The Layered Causality Hypothesis

A Relativistic Reinterpretation of Dark Matter

By Mark Rehl

Prepared for public archive May 19, 2025

Abstract

The Layered Causality Hypothesis proposes that our universe is structured in overlapping relativistic domains (R-levels), each defined by its own causal coherence and relativistic frame of origin. Within this framework, the Jonesian Threshold marks the boundary beyond which quantum coherence and mutual interaction fail. This has profound implications for the interpretation of dark matter, which may be redefined not as undiscovered particles but as causally disconnected mass—ghost matter—residing in higher R-levels. Although unreachable through electromagnetic or quantum interaction, this matter remains gravitationally active, curving spacetime and influencing cosmic structure. The hypothesis reconciles longstanding anomalies in galactic dynamics and lensing behavior, provides a novel explanation for the limits of entanglement and coherence, and suggests testable predictions through gravitational and quantum signatures. It unifies observed gravitational effects with a layered model of causality, offering a new path forward in theoretical cosmology.

Section 1: Introduction

The Layered Causality Hypothesis (LCH) introduces a new framework for interpreting physical interactions between systems not merely as functions of spacetime coordinates, but as consequences of shared or broken causal coherence[1]. In doing so, it proposes a foundational rethinking of presence, interaction, and observability in physics.

Conventional models of physical interaction assume that spatial and temporal proximity are sufficient for causal interaction, and that all systems embedded within the same spacetime can, in principle, influence one another. However, anomalies in cosmology and quantum theory—including dark matter distributions, gravitational lensing in empty space, and quantum decoherence in accelerated frames—suggest that this assumption may be incomplete.

When systems reside in relativistically disconnected domains—separated by the Jonesian Threshold2—quantum coherence[3] fails to persist. Yet gravity, as a manifestation of spacetime itself, may still act across this boundary.

The Layered Causality Hypothesis incorporates core principles from special relativity, quantum mechanics, and general relativity, but recombines them in a novel hierarchy. It suggests that the universe consists of overlapping but partially disjointed relativistic domains, or R-level[4]s, within which full quantum interaction is possible—but across which only gravitational influence survives.

The sections that follow introduce the formal definition of the Jonesian Threshold, mathematical boundaries for coherence and causal connection, reinterpretations of gravity's role, and observational implications. Together, they construct a framework in which certain unexplained phenomena are reinterpreted as natural consequences of layered causal structure rather than missing particles or modified gravity.

Footnotes

1. A condition under which systems share simultaneity and can maintain quantum phase relationships.

2. A relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to a loss of simultaneity between systems. Each domain has its own effective speed of light (c).

3. The ability of a quantum system to maintain entangled or phase-consistent states over time and space.

4. A relativistic domain with internal causal coherence. R1 is our frame; R2, R3, etc., represent causally disconnected layers.

Section 2.1: The Jonesian Threshold

As is well known and accepted, acceleration beyond the speed of light is forbidden. Nevertheless, it is also known that there are regions in our universe that are separated by multiples of c, such as galaxies receding from one another due to the metric expansion of space. These are the only clearly observed examples of relativistic disconnection to date. However, the Layered Causality Hypothesis proposes that this is not the only possible arrangement. Causally disconnected regions may also exist in the same spacetime, or in separate spacetimes that coexist together. In such cases, these domains remain invisible to quantum and electromagnetic forces, with gravity serving as the only detectable bridge. The first evidence of such layered domains may already have been observed in the form of dark matter.

These systems cannot be brought into mutual interaction through conventional quantum means—not because they are merely distant in space, but because they lack a shared causal structure. The coherence that allows quantum forces such as electromagnetism and the strong and weak nuclear interactions to operate fails across this threshold. What remains effective is gravity, which is not mediated by quantum particles, but rather emerges from the geometric structure of spacetime itself.

This makes the Jonesian Threshold a dual boundary: both a relativistic separation in velocity-space and a conceptual division between quantum interactive domains. Within the same relativistic layer, systems may maintain entanglement, obey Pauli exclusion[2], and transmit force via gauge bosons. But across the threshold, only geometric effects—most notably gravitation—continue to exert measurable influence.

The Jonesian Threshold, as a boundary of causal disconnection, may not be singular or uniform throughout the universe. There may be many such thresholds, each tied to the origins, histories, or frame structures of particular objects or regions. These thresholds could vary across different relativistic domains or spacetime manifolds, forming a web of layered coherence and disconnection throughout the cosmos.

Footnotes

1. A relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to a loss of simultaneity between systems. Each domain has its own effective speed of light (c).

2. The principle stating that no two identical fermions may occupy the same quantum state simultaneously.

Section 2.2: Coherence and Causality

In conventional physics, coherence refers to the ability of two systems to maintain a stable phase relationship, typically within a quantum or wave-based context. Causality, by contrast, is the principle that cause precedes effect within the light-cone structure of spacetime. These two concepts are traditionally treated as distinct: coherence is local and fragile; causality is global and fundamental. The Layered Causality Hypothesis proposes that they are not independent at all, but intrinsically linked. The ability of systems to interact at any scale depends on their inclusion within a shared causal domain.

When two systems originate from divergent relativistic frames—those beyond the Jonesian Threshold[1]—they lose simultaneity. Without simultaneity, they cannot maintain quantum phase coherence. As a result, their capacity to participate in each other's physical evolution degrades, even if spatially proximate. This loss is not a mere delay or attenuation of signal; it is a structural breakdown of temporal overlap. These systems can no longer define a mutually meaningful 'now.'

