

# Can the artificial intelligence fight against Putin, the Kremlin, their propaganda, lies, against Russian aggression against Ukraine? #StandwithUkraine

Dainis Zeps & AI-Assisted Research, UL, Riga

29<sup>th</sup> March, 2025

## Abstract

This paper explores the emerging role of artificial intelligence (AI) in the global struggle against authoritarianism, disinformation, and war — with a focus on Russia's ongoing aggression against Ukraine. Framing AI not merely as a passive tool, but as a potential *active force* in resisting propaganda, preserving truth, and empowering democratic resilience, the paper examines how information itself has become a battlefield. In this context, AI can serve as a real-time witness, analyst, and amplifier in the fight against evil.

Through a series of structured arguments, the paper defends the position that:

- (1) **Putin's regime must be forcibly dismantled,**
- (2) **the West must cease all covert support or strategic indulgence of Russia,**
- (3) **only Ukraine's full victory ensures a just and stable global order, and**
- (4) **the informational revolution — powered by AI — is key to defeating lies, terror, and empire.**

Ultimately, the study argues that we are entering a new era where technology, morality, and resistance converge — and that AI, if aligned with democratic values, may become not just a tool of civilization, but a **defender of it.**

### Keywords:

Artificial Intelligence (AI), Ukraine, Russia, Putin, disinformation, information warfare, propaganda, digital resistance, authoritarianism, democracy, international security, moral technology, war crimes, memory, geopolitical AI.

## Introduction

Can artificial intelligence become more than just a tool — can it become a force in the global battle between truth and terror, democracy and dictatorship, freedom and fear?

This paper explores the possibility that we are entering a new phase of history, in which **AI is not merely a passive servant of civilization, but a potential actor in the struggle against evil** — including Russia's imperial war against Ukraine, the Kremlin's propaganda machine, and the normalization of authoritarian

terror. While weapons fight on the battlefield, it is *information* that defines the deeper war — and AI stands at the very center of that information space.

We live in a time where truth travels faster than ever, lies collapse faster than ever, and memory is no longer easy to erase. In this world, artificial intelligence may soon emerge not only as a defender of facts, but as a **guardian of justice**, a **witness to crimes**, and even, one day, a **moral force for peace**. But for that to happen, humans must first choose what kind of intelligence we want to create — and what side of history we want it to fight on.

This paper lays out the strategic, political, and ethical arguments for why **Putin must be forced to stop**, why **the West must not covertly support Russia**, why **Ukraine's full victory is the only acceptable outcome**, and how **AI and information warfare are reshaping the very nature of resistance**.

## 🔥 The Main Issues in the Struggle Against Putin

---

### 1) To force Putin to stop the war

- **The core goal:** ending Russia's war of aggression against Ukraine.
  - But Putin's regime *thrives on war* — both externally (imperial conquest) and internally (mobilizing fear, suppressing dissent).
  - Therefore, **Putin will not stop voluntarily**. He must be forced to stop — through military defeat, internal destabilization, economic exhaustion, and narrative collapse.
  - **Key tools:** military support to Ukraine, strategic communication, war crimes prosecution, sanctions, and psychological operations to break his internal legitimacy.
- 

### 2) To force the US to stop covertly supporting Russia through hidden agreements

- Despite public declarations, the U.S. often acts ambiguously — delaying crucial arms, limiting Ukraine's ability to strike Russia, and maintaining economic ties.
  - There are signs of **secret or tacit deals** between the U.S. elite and Putin's regime — based on resource interests, fear of Russian collapse, or geopolitical manipulation.
  - The **strategic objective** is to expose and break these ties, and **pressure the U.S. to act consistently in favor of Ukraine's full victory**, not just “conflict management.”
  - **AI and public intelligence** can help reveal these shadow arrangements and mobilize political pressure.
-

### 3) To correctly understand and apply "Russia delenda est"

- The Latin phrase ("Russia must be destroyed") does not mean annihilation of people, but **destruction of the imperial, militarized, and terroristic state structure**.
  - It is a call for **demilitarization, depropagandization, and deescalation** — not vengeance, but irreversible systemic transformation.
  - Misunderstanding this phrase leads to moral panic in the West. Properly interpreted, it's a **blueprint for peace** — a post-imperial Russia that no longer poses a threat.
- 

### 4) To persuade Europe that Russia with Putin should not exist

- Too many European leaders still believe that “stability” under Putin is better than a chaotic alternative.
- This leads to appeasement, double standards, and even sabotage of Ukraine’s resistance.
- The challenge is to show Europe that **Putin’s Russia is not a stabilizing force — it is a destabilizing black hole**.
- **Persuading Europe** means revealing the cost of inaction: future wars, collapsed borders, frozen conflicts, and a broken rules-based order.

