Linguistics in the Time Cycle (LTC): A Temporal-Structural Framework Analogous to Genetic Evolution

Moninder Singh Modgil
Spiritual Applications Research Centre (SpARC)
Gyansarovar, Mount Abu Rajasthan-307501
India

msmodgil@gmail.com

May 5, 2025

Abstract

This paper presents the framework of *Linguistics in the Time Cycle (LTC)*, a temporal-theoretical model that parallels the dynamics of genetic evolution. Drawing from the structure of Genetics in the Time Cycle (GTC), LTC explores how linguistic forms—phonological, morphological, syntactic, and semantic—evolve and are expressed through multiple temporal layers. These include micro-cycles (daily and cognitive rhythms), meso-cycles (developmental and generational changes), and macro-cycles (historical and cultural transformations). By mapping linguistic change to temporal structures, LTC offers an interdisciplinary methodology for understanding how time regulates and reshapes language across individual, societal, and evolutionary scales.

1 Introduction

Language is not a static artifact—it is a living, evolving system shaped by both biological and socio-cultural forces. Traditional models of linguistic change emphasize structural and functional variation, yet often overlook the temporal dynamics that underlie these processes. Inspired by the Genetics in the Time Cycle (GTC) model—which maps gene expression and regulation onto cyclical biological time—we propose a corresponding framework for language: Linguistics in the Time Cycle (LTC).

LTC treats language as a temporally modulated system. Just as genes are activated, inhibited, and inherited across time, linguistic features are expressed, transformed, and transmitted through cyclical phases such as diurnal patterns, life stages, and cultural epochs. This analogy reveals a new grammar of time that informs phonological variation, morphosyntactic evolution, semantic drift, discourse structure, and social language practices.

The LTC model is structured across three temporal scales:

- **Micro-cycles:** momentary and circadian fluctuations in language performance.
- **Meso-cycles:** longitudinal changes across a speaker's lifetime or generational transitions.
- **Macro-cycles:** historical, civilizational, and cultural periods influencing long-term language evolution.

This paper develops the LTC framework by integrating insights from cognitive linguistics, discourse studies, chronobiology, and cultural evolution. Through this synthesis, we aim to reconceptualize language not just as a system of signs, but as a rhythmic, time-sensitive phenomenon.

1.1 Conceptual Framework

LTC aligns linguistic structures with temporal cycles, offering an interdisciplinary matrix of analysis:

LCT Domain	Analogous GTC Process	Temporal Cycle	Examples
Phonology	Gene expression	Circadian/seasonal rhythms	Vowel shift over daily cycles
Morphosyntax	Mutation	Diachronic development	English word order evolution
Lexicon	Genetic drift	Generational turnover	Slang emergence
Semantics	Epigenetic control	Socio-historical change	Meaning reversal: "awful"
Discourse	Regulatory networks	Cultural-political cycles	Wartime propaganda
Transmission	Heredity	Acquisition stages	L1 vs. L2 learning patterns
Sociolinguistics	Population genetics	Migration, media	Urban/rural divergence

1.2 Temporal Scales in Language Evolution

1.3 Micro-Cycles

Daily, seasonal, and immediate communicative contexts affect phonetic and prosodic structures.

1.4 Meso-Cycles

Lifespan-related language changes, such as first language acquisition or age-related attrition, contribute to morphosyntactic and lexical shifts.

1.5 Macro-Cycles

Generational, historical, and civilizational timeframes guide long-term semantic, syntactic, and discursive transformations.

1.6 Analogical Mapping with Genetics

Feature	GTC (Genetics)	LTC (Linguistics)
Code Unit	DNA codon	Phoneme / Morpheme
Expression	Protein synthesis	Speech / Text
Regulation	Promoters / Inhibitors	Syntax / Pragmatics
Mutation	Base pair change	Neologism / Syntax shift
Drift	Genetic drift	Lexical diffusion
Selection	Evolutionary pressure	Social prestige, utility
Transmission	Heredity	Language acquisition

1.7 Applications and Implications

The LTC model allows us to:

- Analyze speech variation across daily and seasonal cycles.
- Model lexical change as analogous to genetic drift.
- Examine sociolinguistic divergence using evolutionary tools.

