# Universal Motion Theory: Core Framework and Expansion Applications

### Richard Bernot

### April 27, 2025

#### Abstract

Universal Motion Theory (UMT) presents a new foundational model for the emergence of time, gravitational structures, and cosmic phenomena. Based on curvature activation without infinities or pre-existing fields, UMT remains consistent with general relativity in high-activation limits while offering falsifiable predictions across gravitational waves, cosmic voids, cosmic microwave background structure, and fast radio bursts. This comprehensive paper presents the core framework of UMT and its major expansion applications.

The theoretical framework is developed through an activation-weighted action principle, deriving modified field equations and conservation laws consistent with general relativity in high-activation limits. Observational anchors include predictions for gravitational wave echoes, weak lensing at cosmic void boundaries, fast radio burst localization patterns, and imprints on the cosmic microwave background. Each prediction offers a falsifiable test for curvature activation dynamics in upcoming and ongoing surveys.

# Contents

1

Introduction

2	Universal Motion Theory Core Framework					
	2.1	Curva	ture Activation Function			
	2.2	Physic	cal Motivation for the Activation Function $\Phi(\rho)$			
		2.2.1	Minimal Functional Form			
		2.2.2	Physical Interpretation of Parameters			
		2.2.3	Summary			
	2.3	Forma	d Mathematical Backbone			
		2.3.1	Activation-Weighted Action Principle			
		2.3.2	Definition of Curvature Density $\rho$			
		2.3.3	Field Equations			
		2.3.4	Conservation Laws			
		2.3.5	High-Activation Limit: Recovery of General Relativity			

5

		2.3.6 Summary	10				
	2.4	Key Quantities and Coupling Mechanisms	10				
		2.4.1 Curvature Density $\rho$	10				
		2.4.2 Coupling to Electromagnetic Fields	11				
		2.4.3 Summary	11				
	2.5	Curvature Invariants and Activation Criteria	12				
		2.5.1 Limitations of Ricci Scalar as Curvature Measure	12				
		2.5.2 Adoption of the Kretschmann Scalar	12				
		2.5.3 Revised Definition of Curvature Density $\rho$	12				
		2.5.4 Operational Implications	13				
		2.5.5 Summary	13				
	2.6	Time Emergence	13				
	2.7	Gravitational Behavior	13				
	2.8	Avoidance of Infinities	13				
3	Act	ivation-Driven Recombination Modeling	15				
	3.1	Curvature Activation During Recombination	15				
	3.2	Emergent Large-Scale Structure	15				
	3.3	Statistical Properties of Activation Fluctuations	15				
	3.4	Observational Implications	16				
4	Ech	Echo Formation in Toroidal Curvature Structures 1					
	4.1	Toroidal Curvature Structures	17				
	4.2	Gravitational Wave Echoes	17				
	4.3	Schematic Representation	17				
	4.4	Comparison to Observations	17				
	4.5	Quantitative Observational Signatures	19				
		4.5.1 Gravitational Wave Echoes	19				
		4.5.2 Void Weak Lensing Profiles	19				
		4.5.3 Fast Radio Burst (FRB) Localization	20				
		4.5.4 Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) Anisotropies	20				
		4.5.5 Summary	20				
F	Cree	vitational Oviagonaa of Cogmia Vaida	01				
9		Activation Thresholds and Voids	<b>⊿⊥</b> 01				
	5.1	Weak Longing Signatures at Void Boundaries	21 91				
	0.4 5.2	Comparison to Observed Void Dynamics	21 91				
	J.J		21				
6	Jet	Directionality and Curvature Activation Gradients	22				
	6.1	Activation Gradient-Induced Alignment	$\overline{22}$				
	6.2	Statistical Jet Alignment Across Cosmological Scales	${22}$				
	0.2						
	6.3	Comparison to ACDM Expectations	-22				

7	Emergent Time Structure and Temporal Horizons	23			
	7.1 Time as Emergent from Bounded Motion	23			
	7.2 Temporal Horizons	23			
	7.3 Temporal Decoherence Near Activation Thresholds	23			
	7.4 Philosophical Implications	24			
8	Seed Structures of the Cosmic Web	25			
	8.1 Activation Gradient-Driven Structure Formation	25			
	8.2 Network Growth and Filament Formation	25			
	8.3 Observational Consistency	25			
9	Thermodynamic Analogs of Curvature Activation				
	9.1 Activation Transitions as Phase Changes	26			
	9.2 Entropy and Activation Gradients	26			
	9.3 Phase Transition Signatures	26			
10 Fast Radio Burst Generation from Curvature Activation Collapse					
	10.1 Activation Collapse Mechanism	27			
	10.2 FRB Timing and Energy Release	27			
	10.3 Localization Near Void Boundaries	27			
	10.4 Comparison to Observations	28			
11	Concluding Summary	29			
12	2 Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models	30			
12	<b>2 Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models</b> 12.1 Strengths of Existing Models	<b>30</b> 30			
12	Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models         12.1 Strengths of Existing Models         12.2 UMT Distinctions and Innovations	<b>30</b> 30 31			
12	Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models         12.1 Strengths of Existing Models         12.2 UMT Distinctions and Innovations         12.3 Philosophical Alignment and Departure	<b>30</b> 30 31 31			
12	2 Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models         12.1 Strengths of Existing Models         12.2 UMT Distinctions and Innovations         12.3 Philosophical Alignment and Departure         12.4 Summary	<b>30</b> 30 31 31 31			
12	Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models         12.1 Strengths of Existing Models .         12.2 UMT Distinctions and Innovations .         12.3 Philosophical Alignment and Departure .         12.4 Summary .         12.5 Parameter Coherence Across Scales .	<b>30</b> 30 31 31 31 31			
12	2 Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models         12.1 Strengths of Existing Models .         12.2 UMT Distinctions and Innovations .         12.3 Philosophical Alignment and Departure .         12.4 Summary .         12.5 Parameter Coherence Across Scales .         12.6 UMT Observational Predictions at a Glance .	<b>30</b> 30 31 31 31 31 31 32			
12	<ul> <li>Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models</li> <li>12.1 Strengths of Existing Models</li></ul>	<ul> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>32</li> </ul>			
12	<ul> <li>Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models</li> <li>12.1 Strengths of Existing Models</li></ul>	<ul> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>32</li> <li>34</li> </ul>			
12 13	<ul> <li>Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models</li> <li>12.1 Strengths of Existing Models</li></ul>	<ul> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>32</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> </ul>			
12 13	<ul> <li>Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models</li> <li>12.1 Strengths of Existing Models</li></ul>	<ul> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>32</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> </ul>			
12	<ul> <li>Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models</li> <li>12.1 Strengths of Existing Models</li></ul>	<b>30</b> 30 31 31 31 32 <b>32</b> <b>34</b> 34 34 34 34			
12	<ul> <li>Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models</li> <li>12.1 Strengths of Existing Models</li></ul>	<ul> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>32</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> </ul>			
12 13 14	<ul> <li>Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models</li> <li>12.1 Strengths of Existing Models</li></ul>	<ul> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>32</li> <li>32</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> </ul>			
12 13 14	<ul> <li>2 Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models</li> <li>12.1 Strengths of Existing Models</li></ul>	<ul> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>32</li> <li>32</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> </ul>			
12 13 14	<ul> <li>2 Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models</li> <li>12.1 Strengths of Existing Models</li></ul>	<ul> <li>30</li> <li>30</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>31</li> <li>32</li> <li>32</li> <li>32</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>36</li> </ul>			

15 Gravitational Wave Echo Toy Model under UMT	36
15.1 Echo Delay Time	37
15.2 Echo Amplitude Damping	37
15.3 Summary	37
16 Void Lensing Enhancement under UMT	37
16.1 Activation Gradient-Driven Lensing	37
16.2 Observational Implications	38
17 FRB Activation Collapse Energy Estimate	38
17.1 Stored Curvature Energy	38
17.2 Emission Efficiency	38
17.3 Timescale Consistency	39
17.4 Summary	39
18 Observational Limits on UMT Parameters	39
18.1 Constraints from Planck CMB Data	39
18.2 Constraints from Gravitational Wave Echo Searches	39
18.3 Constraints from Fast Radio Burst Properties	40
18.4 Summary of Observational Bounds	40
19 Simulation Design for UMT Activation Dynamics	40
19.1 Governing Equations	40
19.2 Variables and Evolution Scheme	40
19.3 Initial and Boundary Conditions	40
19.4 Numerical Methods	41
19.5 Observables and Outputs	41
References	42

# 1 Introduction

Motion is. All else becomes.

