Vacuum Geometry and the Origin of Time

Evert van Brummelen

May 2025

Abstract

We explore the ontological status of time in a matterless, energyless vacuum described by the Schwarzschild solution to the Einstein field equations. Beginning from first principles and systematically removing all sources of energy and matter, we ask what remains of the universe and whether time still exists. Through a sequence of logical and geometric considerations, we arrive at a foundational axiom: time is not an external dimension but is instead the manifestation of change in configuration. This leads to the conclusion that in a vacuum defined purely by geometric curvature, time is identical to the act of change itself.

1. Introduction

What remains of a universe when all mass and energy are removed? Does time survive in a vacuum without particles or photons? These are not just theoretical provocations—they are ontological tests of our assumptions about the nature of spacetime.

To address these questions, we consider the vacuum Einstein equations:

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

and apply the Schwarzschild solution. We do not apply it to model a collapsed object, but because it is the unique spherically symmetric solution to the vacuum Einstein field equations. The presence of the parameter M, usually interpreted as mass, is here treated as a geometric integration constant. This allows us to explore the maximal expression of curvature and causal structure in a spacetime devoid of matter or energy.

We begin by setting the stress-energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$, thereby eliminating all mass and energy sources. What remains is a pure geometric structure with a non-zero curvature described by an integration constant M. We then interrogate the meaning and consequence of this residual curvature, and whether time persists within it.

2. The Schwarzschild Geometry Without Matter

The Schwarzschild metric:

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right) c^2 dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2$$

remains a valid solution to the vacuum Einstein equations. If we set $M = 0$, the spacetime reduces to flat Minkowski space. However, if we allow $M \neq 0$, the geometry retains a nontrivial curvature even though no source mass exists. In this interpretation, M is not a physical mass but a geometric or topological constant—an intrinsic property of the manifold.

This opens the door to a radically minimal universe: a curved vacuum with no particles, no fields, and no energy content, but with a stable global structure.

3. What Can This Universe Still Do?

Even without matter or energy, this universe can:

- Define a causal structure with an event horizon
- Encode curvature through its metric
- Support timelike and null geodesics (potential motion)
- Contain a physical singularity at $r = 0$
- Define coordinate and proper time—even if nothing experiences them

This suggests that the universe retains a complete causal and geometric framework—one that appears ready for physics, even if none has yet occurred.

4. The Emergence of Waves

The next logical question is: can this geometric universe "do" anything if it is truly empty? The answer is yes—because the geometry itself can **wave**.

Gravitational waves are solutions to the vacuum equations. They represent pure metric fluctuations, not dependent on any stress-energy. Even in a world devoid of content, the curvature can oscillate. If these oscillations are standing or closed (as in a topologically compact manifold), they may constitute a self-contained dynamic universe. In this context, the gravitational wave is the only possible expression of change, and thus the only possible origin of time.

5. Where Do the Waves Come From?

We consider four coherent answers:

1. **Ground State:** The wave is the default mode of the vacuum.
2. **Topology:** A closed structure necessitates oscillation.
3. **Symmetry Breaking:** A fluctuation from perfect symmetry triggers periodic change.

4. **Self-Caused:** The wave is ontologically primitive—it simply is.

Each leads to the same outcome: if geometry fluctuates, then something is happening.

6. What Is Time in This Universe?

This brings us to the essential question: what is time, in a universe without matter or energy?

We proceed by elimination and inference:

- There are no clocks, so time cannot be measured.
- There are no processes, so time cannot be experienced.
- Yet the metric contains a time coordinate t.
- If the geometry changes (waves), then configurations evolve.

Conclusion:

> Time is not an independent dimension. Time is not a background parameter. Time is not defined by entropy.
> **Time is the change of configuration.**

If the geometry changes, time exists. If nothing changes, there is no time.

This gives us the final ontological axiom:

> **Time = Change**
> **No change, no time.**

7. Implications for Cosmology

This principle—time as change—has implications for any theory that seeks to derive physical law from first principles. Time is derived from space—both emergent from and the driver of internal reconfiguration. Spacetime does not contain change; it *is* change.