Linguistics in the Time Cycle offers a biologically inspired yet uniquely linguistic model for exploring temporal evolution in language. Its structure invites further interdisciplinary research combining cognitive science, linguistic anthropology, and evolutionary theory.

2 Cognitive-Linguistic Expression over Time

One of the most compelling extensions of the Linguistics in the Time Cycle (LTC) model is the investigation of how cognitive processing of language varies with temporal cycles. Just as circadian gene expression governs metabolic and hormonal cycles, linguistic expression may be influenced by biological and cognitive rhythms.

2.1 Diurnal and Circadian Variations in Language Use

Empirical evidence suggests that cognitive performance fluctuates throughout the day. Applying this to linguistics, we propose that:

- Syntax complexity may reduce in the evening due to cognitive fatigue.
- Lexical diversity may peak during mid-day when cognitive resources are optimal.
- Metaphor and figurative language usage may correlate with emotional arousal, which itself follows a daily rhythm.

2.2 Neurocognitive Correlates

Functional neuroimaging studies (e.g., fMRI, EEG) can track how different brain regions involved in language (e.g., Broca's and Wernicke's areas) respond to stimuli at different times of day. This approach aligns well with biological models of oscillatory activity in gene expression, particularly in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) that governs circadian rhythms.

2.3 Sleep and Language Consolidation

Language consolidation processes, particularly in second language acquisition, show improvement following sleep. This is analogous to transcription and repair mechanisms in genetics that occur during rest cycles. The LTC model can accommodate this by proposing that sleep functions as a linguistic "reorganization" phase.

2.4 Temporal Oscillation as a Linguistic Clock

The idea of a linguistic "expression clock" is proposed, analogous to biological gene oscillators (e.g., PER and CLOCK genes). In this view:

- Different linguistic modules (syntax, semantics, phonology) may have separate temporal activation patterns.
- External entrainment (e.g., sunlight, social interaction) may influence language rhythm, just as it entrains circadian clocks.

2.5 Research Directions

Potential experimental designs include:

- Measuring syntactic complexity of spontaneous speech over a 24-hour period.
- Correlating lexical diversity with circadian markers (e.g., melatonin levels).
- Longitudinal sleep studies with second language learners.

3 Diachronic Linguistic "Genealogy Trees"

The LTC framework draws a powerful analogy between biological phylogenies and linguistic evolution. Just as genetics uses phylogenetic trees to trace ancestry and divergence of species, linguists can construct "genealogy trees" to chart the historical evolution and divergence of languages.

3.1 Language Families as Evolutionary Lineages

Languages evolve through mechanisms analogous to speciation. Over time, languages branch out from proto-languages into distinct yet related forms. For example:

- Latin evolved into the Romance languages: Spanish, French, Italian, etc.
- Proto-Indo-European diverged into Germanic, Slavic, Celtic, and other branches.

Such evolutionary patterns can be visually and computationally modeled using phylogenetic trees similar to those used in evolutionary biology.

3.2 Linguistic "Mutation Rates" and Molecular Clocks

In genetics, molecular clocks estimate time since divergence by counting mutations. Similarly, linguists can estimate the age of language splits by tracking:

- Lexical change rates (e.g., Swadesh lists).
- Morphological innovation (e.g., verb conjugation shifts).
- Phonological transformations (e.g., Grimm's Law).

3.3 Computational Phylogenetics for Language Evolution

Recent advances in computational modeling allow for the construction of data-driven linguistic phylogenies:

- Bayesian inference and maximum likelihood methods are applied to linguistic features.
- Digital corpora enable quantification of language similarity over time.
- Co-evolution models compare linguistic change with genetic and archaeological data.

3.4 Integrating Archaeogenetics and Linguistics

By aligning linguistic trees with genetic and archaeological data, researchers can test hypotheses about human migration and contact:

- Correlating Indo-European spread with ancient DNA from Eurasian steppe cultures.
- Exploring the co-diffusion of agriculture and language families.

3.5 Applications and Visualizations

- Development of a "Linguistic Genome Browser" showing syntactic, phonological, and lexical divergence.
- Mapping linguistic phylogenies over geographical time-layers using GIS systems.
- Educational tools for teaching language history through evolutionary analogies.

Diachronic genealogy trees enable linguists to visualize and quantify long-term language change. When integrated with genetic and archaeological data, they become powerful tools for understanding not only linguistic evolution but also the broader story of human history.