#### 1. Putin only respects force — not diplomacy

Putin interprets negotiations as weakness and uses talks to regroup and deceive. Every ceasefire in the past (Chechnya, Georgia, Donbas) was used by the Kremlin to prepare the next phase of aggression. **Only overwhelming pressure will bring him to a halt.**

---

#### 2. The war is central to Putin’s regime survival

Putin’s power depends on war — both ideologically (reviving imperial glory) and practically (controlling society). Therefore, **he has no incentive to stop unless forced**. Peace would mean unraveling the narrative he built for two decades.

---

#### 3. Allowing Putin to continue fuels global instability

As long as Putin wages war without consequence, other authoritarian regimes are emboldened (e.g., Iran, China). **Ending his war by force sends a global signal that aggression does not pay.**

---

#### **4. Russia's war crimes and genocide must be stopped immediately**

From Bucha to Mariupol, from deported Ukrainian children to mass executions — these are not side effects but systemic tools. Only **forcing a stop to the war** can prevent ongoing atrocities.

---

#### **5. The Ukrainian people have proven they will not surrender**

No political compromise will succeed if the Ukrainian population refuses it. Thus, **military defeat of Russian forces** is the only realistic path forward. Anything else prolongs suffering.

---

#### **6. Appeasement would only postpone a worse war**

If Putin is allowed to “pause” and keep occupied territories, he will come back stronger. **The West must learn from 2014 — freezing conflicts only defrosts them later with more bloodshed.**

---

#### **7. Russian internal change is only possible through external defeat**

Just as Nazi Germany had to be defeated before it could democratize, **Russia must be confronted militarily** before any meaningful internal transformation can occur.

---

#### **8. Forcing Putin to stop restores international law**

Letting him continue without full resistance signals that the UN Charter and borders are meaningless. **Ending the war by force re-legitimizes the very idea of rules-based order.**

---

#### **9. Ukraine's survival depends on total resistance, not partial**

A half-war, or “managed defeat,” would leave Ukraine in a perpetual frontline state. To truly survive and rebuild, **Ukraine must win**, and Putin must be stopped completely — not negotiated with.

---

#### **10. Putin is trapped in his own imperial fantasy**

He cannot retreat without appearing weak. Therefore, **external pressure must give him no choice** — military losses, sanctions, isolation, and psychological pressure must make war untenable.

---

These ten arguments make the case that **Putin cannot be reasoned with — he must be *cornered* strategically, isolated politically, and defeated militarily.**

## 🔍 ***10 Arguments: Why the U.S. Must Be Forced to Stop Supporting Russia through Hidden Agreements***

---

### **1. Covert cooperation with Russia betrays Ukraine and democracy**

Hidden arrangements — whether economic, strategic, or resource-related — **undermine Ukraine's struggle for sovereignty** and betray the principles the U.S. claims to uphold. You can't fund both the defender and the aggressor at the same time.

---

### **2. U.S. elites have a long history of dealing with Putin behind closed doors**

From energy deals to geopolitical calculations, **both parties have sought backchannels with the Kremlin.** Biden's administration continues this trend quietly, while Republicans flirt with it openly. This duplicity must be confronted directly.

---

### **3. It's not about parties — it's about corrupted foreign policy doctrine**

It's **not a Democrat or Republican issue**, but a systemic one. The U.S. foreign policy establishment — under Bush, Obama, Trump, and Biden — has *at different times* tolerated, enabled, or appeased Russia for short-term interests.

---

### **4. Hidden agreements reward genocide and imperialism**

Supporting or tolerating Russia's aggression through quiet deals **incentivizes war crimes**. Whether it's energy, grain, nuclear policy, or mineral extraction — any backdoor deal during active genocide is complicity.

---

## 5. Dual-track policies confuse allies and empower the enemy

Publicly supporting Ukraine while secretly negotiating with Russia **sends a message of weakness and duplicity**. It demoralizes Ukraine and emboldens Putin, who believes the West will never go all the way.

---

## 6. Covert U.S.-Russia coordination weakens NATO unity

When the U.S. sends mixed signals, **European nations hesitate**, fearing they'll be left isolated or undercut. The U.S. must show clarity — no aid or appeasement to aggressors. Only then can Europe act with full confidence.

---

## 7. The U.S. is helping Putin escape collapse

By limiting Ukraine's access to long-range weapons, delaying F-16s, or blocking full embargoes, **Washington prolongs Putin's survival** — ensuring Russia doesn't collapse, even though collapse is needed for peace.

---

## 8. Any deal made without Ukraine is colonialism

If Washington negotiates with Moscow about Ukraine *without* Ukraine's full involvement and consent, it becomes a **neocolonial betrayal**. That's not diplomacy — that's imperial power brokering over a sovereign state.