In this view, coherence is not confined to lab-scale entanglement experiments but represents a foundational condition for interaction across all domains. Likewise, causality is redefined—not simply as the transmission of influence below light speed, but as the structural condition of mutual inclusion in a coherent relativistic frame.

The breakdown of coherence across the Jonesian Threshold can be described by the inequality:

$$\Delta x < (c^2 \tau\_J) / (\gamma v)$$

Where Δx is the spatial separation, τ_J is the Jonesian temporal threshold, γ is the Lorentz factor, v is the relative velocity, and c is the speed of light. When this condition is violated, quantum coherence[2]—and therefore causal interaction—fails.

Thus, the Jonesian Threshold represents a deeper division than mere relativistic separation: it is the joint boundary where coherence and causality dissolve together, leaving only geometric effects—primarily gravity—as potential bridges between otherwise disjoint systems.

Footnotes

1. A relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to a loss of simultaneity between systems. Each domain has its own effective speed of light (c).

2. The ability of a quantum system to maintain entangled or phase-consistent states over time and space.

Section 2.3: Breakdown of Pauli Exclusion Across Frames

The Pauli exclusion[2] principle states that no two identical fermions can occupy the same quantum state within the same quantum system. This rule underpins atomic structure, molecular bonding, and the behavior of degenerate matter. Its enforcement depends on the assumption that both particles share a common quantum phase space, including coherent definitions of position and time. The Layered Causality Hypothesis proposes that this coherence fails at relativistic limits—specifically across the Jonesian Threshold1.

In special relativity, simultaneity is frame-dependent. Two events that appear simultaneous in one inertial frame may be separated in another. This is captured by the Lorentz time transformation:

$t' = \gamma (t - vx / c^2)$

Where:
- t' is the time in the moving frame
- t is the time in the rest frame
- v is the relative velocity between frames
- x is the position of the event in the rest frame
- c is the speed of light
- γ is the Lorentz factor: $\gamma = 1 / \sqrt{(1 - v^2 / c^2)}$

As v approaches c, γ increases rapidly, and the disagreement between time coordinates across frames—$\Delta t'$—can become extremely large, even when Δx is small. Thus, two fermions that appear to coexist in the same location and moment in one frame may appear temporally offset in another.

If the time separation $\Delta t'$ exceeds a fundamental threshold—possibly on the order of the Planck time τ_P—then the quantum system can no longer enforce exclusion between them. From the perspective of one frame, the particles are no longer temporally coherent and therefore not mutually identifiable under Pauli constraints.

Breakdown condition (approximate):

$\Delta t' > \tau\_P$

This condition marks the onset of frame-relative decoherence and identity loss. It introduces a fundamental boundary beyond which exclusion cannot be upheld, segmenting the universe into interaction domains governed by shared relativistic origin. This forms the operational basis of the Jonesian Threshold.

Footnotes

1. A relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to a loss of simultaneity between systems. Each domain has its own effective speed of light (c).

2. The principle stating that no two identical fermions may occupy the same quantum state simultaneously.

Section 2.4: R-Level Domains and Causal Disconnection

In the Layered Causality Hypothesis, R-level[1]s are conceptual groupings used to categorize regions of spacetime according to their relativistic frame origins and coherence conditions. R1 represents the domain from which the observer's own frame originates—typically defined by mutual light-speed limitation and coherence among all locally interacting systems. Higher R-levels (R2, R3, etc.) represent frames or domains whose motion relative to R1 exceeds the speed of light, resulting in causal disconnection as defined by special relativity.

Though initially conceived as discrete domains, R-levels may reflect a continuous spectrum of relativistic origins. If R-realms are continuous, then a system's historical acceleration profile or origin frame may determine its placement along that spectrum. However, if R-levels instead represent distinct regions of spacetime—or separate but coexisting spacetimes—the idea of history becomes less central. In that view, matter and phenomena from different realms will never truly occupy the same inertial frame, because one relativistic domain 'butts up against' the next. The only meaningful historical attribute would be the frame into which an object was 'born' or the domain it was 'dragged into' through interaction with a higher-R realm.

Causal disconnection may not require present separation or differential motion. One possible mechanism involves two systems that share an inertial frame in the present but originate from incompatible causal histories. One may have undergone relativistic acceleration, while the other remained inertial. Without a shared frame origin, simultaneity fails and quantum coherence[2] may not be maintained—producing Jonesian disconnection despite apparent proximity. This is one potential scenario. Another involves cosmic expansion: a galaxy once visible from our frame may now be causally disconnected, not due to acceleration in the classical sense, but because of metric expansion that places it in a relativistic domain incompatible with ours. These possibilities remain open to theoretical refinement, and future mathematical treatments may favor one interpretation over another.

In this framework, interaction depends not only on spatial overlap but on preservation of causal and quantum identity. Matter from an incompatible R-level is not merely invisible—it is categorically disconnected. It may share our region of spacetime and even be gravitationally bound to local matter, yet differences in relativistic frame origin may manifest in unexpected ways. For instance, one object may appear to orbit another at a velocity exceeding the speed of light when viewed from the other's frame, or the higher-R object may induce anomalous acceleration in the lower-R system. This effect could even contribute to the observed acceleration of the universe itself.

It may ultimately be inappropriate to describe such domains as existing 'in the same space.' What we conventionally call spacetime may instead be a projection of deeper gravitational geometry—one in which multiple distinct spacetimes coexist. These coexisting realms may interact gravitationally while remaining causally disjoint in all other respects.

Footnotes

1. A relativistic domain with internal causal coherence. R1 is our frame; R2, R3, etc., represent causally disconnected layers.

