” *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, vol. 39, pp. 1–33, 2001.
- [2] R. Davis Jr., “A review of the Homestake solar neutrino experiment,” *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics*, vol. 32, pp. 13–32, 1994.
- [3] B. T. Cleveland et al., “Measurement of the solar electron neutrino flux with the Homestake chlorine detector,” *The Astrophysical Journal*, vol. 496, no. 1, pp. 505–526, 1998.
- [4] Y. Fukuda et al., “Evidence for oscillation of atmospheric neutrinos,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 81, no. 8, pp. 1562–1567, 1998.
- [5] M. J. Aschwanden, *Physics of the Solar Corona: An Introduction*, Springer, 2005.
- [6] G. L. Withbroe, “The mass and energy flow in the solar chromosphere and corona,” *Solar Physics*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 361–388, 1977.
- [7] Brahma Kumaris World Spiritual University, *The Cycle of Time: A Spiritual Perspective*, Mount Abu, India, 2003.
- [8] K. Gödel, “An example of a new type of cosmological solutions of Einstein’s field equations of gravitation,” *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 447–450, 1949.
- [9] R. Davis Jr., “A review of the Homestake solar neutrino experiment,” *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics*, vol. 32, pp. 13–32, 1994.
- [10] Y. Fukuda et al., “Evidence for oscillation of atmospheric neutrinos,” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 81, no. 8, pp. 1562–1567, 1998.
- [11] G. L. Withbroe, “The mass and energy flow in the solar chromosphere and corona,” *Solar Physics*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 361–388, 1977.
- [12] E. R. Priest and T. G. Forbes, *Magnetic Reconnection: MHD Theory and Applications*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [13] S. W. Hawking, “Chronology protection conjecture,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 603–611, 1992.

- [14] G. F. R. Ellis and R. Maartens, “The emergent universe: inflationary cosmology with no singularity,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, vol. 21, pp. 223–232, 2004.
- [15] I. E. Segal, *Mathematical Cosmology and Extragalactic Astronomy*, Academic Press, 1976.
- [16] M. S. Modgil, “The Gödel Universe and the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen Paradox,” arXiv:1604.0364 [gr-qc], (2016).
- [17] J. S. Bell, “On the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen paradox,” *Physics*, vol. 1, pp. 195–200, 1964.