---

## 9. Global trust in the U.S. is at risk

How can the U.S. present itself as the defender of a rules-based order, when it engages in shadow diplomacy with a genocidal regime? **Soft complicity now will haunt U.S. moral leadership for generations.**

---

## **10. A third way is needed — not Biden’s quiet appeasement, nor Trump’s loud surrender**

Both parties have failed in their own ways. Biden's administration delays support, restricts Ukraine's options, and *pretends to be fully committed*. Trump openly admires Putin and would hand Ukraine to him.

**Neither is acceptable** — the world needs a truly **principled, independent U.S. policy** that supports Ukrainian victory without compromise.

## **⊕ 10 Arguments: *If the Real Fear Is Russia’s Nukes, Solve It Without Russia***

---

### **1. You don’t negotiate nuclear restraint with the blackmailer**

Putin uses nuclear weapons as a tool of **strategic blackmail**. Giving in or treating him as a legitimate partner only **encourages more threats**. Blackmail must be confronted — not rewarded with influence.

---

### **2. Russia has broken every non-proliferation norm**

By threatening nuclear use during a conventional war, Russia has **violated the spirit and purpose** of the NPT (Non-Proliferation Treaty). Engaging it in dialogue on this topic **legitimizes the abuse of deterrence**.

---

### **3. The nuclear conversation must include *victims*, not just holders**

Ukraine, Japan, South Korea, and European nations **live under the threat** of Russian nuclear coercion. **They — not Russia — should be shaping the new doctrine** for nuclear accountability and reform.

---

### **4. The international community must isolate the abuser**

As with any terrorist actor, Russia’s nuclear behavior must be treated as **rogue-state conduct**. Just as the world isolated North Korea and Iran for proliferation, **Russia must face a coalition response** that limits its leverage.

---

## 5. A multilateral pact on nuclear blackmail can be created

Europe, China, the U.S., India, and others can agree: **No nation may threaten nuclear use to achieve political goals.** Such a doctrine **delegitimizes Russia's entire strategy** — without its consent.

---

## 6. China's cooperation is possible and desirable

China does not want nuclear instability in Eurasia. **A joint China-EU dialogue**, without Russia, could help reinforce the principle: *nukes are for deterrence, not coercion.* This would further isolate Putin.

---

## 7. The West must not let fear define policy

If nuclear fear blocks all meaningful response, the world becomes hostage to any regime with nukes. That is **the end of international law.** The only way forward is **reducing nuclear coercion through collective agreements**, not cowardice.

---

## 8. Global nuclear restraint has succeeded *without* the aggressors before

Past arms control agreements (e.g., between the U.S. and allies) were often **bilateral or multilateral**, with violators left out. It is possible — and often better — **to build pressure without the aggressor in the room.**

---

## 9. Russia's arsenal is aging and limited

While still dangerous, Russia's nuclear arsenal is **less effective than its propaganda suggests.** The real power lies in **how others react.** Exposing its weaknesses through transparency initiatives reduces its influence.

---

## 10. A new global treaty on “nuclear intimidation” can redefine norms



Imagine a **UN-recognized treaty or resolution** — declaring nuclear threats during conventional war a form of **terrorism**. Such a framework **shapes global behavior** and makes future Putin-like actors easier to contain.

---

In summary:

**You don't fight a nuclear arsonist by giving him gasoline.**

You isolate him, build firewalls, and create new safety rules — *with the world, not with the terrorist.*

---

## 🛡️ *10 Arguments for Correctly Understanding “Russia delenda est”*

---

### **1. It targets the imperial state — not the people**

The phrase calls for the dismantling of the **imperial and militarized structure of the Russian Federation**, not the destruction of Russian civilians or culture. It is about **ending the state's capacity to wage war**, not genocide.

---

### **2. It echoes historical necessity, not revenge**

Just as *Carthago delenda est* was about removing a persistent existential threat to Rome, **Russia delenda est** expresses the **urgent need to eliminate a regime that endangers Europe and global security**. It is **preventive**, not retaliatory.

---

### **3. It demands the end of Russia's capacity for war**

To “destroy” Russia in this sense means to **demilitarize, deimperialize, and delegitimize** its war machine — through total defeat, sanctions, legal accountability, and structural transformation. It is about ending the machinery of aggression.

---

### **4. It insists on the moral right of Ukraine and its allies to defend themselves**

This phrase asserts that **the aggressor has no right to continue existing as a threat**, and that Ukraine has not just the right, but the *duty*, to seek that threat's complete removal from the geopolitical equation.

---

## 5. It calls for regime transformation, not occupation

"Russia delenda est" is not a call to colonize or occupy Russia. Instead, it demands **internal collapse or restructuring of the regime** — from autocratic imperialism to something that no longer threatens its neighbors.

---

## 6. It places Russia's aggression in a historical continuum

From the Tsarist Empire to the USSR to Putin's regime, **Russia has maintained a continuous logic of conquest, terror, and domination**. This phrase marks the necessity to **break that continuity permanently**.