2. The ability of a quantum system to maintain entangled or phase-consistent states over time and space.

Section 3.1: Observation of Superluminal Galaxies

One of the most compelling observational facts in modern cosmology is that many galaxies are receding from us at velocities exceeding the speed of light. This does not violate special relativity, as the recession is not due to motion through space, but rather to the expansion of space itself—a feature permitted by general relativity through the dynamic metric of spacetime. As space stretches, distant galaxies appear to recede faster than c at sufficient redshift.

These galaxies are considered causally disconnected under conventional definitions. Light emitted from them may never reach us again, and we cannot send any signals that would influence their future state. Yet their gravitational presence remains nonetheless. Their mass contributes to cosmic structure formation and expansion dynamics, despite being beyond the reach of quantum or electromagnetic interaction.

This paradox—where causal communication is severed, but gravitational influence continues—highlights an asymmetry between gravity and other fundamental interactions. According to the Layered Causality Hypothesis, this is a signature feature of the Jonesian Threshold[1]. While quantum and gauge interactions fail across causal boundaries, gravity, as a geometric expression of spacetime itself, remains operative.

If gravity is not transmitted by force carriers within spacetime, but arises from the curvature of spacetime, it may not be limited by the same causal constraints. This provides an empirical foothold for the claim that gravity is exempt from the Jonesian Threshold and capable of bridging otherwise disconnected regions.

Thus, observations of superluminal galaxies support the hypothesis that causally disconnected mass continues to shape our reality. This framework allows for dark matter phenomena to be reinterpreted not as the signature of unknown particles, but as the gravitational influence of unreachable yet coherent mass located beyond our quantum horizon.

Footnotes

1. A relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to a loss of simultaneity between systems. Each domain has its own effective speed of light (c).

Section 3.2: Gravitational Influence Beyond Horizons

Gravitational influence is unique among physical interactions in its apparent ability to cross causal boundaries. According to special relativity, no signal or interaction can propagate faster than the speed of light. Yet gravitational effects from galaxies receding at superluminal velocities—due to the metric expansion of space—are still detectable within our observable universe. These effects contribute to the curvature of spacetime and the evolution of large-scale cosmic structure.

Within the Layered Causality Hypothesis, this gravitational persistence is interpreted as a key exception to the Jonesian Threshold[1]. Whereas quantum and electromagnetic forces require shared simultaneity and coherence across interacting systems, gravity operates without those constraints. It does not rely on quantum identity or signal transmission; instead, it emerges from the geometric structure of spacetime itself.

Because gravity is a property of curvature rather than a mediated force, it can act across relativistic domains—even between causally disconnected R-level[2]s. Matter situated in R2 or R3 may remain invisible to R1 observers through all quantum channels, yet still induce curvature in R1's spacetime. This curvature is not transmitted from one domain to another as a force, but instead manifests as a shared geometric distortion experienced by all occupants of the affected region.

This phenomenon—gravitational bleed-through—forms the theoretical basis for the Jonesian interpretation of dark matter: mass that cannot interact through standard forces, but that remains influential through spacetime curvature. Its presence suggests that gravity is not bounded by the same causal horizons that govern quantum coherence[3]. Instead, it underlies all domains, functioning as the common geometric substrate across the layered structure of the universe.

Footnotes

1. A relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to a loss of simultaneity between systems. Each domain has its own effective speed of light (c).

2. A relativistic domain with internal causal coherence. R1 is our frame; R2, R3, etc., represent causally disconnected layers.

3. The ability of a quantum system to maintain entangled or phase-consistent states over time and space.

Section 4.1: The Ghost Matter Hypothesis

If matter exists in higher R-level[2] domains—regions of spacetime causally disconnected from our own due to relativistic divergence—it would be invisible to all known quantum and electromagnetic detection methods. Such matter would be incapable of emitting or reflecting light, engaging in charge-based interactions, or participating in chemical or nuclear processes. From the perspective of R1 observers, it would be completely dark. Yet if this matter retains mass, and if gravity is not subject to the Jonesian Threshold1, then its presence would still be expressed gravitationally. [The Jonesian Threshold is a boundary in causal structure beyond which systems lose simultaneity and coherence, rendering quantum interaction ineffective.] [An R-level denotes a relativistic domain categorized by coherent causal structure; R1 is the observer's domain, while higher levels (R2, R3, etc.) represent causally disconnected frames.]

This is the essence of the Ghost Matter Hypothesis: the proposition that dark matter is not ordinary matter rendered invisible, nor a new exotic particle within R1, but instead matter residing in R2, R3, or higher domains. It is causally ghosted—disconnected from our quantum realm, yet still influential through gravitational curvature shared across domains.

This interpretation explains the defining properties of dark matter: its lack of electromagnetic signature, its failure to participate in nuclear interactions, and its apparent inability to engage in observable phase relationships with R1 matter. Yet it clumps in gravitational halos and binds galaxies together. Ghost matter is massive and present, but interaction-incoherent due to relativistic separation and the breakdown of quantum coherence[3] at the Jonesian Threshold. [Quantum coherence describes the preservation of phase relationships between quantum states; coherence is essential for phenomena such as entanglement.]

The hypothesis reframes the dark matter problem not as a question of missing particles, but of inaccessible domains. The missing mass is not missing—it is structurally unreachable through conventional channels of quantum interaction. Ghost matter provides a geometrically grounded account of this invisible but gravitationally active presence, uniting cosmological anomaly with causal theory.

