

## Did Physics Analogies Anticipate Cryptocurrencies?

Dainis Zeps (with assistance from AI language model, ChatGPT), UL, Riga

16<sup>th</sup> May, 2025

### Abstract

This paper explores the conceptual parallels between Dainis Zeps' 2009 physics-based theory of money—particularly his application of gauge freedom—and the later emergence of cryptocurrencies. Though Zeps wrote before the advent of Bitcoin, his treatment of money as a dynamic, relational measure offers striking anticipations of digital currencies' decentralization, contextual valuation, and systemic redefinition of financial roles. The study contrasts Zeps' vision with the real-world behavior of cryptocurrencies, noting where their implementations align with or diverge from his theoretical ideals. The analysis concludes that while speculative forces have limited the transformative potential of cryptocurrencies, Zeps' framework remains a valuable lens through which to evaluate the ongoing evolution of monetary systems.

**Keywords** Cryptocurrency, gauge freedom, money theory, decentralization, value dynamics, Bitcoin, physics and economics, systems theory, speculative economy

### Introduction

The emergence of cryptocurrencies in the early 21st century introduced a radical rethinking of what money is and how it functions. While these developments are often framed in terms of computer science, economics, and cryptography, their conceptual underpinnings resonate with ideas from other disciplines—particularly physics. In 2009, Dainis Zeps, a physicist by training, published two papers proposing that monetary value should be examined through principles more commonly associated with physical systems, most notably the concept of gauge freedom. Though written before the invention of Bitcoin, Zeps' ideas suggest a theoretical alignment with key mechanisms in cryptocurrency design and philosophy.

This paper revisits Zeps' physics-based perspective in light of the digital currency revolution. It investigates how his notion of money as a relational, dynamic measure—subject to shifts in reference frames like gauge variables in physics—finds echoes in the operation of decentralized, cryptographically secured currencies. It also explores where modern cryptocurrency developments align with, extend, or diverge from this vision.

**In 2009, physicist Dainis Zeps authored two papers offering an unconventional perspective on the nature of money and global economic trends. Long before the rise of Bitcoin and its numerous descendants, these writings explored money not as a static substance but as a dynamic entity, better understood through the lens of physics, particularly through the concept of gauge freedom. While cryptocurrencies had not yet emerged into public consciousness, many of the foundational ideas in Zeps' work now seem remarkably prescient.**

At the core of Zeps' thesis lies the notion that money should be understood not as an object of value in itself, but as a relational and dynamic measure—similar to how in physics, absolute values are secondary to relative differences. The idea of "gauge freedom" implies that the value of money is not fixed but is always defined in context, relative to other variables in the system. This parallels the architecture of cryptocurrencies, where value is emergent from a network consensus and is not tied to any central authority or physical asset.

## **Gauge Freedom and Cryptocurrencies**

Zeps' comparison of monetary valuation to gauge freedom in physics highlights a powerful conceptual alignment with how value is established in cryptocurrencies. In physics, gauge freedom allows certain variables to shift without altering observable phenomena, emphasizing relative differences over absolutes. Likewise, in decentralized digital currencies, value is not anchored by an external standard (like gold or state decree) but instead emerges dynamically from the interactions within a distributed system.

Each participant in a cryptocurrency network operates independently, yet consensus mechanisms ensure a coherent system-wide valuation—much like how gauge transformations preserve physical laws. The fluctuating market price of a cryptocurrency reflects a kind of "gauge-dependent" perspective, shaped by local information and global consensus. This makes the cryptocurrency ecosystem a real-world instantiation of Zeps' theoretical construct: a monetary system where no single scale or authority defines value absolutely.

However, practical implementations of cryptocurrencies have only partially fulfilled this vision. While they demonstrate gauge-like flexibility in valuation and structure, their behavior is often distorted by speculative forces and centralized platforms, which can reintroduce forms of fixed valuation and dominance contrary to gauge principles.

Cryptocurrencies, beginning with Bitcoin in 2009, challenged the traditional monetary system by decentralizing the creation and validation of money. Transactions are not certified by a central bank but by a distributed network following transparent, cryptographic rules. This model aligns closely with Zeps' critique of the conventional economic system as being structurally driven by greed and detached from any real, physics-like lawfulness.

Moreover, Zeps questioned the prevailing notion of money as a store of value, arguing that this function breeds accumulation and instability. In a strikingly parallel fashion, the original intent behind Bitcoin was not to encourage hoarding but to enable trustless, peer-to-peer exchange—where money exists and gains meaning only in action, not in stasis.

Zeps' reflections on value dynamics and his call for a scientific theory of value within communities resonate strongly with today's efforts to build programmable money and decentralized finance (DeFi), where the very functions of money can be designed, modified, and made transparent.