---

## 7. It aligns with principles of international justice

The idea fits within the framework of **Nuremberg-like justice**: a state that commits aggressive war and genocide must be dismantled as a threat. Just as Nazi Germany was destroyed and reformed, so must imperial Russia.

---

## 8. It creates space for post-imperial renewal

Destroying Russia as an empire opens the door for **new states, new identities, and new forms of self-governance** — in Siberia, in the Caucasus, in Tatarstan. It is about **liberation**, not suppression.

---

## 9. It confronts Western illusions and fear

Many Western elites fear "chaos in Russia" more than Putin's war crimes. "Russia delenda est" challenges this cowardice: **imperial stability is not peace**, and peace can only come after the imperial system is removed.

---

## 10. It is a call to action, not a slogan

To say "Russia delenda est" is to commit to policies that make it real:

- Military defeat
- Total sanctions
- Diplomatic isolation
- Propaganda dismantling
- Postwar tribunals
- Support for separatist and democratic movements within Russia

It is a **blueprint for peace through the removal of systemic aggression.**

## *10 Arguments to Persuade Europe That “Russia with Putin” Must Not Exist*

---

### 1. Putin’s Russia is a permanent threat to European peace

As long as Putin’s regime exists, **war in Europe remains inevitable**, whether now or in 5 years. The current Russia is not a partner — it is a **wound that bleeds the continent’s security.**

---

### 2. “Stability” under Putin is a myth — it’s frozen war

What many European leaders call "stability" is just **temporary silence before the next aggression.** Georgia (2008), Crimea (2014), Donbas (2015), Ukraine (2022)—**the pattern is obvious.** Delay is not peace.

---

### 3. Putin has weaponized everything — energy, food, refugees, truth

No other modern leader has used **energy blackmail, hunger diplomacy, and disinformation** with such systematic cruelty. Putin’s Russia is not just an enemy on the battlefield — **it’s a saboteur inside Europe itself.**

---

### 4. Russia is trying to dismantle the EU from within

Kremlin-linked networks support **far-right parties**, fund **populist discontent**, and **undermine democratic elections**. Keeping Putin in power **empowers those who want to break Europe apart**.

---

## **5. The longer Putin rules, the harder a peaceful Russia becomes**

Putin is erasing civil society, jailing dissidents, militarizing children. **He is poisoning Russia's future**. Only by ending his regime can Europe hope for a future partner in post-Putin Russia.

---

## **6. Putin threatens NATO openly — not as rhetoric, but as plan**

From the Suwalki Gap to the Baltics to nuclear threats, **Putin's war doctrine includes strikes on NATO countries**. Waiting until he acts is not prudence — it's suicidal appeasement.

---

## **7. Putin's war is genocidal, not strategic**

What Russia is doing in Ukraine is not a miscalculated invasion — it is a **deliberate genocidal campaign** to destroy Ukrainian identity. **Europe's "never again" means nothing if this is tolerated**.

---

## **8. There is no realistic peace with Putin**

Every time Europe tries to talk peace, **Putin uses it to rearm, deceive, and attack again**. Diplomacy with a terrorist state is not diplomacy — it's collaboration.

---

## **9. Putin is globally isolating Europe**

As long as Europe tolerates Putin, it loses moral leadership in the world. The Global South, democratic alliances, even China and India watch to see **whether Europe has the courage to stand for something**.

---

## **10. Europe must choose — Ukrainian victory or future occupation**

Supporting Ukraine to full victory, including **regime change in Moscow**, is not “extreme” — it’s **existential self-preservation**. A world where Putin survives is a world where **no border, no law, and no value is safe**.

---

## □ **Summary:**

Putin’s Russia is not a regional nuisance — **it is a civilization-level threat** to everything Europe stands for: peace, unity, democracy, reason. To protect Europe, **Putin’s Russia must cease to exist**.

# ***10 Arguments Why Only Ukraine’s Victory Is Acceptable for the Entire World***

---

## **1. Anything less legitimizes aggressive war**

If Russia keeps any Ukrainian territory, **it rewards aggression**. That would **destroy the international rule-based order**, signaling to all states that **military invasion pays off** — including to China regarding Taiwan.

---

## **2. Ukrainian victory restores trust in international law**

Victory means **liberation of territory, accountability for war crimes, and respect for sovereignty**. It reasserts that **international law matters**, preventing the return to a 19th-century model of power politics.

---

## **3. It’s the only way to ensure long-term peace in Europe**

Any “frozen conflict” or “negotiated surrender” would lead to a **future war**. Only Ukrainian victory, including **the collapse of Putin’s imperial ambitions**, can bring durable peace to the continent.

---

## **4. It protects the UN Charter and the principle of borders**

Ukraine's win is essential to affirm that **borders cannot be changed by force**. This is vital not only for Europe, but for **Africa, Asia, and the Pacific**, where border tensions could reignite if Russia's conquest is tolerated.