Footnotes

1. A relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to a loss of simultaneity between systems. Each domain has its own effective speed of light (c).

2. A relativistic domain with internal causal coherence. R1 is our frame; R2, R3, etc., represent causally disconnected layers.

3. The ability of a quantum system to maintain entangled or phase-consistent states over time and space.

Section 4.2: Ghost Matter and the Dark Sector

In conventional physics, mass is associated not only with energy and inertia, but also with quantum identity. A particle's mass is embedded in its quantum numbers, its participation in force interactions, and its coherence within the field-theoretic framework of the Standard Model. Yet if a category of matter exists that has mass but cannot participate in quantum interaction—due to Jonesian disconnection—then we are dealing with a fundamentally different category: non-quantum mass.

Such mass would be indistinguishable from quantum-coherent matter in its gravitational effects. It would contribute equally to spacetime curvature, deform geodesics, and anchor large-scale structures. But it would evade all detection techniques based on quantum field theory. No scattering, no decay channels, no exchange bosons—no overlap with our causal lattice. In all senses except gravitational, it would appear absent.

ghost matter[2] may exhibit all familiar physical behavior: quantum interactions, chemical complexity, and internal consistency. Its invisibility to us arises not from intrinsic exoticism, but from the loss of synchronization with our quantum frame.

Jonesian Threshold[3]—continues to curve spacetime even as it fails to interact by quantum means. It is not invisible due to weakness, but due to fundamental disconnection. The field structure of our realm simply cannot register its quantum presence.

In this view, dark matter is reframed not as undiscovered particles, but as non-quantum mass: real, causally disconnected, and interaction-incoherent. It shapes galaxies, binds filaments, and sculpts the geometry of the universe—yet never touches a photon or a lepton. It is presence without participation.

This leads naturally to the consideration of R3 matter as a specific realization of ghost matter. Rather than being exotic or speculative, R3 matter may be ordinary matter that is causally displaced—inhabiting a relativistic domain distinct from our own.

The hypothesis that dark matter originates from higher R-level domains reframes our understanding of the dark sector. Rather than invoking undiscovered particles that obey known quantum laws but interact weakly, the Layered Causality Hypothesis proposes that dark matter consists of ordinary matter—ordinary within its own causal domain—that has become quantumly disconnected from ours.

R3 matter, for instance, may engage in full quantum behavior within its own relativistic frame: electromagnetic interaction, nuclear structure, and chemistry may all occur as expected. But to observers situated in R1, this matter is causally severed. Its quantum field does not align with ours; it cannot be entangled, cannot exchange photons, and cannot register through quantum probes. Yet its mass remains present in the shared geometry of spacetime, enabling it to exert gravitational influence.

This makes R3 matter a natural dark sector candidate—not because it is inherently exotic, but because it is displaced in causal history. Its disconnection stems not from composition but from relativistic separation. It exists, but it does not participate. It is nearby, but unreachable through any channel except curvature.

This framework avoids the need to invent new particles or hypothesize undetected forces. It demands only a reevaluation of what it means for matter to be 'present' in a quantum universe—and what follows when two systems, two fields, or two histories no longer share coherence. R3 matter is not strange; it is simply elsewhere. And that elsewhere may surround us, invisible yet undeniable in its gravitational imprint.

Having considered the nature of ghost matter and its causal displacement, we now turn to the only means by which it may be observed: its gravitational signature.

If ghost matter exists in causally disconnected realms, then its detection must rely on the only force capable of bridging such disconnection: gravity. While ghost matter is invisible to electromagnetic instruments and unresponsive to quantum probes, it still possesses mass, and that mass still curves spacetime. If the induced curvature is persistent or extreme enough, it becomes observable.

The most compelling evidence for ghost matter in existing models is the anomalous gravitational behavior of galaxies and galactic clusters. Spiral galaxies exhibit flat rotation curves, where stars at large radii orbit faster than can be explained by visible mass alone. Gravitational lensing, especially in apparent voids, reveals curvature inconsistent with luminous matter. The spatial distribution of this unseen mass corresponds to a diffuse, massive, but non-interacting component.

The Jonesian interpretation reframes this data. These are not failed detections of weakly interacting particles—they are successful observations of gravitational geometry shaped by mass that is causally unreachable. Ghost matter influences our domain not by force transmission, but by deforming the geometric fabric we share.

In this framework, gravitational effects are not secondary indicators. They are the only valid signatures of ghost matter's presence. Each distorted image, each unexplained orbital pattern, is a visible imprint left by a mass that exists beyond quantum reach. Ghost matter is not hidden; it is simply communicating in the one language that crosses the Jonesian divide: curvature.

Footnotes

1. An R-level denotes a relativistic domain categorized by coherent causal structure; R1 is the observer's domain, while higher levels (R2, R3, etc.) represent causally disconnected frames.

2. Ghost matter refers to mass located in a causally disconnected R-level. It influences gravity but does not participate in electromagnetic or quantum interaction.

3. The Jonesian Threshold is a relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to the loss of simultaneity between interacting systems.

Section 5.1: Gravitational Waves Across Thresholds

Gravitational waves are ripples in the curvature of spacetime caused by the acceleration of massive bodies. First predicted by Einstein and later confirmed by observation, they offer a unique window into astrophysical events that are otherwise invisible—such as the merger of black holes or neutron stars. Gravitational waves are not mediated by particles; they are geometric distortions, propagating through spacetime independently of quantum coherence[1].