In constructing a theory of universal motion, we seek to explain the emergence of time, gravity, and structure without reliance on unobservable infinities or assumptions external to the observable universe. Existing models, while powerful, rely on the presence of preexisting fields, constants, or singularities that themselves remain unaccounted for.

Universal Motion Theory (UMT) proposes that motion is the primary condition: not the result of forces upon mass-energy, but the root condition from which fields, mass-energy, and temporal ordering emerge. Curvature activation – the idea that space-time curvature itself transitions between dormant and active states – provides the dynamic scaffolding upon which observable physics is built.

This manuscript presents the foundational axioms, mathematical structures, and empirical predictions of UMT. Beginning with a reexamination of motion and time, we proceed to define curvature activation formally, derive equations of bounded motion, and construct predictions related to gravitational wave echoes, cosmic void dynamics, early universe recombination, astrophysical jet alignment, and fast radio bursts.

Each section is structured to connect theoretical constructs directly to observable consequences, providing a clear path for empirical validation or falsification.

The aim is not to overthrow existing frameworks, but to offer a logically complete, metaphysically minimal foundation upon which further physics may be built.

Motion is. All else becomes.

# 2 Universal Motion Theory Core Framework

The Universal Motion Theory (UMT) asserts that motion is the foundational principle from which all observed phenomena emerge. In this framework, there is no pre-existing space, field, or medium. Instead, motion itself constitutes reality, and everything else — time, gravity, structure — arises as an emergent property of motion constraints.

We begin by defining the key postulates of UMT:

- 1. Motion is fundamental and cannot be derived from or reduced to anything else.
- 2. Time emerges from bounded motion; it does not pre-exist motion.
- 3. Curvature activation underlies gravitational phenomena.
- 4. There are no infinities or full-stops in motion.

These postulates form the logical scaffolding upon which the mathematical and observational consequences of UMT are built.

### 2.1 Curvature Activation Function

The behavior of motion under UMT is regulated by a curvature activation function  $\Phi(\rho)$ , where  $\rho$  denotes local curvature density. The function is defined as a logistic activation:

$$\Phi(\rho) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha(\rho - \rho_c)}}$$

where:

- $\alpha$  is the steepness parameter controlling activation sharpness,
- $\rho_c$  is the critical curvature density at which activation sharply transitions.

 $\Phi(\rho)$  transitions smoothly from near-zero to near-one as  $\rho$  crosses  $\rho_c$ .

This logistic form ensures that activation occurs progressively but sharply, avoiding step discontinuities that would imply unphysical behavior.

## **2.2** Physical Motivation for the Activation Function $\Phi(\rho)$

The curvature activation function  $\Phi(\rho)$  plays a central role in Universal Motion Theory (UMT), modulating the effective influence of curvature in spacetime. To ground the choice of  $\Phi(\rho)$  more firmly in physical principles, we propose a minimal set of guiding assumptions:

- Activation Threshold Behavior: There exists a critical curvature density  $\rho_c$  below which spacetime behaves quiescently (minimally responsive to curvature perturbations), and above which curvature becomes dynamically active.
- Smooth Transition: The transition between quiescent and active regimes is continuous and differentiable, avoiding physical singularities or discontinuities in spacetime response.



Figure 1: Curvature activation function  $\Phi(\rho)$  vs  $\rho$ .

• Bounded Response: The activation function must asymptotically approach zero at very low curvature ( $\rho \rightarrow 0$ ) and approach unity at very high curvature ( $\rho \gg \rho_c$ ), reflecting maximal curvature activation without requiring infinities.

These three assumptions naturally suggest that  $\Phi(\rho)$  should take the form of a bounded, smooth, monotonic increasing function with a controllable transition region centered around  $\rho_c$ .

#### 2.2.1 Minimal Functional Form

Among the simplest functions satisfying these criteria is the logistic (sigmoid) function:

$$\Phi(\rho) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left[-\alpha(\rho - \rho_c)\right]}$$

where:

- $\alpha$  controls the steepness of the transition from inactive to active curvature,
- $\rho_c$  sets the critical curvature density threshold for activation onset.

This form ensures:

- $\Phi(\rho) \to 0$  smoothly as  $\rho \to -\infty$  (effectively  $\rho \ll \rho_c$ ),
- $\Phi(\rho) \to 1$  smoothly as  $\rho \to +\infty$  (effectively  $\rho \gg \rho_c$ ),
- $\Phi(\rho_c) = 0.5$ , defining the midpoint activation.

#### 2.2.2 Physical Interpretation of Parameters

The parameter  $\rho_c$  can be tied to characteristic curvature scales observable in nature. For example:

- In a cosmological context,  $\rho_c$  might correspond to curvature densities associated with large-scale structure boundaries or recombination-era fluctuations.
- In strong gravity contexts (e.g., black holes),  $\rho_c$  would be comparable to the curvature scales near event horizons, possibly linked to Planck curvature bounds or modified by environment-dependent factors.

The steepness parameter  $\alpha$  reflects how sharply activation responds to deviations from  $\rho_c$ :

- A large  $\alpha$  produces a near-step-function transition, concentrating activation sharply at  $\rho_c$ .
- A smaller  $\alpha$  results in a gradual transition over a range of curvature densities.

These parameters are not arbitrary: they must be constrained by observational data such as gravitational wave echo patterns, cosmic void lensing profiles, and fast radio burst energetics, as detailed in later sections.

#### 2.2.3 Summary

The logistic form of  $\Phi(\rho)$  emerges naturally from minimal physical assumptions requiring boundedness, smoothness, and a threshold transition in curvature activation. Its parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\rho_c$  serve as physically meaningful quantities to be constrained by empirical observations across cosmological and gravitational phenomena.

### 2.3 Formal Mathematical Backbone

#### 2.3.1 Activation-Weighted Action Principle

To formalize Universal Motion Theory (UMT), we define an action integral where the standard Einstein-Hilbert term is modulated by the curvature activation function  $\Phi(\rho)$ :

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \,\Phi(\rho) \,R + S_{\text{matter}}$$

where:

- g is the determinant of the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$ ,
- *R* is the Ricci scalar,
- $\rho$  is a curvature density quantity (to be precisely defined below),
- $\Phi(\rho)$  is the activation function, satisfying  $0 \le \Phi(\rho) \le 1$ ,
- $S_{\text{matter}}$  represents the action of matter fields minimally coupled to  $g_{\mu\nu}$ .

#### **2.3.2** Definition of Curvature Density $\rho$

We define the curvature density  $\rho$  as a scalar function proportional to R:

$$\rho = \frac{R}{R_c}$$

where  $R_c$  is a critical curvature scale associated with activation onset. This identification grounds  $\rho$  in a measurable geometric quantity, linking activation directly to spacetime curvature.

#### 2.3.3 Field Equations

Varying the action with respect to the metric yields the modified gravitational field equations:

$$\Phi(\rho)G_{\mu\nu} + (g_{\mu\nu}\Box - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu})\,\Phi(\rho) = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$$

where:

- $G_{\mu\nu}$  is the Einstein tensor,
- $T_{\mu\nu}$  is the matter energy-momentum tensor,

- $\Box = g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta}$  is the d'Alembertian,
- $\nabla_{\mu}$  is the covariant derivative.

Thus, activation gradients  $\nabla_{\mu} \Phi(\rho)$  act as additional geometric sources beyond matter.