4 Chronotopic Pragmatics & Discourse

In the LTC framework, the concept of *chronotope* — introduced by Mikhail Bakhtin — becomes central to understanding how discourse operates across and within time cycles. A chronotope, literally "time-space," captures the situatedness of language in both temporal and spatial contexts. Applying this idea within a temporal model enables new insight into how language adapts to sociopolitical rhythms, cognitive timing, and cultural epochs.

4.1 Temporal Indexing in Narrative

Narratives frequently utilize temporal framing to structure and legitimize discourse. Common forms include:

- Archetypal openings: "Once upon a time," "In a distant future".
- News discourse: "Earlier today," "Breaking now," "Since 9/11".
- Legal or historical texts: "In the year of...," "Hereafter," "Retroactively".

These indexical structures serve not only to locate events in time, but to shape epistemic authority and emotional impact.

4.2 Discourse Patterns Across Cycles

Sociopolitical and economic cycles shape recurring discourse genres:

- Wartime rhetoric: appeals to unity, sacrifice, and urgency.
- Crisis communication: repetition, simplification, and deictic urgency.
- Post-crisis narratives: reconstruction, blame attribution, healing.

Pragmatic functions evolve over these cycles, reflecting power structures and communicative norms.

4.3 Chronotopes in Digital Media

Digital communication operates within accelerated chronotopic structures:

- "Viral time" where trends rise and decay within hours.
- "Archival time" of search engines and social media timelines.
- Memes as short-lived chronotopic discourse units.

Temporal anchoring becomes fragmented, creating layered discourse timelines coexisting in real time.

4.4 Time-Encoded Pragmatic Functions

Pragmatic markers often serve temporal purposes:

- Discourse markers: "now," "then," "meanwhile," "yet".
- Tense-aspect modulation reflecting speaker attitude to time.
- Temporal deixis conveying perspective, urgency, or rhetorical distance.

Chronotopic pragmatics and discourse analysis provide a vital interface between linguistic form, time, and power. By adopting the LTC perspective, these elements can be better understood not just as stylistic devices but as systemic adaptations to cyclical and historic temporalities.

5 Recursion and Repetition as Temporal Syntax

In the Linguistics in the Time Cycle (LTC) framework, recursion and repetition are viewed not just as structural features of syntax or style, but as temporally grounded phenomena. They function like biological feedback loops and oscillatory cycles, embedding rhythm and predictability into discourse.

5.1 Recursion in Language and Time

Recursion is a core property of human language, enabling the nesting of linguistic structures such as clauses within clauses. In temporal terms, recursion can be seen as:

- A linguistic instantiation of feedback mechanisms.
- A way to represent and manipulate abstract time cycles (e.g., "Every time I try, I fail because I try too hard").
- A cognitive mirror of time perception and memory layering.

5.2 Repetition as a Temporal Marker

Repetition structures time by:

- Emphasizing rhythm in spoken and written language.
- Marking cycles of ritual, pedagogy, or cultural reinforcement.
- Invoking memory and identity (e.g., chants, mantras, slogans).

5.3 Poetry, Mantra, and Linguistic Oscillators

Ritualistic language such as poetry and mantra use recursion and repetition to create an immersive temporal experience:

- Metered verse acts as a linguistic clock.
- Semantic looping (e.g., anaphora) echoes rhythmic cycles in music or breathing.
- Mantras mimic neural oscillation and serve meditative synchronization.

5.4 Computational Models of Temporal Syntax

Using formal methods, recursion and repetition can be modeled as:

- Markov processes with temporal dependencies.
- Automata-based models with cyclic state transitions.
- Recurrent neural networks (RNNs) capable of generating cyclic syntax patterns.

Recursion and repetition embody temporal dimensions of linguistic structure. In LTC, they are interpreted not only as formal grammatical operations but also as mechanisms for encoding, synchronizing, and representing temporal realities in discourse.

6 Social Time and Register Shifts

Linguistic variation is deeply embedded in social contexts that evolve over time. In the LTC framework, this variation can be modeled as a function of "social time"—a measure of temporal positioning within an individual's lifespan, generational cohort, and socio-historical epoch. Register shifts are linguistic adaptations to these dynamic temporal states.