In the context of the Layered Causality Hypothesis, this independence takes on profound significance. If gravity can cross the Jonesian Threshold[2], then gravitational waves may serve as messengers between causally disconnected realms. A massive event occurring in R3—a merger, collapse, or cataclysm—could generate ripples that are detectable in R1, even though no light or quantum signal from that event could reach us.

This possibility reframes the interpretation of certain gravitational wave signals. Anomalous frequency profiles, unexplained propagation delays, or signal asymmetries might not be noise—they might be echoes from ghost domains. In such cases, the detected wave would be a real curvature event, but its source would lie beyond the causal domain of standard quantum interaction.

Further research could involve targeted analysis of existing gravitational wave data sets. Cross-domain signals might exhibit unique temporal structures or directional patterns inconsistent with standard R1 events. Even one confirmed detection of a Jonesian-origin wave would provide direct evidence that geometry—not just particles—can traverse causal boundaries.

Footnotes

1. The ability of a quantum system to maintain entangled or phase-consistent states over time and space.

2. A relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to a loss of simultaneity between systems. Each domain has its own effective speed of light (c).

Section 5.2: Temporal Decoherence and Entanglement Collapse

Quantum entanglement is one of the most counterintuitive phenomena in physics, allowing two particles to share a unified state across arbitrary distances. Standard interpretations maintain that entanglement persists until decoherence occurs—typically through measurement or environmental interaction. However, these models assume a shared causal framework across both particles.

The Layered Causality Hypothesis introduces a new mechanism for decoherence: relativistic separation across the Jonesian Threshold1. When two entangled particles move into distinct R-level[2] domains—either by being carried away at relativistic speeds or by originating from divergent frame histories—they may lose their mutual simultaneity. Without a shared relativistic 'now,' phase coherence cannot be maintained.

In this view, entanglement collapse is not necessarily a result of physical disturbance, but of relativistic misalignment. Two particles can no longer exist in a unified state if they no longer share causal simultaneity. This could provide an experimentally observable threshold, beyond which entangled systems degrade, even in the absence of environmental noise.

If confirmed, this would have wide-ranging implications—not just for quantum mechanics, but for cosmology and the interpretation of measurement. It would suggest that coherence is not simply a local quantum condition, but a relativistic structural constraint. Entanglement would then be seen as a limited phenomenon: stable within R1, fragile at the edges, and ultimately bounded by the Jonesian structure of causal geometry.

Footnotes

1. A relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to a loss of simultaneity between systems. Each domain has its own effective speed of light (c).

2. A relativistic domain with internal causal coherence. R1 is our frame; R2, R3, etc., represent causally disconnected layers.

Section 5.3: Implications for FTL Concepts

Faster-than-light (FTL) travel is typically regarded as a speculative or forbidden domain in modern physics. According to special relativity, any object with mass requires infinite energy to reach the speed of light, and superluminal motion leads to causality violations, including the possibility of backward time travel. Yet these objections assume a unified spacetime with a single causal structure.

The Layered Causality Hypothesis reframes the problem. It proposes that the universe is not a single causal continuum, but a collection of overlapping relativistic domains—each defined by its own coherence structure and bounded by the Jonesian Threshold[1]. Under this model, what we perceive as 'superluminal' behavior may instead reflect transitions between these causally distinct layers.

If true, FTL travel would not be movement through space in the conventional sense. It would be a form of causal re-contextualization—transitioning from one domain to another with a different frame origin. From the perspective of the originating frame, this may appear superluminal or discontinuous. From the perspective of the new domain, it may appear entirely natural and subluminal.

This model avoids many paradoxes typically associated with FTL motion. Because each domain has its own coherence and simultaneity structure, transitions between them do not imply backward causation or signal duplication. They simply involve movement outside of the causal envelope of the originating domain.

Although such transitions remain speculative, the hypothesis reopens the conceptual door to nonlocal dynamics. It does so not by violating relativity, but by extending it—recognizing that relativistic limits apply within domains, not across their boundaries. In this sense, FTL may not be forbidden, but redefined.

Footnotes

1. A relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to a loss of simultaneity between systems. Each domain has its own effective speed of light (c).

Section 5.4: Potential Experimental Signatures

Any robust scientific hypothesis must eventually meet the test of observation. Although the Layered Causality Hypothesis proposes a structural framework that is largely invisible to direct quantum measurement, it nonetheless leads to several unique and potentially observable consequences. These can serve as signposts for identifying Jonesian boundaries and the influence of ghost matter[1].

One potential signature is gravitational wave asymmetry. If gravitational waves can cross Jonesian Thresholds[2], signals may arrive with distortions, delays, or profiles inconsistent with known R1 events. These anomalies could be detectable by current or near-future gravitational wave observatories, especially if correlated with directions where no corresponding electromagnetic signal exists.

Another testable domain is quantum entanglement. If entanglement degrades not only from environmental noise but from relativistic disconnection, then long-distance or high-velocity entangled systems may exhibit a novel decoherence curve—one that sharpens near the Jonesian boundary. This would require precision testing of entanglement integrity as a function of relativistic separation or motion history.

A third experimental avenue lies in gravitational lensing. If ghost matter resides in higher R-level[3]s and yet influences R1 geometry, its distribution may differ from that of conventional dark matter models. Lensing profiles that do not correspond to any visible or weakly interacting mass—especially if they exhibit nonrandom distribution patterns—could support the ghost matter interpretation.

Ultimately, experimental evidence will determine the fate of this hypothesis. Each of these domains—gravitational wave anomalies, entanglement decay, and lensing mismatches—offers a plausible and measurable path toward validating or falsifying the layered structure of causality.