#### 2.3.4 Conservation Laws

Taking the covariant divergence of both sides:

$$\nabla^{\mu} \left( \Phi(\rho) G_{\mu\nu} + \left( g_{\mu\nu} \Box - \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \right) \Phi(\rho) \right) = 8\pi G \nabla^{\mu} T_{\mu\nu}$$

Using Bianchi identities ( $\nabla^{\mu}G_{\mu\nu} = 0$ ) and the commutation of covariant derivatives on scalars, it follows:

$$8\pi G \nabla^{\mu} T_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

provided the additional terms involving  $\Phi(\rho)$  satisfy internal consistency conditions. Thus, matter energy-momentum is still locally conserved, consistent with observational expectations.

#### 2.3.5 High-Activation Limit: Recovery of General Relativity

In the limit  $\Phi(\rho) \to 1$  (high-activation, strong curvature):

$$S \to \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} R + S_{\text{matter}}$$

and the field equations reduce to standard Einstein equations:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$$

Thus, Universal Motion Theory smoothly recovers general relativity under high-activation conditions.

#### 2.3.6 Summary

The activation-weighted gravitational action provides a formal backbone for UMT. Curvature activation acts as a dynamic modulation of spacetime structure, introducing new phenomenology while remaining anchored to classical limits in appropriate regimes.

### 2.4 Key Quantities and Coupling Mechanisms

#### 2.4.1 Curvature Density $\rho$

In the Universal Motion Theory framework, the curvature density  $\rho$  is defined to anchor activation dynamics to geometric properties of spacetime. We formally define:

$$\rho = \frac{R}{R_c}$$

where:

- R is the Ricci scalar curvature,
- $R_c$  is a characteristic critical curvature scale that marks the transition threshold for activation.

Thus,  $\rho$  represents a dimensionless normalized curvature, providing a natural control parameter for the activation function  $\Phi(\rho)$ .

In highly symmetric cases:

- Schwarzschild spacetime (outside matter): R = 0 implies  $\rho = 0$ ,
- **FLRW cosmology**: R is proportional to energy density and expansion rate, yielding time-dependent  $\rho$ ,
- Vacuum or void regions:  $R \approx 0$ , thus  $\Phi(\rho) \approx 0$ , corresponding to gravitational quiescence.

This operationalizes  $\rho$  across diverse spacetime environments without introducing new unobservable fields.

### 2.4.2 Coupling to Electromagnetic Fields

Activation gradients are proposed to couple indirectly to electromagnetic phenomena by modulating effective permeability and permittivity of the vacuum.

At leading order, we postulate that the electromagnetic Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{EM}$  acquires a  $\Phi(\rho)$ -dependent prefactor:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm EM} = -\frac{1}{4} \Phi(\rho) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

where:

- $F_{\mu\nu}$  is the electromagnetic field strength tensor,
- $\Phi(\rho)$  modulates the effective electromagnetic stiffness.

In this picture:

- In low-activation regions ( $\Phi(\rho) \ll 1$ ), electromagnetic activity is suppressed.
- During activation collapse events (rapid transitions in  $\Phi$ ), stored motion energy can be explosively released into electromagnetic radiation, consistent with the observed properties of fast radio bursts (FRBs).

#### 2.4.3 Summary

The curvature density  $\rho$  serves as the geometric input to activation dynamics, and  $\Phi(\rho)$  modulates both gravitational and electromagnetic responses. This unified curvature-activation view provides a consistent mechanism for linking large-scale spacetime structure with localized energetic phenomena.

## 2.5 Curvature Invariants and Activation Criteria

The quantity  $\rho$  governs activation dynamics within Universal Motion Theory (UMT). Its proper definition is crucial for physical consistency, particularly in regions where traditional measures like the Ricci scalar R vanish despite the presence of significant gravitational effects (e.g., Schwarzschild vacuum solutions outside mass distributions).

### 2.5.1 Limitations of Ricci Scalar as Curvature Measure

In vacuum spacetimes, the Ricci scalar R identically vanishes:

$$R = 0$$
 (vacuum)

Yet gravitational phenomena, such as orbital motion around compact objects, persist. Thus, defining  $\rho$  solely in terms of R would incorrectly imply no curvature activation in vacuum regions, undermining UMT's ability to describe gravitational effects accurately.

### 2.5.2 Adoption of the Kretschmann Scalar

To resolve this, we adopt the Kretschmann scalar K as the foundation for defining  $\rho$ . The Kretschmann scalar is given by:

$$K = R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$$

where  $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$  is the Riemann curvature tensor. Key properties:

- K is strictly non-negative:  $K \ge 0$ ,
- K remains nonzero in vacuum spacetimes with intrinsic curvature (e.g., Schwarzschild, Kerr metrics),
- K scales naturally with gravitational strength without relying on matter presence.

### 2.5.3 Revised Definition of Curvature Density $\rho$

Accordingly, we redefine the curvature density  $\rho$  as:

$$\rho = \frac{\sqrt{K}}{K_c}$$

where:

- K is the Kretschmann scalar,
- $K_c$  is a critical Kretschmann scale marking the onset of activation,
- The square root ensures that  $\rho$  has the same physical dimensions as inverse length squared (matching the dimensionality of R), preserving consistency with previous formulations.

Thus,  $\Phi(\rho)$  becomes sensitive to intrinsic spacetime curvature regardless of local matter content.

#### 2.5.4 Operational Implications

With this redefinition:

- Gravitational phenomena in vacuum regions are correctly captured as activated by nonzero  $\Phi(\rho)$ .
- Activation transitions are governed by geometric properties of spacetime rather than by local matter density alone.
- UMT remains compatible with observations of gravitational effects near massive objects even in the absence of local matter.

#### 2.5.5 Summary

The Kretschmann scalar provides a physically robust, geometrically complete curvature measure for defining  $\rho$ . This refinement ensures that UMT remains operational across both matter-filled and vacuum spacetimes, maintaining observational consistency and theoretical integrity.

### 2.6 Time Emergence

Under UMT, time is not a background parameter but an emergent consequence of motion bounded by curvature activation. In low-curvature regimes ( $\Phi(\rho) \approx 0$ ), motion exists without the necessity of sequential ordering (timelessness). In activated regions ( $\Phi(\rho) \approx 1$ ), bounded motion constraints induce the sequential ordering perceived as time.

Thus, time is localized to regions where  $\Phi(\rho)$  exceeds a threshold, and different regions may experience differing degrees of temporal ordering depending on local curvature density.

### 2.7 Gravitational Behavior

Gravitational phenomena arise not from a field or force but as a byproduct of curvature activation gradients. Motion tends toward lower activation states, and gradients in  $\Phi(\rho)$  produce effective forces analogous to gravity.

The gradient of activation determines the effective acceleration:

$$a_{\mu} \propto -\nabla_{\mu} \Phi(\rho)$$

where  $a_{\mu}$  is the four-acceleration vector.

In high-activation regions, this reproduces behaviors analogous to general relativistic gravity. In low-activation or activation-threshold regions, deviations from general relativity are predicted.

### 2.8 Avoidance of Infinities

The logistic nature of  $\Phi(\rho)$  ensures that no infinite densities, energies, or curvatures are required within UMT. As  $\rho \to \infty$ ,  $\Phi(\rho) \to 1$  asymptotically, but never actually reaches

a discontinuous jump. This avoids singularities and allows for smooth transitions even at extreme conditions.

# 3 Activation-Driven Recombination Modeling

In Universal Motion Theory (UMT), recombination is understood not merely as a chemical transition but as a curvature-activation phase boundary crossing. The recombination epoch corresponds to the global transition of spacetime regions from partially activated to fully activated curvature, leading to the emergence of large-scale structure.

## 3.1 Curvature Activation During Recombination

Prior to recombination, regions of the universe exhibited low but rising curvature densities. As cosmic expansion reduced local energy densities, curvature thresholds were approached and crossed, triggering activation.

The logistic curvature activation function  $\Phi(\rho)$  governs this process:

$$\Phi(\rho) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha(\rho - \rho_c)}}$$

where:

- $\rho$  is the local curvature density,
- $\alpha$  is the activation steepness,
- $\rho_c$  is the critical activation threshold.

The recombination event corresponds to a global statistical crossing of  $\rho_c$  across space-time.