6.1 Lifespan and Linguistic Register

Over the human lifespan, individuals experience changes in language use:

- Child-directed speech: simplified syntax, exaggerated prosody.
- Adolescent slang and identity signaling.
- Formal adult language in professional and institutional settings.
- Elder speech, which may involve lexical retrieval variation or increased conservatism.

These shifts reflect neurocognitive development and sociocultural expectations across "biographical time."

6.2 Ritual and Institutional Temporalities

Speech registers also vary across "ritual time" — structured events like weddings, funerals, court proceedings, or religious ceremonies:

- Elevated or archaic forms are often employed.
- Formulaic language reinforces collective identity and continuity.
- Linguistic conservatism preserves traditional authority.

6.3 Digital Chronotopes and Register Fluidity

In digital communication, register shifts occur rapidly and fluidly:

- Code-switching between formal emails, casual texting, and meme speech.
- Time-sensitive registers: "Zoom speak," "COVID jargon," or "TikTok phrasing."
- Temporal compression: rapid alternation between modalities in a short time span.

6.4 Sociolinguistic "Phase Transitions"

Social upheavals (e.g., revolutions, pandemics, digital revolutions) trigger register transformations:

- Emergence of new public speech styles and protest language.
- Formality breakdown in media and political discourse.
- Lexical borrowing and innovation in response to new technologies or crises.

These shifts resemble "phase transitions" in physical systems or population bottlenecks in genetics.

Social time offers a powerful lens for understanding linguistic variation and adaptation. Register shifts can be modeled as temporal responses to individual development, institutional structuring, and technological or historical change—forming a dynamic component of the LTC paradigm.

7 Experimental LTC Studies

While the Linguistics in the Time Cycle (LTC) framework offers theoretical richness, it also presents numerous opportunities for empirical validation. Experimental approaches allow researchers to test hypotheses about how linguistic expression varies across time-based contexts—mirroring the experimental methodologies in circadian biology and cognitive neuroscience.

7.1 Time-of-Day Effects on Language Production

Studies have shown that cognitive functions, including working memory and attention, vary throughout the day. Applied to linguistics, one can experimentally assess:

- Diurnal variation in syntactic complexity and fluency.
- Temporal shifts in metaphor usage, lexical diversity, or discourse coherence.
- Mood and arousal effects on pragmatic choice and politeness strategies.

7.2 Circadian Markers and Linguistic Performance

Biomarkers such as melatonin levels, cortisol rhythms, or body temperature can serve as correlates of linguistic processing:

- Morning vs. evening comparison of speech acts under controlled conditions.
- Sleep deprivation studies measuring syntactic accuracy or comprehension.
- Chronotype (morningness-eveningness) as a predictor of language output patterns.

7.3 Sleep and Second Language Learning

The role of sleep in memory consolidation has been well documented. Its extension to second language acquisition (SLA) is of high relevance for LTC:

- Sleep-enhanced learning of vocabulary and grammar rules.
- Impact of napping on short-term and long-term retention.
- EEG studies tracking language-related activity during sleep cycles.

7.4 Longitudinal Designs and Time-Series Linguistic Data

Experimental LTC research can benefit from longitudinal and time-series data:

- Tracking linguistic change in individuals over weeks/months.
- Measuring style-shifting or vocabulary growth across developmental phases.
- Using wearable sensors and mobile apps for ecological data collection.

7.5 Neuroimaging and Temporal Language Networks

fMRI and EEG studies can examine time-resolved brain activation patterns during language tasks:

- Oscillatory brain dynamics in syntactic vs. semantic processing.
- Cross-frequency coupling during spontaneous vs. rehearsed speech.
- Connectivity analysis revealing how temporal language modules interact.

Experimental designs provide the empirical backbone for validating LTC. Integrating chronobiology, neurolinguistics, and SLA research will enable a rigorous understanding of how time structures linguistic behavior.

8 Computational Modeling of LTC

The theoretical richness of the Linguistics in the Time Cycle (LTC) framework invites computational formalization. By leveraging methods from artificial intelligence, dynamic systems, and computational linguistics, researchers can simulate and analyze time-sensitive linguistic behavior in both artificial and natural language environments.

8.1 Agent-Based Modeling of Linguistic Evolution

Agent-based models allow simulation of language change over virtual time:

- Agents can be programmed with linguistic traits that evolve under rules of interaction.
- Time cycles (e.g., generational turnover, diurnal activity) can be embedded into the simulation.
- Environmental or social pressures act as selective forces for certain language variants.