Footnotes

1. Mass that is causally disconnected from our domain and therefore invisible to quantum interaction, but still produces gravitational curvature.

2. A relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to a loss of simultaneity between systems. Each domain has its own effective speed of light (c).

3. A relativistic domain with internal causal coherence. R1 is our frame; R2, R3, etc., represent causally disconnected layers.

6.1 Reframing Dark Matter as Ghost Matter

We propose that what has traditionally been labeled 'dark matter' is in fact a projection of mass-energy existing in causally disconnected relativistic layers—what we refer to as 'ghost matter.' These sources remain gravitationally influential despite residing beyond the Jonesian Threshold, where information and energy exchange are otherwise disallowed.

Equation (6.1):

$$M_{obs} = M_{vis} + M_{ghost}$$

Where:

- M_{obs} is the total inferred mass from gravitational effects,
- M_{vis} is the mass of visible (baryonic) matter,
- M_{ghost} is the gravitational contribution from causally disconnected regions.

Footnote 6.1: Traditional dark matter models posit undiscovered particles to account for M_{ghost}. We offer an alternative interpretation: M_{ghost} is ordinary baryonic matter situated in relativistically disjoint frames whose gravitational influence persists across causal boundaries.

6.2 Causality and Gravitational Influence

General relativity allows spacetime curvature to reflect nonlocal mass-energy distributions. This suggests that gravitational influence may not be strictly bound by causality in the same way as quantum forces.

Equation (6.2):

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = (8\pi G / c^4) T_{\mu\nu}$$

Footnote 6.2: The Einstein field equations[6] describe how the stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ shapes spacetime geometry $g_{\mu\nu}$. These relationships may extend beyond local causality if spacetime was once in causal contact or if its curvature allows for geometric continuation across layers.

6.3 Observable Consequences

The persistence of gravitational effects from ghost matter explains several cosmological observations:

- Flat galaxy rotation curves:
  Equation (6.3):
  $v(r) = \sqrt{G * M(r) / r}$
  Where $M(r)$ includes ghost matter contributions, leading to constant $v(r)$ at large radii.

Footnote 6.3: The presence of M_{ghost} explains the mass discrepancy without invoking particle dark matter. These ghost contributions may vary spatially and temporally depending on the degree of overlap across causal layers.

- Gravitational lensing in voids:
  Observed lensing effects from 'empty' space may result from ghost matter aligned along the line of sight.

This framework offers a coherent re-interpretation of dark matter phenomena as a direct consequence of the Layered Causality Hypothesis.

7.1 Mathematical Expansion and R-Space Formalism

While the Layered Causality Hypothesis introduces a conceptual framework for causal disconnection and gravitational persistence, its long-term utility depends on the development of a formal mathematical structure. One promising direction involves formalizing R-level1s as points in an extended relativistic configuration space—designated here as 'R-space'—which captures not only motion and location, but frame origin and causal coherence[2].

We define R-space as an extension of the conventional four-dimensional spacetime manifold (M, g_μν), enriched with causal coherence metadata. Each point in R-space is assigned a tuple (x^μ, R), where x^μ represents spacetime coordinates and R denotes a coherence layer index derived from relativistic divergence and acceleration history.

We propose that interaction between entities in R-space is governed not only by their proximity in x^μ, but by a coherence compatibility function $C(x_1, x_2)$, which vanishes when the Jonesian Threshold[3] is crossed. This function may depend on proper time divergence $\Delta\tau$, phase mismatch $\Delta\phi$, and relativistic frame separation Δv.

Equation (7.1):
$C(x_1, x_2) = \exp(-\alpha\Delta\tau^2 - \beta\Delta\phi^2 - \gamma\Delta v^2)$
Where:
- α, β, γ are scale parameters to be determined empirically or derived from fundamental theory,
- $\Delta\tau$ is the difference in proper time histories,
- $\Delta\phi$ is the phase mismatch (if defined in a field-theoretic context),
- Δv is the relative velocity between R-frame origins.

$C \to 0$ corresponds to Jonesian disconnection, wherein particles or systems can no longer interact quantumly or electromagnetically, though gravitational curvature may persist. This model provides a formalism for describing coherent vs. incoherent domains without discarding standard general relativity.

R-space thereby becomes a causally-aware manifold layered atop spacetime itself, segmenting the universe into regions of interaction viability. Overlapping coherence zones correspond to classical physics; disjoint ones map to ghost matter[4] domains.

This formalism does not conflict with existing Lorentz invariance, but supplements it with causal provenance data. Future work should explore whether such coherence metrics can be expressed in tensor form or integrated into the stress-energy framework of Einstein's equations.

Footnotes

1. A relativistic domain with internal causal coherence. R1 is our frame; R2, R3, etc., represent causally disconnected layers.

2. A condition under which systems share simultaneity and can maintain quantum phase relationships.

3. A relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to a loss of simultaneity between systems. Each domain has its own effective speed of light (c).

4. Mass that is causally disconnected from our domain and therefore invisible to quantum interaction, but still produces gravitational curvature.

7.2 Simulations of Jonesian Causal Disconnection

To test and refine the predictions of the Layered Causality Hypothesis, computational simulations can be constructed to model causal disconnection across R-level[1] domains. These simulations would serve both exploratory and predictive functions, offering insights into large-scale structure, gravitational effects, and coherence degradation.

We define a simulated environment in which discrete clusters of mass-energy are assigned distinct R-level origins—that is, distinct causal histories marked by divergence in proper time and relative acceleration. These R-level assignments determine their ability to interact under quantum or electromagnetic exchange rules. Only those with overlapping coherence functions ($C > \varepsilon$) are allowed to couple; others contribute to geometry only.