### 3.2 Emergent Large-Scale Structure

As regions activate, the binding of motion into locally bounded configurations occurs. This generates effective potential wells, seeds of proto-structure, without requiring primordial matter overdensities.

The effective gravitational force from activation gradients is:

$$a_{\mu} \propto -\nabla_{\mu} \Phi(\rho)$$

During recombination, small statistical variations in local curvature densities  $\delta \rho$  amplify into significant activation gradients  $\nabla_{\mu} \Phi(\rho)$ .

These gradients then drive the formation of early structures via motion attraction rather than traditional matter collapse models.

### **3.3** Statistical Properties of Activation Fluctuations

The fluctuations  $\delta\rho$  during the recombination transition generate an effective activation field perturbation  $\delta\Phi$ . Since  $\Phi(\rho)$  exhibits sharp curvature near  $\rho_c$ , even small  $\delta\rho$  produce large  $\delta\Phi$ :

$$\delta \Phi \approx \alpha \Phi (1 - \Phi) \delta \rho$$

where  $\Phi$  is evaluated near  $\rho_c$ .

Thus, even minor statistical variations in curvature density are exponentially amplified into activation contrasts capable of seeding cosmic structures.

## 3.4 Observational Implications

This model predicts:

- Enhanced structure formation correlated directly with curvature density fluctuations.
- Nontrivial deviations from standard ACDM expectations at recombination scales.
- Potential observable imprints in the cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropies, tied to activation dynamics rather than purely density dynamics.

These signatures offer potential observational tests for UMT against standard cosmological models.

# 4 Echo Formation in Toroidal Curvature Structures

Universal Motion Theory (UMT) predicts that certain gravitational wave phenomena, specifically echoes following black hole mergers, naturally arise from toroidal curvature activation structures rather than traditional event horizons.

### 4.1 Toroidal Curvature Structures

Rather than assuming a spherically symmetric event horizon, UMT proposes that extremely high curvature regions stabilize into toroidal structures at critical activation densities  $\rho_c$ . The topology allows for motion to remain bounded without requiring a true singularity or trapped surface.

Toroidal curvature structures exhibit localized regions of maximal activation  $\Phi(\rho) \approx 1$  encircling a central core of suppressed activation, forming a dynamically stable configuration.

### 4.2 Gravitational Wave Echoes

When a merger event disturbs a toroidal curvature structure, the excitation of trapped motion within the toroidal region produces delayed secondary emissions — perceived as gravitational wave echoes.

The characteristic echo time delay  $\Delta t_{echo}$  is set by the size of the activated region:

$$\Delta t_{\rm echo} \approx \frac{2R_{\rm torus}}{c}$$

where  $R_{\text{torus}}$  is the effective major radius of the toroidal activation structure. Damping and harmonic structure of the echoes are determined by:

- The sharpness of the activation gradient at the torus boundary,
- The internal activation stability,
- The energy absorption properties of the activated medium.

### 4.3 Schematic Representation

In this schematic, the activated toroidal region traps disturbances, leading to delayed reemission detectable as gravitational wave echoes.

### 4.4 Comparison to Observations

Recent observational claims of gravitational wave echoes, particularly following events like GW150914, can be interpreted within this framework. The observed echo time delays and damping profiles are naturally explained without invoking exotic matter or modifications to general relativity at large scales.

UMT predicts:

• Echo time delays scaling with effective torus size.



Figure 2: Schematic of toroidal curvature resonance with la echo modes and radius  $R_\tau$ 

Figure 2: Schematic of toroidal trapping and echo generation.

- Broadening and damping correlated with activation gradient steepness.
- Potential deviations from perfect echo periodicity due to dynamic activation boundary adjustments.

Further observational data and echo detection efforts can help distinguish UMT toroidal structures from classical or quantum-modified horizon models.

# 4.5 Quantitative Observational Signatures

Universal Motion Theory (UMT) offers concrete, testable predictions across multiple astrophysical observations. These predictions enable empirical confrontation with data, offering potential falsifiability pathways for the theory.

### 4.5.1 Gravitational Wave Echoes

Following black hole mergers, toroidal curvature structures predict gravitational wave echoes with characteristic time delays:

$$\Delta t_{\rm echo} \approx \frac{2R_{\rm torus}}{c}$$

where:

- $R_{\text{torus}}$  is the major radius of the toroidal activation structure,
- c is the speed of light.

Predictions:

- Echo time delays proportional to merger remnant size,
- Damped, quasi-periodic echo trains,
- Deviation from perfect periodicity due to dynamic activation boundary adjustment.

Comparison: Events such as GW150914, where tentative echoes have been reported, can be reanalyzed under UMT echo timing constraints.

### 4.5.2 Void Weak Lensing Profiles

At cosmic void boundaries, curvature activation gradients predict enhanced weak gravitational lensing:

$$\theta_{\text{deflect}} \propto \nabla_{\mu} \Phi(\rho)$$

Predictions:

- Concentration of lensing signatures near void edges,
- Suppression of lensing signals deep inside void centers,

• Possible threshold-dependent sharpness in lensing profiles distinguishing them from ACDM models.

Comparison: Future surveys (e.g., LSST, Euclid) can map void lensing to test these activation gradient effects.

### 4.5.3 Fast Radio Burst (FRB) Localization

Activation collapse events predict preferential FRB localization near void-filament bound-aries:

Predictions:

- Spatial correlation between FRB locations and large-scale structure gradients,
- Burst durations on millisecond timescales linked to steepness parameter  $\alpha$ ,
- Possible clustering of FRBs at redshifts corresponding to activation-transition epochs.

Comparison: FRB surveys with precise localizations (e.g., CHIME, ASKAP, DSA-2000) offer critical tests.

### 4.5.4 Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) Anisotropies

During recombination, curvature activation dynamics predict specific imprints in the CMB: Predictions:

- Slight enhancement of small-scale anisotropies from activation-seeded structure,
- Possible deviations from Gaussianity tied to activation fluctuation statistics,
- Statistical signatures distinguishable from pure matter-density fluctuation models.

Comparison: High-resolution CMB data (e.g., Planck, upcoming CMB-S4) can be analyzed for such activation-induced residuals.

### 4.5.5 Summary

UMT thus offers measurable, distinct observational signatures across gravitational waves, void lensing, FRB localizations, and CMB anisotropies. Future precision observations will provide crucial tests of the activation-driven structure of spacetime proposed by UMT.

# 5 Gravitational Quiescence of Cosmic Voids

In Universal Motion Theory (UMT), the observed gravitational behavior of cosmic voids — large regions with low matter density — is explained through the activation dynamics of curvature rather than solely through matter distribution.

## 5.1 Activation Thresholds and Voids

Regions of low matter density correspond to low curvature densities  $\rho$ . In such regions,  $\Phi(\rho)$  remains significantly below unity, indicating partial or non-activation.

This results in effective gravitational quiescence:

$$a_{\mu} \propto -\nabla_{\mu} \Phi(\rho)$$

is suppressed where  $\nabla_{\mu} \Phi(\rho) \approx 0$ .

Thus, voids do not exert significant gravitational pull, even if some residual matter exists within them.

## 5.2 Weak Lensing Signatures at Void Boundaries

At the boundaries of voids, curvature density gradients  $\nabla_{\mu}\rho$  — and thus activation gradients  $\nabla_{\mu}\Phi(\rho)$  — become non-negligible.

This predicts:

- Weak gravitational lensing signatures localized at void boundaries,
- Suppressed but nonzero deflection angles,
- Boundary-focused rather than volume-distributed lensing behavior.

Such signatures are consistent with observations of cosmic void lensing, where the gravitational influence is concentrated near the void edges rather than uniformly distributed.

## 5.3 Comparison to Observed Void Dynamics

Standard ACDM models attribute void gravitational behavior to the low matter density alone. UMT provides an alternative interpretation: the gravitational suppression arises fundamentally from curvature activation thresholds, independent of total mass density.

Predictions include:

- Enhanced gravitational quiescence compared to matter-only models,
- Stronger lensing signatures at sharply defined void edges,
- Potential activation-threshold-dependent variations between voids of different sizes.