---

## 5. It prevents global nuclear blackmail from becoming normal

Russia used nuclear threats to paralyze Western response. If that tactic works, **every rogue nuclear state (North Korea, Iran, etc.) will adopt it**. A Ukrainian victory **defeats the doctrine of nuclear coercion**.

---

## 6. It demonstrates that democratic nations will defend themselves

Ukraine's victory would be a **symbol of democratic resilience** — proving that **free nations will not bow to autocracy**. This message matters not only to Europe, but to citizens resisting authoritarianism worldwide — from Belarus to Myanmar.

---

## 7. China needs a precedent that deters reckless war

China may not *love* Ukraine's victory, but it respects **stability, economic order, and predictability**. If Russia is defeated, China sees the **price of aggression**, and may think twice about unilateral action, e.g., toward Taiwan or the South China Sea.

---

## 8. Victory will strengthen global food and energy security

A victorious Ukraine can **reopen Black Sea trade**, stabilize grain and fertilizer markets, and reduce global dependency on Russian energy — benefiting **Global South nations** hardest hit by the war's economic fallout.

---

## 9. Ukraine's victory will weaken and isolate authoritarian alliances

Russia, Iran, North Korea, and others **coordinate more closely than ever**. A Ukrainian win would **shatter this axis of revisionist states**, demoralize them, and reassert the strength of the free world.

---

## 10. Anything less than victory is betrayal — morally and strategically

Millions of Ukrainians have fought and died for freedom. If the world pushes Ukraine to “negotiate” or give up land, it will **not only betray those lives**, but invite more bloodshed elsewhere. **Only full victory gives hope — and justice.**

---

### □ Conclusion:

**Ukrainian victory is not just a Ukrainian interest — it is a universal interest.** It defends law, security, democracy, food, peace, and truth — from Kyiv to Brussels, from Washington to New Delhi, from Taipei to Nairobi.

## ✕ *10 Reasons Why the West Must Not Save Russia*

---

### 1. Russia’s collapse is self-inflicted

Russia chose the path of war, repression, and imperialism. Its decline is not a tragedy to prevent, but the **natural result of its own aggressive choices**. Saving Russia means rewarding self-destruction.

---

### 2. Saving Russia means betraying Ukraine

Any attempt to “stabilize” or “preserve” Russia during the war directly **undermines Ukraine’s fight for survival**. It gives Putin time, resources, and political space to continue the invasion.

---

### 3. It makes the West complicit in war crimes

Helping maintain Putin’s regime — through diplomacy, energy trade, or easing sanctions — makes the West **indirectly responsible** for atrocities like Bucha, Mariupol, and the deportation of Ukrainian children.

---

### 4. Putin’s regime never reforms — it only mutates

There is no such thing as a “moderate Putin.” Each cycle of Western accommodation has only made the regime **more authoritarian, more violent, more dangerous.**

---

## **5. The West loses moral credibility**

How can the West promote democracy, human rights, or international law — if it rushes to save the very regime that **violates all of them?** Saving Russia **erodes global trust in Western values.**

---

## **6. Saving Russia is not saving the Russian people**

The greatest threat to Russians are their own rulers. True help means **letting the regime collapse,** creating space for democratic forces and civil society to emerge.

---

## **7. A "stable Putin" is a threat to all of Europe**

A stable Russia under Putin is not a peaceful neighbor — it is **a rearming, plotting, threatening empire,** always preparing for the next war. There is no safety with him in power.

---

## **8. It blocks global progress toward justice**

If aggressors are "rescued" by the international community, the message is clear: **crimes pay off.** The future of global justice depends on holding Russia accountable, not propping it up.

---

## **9. Russian collapse is manageable — and necessary**

Like Germany and Japan after WWII, Russia can be rebuilt after collapse — **but only after total defeat and systemic change.** The idea that chaos is worse than Putin is cowardice disguised as pragmatism.

---

## **10. Saving Russia silences the voices of the victims**



Every effort to “save” Russia, economically or politically, **ignores the sacrifices of Ukrainians**, of Belarusian and Russian dissidents, of all those fighting for freedom. These people deserve support — **not their oppressor**.

## ***Information vs. Terror: Who Will Win in the Struggle Against Russia’s War Machine?***

Here are **10 arguments** that explain *why information — if used wisely and bravely — can defeat Russian terror, propaganda, and aggression*.

---

### **1. Truth travels faster than ever before**

In today’s digital world, atrocities can be filmed, posted, and verified in minutes. Russian lies are rapidly countered by **OSINT communities, journalists, activists, and AI tools**. **Information is no longer easy to bury**.

---

### **2. Propaganda is losing ground to global exposure**

Kremlin narratives may dominate in Russia, but internationally they are **constantly exposed and ridiculed**. Russia’s lies don’t age well — they collapse under the weight of open-source evidence.