## Parallels and Divergences

While many aspects of cryptocurrencies echo Zeps' ideas, some developments diverge sharply:

### Correlations with Zeps' Vision:

- **Dynamic and contextual value:** Cryptocurrencies embody value as emergent from interactions, rather than predefined.
- **Decentralization and self-regulation:** The peer-to-peer validation mechanism resonates with Zeps' rejection of central authority and emphasis on systemic balance.
- **Transitional nature of money:** As with "gauge freedom," digital currencies exist in flux and derive significance through circulation.

### Contrasting Developments:

- **Speculation and accumulation:** Contrary to Zeps' vision, much of the crypto space has become highly speculative, reinforcing the hoarding behavior he critiqued.
- **Market-driven greed:** Despite initial ideals, many crypto initiatives have reproduced the same profit-maximization mindset Zeps sought to overcome.
- **Commodification of technology:** Instead of fostering communal value systems, many projects emphasize assetization and competition.

Though not explicitly about digital currencies, Zeps' early work suggests that some of the intellectual soil from which cryptocurrencies would later grow was already being tilled in the language of physics and systems thinking. As the global financial system continues to evolve, his perspective offers a unique and fertile framework for understanding where money might be headed next.

## Conclusions

The analogy between gauge freedom in physics and monetary valuation provides a compelling lens through which to view the evolution of cryptocurrencies. Dainis Zeps' early theoretical exploration anticipated several foundational aspects of digital currency: decentralized control, contextual value, and systemic dynamism. Cryptocurrencies have realized some of this potential, particularly in enabling distributed consensus and de-emphasizing central authority.

However, the practical evolution of the crypto space has also highlighted tensions with Zeps' vision. The dominance of speculation, the reemergence of centralizing forces, and the commodification of value systems show that the liberating theoretical possibilities are not guaranteed in practice.

Nevertheless, Zeps' physics-informed approach remains valuable—not only as a conceptual precursor but as a critical framework to assess where the ideals of cryptocurrency align with or deviate from deeper systemic reform. As technology continues to reshape the nature of money, revisiting such foundational analogies may be key to designing more coherent, equitable, and resilient financial systems.

## References

1. **Nakamoto, S.** (2008). *Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System*. Retrieved from <https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf>  
– The seminal white paper introducing Bitcoin and its core concepts of decentralization and cryptographic trust.
2. **Buterin, V.** (2014). *A Next-Generation Smart Contract and Decentralized Application Platform*. Ethereum White Paper.  
– Lays the foundation for programmable money and decentralized finance (DeFi).
3. **Ingham, G.** (2004). *The Nature of Money*. Polity Press.  
– An exploration of money as a social and institutional construct rather than a commodity.
4. **Haldane, A. G.** (2015). *How physics can help economics*. Speech at the Bank of England.  
– Discusses the application of physics concepts (e.g., complex systems) to economic theory.
5. **Arthur, W. B.** (1999). *Complexity and the Economy*. *Science*, 284(5411), 107-109.  
– Presents the economy as an evolving, complex adaptive system—a helpful backdrop to Zeps’ systemic vision.
6. **Szabo, N.** (1997). *The Idea of Smart Contracts*. Retrieved from [https://www.fon.hum.uva.nl/rob/Courses/InformationInSpeech/CDROM/Literature/LOT\\_winterschool2006/szabo.best.vwh.net/smart.contracts.html](https://www.fon.hum.uva.nl/rob/Courses/InformationInSpeech/CDROM/Literature/LOT_winterschool2006/szabo.best.vwh.net/smart.contracts.html)  
– Early theoretical foundation of digital, rule-based economic interactions.
7. **Polanyi, K.** (1944). *The Great Transformation*. Beacon Press.  
– A critical analysis of how money and markets evolved in modern capitalism—helpful for contrasting with the speculative turn in crypto.
8. **Böhme, R., Christin, N., Edelman, B., & Moore, T.** (2015). *Bitcoin: Economics, Technology, and Governance*. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 29(2), 213–238.  
– Academic assessment of Bitcoin’s systemic structure and socio-economic implications.
9. **MacKenzie, D.** (2006). *An Engine, Not a Camera: How Financial Models Shape Markets*. MIT Press.  
– Explores how mathematical models (like those in physics) don’t just describe but also actively shape markets.
10. **Zeps, Dainis**, 2009. "World’s Economy: what is money? Physicist’s approach to tendencies in world’s economy," [MPRA Paper](#) 14416, University Library of Munich, Germany.
11. **Zeps, Dainis**, 2009. "Answer to question what is money: gauge freedom. Physicist’s approach to tendencies in world’s economy," [MPRA Paper](#) 14453, University Library of Munich, Germany