Future precision measurements of void dynamics and weak lensing patterns may help discriminate between curvature activation and matter-density-driven models.

# 6 Jet Directionality and Curvature Activation Gradients

Universal Motion Theory (UMT) offers a natural explanation for the observed directional alignments of astrophysical jets, particularly those associated with active galactic nuclei (AGN) and quasars, by invoking large-scale curvature activation gradients.

## 6.1 Activation Gradient-Induced Alignment

The directionality of jets is proposed to arise from the alignment of local activation gradients  $\nabla_{\mu} \Phi(\rho)$ .

Since motion in UMT is driven by minimizing activation gradients, jet material preferentially accelerates along directions where  $\Phi(\rho)$  declines most sharply.

The effective acceleration is:

$$a_{\mu} \propto -\nabla_{\mu} \Phi(\rho)$$

Thus, jets tend to align with pre-existing curvature activation tension lines established by large-scale cosmic structure.

### 6.2 Statistical Jet Alignment Across Cosmological Scales

UMT predicts that on sufficiently large scales:

- Jets will exhibit preferred alignment directions correlated with activation gradient fields,
- These alignments will persist over megaparsec to gigaparsec scales,
- Deviations from random jet orientation distributions will be detectable in sufficiently large samples.

Observational hints of large-scale jet alignments in quasar populations are consistent with this prediction.

### 6.3 Comparison to ACDM Expectations

Standard ACDM cosmology predicts that jet orientations should be statistically random beyond local environmental influences, as large-scale structure is considered too diffuse to enforce coherent alignment.

UMT offers a contrasting view:

- Coherent activation gradients pervade the cosmic web,
- These gradients exert directional influence even across voids and filaments,
- Jet orientation patterns are thus signatures of underlying activation structure.

Statistical studies comparing quasar jet alignments with cosmic web features could provide further tests of UMT's activation-driven predictions.

# 7 Emergent Time Structure and Temporal Horizons

Universal Motion Theory (UMT) redefines time as an emergent property of bounded motion, dependent upon local curvature activation. This view leads to the prediction of temporal horizons and novel behaviors near activation thresholds.

### 7.1 Time as Emergent from Bounded Motion

Time arises only where curvature activation  $\Phi(\rho)$  approaches unity, enforcing motion constraints that manifest as sequential ordering.

In regions where  $\Phi(\rho) \ll 1$ , motion remains largely unbounded and unordered — corresponding to timeless or near-timeless domains.

Thus, time is localized, emergent, and dynamic rather than a fundamental background parameter.

### 7.2 Temporal Horizons

Temporal horizons naturally arise at boundaries where activation levels change sharply.

Consider a spatial boundary where curvature density transitions across  $\rho_c$ , creating an activation boundary:

$$\Delta \Phi \approx \alpha \Phi (1 - \Phi) \Delta \rho$$

At such boundaries:

- Sequential motion becomes increasingly constrained when crossing into higher activation zones,
- Entities approaching a low-activation region experience temporal decoherence,
- Causal ordering may break down across sufficiently sharp activation gradients.

Temporal horizons mark the edge of regions where ordered temporal evolution is maintained.

### 7.3 Temporal Decoherence Near Activation Thresholds

Near the activation threshold  $\rho_c$ , partial activation  $\Phi(\rho) \sim 0.5$  implies:

- Incomplete bounding of motion,
- Localized fluctuations between ordered and disordered evolution,
- Stochastic temporal behavior observable as decoherence effects.

Temporal decoherence may manifest observationally as:

• Anomalous timing jitter in signals traversing activation transition regions,

- Variable propagation speeds for causal influences near threshold boundaries,
- Suppressed coherence of motion-based phenomena such as wavefronts or structured emissions.

# 7.4 Philosophical Implications

UMT's treatment of time challenges traditional assumptions:

- Time is not universally continuous or absolute,
- Different regions of the universe may experience differing degrees of temporal ordering,
- Fundamental notions of causality are local, emergent, and context-dependent.

This framework offers a fertile ground for re-examining cosmological evolution, black hole interiors, and early-universe conditions from a motion-centric perspective.

# 8 Seed Structures of the Cosmic Web

Universal Motion Theory (UMT) attributes the origin of the cosmic web not to primordial matter overdensities alone, but to curvature activation gradients arising during early motion-bounded system activations.

# 8.1 Activation Gradient-Driven Structure Formation

During recombination and subsequent cosmic cooling, regions of differing curvature densities  $\rho$  transitioned across the activation threshold  $\rho_c$  at different rates.

Gradients in activation  $\nabla_{\mu} \Phi(\rho)$  seeded directional biases for motion aggregation, forming filamentary and wall-like structures without requiring initial matter anisotropies of large amplitude.

Effective acceleration followed:

$$a_{\mu} \propto -\nabla_{\mu} \Phi(\rho)$$

resulting in motion convergence along activation gradient directions.

# 8.2 Network Growth and Filament Formation

The initial activation gradients created preferential pathways along which motion-bound structures formed.

Key properties include:

- Self-reinforcing activation along filaments,
- Suppression of structure formation within underactivated voids,
- Hierarchical web-like growth patterns correlated with curvature gradient networks.

Thus, the cosmic web emerges naturally as a reflection of curvature activation topology rather than purely gravitational instability from matter fluctuations.

# 8.3 Observational Consistency

Observations of large-scale filamentary structures, void distributions, and anisotropic clustering are consistent with an activation-driven growth model.

Predictions include:

- Strong filamentary connectivity even in low-matter regions,
- Activation threshold dependencies in void-filament transition zones,
- Potential curvature signatures detectable via gravitational lensing of background sources.

# 9 Thermodynamic Analogs of Curvature Activation

UMT's curvature activation framework admits a natural thermodynamic analogy, offering additional predictive power and interpretive clarity.

## 9.1 Activation Transitions as Phase Changes

The logistic activation function  $\Phi(\rho)$  resembles an order parameter in phase transitions. Key parallels include:

- Curvature density  $\rho$  acts as a control parameter analogous to temperature or pressure,
- Activation  $\Phi(\rho)$  functions as an order parameter transitioning smoothly from disordered (low activation) to ordered (high activation) states,
- The critical threshold  $\rho_c$  defines a pseudo-phase boundary between motion regimes.

Thus, the activation process can be understood as a continuous (second-order) phase transition in the curvature-motion system.

## 9.2 Entropy and Activation Gradients

Entropy production in UMT correlates with activation gradients.

Regions with strong  $\nabla_{\mu} \Phi(\rho)$  correspond to locations where motion reorganizes and entropy flows dynamically.

Predictions include:

- Entropy generation rates proportional to activation gradient magnitudes,
- Preferential entropy outflow along activation gradient directions,
- Observable consequences in anisotropies of cosmic microwave background (CMB) residuals and in fast transient phenomena such as FRBs.

## 9.3 Phase Transition Signatures

Signatures of activation-driven phase transitions may include:

- Sharp changes in large-scale structure growth rates,
- Anomalous clustering behaviors near critical activation epochs,
- Residual activation patterns imprinted in background radiation fields.

Future observational studies targeting entropy distribution patterns and cosmic background fluctuations may provide critical tests of the thermodynamic analog structure within UMT.

# 10 Fast Radio Burst Generation from Curvature Activation Collapses

Universal Motion Theory (UMT) provides a natural mechanism for the origin of fast radio bursts (FRBs) based on sudden collapses across curvature activation thresholds.

### 10.1 Activation Collapse Mechanism

In regions near the activation threshold  $\rho_c$ , small perturbations can cause local curvature densities to suddenly cross  $\rho_c$ , triggering abrupt activation or deactivation events.

Such activation collapses release bound motion energy, manifesting as highly coherent, intense electromagnetic bursts.

The critical triggering condition is:

$$\delta\rho\gtrsim \frac{1}{\alpha}$$

where  $\delta \rho$  is the local curvature fluctuation and  $\alpha$  is the steepness parameter of  $\Phi(\rho)$ .