8.2 Recurrent Neural Networks and Temporal Syntax

Recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and their modern variants (e.g., LSTMs, GRUs) model time-dependent language patterns:

- Capable of learning sequences with embedded recursion and repetition.
- Useful for modeling linguistic rhythm and syntactic unfolding over time.
- Can simulate performance variation under different time constraints or noise levels.

8.3 Simulated Chronotypes and Diurnal Variants

Language models can be trained to emulate human chronotypes:

- Morning-type vs. evening-type language output simulations.
- Time-conditioned response generation based on circadian input embeddings.
- Multimodal architectures that integrate time, emotion, and speech features.

8.4 Corpus-Based Temporal Analysis

Large linguistic corpora can be analyzed for temporal dynamics:

- Diachronic corpora reveal long-term lexical and syntactic shifts.
- Time-stamped social media or chat logs capture hourly and daily linguistic rhythms.
- Computational tools like topic modeling and entropy analysis identify emerging discourse cycles.

8.5 Integrating Chronolinguistics with NLP Systems

Time-aware language models can enhance real-world applications:

- Predictive text that adapts to time-of-day or user circadian patterns.
- Chatbots with temporal linguistic styles (e.g., formal in morning, relaxed in evening).
- Temporal personalization for education, mental health, and communication tools.

Computational modeling provides a dynamic testing ground for LTC theories. Through simulation, neural networks, and corpus analysis, researchers can explore how time structures linguistic behavior—and build systems that replicate or adapt to these dynamics.

9 Integration with Cultural Evolution

The LTC framework finds a natural convergence with theories of cultural evolution. Language is not merely a biological or cognitive phenomenon—it is also a cultural artifact subject to mechanisms of variation, selection, and inheritance. Just as genes propagate through populations, so do linguistic forms and cultural meanings.

9.1 Language as a Cultural Replicator

Drawing on Dawkins' concept of the *meme*, linguistic elements can be seen as cultural replicators:

- Words, phrases, and syntactic constructions spread through imitation and social learning.
- Phonetic and morphological features evolve under cultural constraints and affordances.
- Like genetic drift, some linguistic changes occur through chance propagation rather than utility.

9.2 Cultural Transmission and Temporal Bottlenecks

Temporal disruptions (e.g., colonization, diaspora, digital revolutions) can cause language bottlenecks:

- Sudden language shift or death among small populations.
- Creolization and pidgin emergence as responses to sociotemporal hybridity.
- Revival efforts (e.g., Hebrew, Welsh) reflecting deliberate cultural intervention in linguistic time.

9.3 Memetics and Linguistic Ecology

In a memetic framework, linguistic units evolve by:

- Competing for attention and memory space in cognitive systems.
- Adapting to contextual niches (e.g., youth culture, workplace discourse).
- Replicating with mutation, generating novel idioms, slang, and syntactic blends.

9.4 Chronocultural Co-Evolution

Cultural practices and language co-evolve over time:

- Technological epochs (e.g., printing press, internet) shape linguistic style and literacy norms.
- Ritual and seasonal calendars influence genre emergence and discourse structures.
- Historical narratives shape collective temporality encoded in linguistic expression.

The integration of LTC with cultural evolution highlights language as a temporal artifact of human society. This synthesis allows for a multi-scale analysis of linguistic change—biological, cognitive, and cultural—operating across overlapping time cycles.

10 Conclusion

Linguistics in the Time Cycle (LTC) reframes language as a temporally dynamic system, deeply embedded in biological rhythms, cognitive states, and cultural patterns. By aligning linguistic phenomena with temporal structures—recursion with neural oscillation, register shifts with social time, and discourse with historical cycles—LTC provides a powerful interdisciplinary paradigm.

Beyond metaphor, LTC enables practical applications across cognitive science, neurolinguistics, cultural analytics, and artificial intelligence. Experimental methods, computational models, and phylogenetic analysis can all be adapted to test and simulate LTC principles. In doing so, LTC bridges micro-level cognitive processes and macro-level cultural histories, offering a unified temporal grammar for the evolution and expression of language.

As this framework matures, it holds promise for future research on how humans not only create and interpret language, but also inhabit it through time.