8. Relation to Prior Theories of Time

This approach builds on—but distinctly diverges from—several known philosophical and physical interpretations of time.

Julian Barbour has argued that time is an illusion and that change is primary, though he situates this in configuration space and denies the reality of time altogether. Carlo Rovelli presents time as relational and emergent within quantum frameworks but does not derive it from vacuum geometry. Lee Smolin defends the real flow of time from a causal and cosmological stance.

Unlike these, the present work derives the presence and definition of time directly from the potential for geometric reconfiguration in the classical vacuum of general relativity. It proposes that the mere ability of curvature to wave—even in the total absence of matter or energy—is sufficient for time to exist.

In this model, time is not a parameter nor an illusion, but the most primitive act: the change of spatial configuration itself.

9. A Bridge Between General Relativity and Quantum Mechanics

A final observation arises from the realization that the only dynamical behavior available to this universe—absent of all matter, energy, or fields—is an oscillation of pure geometry: a gravitational wave.

Gravitational waves are typically treated as classical solutions to Einstein's field equations. However, the nature of these oscillations shares fundamental traits with quantum systems: they are phase-based, continuous yet informationally structured, and they define evolution. In this sense, the vacuum universe derived here behaves as a quantum-like system even before any formal quantization is applied.

This suggests a new pathway: that quantum behavior is not imposed atop spacetime, but that *waving is the foundational act* shared by both quantum mechanics and general relativity. In this view, the capacity for spacetime to oscillate—and thus generate time—is also the root from which quantum characteristics emerge.

Therefore, the minimal universe constructed here may offer not only a definition of time, but a natural conceptual bridge between the curvature of general relativity and the wave-based ontology of quantum mechanics. It implies that the universe is quantum not because of particles, but because *geometry itself cannot exist without change—and change is a wave.*

Acknowledgments

I want to thank Hans and Chai for their infinite support. This work is entirely original and independently developed by the author.

Defensive Appendix: Anticipated Objections and Clarifications

- **Objection:** The Schwarzschild solution is only valid if there is a central mass.
  Response: Incorrect. The Schwarzschild metric is a solution to the vacuum Einstein equations. The parameter M arises as an integration constant and does not require a material source.

- **Objection:** Without particles or clocks, time has no meaning.
  Response: Time is not defined by clocks, but by change. If the metric reconfigures—e.g., through geometric oscillations—then time exists in the only form it must: as change.

- **Objection:** The presence of waves is speculative.
  Response: Gravitational waves are accepted vacuum solutions of general relativity. No energy or matter is required for their existence. We explore the implications if such waves exist alone.

- **Objection:** “Time = Change” is vague or circular.
  Response: The statement is ontologically precise. Time is not a separate dimension but a measure of change in configuration. Where there is no change, time is undefined. This is not circular—it is definitional.

- **Objection:** This approach is too interpretative and not empirical.
  Response: This work is conceptual and ontological, probing the assumptions beneath empirical models. It complements, rather than contradicts, observable physics.

References

1. A. Einstein, “The Foundation of the General Theory of Relativity,” *Annalen der Physik*, 49 (1916), pp. 769–822.

2. K. Schwarzschild, “On the Gravitational Field of a Mass Point According to Einstein’s Theory,” *Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften* (1916).

3. R.M. Wald, *General Relativity*, University of Chicago Press (1984).

4. C. Rovelli, “Forget Time,” *Foundations of Physics*, 41 (2011), pp. 1475–1490.

5. J. Barbour, *The End of Time*, Oxford University Press (1999).

6. B. Schutz, *A First Course in General Relativity*, Cambridge University Press (2009).

7. S. Carroll, *Spacetime and Geometry: An Introduction to General Relativity*, Addison-Wesley (2004).

8. L. Smolin, *Time Reborn: From the Crisis in Physics to the Future of the Universe*, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt (2013).

9. C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge University Press (2004).

10. T. Thiemann, *Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity*, Cambridge University Press (2007).

11. A. Ashtekar and J. Lewandowski, “Background Independent Quantum Gravity: A Status Report,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 21 (2004): R53.