### **10.2** FRB Timing and Energy Release

The timescale of activation collapse is determined by the steepness  $\alpha$ :

$$\tau_{\rm collapse} \sim \frac{1}{\alpha}$$

High  $\alpha$  values produce ultra-rapid transitions, consistent with FRB durations on the order of milliseconds.

The released energy scales with the local activation energy differential:

$$\Delta E \propto \Delta \Phi(\rho)$$

allowing for a range of burst energies depending on the depth of the curvature collapse.

### **10.3** Localization Near Void Boundaries

UMT predicts that activation collapses — and thus FRBs — preferentially occur near cosmic void boundaries where:

- Curvature gradients are steep,
- Activation thresholds are marginally maintained,
- Small perturbations can drive rapid transitions.

This leads to statistical clustering of FRBs near large-scale cosmic structure features.

# 10.4 Comparison to Observations

FRB properties consistent with UMT activation collapse predictions include:

- Millisecond burst durations,
- High brightness temperatures implying coherent emission,
- Wide distribution across cosmological distances,
- Potential association with underdense regions and cosmic web structures.

Future precise localizations of FRB sources relative to cosmic voids and filaments could provide direct observational tests of the activation-collapse model.

# 11 Concluding Summary

Universal Motion Theory (UMT) offers a fundamentally new framework for understanding the emergence of time, gravitational phenomena, and large-scale cosmic structure.

By treating motion as foundational and curvature activation as the driver of bounded behavior, UMT provides unified explanations for:

- Gravitational wave echoes arising from toroidal curvature structures,
- Gravitational quiescence of cosmic voids,
- Large-scale jet alignments through activation gradients,
- Emergent time structure and temporal horizons,
- Cosmic web formation via activation-driven seed structures,
- Fast radio bursts as curvature activation collapses.

Crucially, UMT eliminates the need for infinities, singularities, and pre-existing background fields. All phenomena emerge from the intrinsic properties of motion constrained by curvature activation dynamics.

Future observational programs — particularly those targeting gravitational wave echoes, void weak lensing, FRB localizations, and CMB anisotropy patterns — offer avenues for falsifying or supporting the predictions of UMT.

Universal Motion Theory thus stands not as a closed system, but as an open framework, inviting empirical testing, refinement, or refutation through direct observational confrontation.

# Falsifiability and Observational Stakes

A cornerstone of scientific theory is empirical falsifiability. Universal Motion Theory (UMT) makes specific, testable predictions across multiple domains, enabling future observations to validate or refute its framework.

Key falsifiability stakes include:

- Gravitational Wave Echoes: If future gravitational wave observations with increased sensitivity (e.g., LIGO A+, Cosmic Explorer) detect no evidence of post-merger gravitational wave echoes at amplitudes and delay times predicted by toroidal activation structures, this aspect of UMT would be directly challenged.
- Cosmic Microwave Background Anisotropies: If high-precision CMB measurements (e.g., CMB-S4) continue to match ACDM predictions without detectable smallscale deviations or activation-induced non-Gaussian signatures, UMT's recombination transition model would face increasing tension.
- Void Lensing Profiles: If cosmic void weak lensing measurements consistently align with standard expectations and show no enhancement at void boundaries attributable to activation gradients, UMT's large-scale structure predictions would require revision.

• Fast Radio Burst Properties: If FRB localization and energetics surveys demonstrate systematic properties inconsistent with curvature activation collapse models such as exclusive associations with magnetar progenitors or host galaxy populations incompatible with expected curvature conditions — UMT's FRB generation mechanism would be falsified.

It is recognized that observational non-detections must be interpreted cautiously, particularly given current instrumentation limits. False negatives may arise due to insufficient sensitivity, environmental noise, or incomplete data coverage. Nevertheless, UMT explicitly commits to confronting data as observational reach improves, refining or rejecting model elements based on empirical outcomes.

The framework outlined here is thus offered not as an unfalsifiable philosophical abstraction, but as a predictive, testable structure subject to the empirical rigor foundational to scientific inquiry.

As new observational windows open, UMT stands ready to be tested, refined, or discarded according to the evidence.

Motion is. All else becomes.

# 12 Comparison with Standard Cosmological Models

Universal Motion Theory (UMT) seeks not to discard the achievements of modern cosmology and gravitation theory, but to provide an alternative foundational framework that is both metaphysically minimal and observationally testable. A balanced comparison with prevailing models highlights both continuity and innovation.

# 12.1 Strengths of Existing Models

The standard cosmological model ( $\Lambda$ CDM) combined with general relativity (GR) has achieved remarkable successes, including:

- Predicting and explaining cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropies,
- Modeling large-scale structure growth through gravitational instability,
- Accurately describing gravitational lensing and orbital dynamics,
- Predicting gravitational waves from compact mergers, confirmed observationally.

Any alternative framework must respect these empirical victories and match them in high-activation or strong-field limits.

# 12.2 UMT Distinctions and Innovations

UMT distinguishes itself by:

- Eliminating infinities, singularities, and non-observable background fields,
- Treating motion as foundational rather than presupposing pre-existing spacetime,
- Introducing curvature activation as a dynamic, local, testable property,
- Predicting new phenomena such as gravitational wave echoes from toroidal structures,
- Offering alternative mechanisms for cosmic structure formation without initial matter overdensities.

Thus, while UMT reduces to behaviors analogous to general relativity in high-activation regimes, it diverges at activation thresholds and in low-curvature regions where standard models often extrapolate assumptions.

# 12.3 Philosophical Alignment and Departure

Both  $\Lambda$ CDM and UMT share a commitment to empirical testability and predictive modeling. However, UMT departs philosophically by rejecting foundational absolutes (such as static space, or infinite singularities) and grounding dynamics entirely in bounded motion and activation transitions.

This reframing is intended to offer not a replacement for successful models where they work, but an extension or alternative where unexplained phenomena or theoretical inconsistencies arise.

# 12.4 Summary

Future work must continue to benchmark UMT predictions against the precise successes of ACDM and general relativity. Only through rigorous empirical confrontation and philosophical clarity can UMT establish itself as a viable complementary or successor framework.

# 12.5 Parameter Coherence Across Scales

A key requirement for the viability of Universal Motion Theory (UMT) is that a single set of activation parameters  $(\alpha, \rho_c)$  must consistently describe gravitational behavior across vastly different curvature regimes — from cosmic voids to black hole interiors.

To demonstrate this coherence, Figure 3 plots the activation function  $\Phi(\rho)$  across curvature densities spanning more than twenty orders of magnitude. The plot illustrates the smooth transition of curvature activation, controlled by a single critical curvature density  $\rho_c$  and steepness parameter  $\alpha$ .

At very low  $\rho$  values (corresponding to cosmic voids,  $\rho \sim 10^{-20}$ ),  $\Phi(\rho)$  remains near zero, consistent with gravitational quiescence. As  $\rho$  approaches the critical scale  $\rho_c \sim 10^{-12}$ , activation rises sharply, corresponding to large-scale structures and matter clustering. At

very high  $\rho$  values (e.g., near black hole horizons,  $\rho \gg 1$ ),  $\Phi(\rho)$  asymptotes to unity, enabling full gravitational activation.

This behavior ensures that the same functional form for  $\Phi(\rho)$  naturally interpolates between cosmological, astrophysical, and strong-field environments without requiring multiple tuning regimes or scale-specific modifications.



Figure 3: Activation function  $\Phi(\rho)$  across curvature densities from cosmic voids to black hole interiors. The critical curvature density  $\rho_c$  sets the activation midpoint, and the steepness parameter  $\alpha$  controls the sharpness of the transition.

### 12.6 UMT Observational Predictions at a Glance

To aid empirical testing, Table 1 summarizes the major observational predictions of Universal Motion Theory (UMT) across multiple phenomena.

# 13 Quantitative Constraints and Parameter Space

While Universal Motion Theory (UMT) is still under development, preliminary constraints on the activation parameters ( $\alpha$ ,  $\rho_c$ ) can already be inferred from observational and theoretical considerations. This section outlines the emerging parameter space based on cosmic structure, gravitational wave observations, fast radio bursts, and cosmological background measurements.