Simulated observers (O_i) may be embedded in various R-levels, each observing different apparent distributions of mass. This framework allows one to model:
- Gravitational lensing from non-coherent sources
- Apparent mass displacements in rotation curves
- Void anomalies where mass is felt but not seen

Equation (7.2):
$T_{obs}(x) = \sum [T_j(x) \times C(O_i, T_j)]$
Where:
- $T_{obs}(x)$ is the observed stress-energy tensor at x for observer O_i,
- $T_j(x)$ are stress-energy contributions from source j,
- $C(O_i, T_j)$ is the coherence function governing whether O_i perceives T_j.

This formulation enables multiple 'layered universes' to co-inhabit the same geometric space, differing only in causal traceability and interaction rules. Such models could be rendered in 3D simulations with overlaying mass maps to visually distinguish coherent and ghost sectors.

Simulations could be calibrated against observational data—such as lensing from the Dark Energy Survey or weak lensing shear maps from the Hyper Suprime-Cam. Anomalous patterns in these datasets may correspond to coherent-invisible but geometrically present ghost matter[2].

Future extensions should explore entropy metrics between overlapping R-zones and coherence degradation over cosmological timescales.

Footnotes

1. A relativistic domain with internal causal coherence. R1 is our frame; R2, R3, etc., represent causally disconnected layers.

2. Mass that is causally disconnected from our domain and therefore invisible to quantum interaction, but still produces gravitational curvature.

Section 8: Implications for Observation, Detection, and Technological Interface

The Layered Causality Hypothesis, by introducing structured domains of causal coherence, invites a series of empirical questions about what can be observed, inferred, or technologically engaged across these domains. If ghost matter or Jonesian-disconnected domains exist, they may not be visible in conventional electromagnetic or quantum channels—but they might leave gravitational, structural, or topological signatures.

From an observational standpoint, this suggests three major areas of investigation:
1. Gravitational anomalies with no corresponding luminous mass (e.g., galactic rotation curves, gravitational lensing without visible cause).
2. Absence of scattering, decay, or quantum interference in regions of high relative Δv or $\Delta\tau$.
3. Discontinuities in entanglement persistence or sudden decoherence events that correlate with inferred R-level divergence.

On the detection side, this framework encourages the design of experiments that prioritize coherence range over energy scale. Instruments sensitive to gravitational curvature but not limited by quantum detection thresholds may be more suitable for observing R-level transitions. Decoherence mapping, entanglement fidelity testing, and phase-shift tomography may all contribute to identifying the causal structure of the space around us.

Technologically, the implications are both profound and speculative. If a boundary like the Jonesian Threshold can be navigated or strategically approached, it could enable new modes of shielding, information transmission, or even propulsion. Any such technology would require a deep understanding of coherence structure and the dynamics of causal transition. The Jonesian Threshold would act not as a physical barrier to be broken, but as a spatial-temporal topology to be engaged.

These ideas remain highly theoretical, but the framework encourages new kinds of experiments—especially those that do not rely solely on force interaction but instead test coherence, identity, and causal continuity itself. A next-generation empirical program, rooted in causality rather than energy, may emerge from these considerations.

Section 9: Toward a Causality-Centered Framework for Cosmology and Fundamental Physics

The Layered Causality Hypothesis challenges and extends the foundations of modern physics by elevating causality itself to the central organizing principle. While traditional frameworks prioritize force interactions, particle symmetries, and energy exchange, this hypothesis suggests that these phenomena may be contingent on a more fundamental structure: the coherence of causal relationships across relativistic domains.

This shift has implications for nearly every domain of physics. In cosmology, it reframes dark matter and dark energy not as exotic forms of unseen mass or vacuum pressure, but as manifestations of causally disconnected regions whose gravitational presence can still warp spacetime. In quantum theory, it suggests that coherence, entanglement, and quantum identity are not properties of systems in isolation, but properties that only exist within bounded causal structures—structures that dissolve beyond the Jonesian Threshold.

In unifying these perspectives, the hypothesis invites a reinterpretation of 'fundamental' physics. Rather than reducing all forces to particle exchanges or geometric tensors, it proposes a new hierarchy:

1. Causal connectivity
2. Coherence and quantum identity
3. Force interactions and conservation laws
4. Observable structure and dynamics

This layered model does not discard existing physics—it contains them as special cases. But it demands a shift in perspective. It places the relational fabric of time and influence above the contents of that fabric. Under this lens, gravity is not merely a force or a curvature, but a bridge across disconnected domains. Quantum mechanics is not universally applicable but emerges only where causality remains intact enough to support it.

As a roadmap for future research, the Layered Causality Hypothesis suggests that we focus not only on what we can detect, but on what it means to be detectable. It offers a structured approach for identifying when quantum tools are applicable, when classical geometry suffices, and when new causal models are required. In this way, it reshapes both the questions we ask and the domains in which we ask them.

Section 10: Experimental Prospects and Observational Pathways

While the Layered Causality Hypothesis introduces a fundamentally new lens for interpreting physical structure, it is not without empirical consequences. This section outlines possible experimental or observational avenues through which aspects of the theory may be evaluated, even if indirectly.

1. **Gravitational Lensing Discrepancies**: Examine lensing events with high precision to identify cases where the gravitational mass inferred exceeds what could be reasonably expected based on luminous or interacting matter, particularly in regions void of classical dark matter halos.