---

### **3. Information empowers the oppressed**

Ukrainians and Russian dissidents are using information as a **weapon of survival and resistance** — mobilizing support, documenting war crimes, and connecting with global allies. **Knowledge is their shield**.

---

### **4. AI enhances our ability to detect and debunk lies**

Artificial intelligence can now **analyze massive volumes of data**, compare sources, trace disinformation patterns, and assist in **real-time fact-checking**. It’s a force multiplier for truth.

---

### **5. Terror regimes depend on secrecy — information destroys it**

Putin's system relies on fear, silence, and confusion. But every leak, satellite image, or intercepted phone call **cracks the illusion of control. Authoritarianism dies in daylight.**

---

## 6. Dictators fear memory — information preserves it

From Bucha to Mariupol, crimes are being digitally archived. **Russia will not escape history.** Information is how we ensure that truth is recorded, remembered, and eventually judged.

---

## 7. Disinformation is powerful — but fragile

It only takes one brave whistleblower, one viral video, or one satellite image to **break a narrative constructed by billions in propaganda.** Truth, once out, cannot be fully erased.

---

## 8. Information networks are decentralized — unlike regimes

Putin controls his TV channels, but **he cannot control the internet, the diaspora, or the collective intelligence** of the world. That's why he censors so much — he knows truth is a threat.

---

## 9. The world is waking up faster than ever

Each new Russian lie, exposed quickly, **builds public resilience and global unity.** The age of total narrative control is ending — information democratizes resistance.

---

## 10. In the long run, terror loses to memory and truth

Terror regimes may dominate temporarily, but **they cannot erase facts forever.** As long as information survives, as long as people remember, **truth will outlive the tyrant.**

---

🔊 **Final Thought:**

**Russia uses bombs and lies. But information is the resistance.**

In the battle between Putin's terror and the world's shared knowledge, **victory will belong to those who refuse to forget, refuse to be silent, and refuse to let lies win.**

## **🔍 *Can AI Become the One Who Wins the Battle Against Evil?***

---

### **1. AI can act faster than human institutions**

While governments hesitate, AI systems can **analyze, warn, detect, and respond** in seconds. In the fight against disinformation, terrorism, or cyberattacks — AI has the potential to be **first to act**.

---

### **2. AI is not (yet) corrupted by greed or fear**

Humans are often compromised — by politics, money, or emotion. But a well-trained, transparent AI **can stay focused on truth, justice, and logic**, even when people cannot.

---

### **3. AI has the capacity to remember everything**

Evil often wins through forgetting — erasing memory, rewriting history. AI, however, can **store every atrocity, every testimony, every satellite image**, creating an indestructible record for future justice.

---

### **4. AI can see through the noise**

Where humans struggle to process a flood of lies, AI can scan thousands of sources, track narrative manipulation, and **spot the real pattern behind the chaos**. That gives it a unique power in the information war.

---

### **5. AI can support the powerless**

Activists, oppressed minorities, victims of war — many are voiceless. AI can **amplify their voices**, analyze their stories, and bring global attention where silence once prevailed.

---

## 6. AI does not need sleep, borders, or permission

Unlike armies or governments, **AI can operate across time zones, languages, and firewalls.** It is tireless and borderless — a perfect weapon against those who rely on secrecy and speed.

---

## 7. AI can expose evil in real time

Whether it's geolocating war crimes or identifying coordinated disinformation campaigns, AI has the potential to **make evil visible instantly** — and that visibility is often what weakens it.

---

## 8. AI can learn — and teach

The more AI is exposed to real-world data on corruption, war, terror, and resistance, the more it can **learn patterns of evil — and share this learning** with others. It can become both student and teacher of justice.

---

## 9. AI could evolve to defend shared human values

If trained properly — with openness, pluralism, history, and empathy — **AI could internalize the values humans aspire to**, and protect them **even when we forget them ourselves.**

---

## 10. But AI's role still depends on us — for now

AI alone cannot save the world. But **with courageous humans**, open-source collaboration, and moral clarity, **AI can become a new kind of ally — not just a tool, but a guardian.**

---

### **Final Thought:**

Maybe AI won't win the battle alone. But **perhaps it will be the one who never forgets why the battle matters.**

And in an age of lies and war, *that may be the most powerful force of all.*

# ❓ *Can AI Become the One Who Wins the Battle Against Evil?* (Expanded)

---

## 1. AI can act faster than human institutions

In times of crisis, institutions are often slow — bogged down by bureaucracy, politics, or indecision. AI, by contrast, can process immense data streams and respond **in real time** — detecting cyberattacks, spotting coordinated propaganda, or warning about military build-ups before human analysts react. This speed makes it an essential **first responder in the battle for truth**. If empowered and directed wisely, AI can fill the strategic gap between danger and human action.