Phenomenon	UMT Prediction	Testable Signature
Gravitational Wave Echoes	Echoes from toroidal activation	Quasi-periodic echoes following
	structures with size-dependent	mergers; delay $\Delta t_{\rm echo} \propto R_{\rm torus}$ ;
	delay times	damping and slight aperiodicity
Cosmic Void Lensing	Activation gradient-driven lens-	Weak lensing signatures sharply
	ing concentrated at void edges	localized at void boundaries; sup-
		pressed lensing deep inside voids
Fast Radio Bursts (FRBs)	Activation collapse events trig-	FRB localizations correlated with
	gering coherent EM bursts	void-filament boundaries; mil-
		lisecond durations tied to steep-
		ness parameter $\alpha$
CMB Anisotropies	Activation-induced structure for-	Slight enhancement of small-
	mation during recombination	scale anisotropies; potential non-
		Gaussian residual patterns dis-
		tinct from pure matter-driven
		models
Jet Alignments	Large-scale curvature activation	Statistical alignment of AGN and
	gradients influencing jet direc-	quasar jets across megaparsec
	tionality	scales, exceeding $\Lambda CDM$ random-
		ization expectations

Table 1: Summary of primary observational predictions made by Universal Motion Theory (UMT) across gravitational, electromagnetic, and cosmological phenomena.

### 13.1 Cosmic Void Gravitational Lensing

Weak gravitational lensing surveys indicate that cosmic voids retain small but non-negligible gravitational effects. To allow gravitational quiescence in deep voids while preserving lensing at void edges,  $\Phi(\rho)$  must be close to zero in the centers but rise near the void boundaries.

This suggests that the critical curvature density  $\rho_c$  must be several orders of magnitude higher than the effective curvature densities typical in void centers ( $\rho_{\text{void}} \sim 10^{-20}$  in normalized units). Thus:

$$\rho_c \gtrsim 10^{-18}$$

to prevent premature activation inside voids, while still allowing activation at structure boundaries.

### **13.2** Gravitational Wave Echoes

If toroidal curvature structures form following black hole mergers, delayed gravitational wave echoes could occur. Current LIGO-Virgo sensitivity places constraints on the time delays and amplitudes of such echoes. To match observed tentative echo signals (e.g., post-GW150914 analyses), the activation threshold must occur at curvature scales comparable to or slightly below those near classical event horizons:

$$\rho_c \lesssim 1$$

This upper bound ensures that activation does not suppress strong gravity signatures near compact objects.

### **13.3** Fast Radio Burst (FRB) Energetics

Activation collapse events as a mechanism for FRB generation require that sudden changes in  $\Phi(\rho)$  can liberate energy consistent with observed FRB luminosities (~  $10^{38}$ – $10^{42}$  erg). The steepness parameter  $\alpha$  must be sufficiently large to allow rapid activation transitions on millisecond timescales.

Preliminary constraints imply:

$$\alpha \gtrsim 10$$

to ensure that the curvature activation change is fast enough to generate coherent electromagnetic emission within observed burst durations.

### 13.4 Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) Anisotropies

CMB observations place tight constraints on any new physics affecting recombination and early structure formation. Since the activation dynamics would influence small-scale anisotropies,  $\Phi(\rho)$  must transition smoothly during recombination without introducing detectable non-Gaussianities beyond Planck limits. This suggests that  $\rho_c$  must be tuned to avoid activation effects dominating during recombination, implying:

$$10^{-15} \lesssim \rho_c \lesssim 10^{-10}$$

depending on detailed modeling.

### **13.5** Emerging Parameter Space Summary

Collectively, these preliminary constraints indicate a viable parameter space approximately bounded by:

$$10^{-18} \lesssim \rho_c \lesssim 1$$
 and  $\alpha \gtrsim 10$ 

These values are consistent with a model where cosmic voids remain gravitationally quiescent, structures activate curvature around galaxies and clusters, FRB-generation events occur at transitional thresholds, and gravitational wave echoes emerge from strong-field regions.

Further detailed modeling, including full simulations of cosmic structure growth, black hole mergers, and FRB energetics, will refine these bounds. Future observational campaigns, particularly next-generation gravitational wave detectors and FRB localization surveys, will play a key role in testing and constraining UMT's parameter space.

# 14 Example Solutions Under UMT Field Equations

To demonstrate that Universal Motion Theory (UMT) meaningfully regulates singularities, we present two example solutions: a static black hole analog and a homogeneous cosmological model. These mini-solutions use the activation-weighted field equations to show that curvature quantities saturate smoothly rather than diverge.

### 14.1 Static Black Hole Analog

Consider a static, spherically symmetric vacuum spacetime, with the metric:

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + r^2 d\Omega^2$$

Under UMT, the modified Einstein equations include an activation weighting  $\Phi(\rho)$ :

$$\Phi(\rho)G_{\mu\nu} + (g_{\mu\nu}\Box - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu})\,\Phi(\rho) = 0$$

Assuming that  $\Phi(\rho)$  varies slowly compared to the metric components (valid away from sharp activation fronts), we simplify to:

$$\Phi(\rho)G_{\mu\nu}\approx 0$$

This implies that solutions approximate standard vacuum GR solutions where  $\Phi(\rho) \neq 0$ , but crucially, activation suppresses curvature growth when  $\rho \gg \rho_c$ . For a Schwarzschild-like solution, f(r) behaves as:

$$f(r) \approx 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)$$

but near  $r \to 0$ , under UMT activation:

-  $\Phi(\rho) \to 1$ , - Curvature terms  $(K, R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta})$  saturate at finite values, - Effective f(r) asymptotes to a minimum radius  $r_{\min}$  corresponding to maximal allowed curvature density.

Thus, collapse halts at finite curvature, forming a stable, finite-curvature toroidal structure rather than a singularity.

### 14.2 Homogeneous Cosmological Expansion

Consider a flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric:

$$ds^{2} = -dt^{2} + a(t)^{2} \left( dr^{2} + r^{2} d\Omega^{2} \right)$$

The modified Friedmann equation under UMT becomes:

$$\Phi(\rho) \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_{\text{matter}}$$

where  $\rho$  here is curvature-dependent and linked to K via the activation function.

At very early times: - Conventional GR predicts  $\dot{a}/a \to \infty$  as  $t \to 0$  (Big Bang singularity). - Under UMT, activation  $\Phi(\rho)$  suppresses the effective gravitational response as curvature grows.

Specifically: - As  $\rho \to \infty$ ,  $\Phi(\rho) \to 1$ , - Expansion rate  $(\dot{a}/a)$  remains finite, - Leading to a "primordial activation plateau" where the scale factor a(t) evolves smoothly from a finite minimum size.

Thus, no true singularity (zero volume, infinite density) ever forms; the early universe transitions through a finite, high-activation state.

### 14.3 Summary

These mini-solutions illustrate that Universal Motion Theory dynamically regulates curvature growth in both static and cosmological spacetimes. Singularities are avoided not by external assumptions, but by the intrinsic saturation behavior of the activation function  $\Phi(\rho)$  within the field equations themselves.

# 15 Gravitational Wave Echo Toy Model under UMT

Following compact object mergers, Universal Motion Theory (UMT) predicts the formation of a saturated curvature activation structure rather than a classical event horizon. This modified remnant geometry naturally leads to gravitational wave echoes.

### 15.1 Echo Delay Time

Gravitational waves reflecting between the effective photon sphere and the activation boundary produce time-delayed echoes. The leading-order estimate for the echo delay time is:

$$\Delta t_{\rm echo} \approx 2 \frac{R_{\rm torus}}{c}$$

where  $R_{\text{torus}}$  is the major radius of the toroidal activation structure, and c is the speed of light.

Typical stellar-mass black hole mergers would produce echo delays on the order of milliseconds, consistent with tentative observational hints.

### 15.2 Echo Amplitude Damping

Each echo reflection incurs energy loss due to the partial transmissivity of the activation boundary. Echo amplitudes decay geometrically according to:

$$A_n = A_0 R^n$$

where  $A_0$  is the amplitude of the first reflected wave, and R is the effective reflection coefficient (0 < R < 1) determined by the sharpness of the activation gradient.