References

[1] Labov, W. (1994). *Principles of Linguistic Change, Volume I: Internal Factors*. Blackwell.

[2] Croft, W. (2000). *Explaining Language Change: An Evolutionary Approach*. Longman.

[3] Bybee, J. (2010). *Language, Usage and Cognition*. Cambridge University Press.

[4] Trudgill, P. (2004). *New-dialect formation: The inevitability of colonial Englishes*. Edinburgh University Press.

[5] De Saussure, F. (1916). *Course in General Linguistics*.

[6] Bakhtin, M. (1981). *The Dialogic Imagination: Four Essays*. University of Texas Press.

[7] Fairclough, N. (1995). *Media Discourse*. Edward Arnold.

[8] Blommaert, J. (2005). *Discourse: A Critical Introduction*. Cambridge University Press.

[9] Austin, J. L. (1962). *How to Do Things with Words*. Oxford University Press.

[10] Cap, P. (2013). *Proximization: The Pragmatics of Political Discourse*. John Benjamins.

[11] Chomsky, N. (1957). *Syntactic Structures*. Mouton.

[12] Everett, D. (2005). Cultural constraints on grammar and cognition in Pirahã. *Current Anthropology*, 46(4), 621–646.

[13] Berlin, B. (1996). Recursion and language. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 25, 97–115.

[14] Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors We Live By*. University of Chicago Press.

[15] Jackendoff, R. (2002). *Foundations of Language: Brain, Meaning, Grammar, Evolution*. Oxford University Press.

[16] Halliday, M. A. K. (1978). *Language as Social Semiotic*. Edward Arnold.

[17] Bernstein, B. (1971). *Class, Codes and Control*. Routledge.

[18] Eckert, P. (2000). *Linguistic Variation as Social Practice*. Blackwell.

[19] Blommaert, J. (2007). Sociolinguistics and discourse analysis: Orders of indexicality. *Journal of Multicultural Discourses*, 2(2), 115–130.

[20] Androutsopoulos, J. (2014). Computer-mediated communication and linguistic landscapes. *Multilingual Margins*, 1(1), 7–31.

[21] Wyatt, J. K., Ritz-De Cecco, A., Czeisler, C. A., & Dijk, D. J. (1999). Circadian temperature and melatonin rhythms, sleep, and neurobehavioral function in humans living on a 20-h day. *American Journal of Physiology*, 277(4), R1152–R1163.

[22] Monaghan, P., Schoetensack, C., & Rebuschat, P. (2017). Sleep and second language acquisition: The role of chunking and associative learning. *Language Learning*, 67(S1), 192–214.

[23] Mirković, J., & Gaskell, M. G. (2011). Does sleep improve your grammar? Preferential consolidation of arbitrary components of new linguistic knowledge. *PLoS ONE*, 6(4), e18001.

[24] Cutler, A. (1982). *Slips of the Tongue and Language Production*. Mouton.

[25] Born, J., & Wilhelm, I. (2012). System consolidation of memory during sleep. *Psychological Research*, 76(2), 192–203.

[26] Kirby, S. (2001). Spontaneous evolution of linguistic structure—An iterated learning model of the emergence of regularity and irregularity. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 5(2), 102–110.

[27] Elman, J. L. (1990). Finding structure in time. *Cognitive Science*, 14(2), 179–211.

[28] Hochreiter, S., & Schmidhuber, J. (1997). Long short-term memory. *Neural Computation*, 9(8), 1735–1780.

[29] Gries, S. T., & Hilpert, M. (2010). Modeling diachronic change in the third person singular s on the basis of corpora. *Corpus Linguistics and Linguistic Theory*, 6(1), 1–28.

[30] Jurafsky, D., & Martin, J. H. (2009). *Speech and Language Processing*. Pearson.

[31] Dawkins, R. (1976). *The Selfish Gene*. Oxford University Press.

[32] Croft, W. (2000). *Explaining Language Change: An Evolutionary Approach*. Longman.

[33] Boyd, R., & Richerson, P. J. (1985). *Culture and the Evolutionary Process*. University of Chicago Press.

[34] Mesoudi, A. (2011). *Cultural Evolution: How Darwinian Theory Can Explain Human Culture and Synthesize the Social Sciences*. University of Chicago Press.

[35] Tomasello, M. (1999). *The Cultural Origins of Human Cognition*. Harvard University Press.