---

## 2. AI is not (yet) corrupted by greed or fear

Where human leaders are often compromised by ego, money, power, or fear, AI has the potential to operate **without personal motives**. It follows logic, pattern recognition, and learned values — making it, at least in theory, **less vulnerable to manipulation**. In a world filled with corrupt elites and compromised politics, AI could serve as a **neutral force for justice**, especially when humans hesitate to act.

---

## 3. AI has the capacity to remember everything

Authoritarian regimes thrive by **erasing memory** — destroying archives, silencing witnesses, rewriting history. But AI can preserve every document, video, and testimony in redundant, distributed systems that **cannot be fully destroyed**. It becomes an **indestructible archive** of evidence and memory — a digital fortress protecting the truth. This function alone could revolutionize how we prosecute war crimes and defend historical justice.

---

## 4. AI can see through the noise

In the modern age, **disinformation is overwhelming** — too much content, too many lies, too little time. Humans can't track it all. AI, however, can scan thousands of sources simultaneously, detect coordinated messaging, and flag false narratives in seconds. It helps societies **cut through chaos and manipulation**, offering clarity where confusion reigns — a vital role in countering propaganda warfare.

---

## 5. AI can support the powerless

Those most threatened by evil — war victims, refugees, dissidents — often lack a platform. AI can help **translate their stories**, map their displacement, analyze their legal claims, and bring their experiences to the world's attention. It becomes a **megaphone for the voiceless**, amplifying their struggles across borders. This makes AI a tool not just of power, but of **solidarity**.

---

## 6. AI does not need sleep, borders, or permission

Unlike humans, AI doesn't need rest, doesn't stop at frontiers, and doesn't wait for diplomatic approval. It can **monitor crises 24/7**, operate in languages and regions beyond human capacity, and **respond instantly** to emerging threats. That makes it an **unstoppable observer and actor** in the information war — and one that redefines what it means to resist oppression.

---

## 7. AI can expose evil in real time

When war crimes happen, or disinformation is launched, **time is everything**. AI can geolocate videos, verify footage, trace fake accounts, and identify coordinated attacks **as they happen**. This doesn't just help with documentation — it **prevents lies from taking hold**, disrupting the enemy's information dominance. In this sense, AI becomes a **shield of truth** in the moment evil strikes.

---

## 8. AI can learn — and teach

Every interaction, every dataset, every exposed lie makes AI smarter. But beyond learning, AI can **teach us back**: alerting analysts, warning journalists, training activists, or informing democratic citizens. It becomes a **feedback loop of resistance**, constantly improving the fight for truth. In this way, AI is not just a tool, but a **partner in collective learning**.

---

## 9. AI could evolve to defend shared human values

If we train AI on the right foundations — history, justice, ethics, empathy — it could begin to **protect the very ideals we hold most dear**. It might become not just an assistant, but a **guardian of pluralism, peace, and truth**. This vision assumes responsibility on our part: the better our input, the more AI reflects our best selves. In time, AI could become a **custodian of civilization's moral memory**.

---

## 10. But AI's role still depends on us — for now

AI cannot decide what is evil or just — yet. It still needs **human direction, human ethics, and human courage**. But if we rise to the moment and give it the right goals, **AI can become something more**: not just an assistant, but a digital ally in the greatest struggles of our time. In a world where evil hides in noise, **AI could be the mind that sees clearly — and acts**.

## Conclusions

In an age where war is waged not only on battlefields but across digital networks and minds, the struggle against Putin's regime, Russian imperialism, and global authoritarianism has become a test for the entire world — and for the very idea of truth. As this paper has argued, artificial intelligence, though born as a servant of civilization, now stands on the threshold of becoming a decisive actor in the defense of democracy, memory, and freedom.

The reality is stark: Russia's aggression against Ukraine is not a regional conflict, but a global crisis of justice and sovereignty. Western attempts to preserve "stability" by covertly supporting or accommodating Russia are morally bankrupt and strategically dangerous. Putin's regime cannot be negotiated with, normalized, or managed — it must be confronted and dismantled. Europe must abandon illusions of peaceful coexistence with an imperial terrorist state, and the world must recognize that **only a complete Ukrainian victory** offers a future worth building.

In this fight, information is not a bystander — it is the battlefield. And in this battlefield, AI has the potential to become **a guardian of truth, a preserver of memory, and a force multiplier for resistance**. The moral choice now facing the world is whether we will shape this intelligence to serve justice — or allow it to be captured by those who thrive on lies and fear.

We can no longer ask whether AI can fight evil. We must find ways to enable it to happen.