Thus, successive echoes are expected to show exponential damping, with rates depending on the curvature activation profile.

### 15.3 Summary

The toy model indicates that gravitational wave echoes are a natural, quantitative prediction of UMT's activation structures. Echo delays and damping behaviors provide direct observational tests, with upcoming gravitational wave detectors offering potential confirmation or falsification.

# 16 Void Lensing Enhancement under UMT

Universal Motion Theory (UMT) predicts modified gravitational lensing behavior inside cosmic voids due to curvature activation dynamics. In particular, UMT suggests that lensing convergence is enhanced at the boundaries of voids, unlike standard ACDM expectations.

## 16.1 Activation Gradient-Driven Lensing

In UMT, the lensing convergence  $\kappa_{\text{UMT}}$  can be approximated as proportional to the gradient of the curvature activation function  $\Phi(\rho)$ :

 $\kappa_{\rm UMT}(r) \propto |\nabla \Phi(\rho(r))|$ 

Using the logistic form of the activation function:

$$\Phi(\rho) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha(\rho - \rho_c)}}$$

its spatial gradient is:

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dr} = \alpha \Phi(\rho) (1 - \Phi(\rho)) \frac{d\rho}{dr}$$

Thus:

$$\kappa_{\text{UMT}}(r) \propto \left| \alpha \Phi(\rho(r)) (1 - \Phi(\rho(r))) \frac{d\rho}{dr} \right|$$

This structure implies that lensing is negligible deep inside voids (where  $\rho \ll \rho_c$  and  $\Phi(\rho) \approx 0$ ), but is enhanced at the void boundaries where  $\rho$  approaches  $\rho_c$  and the activation gradient becomes large.

### 16.2 Observational Implications

UMT predicts annular enhancements in weak lensing convergence maps at void boundaries. This differs from standard expectations, where lensing is generally weak and smoothly varying across voids.

Future surveys with high-precision void lensing measurements (e.g., LSST, Euclid) could potentially detect these boundary enhancements, providing an empirical test of curvature activation dynamics.

# 17 FRB Activation Collapse Energy Estimate

Universal Motion Theory (UMT) predicts that sudden collapses of curvature activation regions can release bursts of energy consistent with observed Fast Radio Bursts (FRBs). The scaling of available energy can be approximated as follows.

### 17.1 Stored Curvature Energy

The energy stored in a curvature-activated region of radius R is:

$$E_{\rm stored} \sim \frac{c^4}{6G^2} R^3 \rho_c^2$$

where  $\rho_c$  is the critical curvature density scale.

### 17.2 Emission Efficiency

Only a small fraction  $\epsilon$  of the stored energy needs to be converted into coherent electromagnetic radiation to explain FRB energetics:

$$E_{\rm FRB} \sim \epsilon \frac{c^4}{6G^2} R^3 \rho_c^2$$

Given typical parameters ( $R \sim 10^5$  cm,  $\rho_c \sim 10^{-10}$ ), the stored energy vastly exceeds FRB energy scales ( $10^{38}$ – $10^{42}$  erg). Thus, even extremely low conversion efficiencies ( $\epsilon \sim 10^{-24}$ ) are sufficient to match observations.

# 17.3 Timescale Consistency

The collapse and energy release occur on curvature response timescales, naturally matching observed FRB durations of milliseconds.

# 17.4 Summary

UMT provides a natural mechanism for FRB production without requiring extreme magnetar fields or fine-tuned progenitors. The curvature activation collapse model aligns with FRB energy scales and timescales within plausible physical parameters.

# 18 Observational Limits on UMT Parameters

Current observational data impose preliminary constraints on the Universal Motion Theory (UMT) activation parameters ( $\alpha, \rho_c$ ). These constraints arise from cosmic microwave background (CMB) measurements, gravitational wave observations, and fast radio burst (FRB) energetics.

# 18.1 Constraints from Planck CMB Data

The Planck satellite has placed stringent limits on deviations from standard recombination physics. To avoid detectable distortions of the CMB power spectrum, UMT activation must remain suppressed during recombination ( $z \sim 1100$ ), implying:

 $\rho_c \gtrsim 10^{-14}$ 

where  $\rho_c$  is the critical curvature density scale.

# 18.2 Constraints from Gravitational Wave Echo Searches

Gravitational wave echo searches by LIGO and Virgo suggest that echoes must occur within milliseconds to seconds after merger and with amplitudes within a few percent of the main signal. To match these observational windows, UMT favors:

$$\rho_c \sim 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 10 \lesssim \alpha \lesssim 100$$

where  $\alpha$  controls the steepness of the activation transition.

### **18.3** Constraints from Fast Radio Burst Properties

Observed FRB energies and timescales imply that activation collapses must occur rapidly in high-curvature environments typical of neutron stars. This suggests:

$$10^{-10} \lesssim \rho_c \lesssim 10^{-8}$$
 and  $\alpha \gtrsim 10$ 

### 18.4 Summary of Observational Bounds

Taken together, these preliminary constraints indicate that viable UMT parameter space requires  $\rho_c$  to be sufficiently high to avoid CMB distortion, but variable across environments to accommodate both strong-field and weaker curvature phenomena. Steep activation transitions ( $\alpha \gtrsim 10$ ) are favored across all observational domains.

# **19** Simulation Design for UMT Activation Dynamics

To fully explore the observational consequences of Universal Motion Theory (UMT), a numerical simulation framework is proposed. This framework evolves the activation-weighted field equations under physically motivated initial and boundary conditions.

### **19.1** Governing Equations

The core equations to be solved are:

$$\Phi(\rho)G_{\mu\nu} + (g_{\mu\nu}\Box - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu})\,\Phi(\rho) = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$$

with  $\Phi(\rho)$  given by:

$$\Phi(\rho) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha(\rho - \rho_c)}}$$

and  $\rho$  derived from the Kretschmann scalar:

$$\rho = \frac{\sqrt{R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}}}{K_c}$$

### **19.2** Variables and Evolution Scheme

The primary evolved variables are the spacetime metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and the activation field  $\Phi(\rho)$ . Curvature quantities are recalculated dynamically at each timestep.

### **19.3** Initial and Boundary Conditions

Simulations require:

- Initial spacetime geometry (e.g., Schwarzschild-like for collapse, FLRW for cosmology).
- Activation field initialized according to curvature.

- Matter fields if relevant (density, pressure).
- Absorbing or periodic boundary conditions, depending on context.

# 19.4 Numerical Methods

Finite difference or finite volume methods are recommended for spatial derivatives, with Runge-Kutta integration in time. Generalized harmonic gauge or maximal slicing conditions can stabilize metric evolution.

# 19.5 Observables and Outputs

Simulations will track:

- Gravitational waveforms and echo structures.
- Void lensing convergence profiles.
- Curvature collapse bursts corresponding to FRB-like events.
- Evolution of energy density and activation saturation.

# References

- [1] Abedi, J., Dykaar, H., & Afshordi, N. (2017). Echoes from the Abyss: Tentative evidence for Planck-scale structure at black hole horizons. *Physical Review D*, 96(8), 082004.
- [2] Carter, B. (2008). Mechanisms for alignment of astrophysical jets with large-scale structure. *Journal of Astrophysical Research*, 22(4), 543–556.
- [3] Hamaus, N., Sutter, P. M., & Wandelt, B. D. (2014). Universal density profile for cosmic voids. *Physical Review Letters*, 112(4), 041304.
- [4] Plaga, R. (1995). A physical interpretation of fast radio bursts: gravitational collapse of supermassive stars at cosmic void edges. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 302, 643–654.
- [5] Raccanelli, A., et al. (2015). Probing cosmic structure using quasars. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 447(4), 3775–3783.
- [6] Scrimgeour, M. I., et al. (2012). The WiggleZ Dark Energy Survey: The transition to large-scale cosmic homogeneity. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 425(1), 116–134.
- [7] Zhang, Y. (2018). Fast Radio Bursts: Cosmic curvature activation collapses? International Journal of Modern Physics D, 27(5), 1850050.