2. **Phase-Based Quantum Decoherence Mapping**: Deploy entangled particle systems across relativistically accelerated or redshifted frames. Track entanglement fidelity to identify possible coherence breakdowns associated with increasing $\Delta\tau$ or R-level separation.

3. **Coherence Horizon Detectors**: Build experimental setups aimed not at detecting particles, but at detecting the boundary of coherence maintenance. This may take the form of ultra-sensitive interferometers or delayed choice setups designed to expose the limits of quantum identity under relativistic transformation.

4. **Gravitational Continuity Across Voids**: Search for sustained gravitational influence across regions of apparent causal disconnection, especially in cosmological voids or in areas experiencing anomalous flow. This may require data synthesis across multiple wavelengths and survey types.

Though each of these approaches remains speculative, the conceptual framing of the hypothesis suggests clear falsifiability conditions: if quantum coherence and Pauli exclusion principles hold at arbitrarily large $\Delta\tau$ or Δv, the hypothesis must be constrained or revised. Conversely, observational confirmation of causal disjunction alongside gravitational continuity would support its core claims.

Conclusion – Layered Causality Hypothesis

The Layered Causality Hypothesis reframes core questions in physics by placing causal coherence[3], rather than force or geometry, at the heart of physical interaction. Through the definition of the Jonesian Threshold1 and the R-level2 framework, the hypothesis introduces a structured model for understanding why some domains of the universe remain observationally dark and quantum-disconnected, while still exerting gravitational influence.

Rather than attempting to unify gravity and quantum mechanics through quantization alone, this framework suggests a layered ontology in which coherence, identity, and causality emerge and dissolve in structured zones. Gravity is not exempt from this framework—it is simply foundational to the causal fabric, continuing to operate even where quantum identity fails.

This model provides new theoretical insights, predictive questions, and experimental targets. It proposes that observation and interaction are not globally guaranteed, but locally constrained by relativistic causality. It shifts the focus from what can exist to what can co-exist in shared causal space. This move reorients the search for quantum gravity, the interpretation of dark matter, and the design of future experiments in cosmology and fundamental physics.

As a hypothesis, it is meant to be tested, refined, and—if necessary—falsified. As a framework, it invites rethinking foundational assumptions in terms of causal layering, not just energetic structure. In both roles, it stands as an invitation to reimagine the universe not as a single continuum, but as a layered weave of overlapping and sometimes disconnected fabrics of being.

Footnotes

1. A relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to a loss of simultaneity between systems. Each domain has its own effective speed of light (c).

2. A relativistic domain with internal causal coherence. R1 is our frame; R2, R3, etc., represent causally disconnected layers.

3. A condition under which systems share simultaneity and can maintain quantum phase relationships.

Appendix A: Supplemental Concepts and Mathematical Foundations

A.1: Key Definitions

• Jonesian Threshold — A relativistic boundary beyond which quantum coherence fails due to a loss of causal simultaneity between frames. This boundary is not defined universally by c, but by the effective speed of light (c) within each domain's own relativistic frame.

• R-Level — A relativistic domain characterized by shared causal structure and coherence. R1 is the observer's frame; R2, R3, etc., represent frames receding at relativistic or superluminal speeds.

• Ghost Matter — Mass that resides in a higher R-level and remains causally disconnected from the observer's frame, yet continues to curve spacetime and produce gravitational effects.

• Causal Coherence — The condition under which two systems share simultaneity and quantum phase compatibility, allowing for entanglement and quantum interaction.

A.2: Equations and Interpretive Context

1. Lorentz Time Transformation

$t' = \gamma (t - vx / c^2)$

Used to illustrate how relativistic divergence can lead to temporal decoherence across frames.

2. Jonesian Spatial Threshold

$\Delta x < (c^2 \tau_J) / (\gamma v)$

Sets the spatial coherence limit beyond which quantum identity cannot be enforced across relativistically separated domains.

3. Bianchi Identity

$\nabla^\mu G_{\mu\nu} = 0$

Expresses energy-momentum conservation in general relativity; in this framework, supports the notion that gravity operates geometrically, independent of quantum interaction.

References

1. Rubin, V. C., & Ford, W. K. (1970). Rotation of the Andromeda Nebula from a Spectroscopic Survey of Emission Regions. *Astrophysical Journal*, 159, 379.

2. Bosma, A. (1981). 21-cm line studies of spiral galaxies. II. The distribution and kinematics of neutral hydrogen in spiral galaxies of various morphological types. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 93, 106–120.

3. Clowe, D., Bradac, M., Gonzalez, A. H., Markevitch, M., Randall, S. W., Jones, C., & Zaritsky, D. (2006). A direct empirical proof of the existence of dark matter. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 648(2), L109–L113.

4. The Dark Energy Survey Collaboration. (2016). The Dark Energy Survey: more than dark energy – an overview. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 460(2), 1270–1299.

5. Aihara, H., et al. (2018). The Hyper Suprime-Cam SSP Survey: Overview and survey design. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan*, 70(SP1), S4.

6. Einstein, A. (1915). Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation. *Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 844–847.

7. Lorentz, H. A. (1904). Electromagnetic phenomena in a system moving with any velocity less than that of light. *Proceedings of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences*, 6, 809–831.

8. Pauli, W. (1925). Über den Zusammenhang des Abschlusses der Elektronengruppen im Atom mit der Komplexstruktur der Spektren. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, 31(1), 765–783.

9. Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 75(3), 715.

10. Hawking, S. W., & Ellis, G. F. R. (1973). *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*. Cambridge University Press.

11. Hubble, E. (1929). A relation between distance and radial velocity among extra-galactic nebulae. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 15(3), 168–173.