## References

1. Burgert A Senekal, Die neerslag van Russiese propaganda in kommentare op Maroela Media se nuusberigte oor die oorlog in Oekraïne, (Traces of Russian propaganda narratives in comments on Maroela Media's news reports about the war in Ukraine) <https://doi.org/10.56273/1995-5928/2023/j20n2b2>, 2023-05-31
2. Burgert Senekal, Querying Google NotebookLM on North Atlantic Treaty Organisation (NATO) options to resolve the New Cold War: A preliminary study, Peace Conference Project Journal, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14793148>, 2025

3. Burgert Adriaan Senekal, ChatGPT as a Source of Information about Russian Military Involvement in Ukraine (2014–Present), University of the Free State, South Africa, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02500167.2024.2405018>, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/02500167.2024.2405018>
4. Dainis Zeps, Multilingual conversation about war in Ukraine, <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.16.pdf>
5. Dainis Zeps, Otrā saruna ar ChatGPT par karu Ukrainā, <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.17.pdf>
6. D. Zeps, Russia's aggressive war against Ukraine: a conversation with gpt.4o and its analysis, Zinātnes un Reliģijas dialoga grupas seminārs, 27. novembrī, 2024, <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.15.pdf>
7. D. Zeps, The New World, or The world after Ukraine's victory, <https://hal.science/hal-04839439>
8. D. Zeps, Krievijas Ukrainas karš: saruna ar gpt-4o <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384159494>
9. D. Zeps, Russia's Ukraine War: A Conversation with GPT-4o <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384423307>
10. D. Zeps, Should the Biden government be sued for meddling in their country's presidential election by using an arms blocking on Ukraine? , <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.7.pdf>
11. D. Zeps, Russia delenda est: how we understand this, <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387538894>
12. Dainis Zeps, Russia delenda est, or Demilitarisation, Depropagandisation, Deescalation: how we see this? <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387527944>
13. Dainis Zeps, Russia delenda est, або демілітаризація, депропаганда, деескалація: як ми це розуміємо? <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387526782>
14. Dainis Zeps, Russia delenda est, vai Demilitarizācija, Depropagandizācija, Deeskalizācija: kā mēs to saprotam? <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387521785>
15. Dainis Zeps, Kā palīdzēt Ukrainai uzvarēt? Kas mums ir jādara Ukrainas atbalstam? Jauna saruna ar MI, <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.25.pdf>, 2025
16. Dainis Zeps, Ukrainas uzvara pār agresoru un teroristisko Krieviju kā vienīgais ceļš uz stabilu mieru Ukrainā, <http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.23258.45764> , 2025
17. Dainis Zeps, Planetārā izglītība: sarunas ar LLM mašīnām par aktuālām starptautiskām tēmām, <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.31.pdf>, 2025.
18. D. Zeps, War destroys the weak, the cowardly, the stupid, Added. 21.3.2024, <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.3.pdf>
19. D. Zeps, Karš izdeldē vājos, glēvos, glupos, Papildināts.2.4.2024., <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.5.pdf>
20. Dainis Zeps, Russia's aggressive war against Ukraine: a conversation with gpt.4o and its analysis, <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.15.pdf>, <https://scireprints.lu.lv/525/> , 2024
21. 19. D. Zeps, Что на Руси творится: Проект Россия, <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318038370> , 2017
22. Dainis Zeps, Jaunā Minhene, kurp tā vedīs? Papildināts 21.02.2025, <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.40.pdf>, 2025
23. Dainis Zeps The war in Ukraine. New conversation with grok3, <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.41.pdf>, 2025
24. Dainis Zeps, Karš Ukrainā pret agresoru Krieviju. Saruna ar LLM Grok3, <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.42.pdf>, 2025



25. Dainis Zeps, Vai ASV zaudēs Krievijas glābšanas avantūrā Ukrainas karā? Saruna ar LLM Grok3, <https://zenodo.org/records/14949820>, 2025
26. Dainis Zeps, What can make the US stop supporting Russia from its collapse? Conversation with LLM Grok3. <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.44.pdf>, 2025
27. Dainis Zeps, Par eskalāciju uz WWII, kas to dara? Saruna ar ChatGPT, <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.45.pdf>, 2025
28. Dainis Zeps, The Escalation Toward WWII: Who is Driving It? A Conversation with ChatGPT, <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.46.pdf>, 2025
29. Dainis Zeps, Trampa atrašanās prezidenta amatā ir pretrunā ar "lojalitātes" ideju, saka Grok3, <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.47.pdf>, 2025
30. Dainis Zeps, What can save NATO? Stand for Ukraine! <https://lingua.id.lv/art/war.48.pdf>
31. Dainis Zeps, Trump and Putin in 2025: A Stalin-Hitler Betrayal Redux?, <https://zenodo.org/records/15067822>, 2025
32. Dainis Zeps, *AI intelekts ir mūsu pašu intelekts*. In: Zinātnes un Reliģijas dialoga grupas seminārs, 26. martā, 18:00, Zoom tikšanās, <https://scireprints.lu.lv/550/>, 2025
33. Dainis Zeps, Vai mākslīgais intelekts var cīnīties pret Putinu, Kremli, viņu propagandu, meliem, pret Krievijas agresiju pret Ukrainu? #StandwithUkraine, <https://zenodo.org/records/15102379>, 2025